```
00001
 1
  2
          BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
  4
  5
     ______
     In the Matter of Application :
     of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. for :
     Authority to Establish a :
     Standard Service Offer : Case No.
Pursuant to R.C. 4928.143 : 14-841-EL-SSO
     in the Form of an Electric
     Security Plan, Accounting
     Modifications, and Tariffs
 10
     Generation Service.
11
     In the Matter of Application : Case No.
     of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. : 14-842-EL-ATA
 12
     for Authority to Amend its
 13
     Certified Supplier Tariff, :
     P.U.C.O. No. 20.
14
     _____
15
            Heard by: Megan Addison
 16
                            Christine Pirik
                            Beth Trombold
17
18
                           September 18, 2014
            Date:
19
                           Commencing at 12:35 p.m.
             Time:
 20
            Place:
                           City Hall
                            801 Plum Street
 21
                            Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
22
            Before:
                            S. Diane Farrell, RDR, CRR
                            Notary Public - State of Ohio
 23
 24
25
```

00002		
2	APPEARANCES:	
3		
4	On	behalf of the Applicant:
5		
6		Amy B. Spiller, Esq.
7		Duke Energy Corporation 139 East Fourth Street
8		Room 1303 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 Phone: (513) 419-1810
9		Phone: (313) 419-1010
10	On	behalf of the Office of Consumers Council:
11		Maureen Grady, Esq. and
12		Kevin Moore, Esq. and
13		Bruce J. Weston, Esq.
14		Office of Consumers Council 10 West Broad Street
15		Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485
16 17		Phone: (614) 466-8574
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

00003			
2		INDEX	
3	WITNESS		PAGE
4	PHILIP MURPHY		9
5	LOUIS KRONER		10
6	MORGAN BILLINGSLEY		11
7	NAHALIEL KANFER		12
8	SEAN KONIJNENBIJK		17
9	MARILYN WALL		18
10	CHELSEA GOETTGE		20
11	LARRY FALKIN		22
12	NATHAN ALLEY		26
13	SARAH WITHAM		29
14	DANNY COOPER		30
15	NEIL WAGGONER		33
16	JIM ROGERS		36
17	RACHEL BELZ		50
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

00004	MS. PIRIK: The PUCO of Ohio has assigned
2	to a public hearing at this time and place Case
3	Number 14-841-EL-SSO and 14-842-EL-ATA, which is
4	captioned In The Matter of the Application
5	of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. for Authority to
6	Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to
7	Revised Code 4928.143 in the Form of an Electric
8	Security Plan, Accounting Modifications and
9	Tariffs for Generation Service and for Authority
10	to Amend Its Certified Supplier Tariff PUCO
11	Number 20.
12	My name is Christine Pirik. I'm the
13	deputy legal director for the Public Utilities
14	Commission. To my right is Commissioner Beth
15	Trombold. And to her right is Megan Addison, a
16	law clerk in our legal department, who will be
17	presiding with me. At this time, Commissioner
18	Trombold.
19	MS. TROMBOLD: Hi, everyone. My name is
20	Beth Trombold. I'm one of the five
21	commissioners of the PUCO that will be reviewing
22	this case. And it feels good to be back in
23	Cincinnati. I went to high school here, so it's
24	nice to come here.
25	Thank you for coming. We really

00005	appreciate hearing from people about this case
2	and your views. We do take these hearings
3	seriously and into consideration as we review
4	the case record. So thanks again for taking
5	time out of your schedule to come here.
6	I guess with that, if you have any
7	specific questions, we have some folks here from
8	the PUCO, our staff. There's Sherrie Wiget out
9	front. You probably saw her with the table of
10	information. Feel free to stop by there and
11	pick up some information about the case and what
12	we do.
13	We also have James Lee from our public
14	affairs office, who is out there, and my aide,
15	Kristin Clingal is in the audience, too, that
16	could assist you if you have any questions. So
17	thanks again, and I'll turn it back over to
18	Chris.
19	MS. PIRIK: Okay. Thank you. At this
20	time, I would like to take the appearances on
21	behalf of the parties. On behalf of Duke.
22	MS. SPILLER: Good afternoon, your Honor,
23	Commissioner Trombold. Amy Spiller for the
24	Applicant, Duke Energy Ohio.
25	MS. PIRIK: And I know CC is also here.

00006	MS. GRADY: On behalf of the Office of
2	Consumers Council, Bruce J. Weston, Maureen
3	Grady, and Kevin Moore.
	-
4	MS. PIRIK: Are there any other attorneys
5	here representing parties in the case? There
6	are a number of intervenors in the case. I have
7	a full list of those intervenors. If anyone is
8	interested, I would be glad to share that with
9	you after the hearing today. The parties
10	represent various constituent groups, and they
11	are also listed on our website.
12	Duke Energy filed this application on
13	May 29th, 2014 requesting authority to establish
14	a standard service offer for the period
15	commencing June 1st, 2015.
16	The local public hearings scheduled in
17	this matter are only one aspect of the process.
18	To date this is our fourth local hearing. We
19	had three local hearings in various parts of the
20	City of Cincinnati and suburbs last week. The
21	evidentiary hearing is also scheduled to
22	commence on October 22nd at the commission
23	offices in Columbus.
24	The purpose of this evening well, it's
25	not this evening. That was previous. The

00007	
1	purpose of today's public hearing is to receive
2	comments from the public regarding Duke's
3	application in this case. It's unfortunately
4	not a question and answer session. But you will
5	have the opportunity to let the commission know
6	what you think about tonight's hearing.
7	However, there are individuals here from
8	the company as well as staff, as we pointed out,
9	that if you have a question after the hearing
10	that you would like to ask them, feel free to do
11	so.
12	Today's hearing is being transcribed, so
13	if you intend on testifying, please speak
14	clearly. And if you have a prepared statement,
15	if you could give that to us after your
16	statement, as that would help the court reporter
17	as well.
18	When you arrived you were given the
19	opportunity to sign up to testify. We have a
20	number of individuals who did sign the sheets to
21	testify. At the conclusion of their testimony,
22	if there are others in the audience who wish to
23	come forward and make a statement, I will
24	provide that opportunity at that time as well.
25	So don't feel as if you are on this list if

00008	you are not on this list, that you won't be able
2	to testify. Also, if you are on this list and I
3	call on you and you decide that you would prefer
4	not to testify, that is fine as well.
5	I ask you when you come forward to swear
6	an oath to tell the truth and to state your name
7	and address and spell it for the record so that
8	we can have all of the appropriate information.
9	At this time, I will begin calling
10	witnesses. I'm going to say right up front, I
11	have a very difficult last name in the form of
12	Pirik, and it is quite frequently mispronounced
13	and misspelled. So I apologize ahead of time if
14	I say anyone's last name wrong. Feel free to
15	correct me and be sure to get the right spelling
16	in the record.
17	The first is Philip Murphy. I think I
18	got that one right. Please come forward.
19	Please raise your right hand.
20	(The witness was sworn.)
21	MS. PIRIK: Go ahead and have a seat.
22	And you see the button right there.
23	MR. MURPHY: I see two on the right and
24	two on the left. Are you talking the one on the
25	left? Testing.

00009	MS. PIRIK: That's definitely on. Could
2	you state your name and address and spell it for
3	the record?
4	MR. MURPHY: Yes. My name is surname
5	is Murphy, M-u-r-p-h-y. First name, Philip,
6	P-h-i-l-i-p. My residence is 704 Hand Avenue.
7	That's like right hand, left hand. And the zip
8	code is 45232 here in Cincinnati.
9	MS. PIRIK: Thank you, Mr. Murphy. You
10	may proceed with your statement.
11	PHILIP MURPHY
12	a witness herein, being first duly sworn, made the
13	following statement:
14	MR. MURPHY: My statement will be quite
15	brief. To the extent that there is any
16	competitive aspect to the utilities marketplace
17	today, I believe it is grossly inappropriate to
18	include in customers' rates portions of capital
19	outlays and operating expenses which are clearly
20	a result of management's decisions.
21	Such costs should accrue purely to
22	shareholders and never to rate payers. That's
23	all I have to say.
24	MS. PIRIK: Thank you very much. Next up
25	is Louis Kroner. I didn't pronounce it right, I

powered by renewable energy sources. Rather

than asking the PUCO to increase rates to pay

24

2.5

00011	for future-oriented technologies, Duke has asked
2	to increase rates to update aging distribution
3	plants, those plants that pollute our air and
4	our water, endanger our health and decrease the
5	quality of our lives.
6	I urge the commission to look to a
7	greener future for Ohio by denying this request
8	for outdated technology. Thank you.
9	MS. PIRIK: Thank you, Mr. Kroner.
10	Morgan Billingsley. Raise your right hand.
11	(The witness was sworn.)
12	MS. PIRIK: State your name and spell it,
13	and your address for the record, please.
14	MORGAN BILLINGSLEY
15	a witness herein, being first duly sworn, made the
16	following statement:
17	MS. BILLINGSLEY: My name is Morgan
18	M-o-r-g-a-n, Billingsley, B-i-l-l-i-n-g-s-l-e-y.
19	I'm at 131 East 14th Street, Number 306, 45202.
20	I just wanted to come to say that as a
21	citizen of Cincinnati I find this proposal to be
22	kind of a slap in the face. In the last few
23	years, the citizens of Cincinnati have come
24	together to make it very, very clearly known
25	that we don't want to support dirty energy. Our

00012	
1	electric aggregation is 100 percent clean for a
2	reason, because we have spoken on that strongly.
3	I don't think that it's fair to make
4	force someone to pay for something that they
5	don't believe in. I also think that a lot of
6	people argue against clean energy, saying that,
7	you know, market-based approaches are going to
8	be representative of what the consumers want.
9	But that's not true if we're continually forced
10	to be financially propping up these outdated and
11	just completely wrong forms of energy.
12	I feel like Duke is a bully that is
13	consistently trying to take our lunch money.
14	Thank you.
15	MS. PIRIK: Thank you. Nahaliel Kanfer.
16	I'm sorry. I told you I was really bad. Please
17	raise your right hand.
18	(The witness was sworn.)
19	MS. PIRIK: Thank you. Please be seated.
20	State your name, spelling it, and address for
21	the record, please.
22	NAHALIEL KANFER
23	a witness herein, being first duly sworn, made the
24	following statement:
25	MR. KANFER: Hi. My name is Nahaliel

00013	
1	Kanfer, spelled N-a-h-a-l-i-e-l. Last name,
2	K-a-n-f-e-r. I reside at 1130 Belvedere Street
3	here in Cincinnati, zip code 45202.
4	I'm a Duke rate payer. I'm also a Duke
5	stockholder. I got my dividend a couple of days
6	ago, I think. So I I do appreciate the need
7	to balance rate payers' interests and
8	shareholder interests here. And I appreciate
9	that the commission has a task.
10	I listened very carefully to the comments
11	that come before me, and I appreciate this
12	write-up that the commission has provided on the
13	case. I found it personally, the first page,
14	very interesting as to the history of
15	deregulation. I know there are a lot of issues
16	in this case. I'm not going to speak to all of
17	them.
18	I do want to speak to this price
19	stabilization rider, though, because I didn't
20	think this write-up was particularly descriptive
21	of what my understanding of the price
22	stabilization rider consists of. And reading
23	this first page, the history of deregulation,
24	what that makes clear is the Ohio General
25	Assembly and you can debate the collective

00014	
1	wisdom of that body, I think, for a long time,
2	but it has made very clear the direction of this
3	state is toward deregulation in the wholesale
4	power market.
5	My understanding of what Duke is
6	requesting in this case, not only Duke but other
7	utilities, is that well, to say it another
8	way, Duke hasn't been very successful in a
9	competitive wholesale power market. If you read
10	the trade journals of companies that are
11	involved with electric generation today and the
12	electric industry overall, you are not reading
13	about a lot of states that are taking extra
14	steps to make coal plants more competitive.
15	You are reading about a lot of states
16	that are wrestling with new innovative issues
17	that are transforming the industry, things like
18	net metering distributed generation, new
19	transmission, integration of renewables, demand
20	response, energy efficiencies, these
21	transformative market trends that the rest of
22	the country is moving towards.
23	And Ohio isn't an island. We don't
24	operate on our own here. We don't have our own
25	self-sufficient economy. We need to keep up

00015	
1	with the rest of the country and that includes
2	keeping up with job creation and it includes
3	making sure our electric rates are competitive,
4	because if they're not, new industries won't
5	come here and they won't create jobs and, in
6	fact, we'll lose population and our economy will
7	suffer from it.
8	And so it's one thing to increase rates
9	temporarily to keep Ohio competitive with other
10	states in the race to build new technology and
11	get new concepts moving. It's another thing to
12	increase rates to do the opposite, to keep old
13	coal plants on line. That makes very little
14	sense to me.
15	You know, my understanding of the two
16	coal plants that Duke is asking for support for
17	in this case were built decades ago. And the
18	reality is that in today's energy economy, there
19	are things that are cheaper than operating very
20	old coal plants. That's why they're not
21	competitive. That's why Duke is asking for
22	additional financial support.
23	And so why would we ignore the market
24	signals? The market is speaking. And the Ohio
25	General Assembly has indicated they want the

00016	
00016	market to speak on this issue. Why would we fly
2	in the face of that and spend a great deal of
3	money supporting uneconomic generation?
4	So that's basically my comment. The only
5	other thing I thought of saying was, you know,
6	that bailouts aren't always bad public policy, I
7	don't think. You know, when George Bush bailed
8	out GM and that was a policy continued by
9	President Obama I think there were really
10	good reasons to do that. It saved some jobs.
11	It prevented a catastrophic economic situation,
12	not only in Michigan but here in Ohio. But just
13	remember that that was authorized by Congress in
14	legislation. No, you know, unelected bureaucrat
15	or administrative tribunal made that decision on
16	their own.
17	It seems to me that to reverse the clear
18	policy that had been set by Ohio's legislature
19	here, it should require an act of the
20	legislature and not just some electric security
21	plan which receives comparatively little
22	transparency and public interest despite people
23	who are here today. So those are my comments.
24	Thanks a lot.
25	MS. PIRIK: Thank you. Sean. Please

00017	raise your right hand.
2	(The witness was sworn.)
3	MS. PIRIK: Please be seated and spell
4	your name and address for the record, please.
5	SEAN KONIJNENBIJK
6	a witness herein, being first duly sworn, made the
7	following statement:
8	MR. KONIJNENBIJK: My name is Sean
9	Kohnijnenbijk. It's S-e-n or S-e-a-n,
10	K-o-n-i-j-n-e-n-b-i-j-k, Konijnenbijk. That's a
11	mouthful, I know. I live at 1224 Scott
12	Boulevard, Covington, Kentucky. So I am not
13	living in Ohio but since Covington and Newport
14	are, of course, serviced by Duke Energy, I feel
15	that this matter concerns me as well.
16	I just want to keep it brief in this
17	case. First of all, I try to really get
18	informed about this subject. And I feel that a
19	lot of the regulation and the deregulation and
20	regulation again is pretty obscure and very
21	convoluted and it's really hard to make a you
22	know, make up your mind about it. It's really
23	hard to really see what is at stake here. I
24	think it's needlessly confusing, and just this
25	is bad for us as a consumer because we don't

1	sometimes don't even know what's going on here.
2	So if we are renewing the path that the
3	Public Utilities Commission and the energy
4	companies have taken, if we are going to just
5	continue that, I think it's going to be more and
6	more complicated. And I think that is only one
7	of the reasons why the proposal should not be
8	should not be acknowledged in this case.
9	Also, what I do read in between the lines
10	is that there are costs there that are shoved
11	onto customers that I feel are basically the
12	result that by the energy companies, so that
13	doesn't seem right to me.
14	So like I said, I do feel that the Public
15	Utilities Commission should not consider this
16	proposal viable and because it will prove as a
17	stimulus for the energy companies and for the
18	commission to really make a change. Thank you.
19	MS. PIRIK: Thank you. Marilyn Wall.
20	Please raise your right hand.
21	(The witness was sworn.)
22	THE WITNESS: My name is Marilyn Wall.
23	MS. PIRIK: You need to push the button.
24	I'm sorry. She can't hear you. You have to
25	hold it down

1	THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry.
2	MS. PIRIK: As long as the red light is
3	on, you are good.
4	MARILYN WALL
5	a witness herein, being first duly sworn, made the
6	following statement:
7	MS. WALL: It's Marilyn Wall,
8	M-a-r-i-l-y-n, W-a-l-l. My address is 816 Van
9	Ness Drive, Cincinnati, 45246. The proposal we
10	have today for Duke Energy to recover costs from
11	the consumers for coal-fired power plants should
12	be denied.
13	The Duke Energy needs to get off coal
14	as soon as possible. It is unhealthy, increases
15	morbidities and mortality and the whole coal
16	industry in Ohio has been one of coal-fired
17	power plants against consumers' health and the
18	consumers' right to breathe clean air and have a
19	safe and healthy environment.
20	This rider unfairly makes Duke Energy
21	whole from operational loss from coal power
22	coal-fired power plants for the foreseeable
23	future and it's unfair to consumers in Ohio.
24	They need the coal Duke Energy
25	needs to shift to renewable energy and

00020 1 efficiency. And just by way of full disclosure, 2 I'm also a power generator. I have solar panels 3 on my house. I generate electricity. I sell electricity. If I can do this economically, so 4 5 can Duke. 6 MS. TROMBOLD: Thank you. Chelsea 7 Goettge. 8 (The witness was sworn.) 9 MS. PIRIK: Please state your name and 10 address and spell it for the record. CHELSEA GOETTGE 11 a witness herein, being first duly sworn, made the 12 13 following statement: 14 MS. GOETTGE: My name is in Chelsea 15 Goettge, C-h-e-l-s-e-a, G-o-e-t-t-g-e. And I 16 live at 3479 Blue Rock Road, 45239. I think that we're living in a time where 17 18 competition needs leads to innovation. And in a 19 free market that can be a good thing or a bad 20 thing. We see a lot of people being left behind 21 and struggling with economic inequality. I 22 think it would exacerbate the problem to allow

the companies with the most resources to pawn

their financial responsibility for investments

off to the people who have the least expendable

23

24

0	0	0	2	1	

1	income.
2	We know that there are a select few that
3	will not feel the impact of this bailout, but
4	the majority will. I'm here to plead with you
5	to uphold your end of government responsibility
6	to represent the people, not the powers that be,
7	to represent the people, not the industry.
8	We're at a precipice where we can excel
9	into a future of green technology, into a place
10	where we are creating more efficient energy that
11	is cheaper, more accessible, or we can continue
12	to pump pollutants into the poorest communities
13	where people are suffering from the worst
14	health epidemics in our country.
15	The Ohio coal valley is despicable, and
16	if you haven't been, you should go. It will
17	break your heart. I come from that place and I
18	am here beseeching you to please take a stand
19	for the people that you represent and deny the
20	people with the money their extra little
21	vacation this year.
22	Let us excel and sail, please, as a
23	state. It's not going to kill competition to
24	deny them this bailout. It's going to help our
25	solar industry thrive. It's going to bring jobs

00022 1 to build the wind farms on our great lake. 2 That's all I have to say. Thank you. 3 MS. PIRIK: Thank you. Larry Falkin. Please raise your right hand. 4 5 (The witness was sworn.) 6 MS. PIRIK: Thank you. Please be seated 7 and state your name and address and spelling it 8 for the record. And of course, you have to push 9 the button on the microphone. 10 LARRY FALKIN 11 a witness herein, being first duly sworn, made the 12 following statement: 13 MR. FALKIN: Good afternoon. Larry Falkin, F-a-l-k-i-n, 5038 Gray Road, Cincinnati, 14 45232. 15 Good afternoon, members of the PUCO and 16 welcome to Cincinnati. My -- my day job is with 17 18 the City of Cincinnati as director of the city's 19 office of environment and sustainability. I am 20 here today testifying as an individual, not as a 21 representative of the city.

22 As I understand it, the city is an
23 intervener in this case, is opposed to Duke's
24 application. But the city's input in this
25 process will be conveyed to the commission

^	. /	1	\cap	2	\sim
ι.	Jι		u	/	~

1	through	the	city's	attorney.	Му	comments	are
2	strictly	y my	own.				

I am here today to speak in opposition to the price stabilization rider. As many of the other speakers before me have said, the purpose of this rider is to take old, inefficient coal powered plants that really are ready for retirement, that really should be retired at this point in time and prop them up and allow them to continue operating for an extended period of time.

It's worth noting that these plants are both more expensive than modern plants and more target intensive, release more pollutants and more carbon per unit of electricity than other forms of generation that are available out there.

There are some aspects of that that haven't been talked about previously in this hearing. One is that EPA, the U.S. EPA, currently has a set of proposed rules that are referred to as the Clean Power Plan. And what the Clean Power Plan will do, if adopted as proposed, is put the state on a carbon budget. The state will need to reduce carbon emissions

1	١.	\cap	Γ	1	4
ı		U	ı	1 /	' 4

1	from its electricity generation portfolio by
2	30 percent over a period of time.
3	Now, the State of Ohio will want to look
4	and see what the most efficient and effective
5	way is to reduce the carbon emissions from our
6	generation portfolio. It is the oldest, least
7	efficient, most carbon intensive plants that we
8	will be most interested in retiring in order to
9	satisfy our obligation in the Clean Power Plan.
10	If we were to lock in the operation of
11	these inefficient plants for the next 15 years,
12	then we would have to do harder, more extensive
13	things in order to reduce our carbon emissions.
14	And so locking in the continued operation of
15	this plan puts us crossways with the expected
16	federal regulations that are coming on power
17	plant emissions.
18	In a similar way, the federal government
19	has recently imposed other regulations on old
20	coal-fired power plants. There are mercury
21	rules and particulate rules and other rules that
22	are coming out that require technology retrofits
23	on some of these obsolete plants.
24	For many coal plants, the owners of the

plants are deciding that the investment that it

1	takes to modernize a 50-, 60-year coal plant
2	just isn't worth it, it's time to retire it.
3	And that's the decision that ought to be made
4	for these plants as well.
5	If you guarantee Duke a return on
6	investment for every dollar they're required to
7	invest in these plants, then they will go ahead
8	and make the upgrades to these plants that are
9	simply not economically justified. You know,
10	they can upgrade to meet the mercury rule, they
11	can upgrade to meet the other rules, but they're

that makes no economic sense.

Duke, I believe, is arguing that we need this generation capacity in order to meet peak demand, that last winter when we had an unusual winter peak, there were just not enough power plants that were available to mobilize on short notice to meet those peaks and that we ought to leave this plant in the portfolio in order to meet that winter peak demand.

doing it on the backs of the citizens in a way

The argument doesn't really make sense. These facilities are 50, 60 years old. By the end of a 15-year arrangement, they'd be 65, 75 years old. These are not the reliable plants

00026	
1	that we want to count on in a crunch. If we
2	really need reliable generation capacity, then
3	let's incentivize modern state-of-the-art clean
4	and reliable facilities to meet that peak
5	demand. Let's not keep something that is barely
6	hobbling along as the power plant that we're
7	going to rely upon when we most need it to
8	perform.
9	Thank you for the opportunity to speak
10	today.
11	MS. TROMBOLD: Thank you. Nathan Alley.
12	(The witness was sworn.)
13	MS. PIRIK: Please be seated and state
14	your name and address and spell it for the
15	record.
16	NATHAN ALLEY
17	a witness herein, being first duly sworn, made the
18	following statement:
19	MR. ALLEY: Good afternoon. My name is
20	Nathan Alley, N-a-t-h-a-n, A-l-l-e-y, and I live
21	at 3311 Alicemont Avenue here in Cincinnati.
22	The zip code is 45209.
23	I first want to actually appreciate

that live in the New Richmond area. And the

24

25

something Duke did recently. I have some family

1	fact that Duke is going to be closing the
2	Beckjord plant earlier than they had originally
3	planned is something that really warms my heart.
4	So I want to make that appreciation right off
5	the bat.
6	That being said, I would certainly
7	appreciate it if you denied their application
8	today based in large part on the testimony you
9	have already heard from Ms. Wall and Mr. Falkin
10	and the others who have really laid forth a
11	pretty competent slate of arguments against
12	their application.
13	I would just like to add two notes, one
14	personal and one more general. I grew up in
15	this area, but I've spent almost 20 years away.
16	My wife and I recently have moved back after
17	spending almost a decade in California. And
18	imagine how pleased we were to move to
19	Cincinnati and have the option of getting all of
20	our electricity from renewable energy sources.
21	We had to pay a little more for that on our
22	monthly bill but it was something we were very
23	excited to do. It's something we didn't have
24	the option of doing, in of all places, Santa
25	Barbara, California.

1	Now, it seems to me that this plan, which
2	as others have described, would essentially
3	force me to prop up the coal-fired power plants
4	in Duke's portfolio would really run counter to
5	that whole option. It might even obviate the
6	benefits that I think that I'm getting for
7	paying into the program. So please consider it
8	from that aspect.
9	On a more general level, you know, we've
10	heard a lot about cost competition, renewables.
11	You know, one of the main arguments that is
12	often levied against renewable energy is that it
13	isn't cost competitive with fossil fuels. Well,
14	you know, this is a blatant example of the
15	potential subsidies which are really causing the
16	gap, the subsidies that cloak the fossil fuel
17	power generation industry.
18	And you have an opportunity today to
19	begin to right that balance and to take away
20	some of those subsidies, some of those handouts
21	and allow the renewable energy to become more
22	cost effective and serve its customers better.
23	So thank you for your time and good luck
24	with your decision.
25	MS. TROMBOLD: Thank you. Then the

00029 1 next witness is Sarah -- that's all I have on 2 the list -- from Houston, Texas. 3 (The witness was sworn.) MS. PIRIK: Please state your name, 4 5 spelling, and address for the record, please. SARAH WITHAM 6 a witness herein, being first duly sworn, made the 7 8 following statement: 9 MS. WITHAM: My name is Sarah Witham, 10 S-a-r-a-h, W-i-t-h-a-m. I live at 240 Westridge 11 Street in Houston, Texas, 77054. 12 So I'm obviously not a citizen of Ohio 13 here, but I am a visitor for the next month. 14 And coming across this situation I felt it my 15 duty to kind of speak out as I am a firm 16 believer that injustice anywhere is injustice 17 everywhere. 18 So I come from the energy capital of the 19 world, obviously, Houston, Texas, and there is 20 tons of competitive options to receive your 21 power from. I personally go through a total 22 renewable energy source. I pay approximately 23 7.5 cents per kilowatt hour. It's a very

So it's definitely not something that could

competitive price for totally renewable energy.

24

00030 1 happen here in Ohio. 2 What I basically see here is that you 3 have a corporation trying to take away a citizen's right to choose and in this day and 4 5 age I feel like we see far too much choice being taken away from a person. So I hope that you 6 ladies decide to deny this plan by Duke. Thank 7 8 you. 9 MS. PIRIK: Thank you. Danny Cooper. 10 (The witness was sworn.) 11 MS. PIRIK: Thank you. Please be seated 12 and state your name and address and spell it for the record. 13 14 THE WITNESS: Is this too loud? 15 MS. PIRIK: Fine. DANNY COOPER 16 a witness herein, being first duly sworn, made the 17 18 following statement: 19 MR. COOPER: Okay. Danny Cooper. 20 D-a-n-n-y, that's my official name. You can 21 thank my parents for that. Cooper, C-o-o-p-e-r. 22 Address, 10867 Thornview Drive In Sharonville, Ohio, north of Cincinnati, 45241. 23

this rider. Duke Energy has filed this

Good afternoon. I'm opposed to this --

24

1	electronic security plan requesting the price
2	stabilization rider to hedge benefits of the
3	costs associated with Duke Energy's contractual
4	obligation to the Ohio Valley Electric
5	Corporation, OVEC.
6	Duke proposes to sell its portion of

Duke proposes to sell its portion of energy and associated services into the competitive market to maintain integrity of the fully competitive option process. This rider is a new charge to benefit certain power plants.

Ohio law states that utilities charge customers for power plans to be competitively determined by a electricity market and not guaranteed by PUCO, a government regulator.

The Kyger Creek power plant, and now abandoned in Cheshire, Ohio, is part of the OVEC and uses dirty coal-generated electricity and then produces nasty water and filthy air that violates the clean -- the federal Clean Air and Water Act laws.

Since the Kyger Creek power plant does not meet these federal laws, like any company, it must be shut down, certainly till the owner improves it at its own costs and not by the customers, so it can operate within the law or

1	be decommissioned. Again, also paid by the
2	company and not by the customers.
3	Any power plant facility or even citizens
4	like us must operate within the law. Power
5	plants must be able to sell its energy in an
6	open market with no rider that benefits only
7	certain power plants. Anything else is what I
8	call power plants welfare. It is a bailout for
9	dirty power plants that violate our laws.
10	I was an Air Force program manager for 20
11	years, public servant like PUCO is, planning,
12	developing, budgeting, fielding, decommissioning
13	military systems and bases from before birth
14	till after death. Those life cycle costs
15	included operating, decommissioning, and
16	environmental remediation costs, were budgeted
17	to ensure those systems did not violate federal
18	laws.
19	The same standards apply to Duke Energy
20	to operate within our laws. The ESP also
21	requests a distribution storm rider to balance
22	storm recovery costs already incorporated in the
23	customer's distribution rates. The ESP states
24	that the rider's also for actual costs
25	associated with unforeseen weather events.

00033 1 Please provide customers like us a list of the 2 actual weather events, dates, locations, repairs 3 and bills over a thousand dollars. Thank you very much for your time. 4 5 MS. PIRIK: Thank you. Neil Waggoner. (The witness was sworn.) 6 7 MS. PIRIK: Thank you. You have to push the button. 8 THE WITNESS: Fine. 9 10 MS. PIRIK: Thank you. NEIL WAGGONER 11 a witness herein, being first duly sworn, made the 12 following statement: 13 14 MR. WAGGONER: Oh, okay. Sorry. I 15 missed that part of it. All right. My name is 16 Neil Waggoner. That is N-e-i-l, W-a-g-g-o-n-e-r, 957 Hunter Avenue, Columbus, 17 Ohio, 43201. 18 So now before I get started, I want to 19 20 just say it's my fourth public hearing that I 21 have attended for this case and I really want to 22 give a thank you to everyone in the room here 23 that came to participate today. I love to see

this participation. And I think it really

emphasizes here the opposition there is to

24

1	٦.	\cap	\cap	3	Λ
ı		u	u	_	4

1	Duke's rider.
2	And I want to also thank the commission
3	for the opportunity to offer public comments on
4	this case before you where Duke Energy seeks to
5	create a nonbypassable rider on a
6	consumer's electric bill to purchase energy fro
7	two coal plants with the option of further
8	requests for similar arrangements in the future
9	a power purchase agreement that will create a
10	guaranteed rate despite market conditions.
11	This request, essentially a bailout,
12	comes despite the fact that as per Ohio policy
13	for the past 15 years, Ohio's utilities have
14	been ordered to separate their generation and
15	transmission entities.
16	I am here today to represent the opinion
17	of the 1700 individual Sierra Club members and
18	supporters and petitions are here whom
19	have already filled out petitions and letters
20	opposing the efforts of Ohio's three largest
21	utilities. And this is not just the case with
22	Duke. This is the case with AEP and First
23	Energy to bail out a number of Ohio's old dirty
24	coal plants.
25	Now, Sierra Club will be filing a formal

1	comment responding to Duke's Illing. But today
2	I really just want to emphasize what we are
3	hearing from our members and supporters
4	regarding these three cases. Each of these 1700
5	members and supporters are urging the commission
6	to deny the request by Duke Energy as well as
7	the request by AEP and First Energy. You will
8	be able to, and I encourage you to, read these
9	comments yourself when they are filed at the
10	commission under the public comments section.
11	Ohioans are upset by what Duke and AEP
12	and First Energy are attempting with these
13	cases, the nonsupported attempts to bail out old
14	coal plants that are not competitive with
15	today's marketplace for electricity. I find
16	particularly egregious that these requests come
17	at the same time that Ohio utilities
18	collectively and successfully lobbied to gut
19	Ohio's clean energy and energy efficiency
20	standards, standards that are saving consumers
21	money, creating jobs, and generating hundreds of
22	millions of dollars of new investment in Ohio.
23	Duke, AEP, and First Energy's request to
24	raise rates to bail out the obsolete coal plants
25	is bad for consumers' wallets, bad for the Ohio

00036	
1	business community and, of course, bad for
2	Ohio's environment and future as we work to
3	reduce dangerous emissions from carbon, soot,
4	smog, and mercury.
5	On behalf of the almost 1700 Sierra Club
6	members and supporters who have already made
7	their opposition known and the thousand more
8	across Ohio prepared to do the same, I urge the
9	commission to reject Duke's filing as well as
10	the filings of AEP and First Energy. Thank you.
11	MS. PIRIK: Thank you. Jim Rogers.
12	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
13	MS. PIRIK: Please raise your right hand.
14	(The witness was sworn.)
15	MS. PIRIK: Thank you. Please have a
16	seat and state your name and address for the
17	record.
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.
19	MS. TROMBOLD: You have to push the
20	button on the microphone.
21	THE WITNESS: Oh.
22	MS. TROMBOLD: And you have to hold it.
23	THE WITNESS: Oh, oh, right.
24	MS. PIRIK: No. You have to hold the

button, unfortunately. There you go. So long

00037 1 as you just keep your finger down on the button. And you'll see the red -- there's a red --2 3 THE WITNESS: I see the red. MS. TROMBOLD: You can sit back a little 4 5 bit. 6 THE WITNESS: I need to keep my finger on 7 the whole time? MS. PIRIK: Yeah. 8 THE WITNESS: That's good to know. 9 10 MS. PIRIK: You need to push the button. 11 JIM ROGERS a witness herein, being first duly sworn, made the 12 following statement: 13 14 MR. ROGERS: Okay. Got you. I'm a 15 little bit clumsy. 16 Jim Rogers. I live at 2507 Hansford Place, number 2, Cincinnati, Ohio, 45214. And I 17 have written comments. Who should I give those 18 19 to? 20 MS. PIRIK: You can give those to me. 21 You can just lay them over there in the corner of the bench. 22 MR. ROGERS: Okay. Thank you. I'm a 23

MS. PIRIK: That's fine.

little hard of hearing. I apologize for that.

24

1	MR. ROGERS: I'm Jim Rogers and I testify
2	today as a Duke power residential customer and a
3	person of faith working with several faith-based
4	organizations concerning energy usage, the state
5	of Ohio's I'm sorry, the state of the human
6	habitat and the rest of God's creation. These
7	include the Cincinnati Catholic Archdiocese Task
8	Force on Climate Change and Ohio Interfaith
9	Power and Light.
10	Working with these groups, I have done a
11	variety of a study and I wish to share some of
12	my discoveries with you. I refer to the human
13	habitat rather than the environment. By habitat
14	I mean the availability of safe air, food,
15	water, and shelter to adequately meet humanity's
16	needs. The reason I avoid the term
17	"environment" is because there are those who
18	feel that humans deserve focus more than the
19	environment.
20	And in my study I found out that focusing
21	on humans may indeed be the appropriate way
22	because humans depend on the environment more
23	than the other way around. For example, we
24	could not have existed had God not provided
25	plants ahead of us to grow in sufficient number

1	to supply us oxygen and begin the food chain.
2	If we eliminate plants or most of the plants,
3	we're cooked. So I think a "Save the Human"
4	campaign is well in order.
5	I ask the commission today to look beyond
6	the financial concerns of Duke power or their
7	customers to consider how this case will affect
8	the health and well-being of Ohio's citizens. A
9	favorable decision on Duke's power position will
10	simply incentivize burning more coal, a fossil
11	fuel, which is simply not in the public
12	interest. And here's why.
13	My study tells me that our world habitat
14	is headed towards collapse, with fossil fuels
15	leading the way. I'm not an Al Gore front man.
16	I spent time doing my research and have been
17	helped by the colleagues in my volunteer
18	organizations. And I believe that if anybody
19	did the same research, they would come up with
20	the same conclusion.
21	Some of these signs of collapse are
22	temperatures rising temperatures, shifting
23	seasons, rising seas displacing islanders, and
24	more. We're losing about 30 million acres of
25	cultivatable land each year That's just one of

1	the number of frightening statistics that are
2	out there.
3	Now, some may think this is hogwash, all
4	a hoax, but there are three major trends
5	affecting our world of which you are probably
6	not aware, and I was not aware until I did my
7	research. These trends are population
8	explosion, consuming and waste explosion, and
9	reckless use of resources.
10	Take population. We know it's been
11	increasing, but we may not be aware of the whole
12	extent. Modern humans have existed for about
13	30,000 years and only by 1927 did we reach
14	2 billion in number. But less than a century
15	later that figure more than tripled to more than
16	7 billion in 2011. So it's gone like this.
17	This has produced, interestingly, a
18	number of countries with populations that cannot
19	be adequately managed or served. We in the U.S.
20	worry about the influx of immigrants and what it
21	is doing to our infrastructure. Ironically, our
22	situation is a cakewalk compared to what most
23	other countries contend with.
24	Most other countries have higher
25	nonulation densities and fewer natural

0	0	0	4	1	

1	resources. Let's take Pakistan, our sometimes
2	ally in the war on terrorism. It has a
3	population density of 236 people per square mile
4	compared to our 35. If we had the density that
5	Pakistan has, we'd have 2.2 billion people
6	living in the United States.
7	Pakistan is described as being stripped
8	of vegetation and having a median income of \$485
9	a month. Nigeria is another example. It's the
10	size of Texas but has five times Texas'
11	population. Between 2000 and 2005 it lost
12	55 percent of its primary forest.
13	Next trend, consuming and waste. With
14	this huge population growth, you expect
15	consuming per person to go down because there
16	are a lot more people competing for finite
17	resources. In fact, in a number of areas
18	consuming has outstripped population growth by a
19	large margin.
20	For example, from 1960 to 2010, meat
21	consumption grew 560 percent, twice the rate of
22	population growth; nuclear power 1980 to 2006
23	grew 318 percent, almost six times population.
24	And it goes on and on.
25	Driving this growth, among other things,

1	is waste, and a desire to live on a grand scale,
2	which is held throughout the world. From 1950
3	to 2000 the size of the new U.S. single family
4	home grew from 1000 square feet to 2200 square
5	feet. Yet at the same time, the average number
6	of occupants actually decreased from 3.37 to
7	2.62.
8	Up to half of the food that is bought in
9	Europe and the U.S. is thrown away. From 2002
10	to 2012 worldwide municipal waste per person
11	grew an estimated 87 percent. That's another
12	trend that is going whoosh.
13	And then the others are reckless
14	exploitation of resources. The way we the
15	way we exploit our resources typically entails
16	damage, often extreme, to either the resource
17	itself or other resources or our health.
18	Consider the passenger pigeon. Now, I
19	thought that must be a bird associated with
20	aviation, given its name. It was actually a
21	popular eating bird and once 5 billion in number
22	in North America. We killed so many of them
23	that they were extinct by the early 20th century
24	and the last one died in the Cincinnati Zoo.
25	A different example of negligent use, our

1	heavier reliance on commercial fertilizers.
2	Fertilizer use grew 1200 percent between 1950 and
3	the present. This certainly has boosted our
4	agriculture output, but it comes at a price.
5	The fertilizers bleed into our streams and
6	rivers, causing algae blooms that decrease the
7	amount of available oxygen for marine life. So
8	we now have a number of dead zones around the
9	world in our lakes and in our ocean. There are
10	two in Lake Erie where the walleye catch has
11	dropped from about 5 million to 1 million a
12	year. There are many more examples of this
13	which I don't have time to get into.
14	So here's what we have. We have a lot
15	more people consuming a lot more and devastating
16	our resources through negligent use. This is a
17	recipe for disaster.
18	Consider this. Each year we lose enough
19	forest to cover Panama. Between 1990 and 2005
20	Honduras lost 37 percent of its forest; Nigeria,
21	36; Philippines, 32; and so on. I talked to a
22	friend who recently retuned from Guatemala and
23	she said, it's a pity, I was so glad to get back
24	to the United States because I could hear birds
25	chirp.

Τ	so let's get to rossil ruels. How do
2	they fit in? They are the leading cause of
3	global warming, 57 percent; the leading cause of
4	air pollution; and leading cause of acid rain.
5	In effect, fossil fuels are the Mr. Big of
6	practices destroying our habitat.
7	With regard to Ohio they help make us the
8	second most air-polluted state in the nation and
9	the Cincinnati-Middletown-Wilmington area the
10	tenth most polluted area in the country. Our
11	local area has 216,000 cases of asthma.
12	The effect on climate change is the most
13	serious one because fossil fuels inject the
14	most heat trapping gas of any source into our
15	atmosphere. God designed our atmosphere to
16	supply us air to breathe and sufficient warmth
17	to live. He provided warmth through a very
18	strong percentage of heat-trapping gases that
19	catch heat that is reflected off the earth's
20	surface.
21	CO2 is the leading heat trapping gas that
22	we have injected into the atmosphere.
23	Historically the percentage of CO2 was 275 parts
24	per million. That gives you a sense of what a
25	finite small percentage of heat trapping gases

0	0	0	4	5
U	U	U	4	_

1	that we need.
2	Since the beginning of the Industrial
3	Revolution that figure has increased because of
4	human activities, and the figure is now 400
5	parts per million and we're adding 2 parts per
6	million each year.
7	There is general scientific agreement
8	that this is propelling us towards the most
9	apocalyptic impacts of climate change. And
10	there is scientific agreement that we must get
11	that percentage back to 350 parts per million.
12	Thus, we must cut back on fossil fuels
13	immediately, and of any country, America should
14	lead the way because we have contributed
15	29 percent of human-induced greenhouse gases now
16	in the atmosphere.
17	This is doable. We have a wealth of
18	untapped renewable energies, such as wind and
19	solar, to meet our energy needs many times over.
20	And I can provide some statistics.
21	Now, there are probably people in the
22	room who doubt this projection, who doubt we
23	need to cut back on fossil fuels, who doubt that
24	we have a scenario full of calamities for our
25	children and grandchildren if we fail to cut

00046	
1	back on fossil fuel use. For those doubters,
2	let me just point out that there is general
3	scientific agreement around the world about
4	this.
5	Scientific academies such as our National
6	Academy of Science, the Intergovernmental Panel
7	on Climate Change, NASA, even the reinsurance
8	industry, which insures other insurance
9	companies, and the world's major religions,
10	they're all in agreement.
11	This has to be addressed. The Vatican,
12	by the way, is advised by its own Pontifical
13	Academy of Sciences and is powered by solar
14	panels.
15	I would ask you to look beyond the
16	interests of Duke Power and its customers to
17	consider Ohio in the large.
18	From a faith perspective, I would now
19	like to ask you to look farther and examine our
20	notential impact on the rest of the world

like to ask you to look farther and examine our
potential impact on the rest of the world.

People of faith, people of Christian faith,
which is my background, are obligated to follow
the Golden Rule to do unto others what we would
have done to us. We are also governed by

Corinthians 12, chapter 12, verses 13 through

00	04	7
----	----	---

1	26, which say that we're all part of the body of
2	Christ. We're all supposed to unite with one
3	another. We're all supposed to care for one
4	another. We're supposed to look out for the
5	little guy.
6	So if you follow the faith perspective,
7	we are to see ourselves as linked to the peoples
8	of the world like brothers and sisters and look
9	after them. And they should reciprocate.
10	Unfortunately, scriptural guidance is observed
11	more by omission than actual practice. But
12	nothing prevents us from starting this practice
13	now and infusing our businesses with altruism.
14	Our scripture also tells us we are
15	obligated to be good stewards of God's creation,
16	accountable to God for our use of His land and
17	resources. There's no less an authority than
18	Billy Graham that supports this view. When we
19	see the pollution in the world, the eradication
20	of our forest, elimination of our species, other
21	injuries done to our planet, how will we account
22	to our Creator?
23	Please understand that I say all this
24	without condemning Duke Power, the fossil fuel
25	industries, or this commission. Not only does

1	the Bible counsel against judging others, but I
2	come here as a penitent. I have used or
3	depended on fossil fuels all my life. And I
4	thank the fossil fuel industry for always
5	meeting my energy needs. But in the process I
6	have also contributed to global warming. I
7	apologize to our Creator, and I'm doing the best
8	now to change how I live, buying a hybrid car,
9	avoiding unnecessary trips, and so on.
10	I invite this commission and Duke Energy
11	to take similar action and join a worldwide
12	movement to save the planet, aka our habitat,
13	through sensible energy use among other
14	initiatives. As a member of Cincinnati's Green
15	Umbrella, I know Duke understands the challenges
16	of our times, and I applaud them for seeking
17	ways to promote renewable energy and help
18	consumers become more efficient.
19	The condition of the world, however,
20	requires that we all do a lot more. There's not
21	one silver bullet to the problems we face. We
22	must work together to develop the best creative
23	and lasting solutions.
24	I urge Duke Energy to transition from
25	fossil fuels to renewable energy as soon as

00049	
1	possible. But I also encourage them to unleash
2	the great talents of their staff to work with
3	the community to blaze a trail towards the
4	solutions we need.
5	In God's eyes, we are all brothers and
6	sisters. The light within me respects and
7	honors the light within you. Anyone taking yoga
8	knows that's the namaste day expression. I
9	stand ready to work with you, and you are my
10	kinfolk, to build a future that is pleasing to
11	our Creator.
12	I apologize for taking so much time, but
13	I deeply appreciate your consideration.
14	MS. PIRIK: Thank you. And if you want
15	to just lay that on the corner, we'll be sure to
16	grab it, for the record. Thank you.
17	Rachel Belz.
18	(The witness was sworn.)
19	MS. PIRIK: Please spell your name and
20	address for the record.
21	
22	RACHEL BELZ
23	a witness herein, being first duly sworn, made the
24	following statement:

MS. BELZ: Okay. My name is Rachel Belz.

00050	
1	R-a-c-h-a-e-l, B-e-l-z. I'm testifying in my
2	professional capacity, so my work address is
3	2330 Victory Parkway, Suite 401, Cincinnati,
4	Ohio, 45206.
5	I'm the executive director of the group
6	Ohio Citizen Action. Last week I testified
7	personally as a Duke customer, as I live in
8	Greenhills, Ohio. I'm here to represent Ohio
9	Citizen Action and our 80,000 members throughout
10	the state.
11	Ohio Citizen Action is both a consumer
12	and an environmental organization. I believe we
13	are the only consumer and environmental
14	organization in the state. That's how we were
15	founded almost 40 years ago.

And we take the -- both sides of those roles very seriously. We have a long history at Ohio Citizen Action of working on deregulation, hybrid regulation, re-regulation issues in Ohio.

When the utility industry fought in the late '90s to have deregulation, most of the other organizations we often allied with were very opposed to it. We were not for it either. However, we realized that the train was pretty

much leaving the station and it was probably

1	going to be happening, and so instead of just
2	giving up, we decided to figure out a way to
3	help at least the consumers get something out of
4	deregulation.
5	That's where the community choice came
6	from. We were the only ones who lobbied for
7	community choice, which became municipal
8	aggregation, with two of my dearest friends
9	actually from 15 years ago. It's an issue that
10	is incredibly close to all of our hearts. It
11	gives the consumers a chance to shop around, to
12	have an opportunity to form a buying group in
13	your community.
14	And, you know, in Cincinnati, I was one
15	of the people, along with Kate Melges from
16	Greenpeace, who stumped to get in 2011
17	Cincinnati to form a buying group through the
18	voters and then to use the opportunity to
19	support and represent renewable energy through
20	renewable energy credits. So I'm very proud of
21	that.
22	As an organization, we are deeply
23	troubled by this rider, this particular price
24	stabilization rider, as it's called, or as we
2.5	refer to it as a bailout. Most of the reason

1	that we are opposed to it is because of the
2	environmental and consumer problems it presents
3	for the people in the state that we represent;
4	some of them are members and others who are not
5	members.
6	We run a professional day-to-day
7	year-round field and phone canvass staff,
8	meaning we're talking with Ohioans, some who are
9	members and some who aren't, some who don't even
10	agree with us. We talk to them about the
11	issues. This is one of the issues we've been
12	talking with them about for the past six weeks
13	or so. And both in Duke territories, we have a
14	staff in Cincinnati and up in the Cleveland
15	area I'll save my criticisms. I have a
16	couple of comments on that.
17	But we are very opposed to the
18	application to keep Kyger Creek and Clifty Creek
19	open and on the backs of the consumers in
20	particular.
21	Through my job but also through for
22	personal reasons I've had an opportunity to
23	visit both of these plants. I've seen how old
24	they are, and I know they were put online in
25	1955. And they both have problems with air

1	releases. They both have problems with water
2	and coal ash release as any plant or old
3	business or old car would if you didn't maintain
4	it as you probably should have.
5	I think it's interesting that in 1999
6	and 2001 when the legislature passed
7	deregulation, consumers were asked to bail out
8	at that time or not to bail out, but to pay
9	billions of dollars to allow the utility
10	industry to deregulate. We were very opposed to
11	that. But again, that's why we figured out
12	there was a community choice option. We modeled
13	after people in Massachusetts who had done it.
14	Then through the 2000s when there was
15	basically what you might call a hybrid
16	regulation, where there was some regulation for
17	certain utilities and then there was the
18	spinoffs and all of this sort of thing, so we
19	heard quite a bit from our members really
20	confused. People were confused about the
21	concept of, you know, the personal choice; not
22	so much the aggregation when they're part of a
23	bigger group but when they have to go out and
24	shop on their own.
25	Over the course of just probably a year

1	or two, though, people realized it was really no
2	different than shopping in part because of
3	PUCO, it was no different than shopping around
4	for a new cell phone plan, for instance, and
5	more and more people have shopped around and
6	have figured out that they don't want to be part
7	of Duke or First Energy or AEP.
8	So the fact that this is a nonbypassable
9	rider also is very problematic because I think
10	that asking people who have, you know, just
11	just recently really figured out how competitive
12	it can be, how much they can save for
13	themselves, their businesses, their churches,
14	whatnot, that we're now asking them or we're
15	now you know, Duke is asking them; we're not
16	asking them. Duke is asking them to instead
17	kind of go back to the old way and even though
18	we saved over a billion dollars in just five
19	years as consumers through deregulation and
20	having all of these choices.
21	So, you know, in whole, we do not agree.
22	We would really like you to deny Duke's request
23	for these to keep these aging coal plants
24	going. And when we submit our formal comments,
25	we will include the comments that we've

00055	
1	collected from our members as well. Thank you.
2	MS. PIRIK: Thank you. That concludes
3	the list of names for individuals that have
4	signed up to testify. Is there anybody in the
5	audience that has changed their mind and would
6	like to make a statement on the record?
7	Okay. Well, I think then, Commissioner,
8	you have anything you would like to say?
9	MS. TROMBOLD: No. Thanks again for
10	coming. And we appreciate all the comments that
11	were spoken here today and we will definitely
12	take all of that into consideration. So
13	appreciate your time.
14	MS. PIRIK: Thank you. We'll submit this
15	record to the commission. Thank you.
16	
17	
18	PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 1:40 P.M.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2.5	

CERTIFICATE I, S. Diane Farrell, RDR, CRR, the undersigned, a court reporter for the State of Ohio, do hereby certify that at the time and place stated herein, I recorded in stenotypy and thereafter had transcribed into typewriting under my supervision the foregoing pages, and that the foregoing is a true, complete and accurate report of my said stenotype notes. S. Diane Farrell, RDR, CRR

Dearborn Reporting Services | P.O. Box 93943, Cleveland, Ohio 44101 | 216-298-4888 www.DearbornReporting.com

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

10/22/2014 1:12:54 PM

in

Case No(s). 14-0841-EL-SSO, 14-0842-EL-ATA

Summary: Transcript Transcript of September 18, 2014 hearing in Cincinnati, Ohio, electronically filed by Ms. Katrina Dearborn on behalf of Dearborn Reporting Services