BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke)	
Energy Ohio for Authority to Establish a)	Case No. 14-841-EL-SSO
Standard Service Offer Pursuant to)	
Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the)	
Form of an Electric Security Plan,)	
Accounting Modifications and Tariffs for)	
Generation Service.)	
In the Matter of the Application of Duke)	
Energy Ohio for Authority to Amend its)	Case No. 14-842-EL-ATA
Certified Supplier Tariff, P.U.C.O.)	
No. 20.)	

MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER BY THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") hereby moves the Public Utilities Commission ("PUCO" or "Commission") for a protective order regarding information asserted to be confidential by Duke Energy Ohio ("Duke Ohio" or "Utility"). As part of discovery in this proceeding, Duke Ohio provided certain information to OCC, subject to a protective agreement. The Utility asserts that this information is proprietary and competitively-sensitive confidential, and constitutes trade secrets under Ohio law, and that non-disclosure is not inconsistent with the purposes of R.C. Title 49.

OCC hereby requests that the Commission issue such order as is necessary to protect limited portions of the Direct Testimony of Beth A. Hixon, James F. Wilson, and

¹ This Motion is filed pursuant to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-24(D).

Jerome D. Mierzwa filed on behalf of OCC in this proceeding. The limited portions of the testimony sought to be protected contains information that Duke Ohio deems confidential. OCC is filing the testimony under seal, and is filing a redacted public version, subject to OCC's rights under the protective agreement and provisions of Ohio law.

By filing the instant motion, OCC does not concede that the information constitutes trade secrets. However, OCC acknowledges that it has obtained this information pursuant to a protective agreement with Duke Ohio. That Protective Agreement provides for such information to be treated as confidential and protected (subject to OCC's right to initiate a process for the PUCO to rule whether the information deserves confidential treatment under Ohio law).

The grounds for this Motion are more fully described in the accompanying Memorandum in Support.

Respectfully submitted,

BRUCE J. WESTON OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

/s/ Maureen R. Grady
Maureen R. Grady, Counsel of Record
Joseph P. Serio
Edmund "Tad" Berger
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485

Telephone: (Grady) (614) 466-9567 Telephone: (Serio) (614) 466-9565 Telephone: (Berger) (614) 466-1292

Maureen.grady@occ.ohio.gov Joseph.serio@occ.ohio.gov Edmund.berger@occ.ohio.gov

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke)	
Energy Ohio for Authority to Establish a)	Case No. 14-841-EL-SSO
Standard Service Offer Pursuant to)	
Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the)	
Form of an Electric Security Plan,)	
Accounting Modifications and Tariffs for)	
Generation Service.)	
In the Matter of the Application of Duke)	
Energy Ohio for Authority to Amend its)	Case No. 14-842-EL-ATA
Certified Supplier Tariff, P.U.C.O.)	
No. 20.)	

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

Contemporaneously with the filing of this Motion, OCC is filing the testimony of six witnesses in this matter. The testimony of three OCC witnesses – James D. Williams, Anthony J. Yankel, and Matthew I. Kahal – does not contain information that Duke Ohio deems confidential. The other three OCC witnesses, Ms. Hixon, Mr. Mierzwa, and Mr. Wilson present testimony that contains information gained during discovery that the Utility asserts is competitively-sensitive confidential. Accordingly, OCC is filing the Testimony of Ms. Hixon, Mr. Mierzwa, and Mr. Wilson under seal, pursuant to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-24(D)(2) and the protective agreement between OCC and Duke Ohio. OCC is also filing a version of Ms. Hixon's, Mr. Mierzwa's and Mr. Wilson's Testimony for viewing by the public, with the purportedly confidential information redacted pursuant to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-24(D)(1) and the protective agreement between OCC and AEP Ohio.

Without conceding that the allegedly confidential information meets the standard for trade secrets and deserves protection from public revelation under R.C. 1333.61(D), OCC files the instant Motion to protect the information. Accordingly, OCC requests that the Commission issue such order as is necessary to protect the Testimony of Ms. Hixon, Mr. Mierzwa, and Mr. Wilson as filed under seal. Such information was designated as confidential by Duke Ohio, subject to OCC's rights under the protective agreement. OCC, nonetheless, retains the right to initiate the process for the PUCO to decide if confidential treatment is appropriate.

OCC understands that Duke Ohio considers the redacted information to be confidential and deserving of the status of trade secrets as defined in R.C. 1333.61(D). Such assertions would be based on claims by the Utility that the information (1) derives economic value, actual or potential, from not being known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by others, and (2) is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy. Under such assertions, confidential treatment of the unredacted Testimony of Ms. Hixon, Mr. Mierzwa, and Mr. Wilson would be appropriate, subject to OCC's rights under the protective agreement to initiate a process to determine whether the information should be protected.

For the foregoing reasons and subject to the foregoing reservations of rights, this Motion for Protective Order should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

BRUCE J. WESTON OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

/s/ Maureen R. Grady

Maureen R. Grady, Counsel of Record Joseph P. Serio Edmund "Tad" Berger Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485

Telephone: (Grady) (614) 466-9567 Telephone: (Serio) (614) 466-9565 Telephone: (Berger) (614) 466-1292

Maureen.grady@occ.ohio.gov Joseph.serio@occ.ohio.gov Edmund.berger@occ.ohio.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Motion for Protective Order by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel has been served electronically upon those persons listed below this 26th day of September, 2014.

/s/ Maureen R. Grady

Maureen R. Grady Assistant Consumers' Counsel

SERVICE LIST

Steven.beeler@puc.state.oh.us Thomas.lindgren@puc.state.oh.us Rvan.orourke@puc.state.oh.us dboehm@BKLlawfirm.com mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com jkylercohn@BKLlawfirm.com Schmidt@sppgrp.com Judi.sobecki@aes.com Bojko@carpenterlipps.com Allison@carpenterlipps.com cmooney@ohiopartners.org stnourse@aep.com misatterwhite@aep.com yalami@aep.com asonderman@keglerbrown.com mkimbrough@keglerbrown.com hussey@carpenterlipps.com mhpetricoff@vorys.com misettineri@vorvs.com glpetrucci@vorys.com dmason@ralaw.com mtraven@ralaw.com rchamberlain@okenergylaw.com

Amy.Spiller@duke-energy.com Elizabeth.watts@duke-energy.com Rocco.dascenzo@duke-energy.com Jeanne.Kingery@duke-energy.com havdenm@firstenergycorp.com jmcdermott@firstenergycorp.com scasto@firstenergycorp.com joliker@igsenergy.com mswhite@igsenergy.com joseph.clark@directenergy.com sam@mwncmh.com fdarr@mwncmh.com mpritchard@mwncmh.com callwein@wamenergylaw.com tdougherty@theOEC.org dhart@douglasehart.com cloucas@ohiopartners.org gpoulos@enernoc.com swilliams@nrdc.org tobrien@bricker.com ghull@eckertseamans.com jvickers@elpc.org

Attorney Examiner:

<u>Christine.pirik@puc.state.oh.us</u> Nicholas.walstra@puc.state.oh.us This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

9/26/2014 2:25:57 PM

in

Case No(s). 14-0841-EL-SSO, 14-0842-EL-ATA

Summary: Motion Motion for Protective Order by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel electronically filed by Ms. Deb J. Bingham on behalf of Grady, Maureen R. Ms.