From:

Chuck Blouir <chuck.blouir@roadrunner.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, November 25, 2014 11:27 AM

To:

Puco Docketing

Subject:

DOCKET FOR Case # 14-1297-EL-SSO.

RE: Docket for Case # 14-1297-EL-SSO.

This message is being sent to express our position against allowing FirstEnergy to pass the cost of poor management off to us their captive customers. As an all electric home with no access to natural gas we are essentially in a position to be robbed by FirstEnergy. We have worked hard to reduce our footprint and control our electricity costs but FirstEnergy continues to legislate underhanded ways of extorting more and more from us. Clearly at the federal level it has become normal for our government to bail out pet companies on the backs of the taxpayers but I am hopeful that in our great state we have the right people in place to look out for our citizens by not allowing a poorly run utility free reign in these matters.

Please do not approve FirstEnergy's requests to pass on poor management of its power plants to us consumers.

Thank you.

Charles & Helen Blouir 9162 Willson Drive Chagrin Falls, Ohio 44023

2014 NOV 26 AM 8: 5

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business. Technician TMVs Date Processed NOV 2 6 2014

From: cmgorski@juno.com

Sent: Tuesday, November 25, 2014 11:26 AM

To: Puco Docketing

Subject: Opposition to First Energy's Proposed Purchased Power Agreement to Bailout Their

Failing Power Plants

To Whom It May Concern:

FirstEnergy is playing cat and mouse with it's customers AGAIN (since 2010), by trying to implement their Purchased Power Agreement that would commit local distribution companies to buy ALL the electricity from First Energy generated by the Davis-Besse & WH Sammis Plants for the next 15 years. These distribution companies will be obligated to pay whatever FirstEnergy says the electricity costs to produce (which will include making upgrades to these two plants). FirstEnergy would then sell this electricity into the wholesale market at either a profit or loss--which will then be automatically charged to its customers and appear as a line item on our bills (per FirstEnergy's Rate Plan article in The Plain Dealer).

FirstEnergy has already admitted that for the next 3 years, this arrangement will knowingly operate at a loss, and the average customer who uses 750 kwh per month will be charged \$3.50. This charge will be unavoidable regardless of who you get your electricity from because it will be tacked onto the distribution side of the bill. If the average customer's charge at 750kwh is \$3.50 per month, the average all-electric customer's winter bill usage of 2,600 kwh will experience a bill increase of at least \$11.00 per month.

If this crappy plan passes, it will essentially allow the regulated and guaranteed profits (which customers already pay) from FirstEnergy's distribution companies to subsidize two unregulated/unprofitable power plants. This dealio is totally unfair to the consumer, and it will completely reverse any progress made since 1999 to de-regulate the generation of electricity in Ohio to form a competitive market. Seems the majority of every organization who has intervened in this rate case plan is opposed to FirstEnergy's ideas due to its negative customer impact and anti-competitive nature, including the Ohio Consumer's Counsel and the PUCO Staff.

Whether it's hurting Ohio citizens financially each month or when they try to sell their all-electric home--will PUCO stop FirstEnergy? PUCO let all-electric consumers down when they let FirstEnergy chip away and phase out the special all-electric rate for its customers. Will PUCO let us down again by allowing FirstEnergy to do this underhanded move to its customers?

Sincerely,

The Gorski Family Chesterland, OH

What's your flood risk?

Find flood maps, interactive tools, FAQs, and agents in your area. <u>floodsmart.gov</u>

From: Leonard Bildstein <leonardbildstein@yahoo.com>

Sent: Tuesday, November 25, 2014 10:54 AM

To: Puco Docketing

Subject: Case #14-1297-EL-SOS

I'm on fixed income,and i can't afford anymore rate increases by first energy,they already stole our all electric discount with the Courts,and PUCO blessing,even though we were led to believe these discounts were permanent! Why do you people continue to cater to Corporate America at the Expense of the Consumer!

From: Marsha <dammad@roadrunner.com>

Sent: Tuesday, November 25, 2014 12:03 PM

To: Puco Docketing

Subject: file email to docket case#14-1297-EL-SSO

To whom it may concern: I am very opposed to First Energy's Proposed Purchased Power Agreement to bail out their failing power plants. I am retired with an all electric home. I can not afford to subsidize their power plants. When something breaks in my home, I don't have "customers" to help pay for the repairs. First Energy should use their own profits to maintain their power plants not force those costs down their good paying customer's throats! Thank You Richard Sowry (Retired electrical worker)

From:

weddingdj1@roadrunner.com

Sent:

Tuesday, November 25, 2014 12:07 PM

To:

Puco Docketing

Sue Steigerwald

Cc: Subject:

File into docket for Case #14-1297-EL-SSO

ATTENTION PUC STATE OF OHIO:

I would like to go on record as to being opposed to FirstEnergy's proposed Purchased Power Agreement to bailout failing power plants such as Davis Besse and WH Sammis. Reference docket case # 14-1297-EL-SSO. Please consider the best interest of the public.

Thank You

Gary Gugliotta

From:

Jim Johnson <jjohn44870@gmail.com> Tuesday, November 25, 2014 12:09 PM

Sent:

To: Subject: Puco Docketing price increase

I oppose First Energy's proposed rate increase Thank you.

From:

John Davis <johnldavis@windstream.net>

Sent:

Tuesday, November 25, 2014 12:29 PM

To:

Puco Docketing

Subject:

FirstEnergy's proposed Purchased Power Agreement - Case # 14-1297-EL-SSO

Please file my comments into the docket for Case # 14-1297-EL-SSO:

I am opposed to First Energy's proposed Purchased Power Agreement to bailout their failing power plants. If FirstEnergy's plan passes, it will essentially allow the regulated and guaranteed profits (which we already pay) from FirstEnergy's distribution companies to subsidize two unregulated and unprofitable power plants. Not only is this unfair to the consumer, but it will completely reverse any progress made since 1999 to de-regulate the generation of electricity in Ohio to form a competitive market.

Almost every organization who has intervened in this rate case plan is opposed to FirstEnergy's ideas due to its negative customer impact and anti-competitive nature, including the Ohio Consumer's Counsel and the PUCO Staff.

Thank you, John Davis

From: Chuck Palsa <cpalsa@woh.rr.com>

Sent: Tuesday, November 25, 2014 12:47 PM

To: Puco Docketing

Subject: file these comments into the docket for Case # 14-1297-EL-SSO

<u>I am opposed to FirstEnergy's proposed Purchased Power Agreement to bailout their failing power plants.</u> I own an all-electric home. I purchased a load meter to control the amount of electricity I consume. First Energy no longer recognizes that unit. Why? Makes no sense to me other than they want more of my hard-earned money. Wouldn't a truly conscientious energy company acknowledge and cooperate with households who are making an authentic effort to control energy use? First Energy does not!

Now they want to have a free hand in tacking on to my bill any additional costs they encounter. Electrical supply is the only utility which offers very little, if any, competition for services. First Energy has done a nice job of driving out all competitors by their questionable tactics. If there were legitimate contenders, First Energy could not get away with their bullying tactics.

I wish someone in the PUCO would call First Energy's bluff about reducing their staff. They use these scare tactics constantly because they are never challenged on them.

Please do not allow this proposed Purchased power Agreement to become law. First Energy should run their business like all others - and not be allowed to pass along the costs of their poor business practices to the helpless consumer.

Chuck Palsa Bellevue, Ohio

From:

Jean <auntjean35@wowway.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, November 25, 2014 12:51 PM

To:

Puco Docketing

Subject:

First Energy Power

Please file my comments into the docket for Case # 14-1297-EL-SSO that I am opposed to FirstEnergy's proposed Purchase Power Agreement to bailout their failing power plants. I am not a stock-holder in FirstEnergy - but a customer. Losses to FirstEnergy's holdings should be distributed to the stockholders. Jean B. Stay, 11022 Eastwind Ct, Strongsville, OH 44149 - an all Electric homeowner.

From:

Patricia Fritz <patriciafritz@sbcglobal.net>

Sent:

Tuesday, November 25, 2014 1:24 PM

To:

Puco Docketing

Subject:

FILING COMMENTS INTO DOCKET FOR CASE #14-1297-EL-SSO

Importance:

High

Gentlemen:

We are opposed to First Energy's proposed purchase power agreement to bail out their failing power plants.

Making upgrades and improvements to the power plants is a cost of doing business and should be absorbed by First Energy

And not passed along to the end users!

Regards, Patty

Patty Fritz 12887 Olympus Way Strongsville Ohio 44149 216-570-6298 – Mobile

Live Well, Laugh Often, Love Much, Drink Wine!

From: mysaviour@windstream.net

Sent: Tuesday, November 25, 2014 1:24 PM

To: Puco Docketing

Subject: Case # 14-1297-EL-SSO

Sirs:

As all-electric customers in northeastern Ohio, we are very opposed to First Energy Solutions' proposed Purchased Power Agreement to bail out their failing power plants.

We feel that First Energy needs to foot the bill for plant improvements out of corporate profits, not on the backs of consumers who are at the mercy of FES. Yes, we need electricity from them, however, they should not be able to hold us hostage to keep paying higher and higher energy costs. This is unethical.

Sincerely, Mr. and Mrs. James M. Easthom Chardon, Ohio electricity consumers

From: Kelly & Steven Pfister <pfistersk@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Tuesday, November 25, 2014 1:24 PM

To: Puco Docketing

Subject: Case # 14-1297-EL-SSO.

As an all electric home owner, my wife and I are <u>opposed to FirstEnergy's proposed Purchased Power Agreement to bailout their failing power plants.</u> If the average customer's charge at 750kwh is \$3.50 per month, the <u>average all-electric customer's winter bill usage of 2,600 kwh will experience a bill increase of at least \$11.00 per month.</u>

Secondly, if FirstEnergy's plan passes, it will essentially allow the *regulated* guaranteed profits (which we already pay) from FirstEnergy's distribution companies to subsidize two *unregulated* unprofitable power plants. Not only is this unfair to the consumer, but it will completely reverse any progress made since 1999 to de-regulate the generation of electricity in Ohio to form a competitive market.

Sincerely, Steven & Kelly Pfister

ronald kocisko <rkocisko@yahoo.com> From:

Tuesday, November 25, 2014 1:25 PM Sent:

Puco Docketing To: Subject: first energy

Stop First Energy from rate increase.

Joan E Miano <joanemiano@yahoo.com> From:

Tuesday, November 25, 2014 2:01 PM Sent:

Puco Docketing To:

Case#14 1297-EL-SSO Subject:

I am opposed to First Energy's proposed Purchase Power Agreement to bail out their failing plants.

Joan E. Miano

From: Thomas Riggie <riggt1956@hotmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, November 25, 2014 1:57 PM

To: Puco Docketing **Subject:** STOP THE MADNESS

I am vehemently opposed to FirstEnergy's proposed Purchased Power Agreement to bailout their failing power plants and wish to go on record in the case docket. Please file my comments into the docket for Case # 14-1297-EL-SSO. If FirstEnergy's plan passes, it will essentially allow the regulated and guaranteed profits (which we already pay) from FirstEnergy's distribution companies to subsidize two *unregulated* and unprofitable power plants. Not only is this unfair to the consumer, but it will completely reverse any progress made since 1999 to de-regulate the generation of electricity in Ohio to form a competitive market.

Sent from Windows Mail

From: Gil Krohn <gilkrohn@yahoo.com>

Sent: Tuesday, November 25, 2014 2:17 PM

To: Puco Docketing

Subject: Case#14-1297-EL-SSO

As a customer of Ohio Edison and First Energy [the same company] I wish to keep our electric rates low and not increase the profits of the utility. Please consider the price increase very carefully. I have already lost my all-electric heating discount which was my decision when I chose my heating system. Thank you, Gil Krohn

From:

Alan Georgio <argneka@yahoo.com> Tuesday, November 25, 2014 4:17 PM

Sent: To:

Puco Docketing

Subject:

Case # 14-1297-EL-SSO

Hello,

I am raising my concern about FES submitting a 3 year plan that will hurt all electric home owners. We were guaranteed an electric discount for all electric homes. This was backed by documentation provided by Bob Schmitt when this issue first arose. Now you are going to let a corporation screw us again. What is wrong with you people. Oh yah we can convert over to natural gas at about \$4000 per heat pump. My house has 3 units. Are you going to provide us with the \$12,000 dollars to do this???

Regards,

ARG

10947 Sand Creek Circle

From:

Donna McKeon < DMcKeon@bw.edu> Tuesday, November 25, 2014 4:32 PM

Sent: To:

Puco Docketing

Subject:

Regarding Docket for Case # 14-1297-EL-SSO

Please File my comments into the docket for Case # 14-1297-EL-SSO.

To Whom It May Concern:

We are contacting you as long-time current all-residential electric customers of The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company who would to go on record in **opposition** of the First Energy Company's latest plans to increase their customers' electric bills by passing along the newly developed charges outlined in their new three year rate plan which they are calling a "Purchased Power Agreement" to us, the customer, specifically the all-residential electric customers.

Yet again, we, the consumers are the ones impacted the most by the ongoing dismantling of our once affordable all-electric residential rate by the electric rate increases imposed by First Energy. Higher rates which continue to add to the financial burden to heat and cool our home.

My husband and I are now retired and planned to remain in our home in Ohio. We hope we will not be driven out of the state we love and made to relocate to an area where we can afford to pay our mortgage along with our heating & cooling bills.

In closing we would like to go on record as <u>opposing the First Energy's proposed Purchased Power Agreement to bailout their failing power plants.</u>

Thank you.

Joseph and Donna McKeon 21476 Oak Bark Trail Strongsville, Ohio 44149

440.846.9302

Donna McKeon Administrative Specialist Department of Political Science Baldwin Wallace University 275 Eastland Road Berea, OH 44017-2088 Ph: 440-826-2311

Email: dmckeon@bw.edu



From: Sent: 4houndsonfox@buckeye-express.com Tuesday, November 25, 2014 5:42 PM

To:

Puco Docketing

Subject:

file comments into the docket for Case # 14-1297-EL-SSO.

My country home has always been all electric. By my own choice I have taken in and been primary care giver to family members over the years. I charge them nothing to live here, as they buy their own medical necessities and help pay for food.

I currently have my 89 year old WWII veteran father living with me. I have a brother with COPD who is on oxygen always. They are both very temperature sensitive. Dad can keep his coat on, brother has severe breathing trouble when he's too hot or too cold.

Dad goes to daycare during the week so I can work at the cost of \$68 a day. Brother is to the point I will need to retire years early in 2015 to care for him.

I've pulled all electrical stuff I don't need, drive a fuel efficient vehicle, I can control the cost of water usage and food, but I depend on electric to keep them going. We blew in an additional 21 inches of blown insulation in the attic and a new geothermal furnace in 2011. I'm trying, really I am. My 6 year average for electric is approximately 19,000 kWh per year. Raising my electric bill is not really acceptable.

Sandra Boetticher

3406 Fox Road

Huron, OH 44839

From:

Katherine Lorencz <klorencz@neo.rr.com> Tuesday, November 25, 2014 5:53 PM

Sent: To:

Puco Docketing

Subject:

Please file my comments into the docket for Case # 14-1297-EL-SSO.

I wish to go on record in the Case # 14-1297-EL-SSO docket as being opposed to First Energy's proposed Purchased Power Agreement to bail out their failing power plants. This would be unfair to the consumer, and it will completely reverse any progress made since 1999 to de-regulate the generation of electricity in Ohio to form a competitive market. I own and live in an all-Electric home, and this is an extremely important issue to me. I make efforts to conserve my use of electricity; I have replaced appliances with Energy Star appliances, use "energy conscious" CFC light bulbs, low-flow shower-heads and faucets, a water-heater blanket, and have installed sun tunnels in the roof so I can use natural light. As an all-electric homeowner, I will be greatly impacted from this proposed agreement; I simply cannot afford an additional and unwarranted charge on my electric bill.

Thank you.

Katherine M. Lorencz



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software. www.avast.com

From:

wmbruton@juno.com

Sent:

Tuesday, November 25, 2014 6:27 PM

To:

Puco Docketing

Subject:

FES Purchased Power Agreement

File the following into the docket for <u>Case # 14-1297-EL-SSO</u>.

I would like to state my opposition to First Energy's proposed Purchased Power Agreement to bailout their failing power plants. As an all-electric homeowner, who was short changed a few years ago by First Energy's going back on its promise, this appears to me to be just another First Energy way of maintaining their monopoly in the area by keeping fair competition at their mercy.

Please consider how this agreement would affect all consumers, but in particular those with all-electric homes. Don't give in to them again!

Bill Bruton

Odd Trick Fights Diabetes

"Unique" Proven Method To Control Blood Sugar In 3 Weeks. Watch Video. <u>DiabetesProtocol.com</u>

From:

j harris <jah3516@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, November 25, 2014 6:45 PM

To:

Puco Docketing

Subject:

File Comment into the docket for Case @14-1297-EL-550

I strongly oppose the FirstEnergy's proposed Purchased Power Agreement to bailout their failing power plants. This proposal will have a negative impact on customers as well as eliminating any chance of competitive rates offered by competing companies. As a senior citizen on a fixed income, any increase in fees will surely have a negative impact upon my personal standard of living as well as many other seniors. Please take us into consideration in this matter.

Judy Harris 4625 Pinewood Dr 182 Sandusky, OH 44870

From:

ritad@buckeye-express.com

Sent:

Tuesday, November 25, 2014 8:47 PM

To:

Puco Docketing

Subject:

First Energy proposal-Case #14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Friends,

I recently learned of a plan by First Energy Electric that would result in cost increases to my monthly electric bill. The plan involves a purchase power agreement to bailout their failing power plants. I am sending this email to inform you that I am against this proposed purchase, case #14-1297-EL-SSO. AS my home is all electric, that is my only source of power. The increase in cost would result in financial hardship as I am retired with a small pension and social security. With the cost of living in all facets of life continually increasing, including health care, this increase in electrical usage will only add to the strain on my finances. I am convinced that First Energy does not have the best interests of its customers as a concern, but only the continued increase of its profits for the sake of their Board of Directors. The welfare of the common person is of little concern to them.

The poverty level is already at a high rate in our country, and actions such as this proposal will only add to the daily living challenges so many of us are already experiencing.

I am asking PUCO deny First Energy this proposal, case #14-1297-EL-SSO.

Thank you for your consideration of my dilemma,

Rita Dominick

From: Sheila Sciarrino <sheilasciarrino@yahoo.com>

Sent: Tuesday, November 25, 2014 9:36 PM

To: Puco Docketing

Subject: File Comment Into The Docket For Case #14-1297-EL-SSO

To whom it my concern:

My husband Paul T. Sciarrino and myself are OPPOSED to First Energy's proposed Purchase Power Agreement to bail out their failing power plants.

We have 24" of R-34 insulation in our attic, we have replaced 22 windows with top-grade Simonton windows with Argon gas between the panes, we have purchased all new electric heaters, we have replaced all appliances...stove, refrigerator, dishwasher, refrigerator, washer and dryer, and hot water heater with Energy Star ratings. We unplug EVERY appliance when not in use and keep our thermostats at 58 degrees in the winter as well as close off rooms not necessary to conserve energy. We dress inside as if we are outside because our electric bill is so high otherwise. We do not have central air and sweat practically to death in the summer months as well. We have gone into debt doing what we can do to reduce our energy costs in a single-income household. It is about time these big energy companies make sacrifices to their PROFITS or make changes to IMPROVE their performance ratings...just as their consumers are expected to do!

Signed,

Mr.& Mrs. Paul Sciarrino 11572 Oak Hollow Drive Chardon, OH. 44024

Sent from my iPhone 6

From: Sent: kanak amin <kamin610@gmail.com> Wednesday, November 26, 2014 5:49 AM

To:

Puco Docketing

Subject:

Case # 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Puco,

I am writing to oppose the FirstEnergy's Purchased Power Agreement to bailout power plants.

This has being a ripe off for the consumers by the energy company lack of ability to manage their business and playing their bait and switch policy.

First they gave a discount fro all electric house and with your help got them to jack their rate now. This was a slap to all electric home by PUCO. Electric company with their lawyer and with PCO's help got it reserved and now the electric com[any and PUCO once again betrayed the consumers.

I vehemently oppose the above case numbers for their in-competencies, PUCO bailing them out at our expenses.

Sincerely,

Kanak Amin Electric customer.

those are announced. But in the meantime, it is critical that we all go on record in the case docket as being **opposed to FirstEnergy's proposed Purchased Power**Agreement to bailout their failing power plants.

I ask that you take the time to write a short letter of opposition to the PUCO that will be filed in the case docket. You can do so by sending an email to docketing@puc.state.oh.us and by noting at the top of the email to file your comments into the docket for Case # 14-1297-EL-SSO.

From: Rodney J. Stewart <rjstewart@bop.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, November 26, 2014 8:05 AM

To: Puco Docketing

Subject: File comments into the docket for Case #14-1297-EL-SSO

Thank you for Stopping there Plans,

Rodney J. Stewart

From: missy.white.edwards@gmail.com on behalf of Rent Ready Property Group LLC

<rentready11@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, November 26, 2014 8:13 AM

To: Puco Docketing

Subject: ile my comments into the docket for Case # 14-1297-EL-SSO.

opposed to FirstEnergy's proposed Purchased Power Agreement to bailout their failing power plants.