BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of the : Regulation of the : Purchased Gas Adjustment : Clause Contained Within :

the Rate Schedules of : Case No. 14-216-GA-GCR

Suburban Natural Gas : Company and Related : Matters. :

- - -

In the Matter of the : Uncollectible Expense :

Rider of Suburban Natural : Case No. 14-316-GA-UEX

Gas Company and Related
Matters.:

. .

In the Matter of the :
Percentage of Income :
Payment Plan Riders of :

Payment Plan Riders of : Suburban Natural Gas : Case No. 14-416-GA-PIP

Company and Related Matters.

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

before Mr. L. Douglas Jennings, Attorney Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-C, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10 a.m. on Tuesday, September 30, 2014.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

- - -

```
2
 1
      APPEARANCES:
             Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General
 2
             By Mr. William L. Wright
             Public Utilities Section
 3
             Mr. Werner L. Margard, III
 4
             Assistant Attorney General
             180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor
 5
             Columbus, Ohio 43215
 6
                  On behalf of the Staff of the PUCO.
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

				3
1		INDEX	ζ	
2			_	
3	Wit	ness		Page
4	Roger L. Sarver			F
5	D	irect Examination by Mr. N	4argard	5
6			-	
7	Com	mission-Ordered Exhibit	Identified	Admitted
8	1	Financial Audit of the Ga		
9		Cost Recovery Mechanisms the Cost Incurred for the Period September 1, 2011,	е	
10		through August 31, 2013,	for	
11		Suburban Natural Gas Comp Filed July 31, 2004	pany 6	10
12	2	Audit of the Uncollectib		
13		Expense Mechanisms for the Period January, 2012, the December, 2013, for Subustices.	rough	
14		Natural Gas Company Filed July 31, 2014		10
15	3	_	200	
16	J	The Audit of the Percents of Income Payment Plan for Period January, 2012, the	or the	
17		December, 2013, the Subus Natural Gas Company Filed	rban	
18		July 31, 2014	7	10
19			_	
20	Suburban Exhibit		Identified	Admitted
21	1	Proofs of Publication	10	10
22			_	
23	Joint Exhibit Id		Identified	Admitted
24		Joint Stipulation and Recommendation	8	10
25			-	10

Tuesday Morning Session,
September 30, 2014.

2.0

2.1

- - -

Utilities Commission of Ohio has scheduled for this date and time three hearings. The first hearing is In the Matter of the Regulation of the Purchased Gas Adjustment Clause Contained Within the Rate Schedules of Suburban Natural Gas Company and Related Matters, the Case No. 14-216-GA-GCR. The second case is In the Matter of the Uncollectible Expense Rider of Suburban Natural Gas Company and Related Matters, Case No. 14-316-GA-UEX. And the final case is In the Matter of the Percentage of Income Payment Plan Riders of Suburban Natural Gas Company and Related Matters, Case No. 14-416-GA-PIP.

My name is Doug Jennings. I'm an Attorney Examiner, and I have been signed to preside over these hearings.

At this point I would request that parties that are in the room enter an appearance on the record.

MR. MARGARD: Thank you, your Honor. On behalf of the Commission's staff, Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General, William Wright, Section Chief,

	5		
1	Public Utilities Section, by Assistant Attorney		
2	General Werner L. Margard, 180 East Broad Street, 6th		
3	Floor, Columbus, Ohio.		
4	ATTORNEY EXAMINER JENNINGS: Let the		
5	record reflect that the company is not represented at		
6	the hearing by counsel and also that there are no		
7	members of the general public in the hearing room.		
8	It's my understanding that there is a		
9	stipulation?		
10	MR. MARGARD: There is, your Honor, and I		
11	would like to offer it through Staff Witness Roger		
12	Sarver and would like to call him to the stand,		
13	please.		
14	(Witness sworn.)		
15	ATTORNEY EXAMINER JENNINGS: Thank you.		
16	Mr. Margard, you may proceed.		
17	MR. MARGARD: Thank you.		
18			
19	ROGER L. SARVER		
20	being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was		
21	examined and testified as follows:		
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
23	By Mr. Margard:		
24	Q. Please state your name.		

A. My name is Roger L. Sarver. My business

25

address is 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio.

- Q. And by whom are you employed and in what capacity, please?
- A. I'm employed by the Public Utilities
 Commission of Ohio as an energy specialist.
- Q. And are you familiar with the cases that have come before the Commission today?
 - A. Yes.

No. 14-316-GA-UEX.

2.0

2.1

MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, if I may, I have premarked for identification, all be it mismarked, my apologies, as Commission-Ordered Exhibit No. 1 the Financial Audit of the Gas Cost Recovery Mechanisms for the Cost Incurred for the Period September 1, 2011, through August 31, 2013, for Suburban Natural Gas Company Filed July 31, 2004.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER JENNINGS: '14?

MR. MARGARD: I'm sorry, you're correct,
2014. I have mismarked and would ask the court
reporter to please correct what is marked as
Commission-Ordered Exhibit 3 to read
Commission-Ordered Exhibit 2 which is the Audit of
the Uncollectible Expense Mechanisms for the Period
January, 2012, through December, 2013, for Suburban
Natural Gas Company filed on July 31, 2014, in Case

And if the court reporter would then also remark what is previously marked as Commission-Ordered Exhibit No. 2 as Commission-Ordered Exhibit No. 3. And I do this, your Honor, to correspond with the language in the stipulation. My apologies for mismarking them this morning.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER JENNINGS: Okay.

MR. MARGARD: The Audit of the Percentage of Income Payment Plan for the Period January, 2012, through December, 2013, the Suburban Natural Gas Company Filed July 31, 2014, in Case No. 14-416-GA-PIP.

- Q. Mr. Sarver, are you familiar with these documents?
- A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

- Q. And do these documents represent the audits that were performed in these respective cases?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And what was your involvement with each of these audits?
- 21 A. I was in charge of all of these audit 22 reports.
- Q. And do you have any changes,
 modifications, corrections, or amendments to these
 reports as they were filed at the Commission?

A. No, sir.

- Q. And to the best of your knowledge, are they true, accurate, and reflect your findings and conclusions?
 - A. Yes, sir.

MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I have previously marked for purposes of identification as Joint Exhibit No. 1 a Joint Stipulation and Recommendation entered into between the staff and Suburban Natural Gas Company executed on September 29, 2014, and filed with the docketing division yesterday.

- Q. Mr. Sarver, I place before you what's been marked as Joint Exhibit No. 1. Are you familiar with this document?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Did you have any involvement in the negotiation of this agreement?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you and a representative of the company; is that correct?
- A. Yes, sir. We worked with Suburban to reach a stipulation.
 - Q. And in your opinion are you and the representatives from Suburban knowledgeable and

capable parties?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you have considerable experience, do you not, in negotiating such agreements?
 - A. Going on 26, 27 years, yes, sir.
- Q. Mr. Sarver, are you aware of any regulatory principle or practice that this document violates?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. And are you aware of any -- any benefits that derive from reaching this agreement?
- A. Yeah. The benefits for not only the utility along with the customers is the fact that corrections have been made not only to the UEX and new balance but also to GCR customers in the form of refunds of \$20,000 to correct for what I'll call errors that were found in the actual adjustment calculation. So, yes, there were benefits to not only the utility but also to ratepayers.
- Q. And you believe this agreement is fair and reasonable and in your opinion should be adopted by the Commission; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.

MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I have no further questions for Mr. Sarver and would move for

```
the admission of Commission-Ordered Exhibits 1, 2,
 1
 2
      and 3, and for Joint Exhibit No. 1. In addition, I
 3
      am authorized to offer on behalf of the Company
      Suburban Exhibit No. 1, which is also attached to the
 4
 5
      stipulation that was filed yesterday, the proofs of
      publication of this hearing which are satisfactory to
 6
      staff and would also move for their admission at this
 7
 8
      time.
 9
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER JENNINGS: Okav. All
      exhibits will be admitted into the record.
10
11
                  (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
12
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER JENNINGS: Is there
13
      anything further?
14
                  MR. MARGARD: I have nothing further.
15
      Thank you, your Honor.
16
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER JENNINGS: Thank you.
17
                  That completes this morning's hearing.
18
      We're adjourned.
19
                  (Thereupon, at 10:09 a.m., the hearing
2.0
      was adjourned.)
2.1
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Tuesday, September 30, 2014, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Karen Sue Gibson, Registered Merit Reporter. (KSG-5937)

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

10/14/2014 1:38:39 PM

in

Case No(s). 14-0316-GA-UEX, 14-0416-GA-PIP, 14-0216-GA-GCR

Summary: Transcript In the matter of Suburban Natural Gas Company hearing held on September 30, 2014. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs.