BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio)	
Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric)	Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO
Illuminating Company and The Toledo)	
Edison Company for Authority to Provide)	
a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to R.C.)	
§ 4928.143 in the Form of an Electric)	
Security Plan.)	

MOTION TO INTERVENE BY THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") moves to intervene in this case where Ohio Edison Company, the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and the Toledo Edison Company (collectively, "Companies" or "FirstEnergy") proposes and Electric Security Plan ("ESP") that will affect the rates that FirstEnergy's residential customers pay for electric service. OCC is filing on behalf of all the 1.9 million residential utility customers of FirstEnergy. The reasons the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO") should grant OCC's Motion are further set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

¹ See R.C. Chapter 4911, R.C. 4903.221 and Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11.

Respectfully submitted,

BRUCE J. WESTON OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

/s/ Larry S. Sauer

Larry S. Sauer, Counsel of Record Kevin F. Moore Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 Telephone [Sauer]: (614) 466-1312 Telephone [Moore]: (614) 387-2965

<u>Larry.sauer@occ.ohio.gov</u> <u>Kevin.moore@occ.ohio.gov</u>

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio)	
Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric)	Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO
Illuminating Company and The Toledo)	
Edison Company for Authority to Provide)	
a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to R.C.)	
§ 4928.143 in the Form of an Electric)	
Security Plan.)	

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

On August 4, 2014, FirstEnergy filed its fourth ESP for charging a standard service offer to Ohio residential consumers and businesses under R.C. 4928.143. In its plan, FirstEnergy seeks among other things to establish a standard service offer and implement a number of riders which will be charged to residential customers for electric service.² OCC has authority under law to represent the interests of all the 1.9 million residential utility customers of FirstEnergy, pursuant to R.C. Chapter 4911.

R.C. 4903.221 provides, in part, that any person "who may be adversely affected" by a PUCO proceeding is entitled to seek intervention in that proceeding. The interests of Ohio's residential customers may be "adversely affected" by this case, especially if the customers were unrepresented in a proceeding which sets the rates that they pay for electric service. Thus, this element of the intervention standard in R.C. 4903.221 is satisfied.

R.C. 4903.221(B) requires the PUCO to consider the following criteria in ruling on motions to intervene:

² Application at Attachment 2 (August 4, 2014).

- (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor's interest;
- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;
- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceeding; and
- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

First, the nature and extent of OCC's interest is representing the residential customers of FirstEnergy in this case involving an ESP that will set rates residential customers pay for electric service. This interest is different than that of any other party and especially different than that of the utility whose advocacy includes the financial interest of stockholders.

Second, OCC's advocacy for residential customers will include advancing the position that such as "rates should be no more than what is reasonable and lawful under Ohio law, for service that is adequate under Ohio law". For example, OCC will analyze whether FirstEnergy's proposal is consistent with Ohio' state policy under R.C. 4928.02. OCC's position is therefore directly related to the merits of this case that is pending before the PUCO, the authority with regulatory control of public utilities' rates and service quality in Ohio.

Third, OCC's intervention will not unduly prolong or delay the proceedings.

OCC, with its longstanding expertise and experience in PUCO proceedings, will duly allow for the efficient processing of the case with consideration of the public interest.

Fourth, OCC's intervention will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues. OCC will obtain and develop information

that the PUCO should consider for equitably and lawfully deciding the case in the public interest

OCC also satisfies the intervention criteria in the Ohio Administrative Code (which are subordinate to the criteria that OCC satisfies in the Ohio Revised Code). To intervene, a party should have a "real and substantial interest" according to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(A)(2). As the advocate for residential utility customers, OCC has a very real and substantial interest in this case where FirstEnergy proposes rates to charge residential customers for electric service.

In addition, OCC meets the criteria of Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(1)-(4). These criteria mirror the statutory criteria in R.C. 4903.221(B) that OCC already has addressed and that OCC satisfies.

Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(5) states that the PUCO shall consider "The extent to which the person's interest is represented by existing parties." While OCC does not concede the lawfulness of this criterion, OCC satisfies this criterion in that it uniquely has been designated as the state representative of the interests of Ohio's residential utility customers. That interest is different from, and not represented by, any other entity in Ohio.

Moreover, the Supreme Court of Ohio confirmed OCC's right to intervene in PUCO proceedings, in deciding two appeals in which OCC claimed the PUCO erred by denying its interventions. The Court found that the PUCO abused its discretion in denying OCC's interventions and that OCC should have been granted intervention in both proceedings.³

3

³ See Ohio Consumers' Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm., 111 Ohio St.3d 384, 2006-Ohio-5853, ¶13-20.

OCC meets the criteria set forth in R.C. 4903.221, Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11, and the precedent established by the Supreme Court of Ohio for intervention. On behalf of Ohio residential customers, the PUCO should grant OCC's Motion to Intervene.

Respectfully submitted,

BRUCE J. WESTON OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

/s/ Larry S. Sauer
Larry S. Sauer, Counsel of Record
Kevin F. Moore
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 Telephone [Sauer]: (614) 466-1312

Telephone [Moore]: (614) 387-2965

<u>Larry.sauer@occ.ohio.gov</u> Kevin.moore@occ.ohio.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of this *Motion to Intervene* was served on the persons stated below *via* electronic transmission, this 14th day of August 2014.

/s/ Larry S. Sauer Larry S. Sauer

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

SERVICE LIST

William Wright
Attorney General's Office
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St., 6th Fl.
Columbus, OH 43215
William.wright@puc.state.oh.us

James W. Burk
Carrie M. Dunn
FirstEnergy Service Company
76 South Main Street
Akron, OH 44308
burkj@firstenergycorp.com
cdunn@firstenergycorp.com

Attorneys for FirstEnergy Service Company

David A. Kutik Jones Day 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114 dakutik@jonesday.com James F. Lang
N. Trevor Alexander
Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP
The Calfee Building
1405 East Sixth Street
Cleveland, OH 44114
jlang@calfee.com

talexander@calfee.com

Attorney for FirstEnergy Service Company

Attorneys for FirstEnergy Service Company

Michael L. Kurtz
Kurt J. Boehm
Jody Kyler Cohn
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Cincinnati, OH 45202
mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com
kboem@BKLlawfirm.com
jkylercohn@BKLlawfirm.com

Attorneys for Ohio Energy Group

Steven T. Nourse
Matthew J. Satterwhite
Yazen Alami
AEP Service Corporation
1 Riverside Plaza 29th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
stnourse@aep.com
mjsatterwhite@aep.com
yalami@aep.com

Attorneys for Ohio Power Company

Attorney Examiners:

Gregory.price@puc.state.oh.us Mandy.willey@puc.state.oh.us Samuel C. Randazzo
Frank P. Darr
Matthew R. Pritchard
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC
21 East State Street, 17th Fl.
Columbus, OH 43215
sam@mwncmh.com
fdarr@mwncmh.com
mpritchard@mwncmh.com

Attorneys for Industrial Energy Users-Ohio

Colleen L. Mooney Counsel of Record Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 231 West Lima Street Findlay, OH 45839-1793 cmooney@ohiopartners.org This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/14/2014 4:57:45 PM

in

Case No(s). 14-1297-EL-SSO

Summary: Motion Motion to Intervene by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel electronically filed by Ms. Deb J. Bingham on behalf of Sauer, Larry S.