

**BEFORE**

**THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of Duke	)	
Energy Ohio for Authority to Establish a	)	
Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section	)	
4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form of	)	Case No. 14-841-EL-SSO
an Electric Security Plan, Accounting	)	
Modifications and Tariffs for Generation	)	
Service.	)	
In the Matter of the Application of Duke	)	
Energy Ohio for Authority to Amend its	)	Case No. 14-842-EL-ATA
Certified Supplier Tariff, P.U.C.O. No. 20.	)	

---

**DUKE ENERGY OHIO'S MEMORANDUM CONTRA  
MOTION TO HOLD RULING IN ABEYANCE**

---

Pursuant to the provisions of O.A.C. 4901-1-12(B)(1) and the attorney examiner's schedule for these proceedings, Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., (Duke Energy Ohio or Company) hereby files its memorandum contra (Memorandum Contra) a Motion to Hold in Abeyance a Ruling on Duke's Motion for Protection (Motion to Hold in Abeyance), filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (Commission) on July 18, 2014, by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel (OCC).

Duke Energy Ohio respectfully submits that the Commission should deny the Motion.

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

OCC seeks, in the Motion to Hold in Abeyance, to stop the Commission from ruling on a Motion for Protective Order that OCC says was filed by the Company on May 20, 2014.<sup>1</sup> It is unclear whether the OCC filed its motion in the wrong case docket or whether it simply identified the wrong case. Duke Energy Ohio did not file its application in these proceedings, with a corresponding motion for a protective order, until May 29, 2014.

Proceeding on the assumption that OCC's error was only the filing date, the Motion to hold in Abeyance is an untimely, duplicative, and unnecessary motion. As such, it should be denied.

## **II. ARGUMENT**

### **The Motion is Untimely.**

Although much of the discussion in OCC's Motion to Hold in Abeyance actually relates to the dispute over terms of a confidentiality agreement, OCC's stated justification for this motion is to allow OCC to "assess whether the information constitutes a trade secret," "decipher whether non-disclosure of the materials will be consistent with the purposes of Title 49," and "weigh in on whether the confidential material can reasonably be redacted."<sup>2</sup> In summary, OCC asks the Commission not to rule on the Company's motion for a protective order until the confidential information is in OCC's hands and OCC has been afforded an opportunity to "comment" on the motion.

Unfortunately for OCC's argument, this request is made far beyond the time during which it had any merit. The Company's motion for a protective order was filed on

---

<sup>1</sup> Motion to Hold in Abeyance, pg. 1.

<sup>2</sup> Motion to Hold in Abeyance, pg. 4.

May 29, 2014. Under the requirements of O.A.C. 4901-1-12(B)(1),<sup>3</sup> OCC's right to oppose that motion expired on June 13, 2014. If OCC needed access to the confidential information in order to file such opposition, it could have sought an abeyance and extension at that time. Waiting until a month later to do so would make a mockery of the Commission's procedural rules.

**The Motion is Duplicative.**

OCC uses its Motion to Hold in Abeyance as a vehicle by which it can, again, press its argument concerning the disputed confidentiality agreement. In footnote 2, for example, OCC attempts to mislead the Commission into believing that Duke Energy Ohio is at fault for not having agreed to sign OCC's unrequested draft of a confidentiality agreement. OCC has made this argument before.

- On June 18, 2014, OCC filed a memorandum "contra" a motion by Ohio Energy Group to establish a protective agreement. In that document, OCC recited its version of the events that had transpired to that date, with regard to negotiating terms of an agreement. It said that "Duke has now declined to sign [OCC's draft] agreement."<sup>4</sup> Of course, OCC did not mention, in this sentence, that it had declined to sign the Company's draft.
- On July 14, 2014, OCC filed a memorandum contra the Company's (second) motion for a protective order. This time, OCC described the situation by saying that "now after a month of negotiations, Duke continues to insist on reinventing the wheel, and . . . seeks to force OCC to

---

<sup>3</sup> As of the relevant date, the attorney examiner had not yet issued an entry establishing case-specific deadlines.

<sup>4</sup> Memorandum Contra OEG's Motion to Establish Protective Agreement by Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel, pg. 2.

execute its proposed confidentiality agreement, albeit with some limited revisions that have been made during negotiations.”<sup>5</sup> And, as the Commission has seen, OCC continues to insist on signing only an agreement that the Company has found to be unsatisfactory. And, as the Commission has also seen, the Company’s revisions were hardly “limited.”

- On July 17, 2014, OCC (together with a small number of other parties) filed a memorandum contra the Company’s reply to their motion to reject the entire filing. Therein, OCC asserted that “[i]t is Duke which [*sic*] has been unwilling to move off of its unreasonable demands and positions . . .”<sup>6</sup> The Company has unarguably been more than willing to compromise and negotiate as to terms of the agreement.
- On July 18, 2014, OCC redoubled its efforts by filing both the Motion to Hold in Abeyance and, also, a motion to compel responses to discovery. The latter, almost entirely focused on the confidentiality agreement dispute, again insinuates that Duke Energy Ohio is at fault: “Duke has been unwilling to sign the protective agreement that OCC presented” and “Negotiations . . . dragged on for nearly a month and were unsuccessful.”<sup>7</sup> But OCC does not explain that it is the only party that refused to move away from its initial position.

---

<sup>5</sup> Memorandum Contra Duke Energy Ohio’s Motion for Protective order by the Office of the Ohio Consumers’ Counsel, pp. 3-4.

<sup>6</sup> Joint Reply to Duke Energy Ohio’s Memorandum Contra by the Kroger Company, Ohio Manufacturers’ Association, Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy, and the Office of the Ohio Consumers’ Counsel, pg. 9.

<sup>7</sup> Motion to Compel Responses to Discovery by the Office of the Ohio Consumers’ Counsel, pg. 2.

It is entirely unnecessary for OCC to make its arguments over and over again. These duplicative filings cause extra work for the Commission, its Staff, and the Examiner. A motion to strike the filing at issue is tempting, but for the undue burden that would result from another round of motion practice.

**The Motion is Unnecessary.**

In addition to being late and a duplicative waste of time, the Motion to Hold in Abeyance is entirely unnecessary. The Commission is certainly aware of the ongoing dispute and the fact that OCC has not yet had access to the confidential information that was the subject of the (first) motion for a protective order. The Commission is more than capable of concluding that the issue may not be ripe at this time.

The Commission acts – or does not act – based on its own evaluation of circumstances. No motion from a party is needed and, indeed, no motion from a party can compel the Commission to act or not act. OCC appears to view the situation differently. In reciting the background of the dispute over the confidentiality agreement, OCC described the situation as follows:

Recently, OCC filed a Motion to Compel the PUCO to order Duke to enter into a protective agreement . . .<sup>8</sup>

Indisputably, a motion to compel is a motion asking the PUCO to compel a party to do something. It is most definitely not a motion seeking to compel the PUCO to act or not act in a particular way.

OCC should simply allow the Commission to handle this case appropriately, without burdening it with yet another motion to address.

---


<sup>8</sup> Motion to Hold in Abeyance, pg. 2 (emphasis added).

### III. CONCLUSION

Duke Energy Ohio respectfully submits that the Commission should deny the Motion to Hold in Abeyance.

Respectfully submitted,

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Amy B. Spiller", is written over a horizontal line.

Amy B. Spiller (Counsel of Record)

Deputy General Counsel

Rocco O. D'Ascenzo

Associate General Counsel

Jeanne W. Kingery

Associate General Counsel

Elizabeth H. Watts

Associate General Counsel

139 E. Fourth Street, 1303-Main

P.O. Box 961

Cincinnati, Ohio 45201-0960

(513) 287-4359 (telephone)

(513) 287-4385 (facsimile)

Amy.Spiller@duke-energy.com (e-mail)

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing was delivered by U.S. mail (postage prepaid), personal, or electronic mail, on this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of July, 2014, to the parties listed below.

  
Jeanne W. Kingery

Steven Beeler  
Thomas Lindgren  
Ryan O'Rourke  
Assistant Attorneys General  
Public Utilities Section  
180 East Broad St., 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
[Steven.beeler@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Steven.beeler@puc.state.oh.us)  
[Thomas.lindgren@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Thomas.lindgren@puc.state.oh.us)  
[Ryan.orourke@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Ryan.orourke@puc.state.oh.us)

**Counsel for Staff of the Commission**

Kevin R. Schmidt  
88 East Broad Street, Suite 1770  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
[schmidt@sppgrp.com](mailto:schmidt@sppgrp.com)

**Counsel for the Energy Professionals  
of Ohio**

David F. Boehm  
Michael L. Kurtz  
Jody M. Kyler Cohn  
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry  
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202  
[dboehm@BKLawfirm.com](mailto:dboehm@BKLawfirm.com)  
[mkurtz@BKLawfirm.com](mailto:mkurtz@BKLawfirm.com)  
[jkylercohn@BKLawfirm.com](mailto:jkylercohn@BKLawfirm.com)

**Counsel for the Ohio Energy Group**

Mark A. Hayden  
Jacob A. McDermott  
Scott J. Casto  
FirstEnergy Service Company  
76 South Main Street  
Akron, Ohio 44308  
[haydenm@firstenergycorp.com](mailto:haydenm@firstenergycorp.com)  
[jmcdermott@firstenergycorp.com](mailto:jmcdermott@firstenergycorp.com)  
[scasto@firstenergycorp.com](mailto:scasto@firstenergycorp.com)

**Counsel for FirstEnergy Solutions  
Corp.**

Maureen R. Grady  
Joseph P. Serio  
Edmund "Tad" Berger  
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel  
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485  
[Maureen.grady@occ.ohio.gov](mailto:Maureen.grady@occ.ohio.gov)  
[Joseph.serio@occ.ohio.gov](mailto:Joseph.serio@occ.ohio.gov)  
[Edmund.berger@occ.ohio.gov](mailto:Edmund.berger@occ.ohio.gov)

**Counsel for the Ohio Consumers'  
Counsel**

Kimberly W. Bojko  
Mallory M. Mohler  
Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP  
280 Plaza, Suite 1300  
280 North High Street  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
[Bojko@carpenterlipps.com](mailto:Bojko@carpenterlipps.com)  
[Mohler@carpenterlipps.com](mailto:Mohler@carpenterlipps.com)

**Counsel for the Ohio Manufacturers'  
Association**

Joseph M. Clark  
Direct Energy  
21 East State Street, 19<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
[joseph.clark@directenergy.com](mailto:joseph.clark@directenergy.com)

**Counsel for Direct Energy Services,  
LLC and Direct Energy Business, LLC**

Judi L. Sobecki  
The Dayton Power and Light Company  
1065 Woodman Drive  
Dayton, Ohio 45432  
[Judi.sobecki@aes.com](mailto:Judi.sobecki@aes.com)

**Counsel for The Dayton Power and  
Light Company**

Joseph Oliker  
Matthew White  
6100 Emerald Parkway  
Dublin, Ohio 43016  
[joliker@igsenergy.com](mailto:joliker@igsenergy.com)  
[mswhite@igsenergy.com](mailto:mswhite@igsenergy.com)

**Counsel for Interstate Gas Supply, Inc.**

Gerit F. Hull  
Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellot, LLC  
1717 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Washington, DC 20006  
[ghull@eckertseamans.com](mailto:ghull@eckertseamans.com)

**Counsel for Direct Energy Services,  
LLC and Direct Energy Business, LLC**



Samuel C. Randazzo  
Frank P. Darr  
Matthew R. Pritchard  
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC  
21 East State Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
[sam@mwncmh.com](mailto:sam@mwncmh.com)  
[fdarr@mwncmh.com](mailto:fdarr@mwncmh.com)  
[mpritchard@mwncmh.com](mailto:mpritchard@mwncmh.com)

**Counsel for Industrial Energy Users-  
Ohio**

Trent Dougherty  
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201  
Columbus, Ohio 43212-3449  
[tdougherty@theOEC.org](mailto:tdougherty@theOEC.org)

**Counsel for the Ohio Environmental  
Council**

Andrew J. Sonderman  
Margeaux Kimbrough  
Kegler Brown Hill & Ritter LPA  
Capitol Square, Suite 1800  
65 East State Street  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4294  
[asonderman@keglerbrown.com](mailto:asonderman@keglerbrown.com)  
[mkimbrough@keglerbrown.com](mailto:mkimbrough@keglerbrown.com)

**Counsel for People Working  
Cooperatively, Inc.**

Colleen L. Mooney  
Cathryn N. Loucas  
Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy  
231 West Lima Street  
Findlay, Ohio 45839-1793  
[cmooney@ohiopartners.org](mailto:cmooney@ohiopartners.org)  
[cloucas@ohiopartners.org](mailto:cloucas@ohiopartners.org)

**Counsel for Ohio Partners for  
Affordable Energy**

Steven T. Nourse  
Matthew J. Satterwhite  
Yazen Alami  
American Electric Power Service  
Corporation  
1 Riverside Plaza 29<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
[stnourse@aep.com](mailto:stnourse@aep.com)  
[mjsatterwhite@aep.com](mailto:mjsatterwhite@aep.com)  
[yalami@aep.com](mailto:yalami@aep.com)

**Counsel for Ohio Power Company**

Christopher J. Allwein  
Todd M. Williams  
Williams Allwein and Moser, LLC  
1500 West Third Avenue, Suite 330  
Columbus, Ohio 43212  
[callwein@wamenergylaw.com](mailto:callwein@wamenergylaw.com)  
[toddm@wamenergylaw.com](mailto:toddm@wamenergylaw.com)

**Counsel for the Sierra Club**

Rebecca L. Hussey  
Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP  
280 Plaza, Suite 1300  
280 North High Street  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
[Hussey@carpenterlipps.com](mailto:Hussey@carpenterlipps.com)

Douglas E. Hart  
441 Vine Street  
Suite 4192  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202  
[dhart@douglasshart.com](mailto:dhart@douglasshart.com)

**Counsel for The Kroger Company**

**Counsel for The Greater Cincinnati Health Council**

M. Howard Petricoff  
Michael J. Settineri  
Gretchen L. Petrucci  
Vorys, Sater, Seymour, and Pease, LLP  
52 East Gay Street  
P.O.Box 1008  
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008  
[mhpetricoff@vorys.com](mailto:mhpetricoff@vorys.com)  
[mjsettineri@vorys.com](mailto:mjsettineri@vorys.com)  
[glpetrucci@vorys.com](mailto:glpetrucci@vorys.com)

Cynthia Fonner Brady  
Exelon Business Services Company  
4300 Winfield Road  
Warrenville, Illinois 60555  
[Cynthia.brady@constellation.com](mailto:Cynthia.brady@constellation.com)

**Counsel for Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. and Exelon Generation Company, LLC**

**For Constellation NewEnergy, Inc.**

David I. Fein  
Vice President, State Government  
Affairs - East  
Exelon Corporation  
10 South Dearborn Street, 47<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Chicago, Illinois 60603  
[David.fein@exeloncorp.com](mailto:David.fein@exeloncorp.com)

Lael Campbell  
Exelon  
101 Constitution Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 2001  
[Lael.Campbell@constellation.com](mailto:Lael.Campbell@constellation.com)

**For Exelon Corporation**

**For Constellation NewEnergy, Inc.**

M. Howard Petricoff  
Special Assistant Attorney General  
Vorys, Sater, Seymour, and Pease, LLP  
52 East Gay Street  
P.O. Box 1008  
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008  
[mhpetricoff@vorys.com](mailto:mhpetricoff@vorys.com)

**Counsel for Miami University and the  
University of Cincinnati**

Nicholas McDaniel  
Environmental Law & Policy Center  
1207 Grandview Ave., Suite 201  
Columbus, Ohio 43212  
[NMcDaniel@elpc.org](mailto:NMcDaniel@elpc.org)

**Counsel for the Environmental Law &  
Policy Center**

Samantha Williams  
Natural Resources Defense Council  
20 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 1600  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
[swilliams@nrdc.org](mailto:swilliams@nrdc.org)

**Counsel for the Natural Resources  
Defense Council**

M. Howard Petricoff  
Michael J. Settineri  
Gretchen L. Petrucci  
Vorys, Sater, Seymour, and Pease, LLP  
52 East Gay Street  
P.O.Box 1008  
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008  
[mhpetricoff@vorys.com](mailto:mhpetricoff@vorys.com)  
[mjsettineri@vorys.com](mailto:mjsettineri@vorys.com)  
[glpetrucci@vorys.com](mailto:glpetrucci@vorys.com)

**Counsel for the Retail Energy Supply  
Association**

Gregory J. Poulos  
EnerNOC, Inc.  
471 E. Broad Street, Suite 1520  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
[gpoulos@enernoc.com](mailto:gpoulos@enernoc.com)

**Counsel for EnerNOC, Inc.**

Thomas J. O'Brien  
Bricker & Eckler LLP  
100 South Third Street  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291  
[tobrien@bricker.com](mailto:tobrien@bricker.com)

**Counsel for the City of Cincinnati**

Rick D. Chamberlain  
Behrens, Wheeler, & Chamberlain  
6 N.E. 63rd Street, Suite 400  
Oklahoma City, OK 73105  
[rchamberlain@okenergylaw.com](mailto:rchamberlain@okenergylaw.com)

Donald L. Mason  
Michael R. Traven  
Roetzel & Andress, LPA  
155 E. Broad Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
[dmason@ralaw.com](mailto:dmason@ralaw.com)  
[mtraven@ralaw.com](mailto:mtraven@ralaw.com)

**Counsel for Wal-Mart Stores East, LP  
and Sam's East, Inc.**

**Counsel for Wal-Mart Stores East, LP  
and Sam's East, Inc.**

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities**

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**7/23/2014 12:52:17 PM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 14-0841-EL-SSO, 14-0842-EL-ATA**

Summary: Memorandum Duke Energy Ohio's Memorandum Contra Motion to Hold Ruling in Abeyance electronically filed by Carys Cochern on behalf of Kingery, Jeanne W Ms.