Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Attention Case No. 14-0841-EL-SSO 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215

Richard L. Kiefer 2717 Saturn Drive Fairfield, Ohio 45014

October 20, 2014

Dear Sir/Madam:

This letter is to express my opposition to the bailout of Duke Energy's outdated coal plants.

First Energy and Duke Energy need to reduce the carbon emissions from the outdated coal fired plants. Instead of passing the costs on to the consumers like myself, the energy companies need to take some responsibility and use company profits and capital reserves to build more efficient plants.

The newer and more efficient plants would save costs in the long run and also help the environment. Almost all energy consumers are tired of the energy company continually passing on many costs to the consumer that the company should also bear some responsibility.

Please deny the financial bailout of the outdated plants that First Energy and Duke Energy are submitting plans for.

Sincerely,

Richard L. Kiefer



This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business Technician \_\_\_\_\_ Date Processed \_\_\_\_\_\_ 27 2014

Raty Wayn 1313 Michgenlive Cincinate Off 15208 14-0841-EL-SSO

16 the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

I'm a big advocate of reducing potetion and would verymical like to see more cost effective and enveronmentally friendly sources for energy.

I don't believe the consumers should Continue to support these outdated and polition promoting facilities.

I believe Federal standard should per established so that everyone as accountable for the impact our resources and decisins iffect on our environment.

Sincerily, Katy Wayne

Atth Case No: 14-0841-EL-550

21 Oct 2014

Robert L Wilson 1106 Paxton Ave Cincinnati OH 45208

To Whom It May Concern (i.e., PUCO)

I am very concerned about the current direction of Duke Energy as it relates to the lack of development and use of renewable energy resources. This issue is of paramount importance to our state's and country's future. Continuing to utilize carbon-based energies, specifically coal, is counter to the need to reduce our carbon footprint and clean-air. Not only is Duke planning to ask that we pay for more outdated technology, but our children will be paying a far greater price with poor health, changing environment, and much higher expense to fix later. The resources being requested by Duke Energy should be placed against development of renewable-energy sources, not coal plants.

Thank you, Robert Wilson

Red

Sean PUCO, 14-0841-EL-SSO 10-121/14 I do not agree to bailing out Duke's coal plants. my daughter worked at one (hired by an outside agency) to teach English to undocumbed workers from latin america. These people worked 7 days a week abating lead and asbestos, no day off, no sick day, until the group was deported and a newone brought in. Duke Evergy should be held accountable for their unethical and illegal activities, not given a reward sincerely 1253 Rookwood Dr. Harriet Matthey Cinn, 04 45208

004.21,2014 14-941-62-550 Dear Suis, I am writing to mage you to day Duke Energy's request to Continue to puchase power from their old coul plants, There is already too truch an pellutia in the O his Vally and this continuation would only add to it. I would cutany like my grandchildren to gram up most cleaner an. Thank you for your consideration. Sincing. Zucile E. Churalia 1327 Edwards Rd. Cinciniati , 0# 45208

14.94-4.580 10123/14 " To Whom It may Concern: Flust energy is working in Ohio to make customers pay for their old coal plants. I care about this ussue because I want to reduce an pollution, care י י¥ money on my electric but and 1.2 ୍ଟି unge our utilities to provide cleaner energy sources for our electricity. my 6 b \*/ barnily and I onould not have to 0 pay bor this Energy to continue Pollusing our air with This outdated 6 \*/ teconology. Jendsig mayerfeld 2428 Deborch Drive Beechwood, 0 # 44,22