

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of the :
Application of Warren :
Steel Holdings, LLC for : Case No. 14-1009-EL-AEC
Approval of a Reasonable :
Arrangement :

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

Before Jay S. Agranoff, Attorney Examiner, at the
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad
Street, Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:00
a.m. on Wednesday, July 3, 2014.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4620
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

- - -

APPEARANCES:

Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
By Michael L. Kurtz, Esq.
1510 URS Center
36 East 7th Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

On behalf of Warren Steel Holdings,
LLC.

FirstEnergy
By James W. Burk, Esq.
Managing Counsel
76 South Main Street
Akron, Ohio 44308

On behalf of Ohio Edison Company.

Michael DeWine, Ohio Attorney General
By Thomas W. McNamee, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215

On behalf of the Staff of the PUCO.

- - -

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

INDEX

- - -

WITNESSES:

PAGE

MICHAEL A. SALAMON

Direct Examination by Mr. Kurtz 7

Cross-Examination by Mr. McNamee 12

Examination by the Attorney Examiner 22

Recross-Examination by Mr. McNamee 23

- - -

WARREN STEEL EXHIBITS

ID'D REC'D

1 - Application and Request for 14 25
Expedited Treatment

- - -

JOINT EXHIBITS

1 - Joint Stipulation and 14 25
Recommendation

- - -

STAFF EXHIBITS

1 - Letter from Mr. McNamee in regard 16 25
to the Joint Stipulation

- - -

Wednesday Morning Session,
July 3, 2014.

- - -

ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: Let's go on
the record at this time.

The PUCO has assigned for hearing at
this time and place Case No. 14-10009-EL-AEC, being
In the Matter of the Application of Warren Steel
Holdings, LLC for Approval of a Unique Arrangement
for its Trumbull County, Ohio facility.

My name is Jay Agranoff, and I am the
Attorney Examiner assigned to hear this particular
case.

At this point in time, I will take the
appearances on behalf of the parties.

On behalf of Warren Steel.

MR. KURTZ: Good Morning, your Honor.
For Warren Steel, Mike Kurtz, Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry,
1510 URS Center, Cincinnati, Ohio.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: Thank you.

On behalf of Ohio Edison.

MR. BURK: On behalf of Ohio Edison
Company, James W. Burk, 76 South Main Street, Akron,
Ohio.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: Thank you.

1 And on behalf of the Staff of the Public
2 Utilities Commission.

3 MR. McNAMEE: On behalf of the Staff of
4 the Public Utilities Commission, I'm Thomas W.
5 McNamee, Assistant Attorney General, trial counsel in
6 this case. The address is 180 East Broad Street,
7 Columbus, Ohio.

8 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: Thank you.

9 At this point in time, I believe that we
10 have before us a Joint Stipulation and Recommendation
11 for the Commission's consideration.

12 Is that correct, Counsel?

13 MR. KURTZ: Yes, your Honor.

14 MR. McNAMEE: Yes, your Honor.

15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: Also, I
16 would note that the proposed Joint Stipulation is
17 entered on behalf of both Warren Steel and Staff of
18 the Commission and that First -- and that Ohio Edison
19 is a not a signatory party to that particular
20 Stipulation. Is that correct?

21 MR. BURK: Yes, that's correct, your
22 Honor, but I would state for the record that Ohio
23 Edison Company does not oppose the Commission's
24 adoption and approval of the Stipulation as filed and
25 that we'll work with Warren Steel Holdings in

1 finalized the contract with them.

2 I would ask the Commission to reflect in
3 their Order that the customer will do utility
4 consolidated billing, as we need that in order to
5 make some of the calculations for a rate discount
6 under the agreement. Thank you.

7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: Thank you.

8 Before we actually proceed with the
9 witness who will be sponsoring the Joint Stipulation,
10 I would let the record reflect that currently the one
11 other pending matter that I believe that is still in
12 need of a ruling is a motion for a protective order
13 that was filed by Warren Steel on June 5th, and if
14 there are not any objections on behalf of the other
15 parties in this proceeding, I would go ahead and
16 grant that particular motion.

17 Are there any objections?

18 MR. BURK: No objections.

19 MR. McNAMEE: No objection from Staff.

20 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: There being
21 none, let the record reflect that the motion for
22 protective order is granted and the information
23 identified within that protective order shall remain
24 under seal.

25 Is there anything else that counsel

1 needs to bring before me?

2 MR. BURK: No, your Honor.

3 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: Okay. If
4 not, at this point in time, Mr. Kurtz, if you would
5 like to proceed.

6 MR. KURTZ: Thank you, your Honor.

7 Mr. Salamon, will you identify your name
8 and business address for the record, please.

9 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: We need to
10 first swear the witness in, please.

11 MR. KURTZ: Sorry.

12 MICHAEL A. SALAMON,
13 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
14 examined and testified as follows:

15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: Please be
16 seated.

17 Now proceed.

18 MR. KURTZ: Sorry. Thank you.

19 DIRECT EXAMINATION

20 BY MR. KURTZ:

21 Q. Now will you identify your name and
22 business address for the record.

23 A. Michael Salamon. I'm at 4000 Mahoning
24 Avenue in Trumbull County, Ohio.

25 Q. What's your position?

1 A. I'm the President and Chief Operating
2 Officer of Optima Specialty Steel, with oversight
3 responsibility for Warren Steel Holdings.

4 Q. Very briefly, could you describe your
5 work history for the record, please?

6 A. Sure. I have been in the steel business
7 for 27 years. I started out with Cargill,
8 Incorporated, and I spent 18 -- almost 18 years there
9 in North -- with North Star Steel, and then followed
10 to Niagara LaSalle Corporation, who subsequently was
11 bought by Optima Specialty Steel.

12 Q. The Warren Steel facility has been idle
13 since mid-March; is that correct?

14 A. The Warren Steel facility has been idle
15 since March 24th of this year, 2014.

16 Q. What have you or the -- what has Warren
17 Steel been doing during the period of time when the
18 facility has been shut down?

19 A. The company has been diligent in working
20 on a business plan to restart the facility just as
21 quickly as possible based on an opportunity to reduce
22 our costs, to improve our practices, to improve the
23 capital expenditures or improve the capital that
24 we've employed, as well as improve the -- the work of
25 the employees.

1 Q. I should have asked you this first,
2 there's an affidavit signed by you attached to the
3 Joint Application; is that correct?

4 A. That is correct.

5 Q. Is everything in that affidavit still
6 accurate, as far as you know?

7 A. Yes, it is.

8 Q. Okay. Warren Steel has asked the
9 Commission for a ruling, an expedited ruling in July.
10 Why is that important for Warren Steel?

11 A. We've maintained the employment of
12 the -- of workers through this shutdown, so we have
13 not laid anybody off, and of course the Company has
14 bear that cost all the way through, and we can no
15 longer, you know, continue to bear that cost without
16 a future and without understanding what the future
17 might be. The electrical rate is a very important
18 part of that and an important part of us being
19 competitive in a global market.

20 We also have customers that are -- three
21 main customers that have shared with us that they
22 will place orders on with us, but they need those
23 orders and they need them very quickly; so the timing
24 on this is critical for us to maintain that. Without
25 those customers, two in particular, the future of the

1 Company and the future of the employees is at
2 jeopardy, not -- not just short term, but long term.

3 Q. Are those two customers Ohio-based
4 customers?

5 A. Those are -- the two of those customers
6 are Ohio-based customers, each of them with
7 manufacturing facilities in Ohio, and the -- one of
8 those customers would bring us business from another
9 state. The other would actually be redirecting those
10 tons to us from outside the United States.

11 Q. And what line of the steel business are
12 those customers in?

13 A. They're in the tubular products
14 production.

15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: For?

16 THE WITNESS: For Oil Country Tubular
17 Goods.

18 Going into the Marcellus and Utica
19 Shale -- their products are focused on the Marcellus
20 and Utica Shale here in -- in this part of the
21 country.

22 Q. Assuming that the Commission approves
23 this Joint Stipulation and the Application in the
24 mid-July time frame, what is Warren Steel's business
25 plan to reopen?

1 A. Yeah. We need about 15 or 16 days to --
2 from the time that we can say go to physically
3 restart the operation, to reenergize the gas lines,
4 to make sure all the electrical things are in place,
5 to -- to open up and turn on the power for the
6 furnace and make steel; so we have to get scrap in.
7 We have to get consumables in place as quickly as
8 possible.

9 Q. Do you have a target restart date?

10 A. We're targeting August 1st as a restart.

11 Q. Okay.

12 Your Honor, I believe -- thank you for
13 the opportunity to ask some direct questions, and
14 I'll turn the witness over to the other parties.

15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: No problem.
16 I do have one clarifying question. I believe, sir,
17 at one point you indicated that there were three
18 customers, and then after some questioning, you were
19 talking about two customers. Are there three or two?

20 THE WITNESS: Me?

21 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: Yes.

22 THE WITNESS: Okay. There are three
23 primary customers. One is an internal Optima
24 Specialty Steel customer. The other two are external
25 customers here, located in northeast Ohio or in Ohio.

1 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: Okay.

2 Thank you.

3 Mr. Burk?

4 MR. BURK: I have no cross-examination,
5 your Honor. Thank you.

6 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: Mr.
7 McNamee.

8 MR. McNAMEE: I have a few questions.
9 Thank you, your Honor.

10 CROSS-EXAMINATION

11 BY MR. McNAMEE:

12 Q. Mr. Salamon, you were involved in the
13 negotiations that led to the Stipulation that's been
14 filed -- that's been marked in this case; right?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Okay. Obviously, from your direct
17 examination, you're a knowledgeable and capable
18 individual in the steel industry. Do you agree with
19 me?

20 A. I do agree.

21 Q. I thought you would. You worked with
22 the Staff of the Commission in the process of coming
23 to the Stipulation as well, did you not?

24 A. That is correct.

25 Q. Okay. Did you find the Staff to be

1 knowledgeable and capable in this regard?

2 A. I did.

3 Q. Good. You worked with the Company as
4 well?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And it seems fairly self-evident to me,
7 and maybe it is to you as well, the Company is
8 capable and knowledgeable?

9 A. Correct.

10 Q. Did you work with other people in the
11 process -- did you talk with other groups in the
12 process of coming to this agreement?

13 A. We did. Prior to the -- prior to the
14 actual shutdown and the request as well as after, we
15 worked with Ohio Consumers' Counsel, Ohio
16 Manufacturers' Association. We've contacted the Ohio
17 Energy Group, JobsOhio, and the Warren and Youngstown
18 Regional Chamber of Commerce, political leaders, as
19 well as the Governor Kasich's office.

20 Q. Okay. Would it be your opinion that the
21 parties that you worked with are knowledgeable and
22 capable in these regards?

23 A. Yes, I do.

24 Q. Okay. And would you say that there was
25 serious bargaining that led to the Stipulation that's

1 been marked in this case?

2 A. Yes, there was.

3 MR. McNAMEE: It was marked, wasn't it?

4 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, I don't think I
5 asked you to mark the Stipulation. Could we have it
6 marked as Joint Exhibit 1?

7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: The exhibit
8 shall be so marked.

9 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

10 MR. KURTZ: Thank you. I'm sorry.

11 (Discussion off the record.)

12 MR. McNAMEE: In addition, we should
13 probably mark the Joint Stipulation -- or, I'm sorry,
14 the Application.

15 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, could we have
16 the Application marked as Warren Exhibit 1?

17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: It shall be
18 so marked.

19 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

20 MR. KURTZ: Thank you.

21 BY MR. McNAMEE:

22 Q. Mr. Salamon, can you tell us what Joint
23 Exhibit 1 here -- it's marked Joint Stipulation and
24 Recommendation. Are you familiar with that document?

25 A. I am.

1 Q. Okay. And is that the agreement that
2 was entered into between the Company and Staff?

3 A. That is correct.

4 Q. You have in front of you what's been
5 marked for identification as Warren Exhibit 1.
6 That's the Application; right?

7 A. That is correct.

8 Q. That's the Company's Application in this
9 case?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And it's true, to the best of your
12 knowledge?

13 A. Yes, it is.

14 Q. Okay. Good. Keep those in mind.

15 I don't believe I mentioned on the
16 record, your Honor, that I mistakenly neglected to
17 sign the Stipulation, but the Staff does endorse this
18 document. I was in such a hurry to file it,
19 believing it to be such a good deal, that I neglected
20 to sign the document itself, although I signed the
21 Certificate of Service; so I'm sorry about that, but
22 the Staff does endorse the document fully.

23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: All right.
24 Does Staff intend to file a --

25 MR. McNAMEE: You want a letter? I can

1 certainly do that.

2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: Just to
3 have the record properly reflect that.

4 MR. McNAMEE: I can certainly do that.
5 That will be filed 15 minutes after this hearing is
6 over.

7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: Would you
8 like to have that marked as an exhibit as well?

9 MR. McNAMEE: Sure.

10 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: We will
11 have that marked as Staff Exhibit 1.

12 BY MR. McNAMEE:

13 Q. Would you say we worked hard to work out
14 the details of this agreement, to reach a point where
15 the Company could agree and the Staff could agree and
16 the Company did not oppose?

17 A. That is correct.

18 Q. Good. The next question that I would
19 have, is it your view that this Stipulation benefits
20 ratepayers and the public generally in Ohio?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Could you tell me why you believe that
23 to be?

24 A. Well, restarting this facility will add
25 180 direct labor to the facility, along with 66

1 full-time contractors, giving us about -- an
2 equivalent of about 309, full-time equivalent
3 employees to the facility.

4 We did an independent economic survey.
5 Those numbers came out to be 1,128 jobs to the
6 region, giving us \$53.2 million in compensation to
7 the region and about \$4.3 million in tax to the State
8 of Ohio.

9 The impact is not only there, but it's
10 also as we intend to grow. Today we produce about
11 240,000 tons. The long-term plans are to get to
12 over -- or just about one million tons, and of course
13 those numbers go up from there.

14 The total employed number is expected to
15 hit 374, and the impact number will rise to 1,466
16 direct, indirect and -- number of regional employees,
17 \$62.9 million in compensation revenue and \$5.5
18 million in revenue to -- again, to the State of Ohio.

19 The tons that are brought to Ohio and
20 northeast Ohio will come from outside of Ohio and
21 outside of the United States, so we're growing the
22 steel business in our region. These are high-paying,
23 technical jobs that have a long-term effect on the
24 families and the people that can attach to good
25 things.

1 We spent, in 2013, about \$81 million on
2 goods and services in Ohio, on Ohio vendors. As we
3 grow, we can expect this to grow as well, and the
4 overall business plan would be to continue capital
5 investments, not only in Year One, where we would
6 spend \$10 million in the first 12 months, we will
7 spend another \$33 million over the next three, four
8 years in capital investments to grow the business.

9 Q. Okay. As a result of the operation of
10 this business, there will be increased tax receipts
11 for various governmental entities in the region and
12 across the state?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Okay. Good. Let's see. Next topic.
15 You'd agree with me, wouldn't you, that the steel
16 industry is globally competitive?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Okay. The approval of this Stipulation
19 will help Warren to begin to operate the plant again
20 and, therefore, increase steel production in the
21 State of Ohio?

22 A. That is correct.

23 Q. Okay. Aiding the production of steel in
24 Ohio will help Ohio to become more effective in the
25 global competition in the steel industry, will it

1 not?

2 A. Correct. As I stated earlier, the --
3 one major -- well, one of the three major customers
4 will actually divert purchases today from offshore,
5 either in Europe or South America, and those will
6 come directly to the Warren Steel Holdings in Warren,
7 Ohio.

8 Q. Okay. So Ohio will become more globally
9 effective in this industry by virtue of this --

10 A. That is correct.

11 Q. -- approval of this Stipulation?

12 A. That is correct.

13 Q. Okay. Good. Turning to the Stipulation
14 itself, it is the intent, is it not, that the utility
15 consolidated billing that Mr. Burk referred to
16 earlier, that will be the way this operates; is that
17 right?

18 A. That is correct.

19 Q. Okay. The document uses the term
20 "all-in rate," and that means what?

21 A. I would point to Page 9 of the Joint
22 Stipulation and Recommendation, Section B, Paragraph
23 3, "The amount of the Rate Discount will be
24 determined on a monthly basis by first subtracting
25 the target price for a given year of the Reasonable

Arrangement term from the monthly 'all-in rate'" -- the consumer -- "would otherwise pay for electric generation, transmission, and distribution service, including all applicable riders (excluding the self-assessed state kilowatt hour tax)."

Q. So the all-in rate, then, is the rate that the Company would have been paying but for the existence of this -- the contract that would come from approval --

A. That is correct.

Q. -- of the Stipulation? Okay.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: And how will that actually be determined?

THE WITNESS: We will -- we will use a CRES provider for our purchase of energy and electricity, and the price that we pay the CRES provider offset by the Reasonable Arrangement amount down to, in the first year, 50 -- \$50 a megawatt hour.

BY MR. McNAMEE:

Q. And those other components would be determined by FirstEnergy?

A. Correct, in the consolidated billing, right.

Q. So you'd have all of this information

1 essentially in one bill, in one document?

2 A. That's right.

3 Q. And that would allow the calculation to
4 be --

5 A. To be offset, right.

6 Q. Okay. Good. One last thing, the -- it
7 would be disadvantageous for Warren Steel to be on
8 the SSO rate today. The Company's intention is that
9 it will have a CRES provider in place at the time
10 that steel production operations begin immediately.
11 There will be no lapse; right?

12 A. That is our intention, and we've entered
13 into an agreement with a CRES provider to take us to
14 auction as quickly as possible here, to reach that
15 contract.

16 Q. You would intend to have a CRES provider
17 in place, ready to supply power, without any delay as
18 of August 1?

19 A. By August 1st, correct.

20 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: Mr.
21 McNamee, just for purposes of clarifying the record,
22 you used the acronym SSO, if you could just --

23 MR. McNAMEE: Standard Service Offer,
24 the rate established through the Electric Security
25 Plan for Ohio Edison.

1 That is all the questions that I have.

2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: Okay.

3 Thank you.

4 EXAMINATION

5 BY ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF:

6 Q. I do have a couple just quick follow-up
7 questions. One of the components that Mr. McNamee
8 asked, for the purposes of deeming a Stipulation to
9 be reasonable is as to whether or not the settlement
10 violates any important regulatory principles or
11 practices, and from your perspective -- I understand
12 that you may not be the expert per se on that
13 particular subject, but from your perspective, does
14 this proposed Stipulation violate any of those
15 regulatory principles or practices?

16 A. I'm not aware that they do.

17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: Mr.
18 McNamee, do you have --

19 MR. MCNAMEE: Further, I would suggest,
20 your Honor, that one of the state policies is to
21 further the effectiveness of the -- the economic
22 effectiveness of the State of Ohio in a global
23 economy, and I believe the witness has indicated that
24 it would, in fact, further that specific goal that's
25 established by statute.

1 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: Thank you.

2 BY ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF:

3 Q. And then one other quick follow-up
4 question, Mr. McNamee was having a conversation with
5 you regarding the applicability of the SSO pricing,
6 and just so that the record is clear, from your
7 perspective, relative to the competitive shopping
8 commitment in the Stipulation, would then Warren
9 Steel ever have the opportunity to revert back to the
10 SSO pricing?

11 A. I think, yes, it would, if we could not
12 purchase the -- at a competitive rate on the open
13 market.

14 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: Based on my
15 questions, does counsel have any follow-up or
16 clarification?

17 MR. McNAMEE: I do.

18 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: Mr.
19 McNamee.

20 RECROSS-EXAMINATION

21 BY MR. McNAMEE:

22 Q. The only situation where the Company
23 would revert to the SSO rate would be, I assume, when
24 the SSO rate would be below the -- a rate that could
25 be achieved through the market?

1 A. That's correct.

2 Q. Okay. Under that situation, reverting
3 to the SSO would have the effect, would it not, of
4 reducing the delta that would be calculated at that
5 time, wouldn't it?

6 A. Correct. I mean, our -- our objective
7 is to buy power on the open market or at the SSO at
8 the cheapest rate possible, so that the impact
9 that -- the delta revenue remain as low as possible
10 so that the total six years wouldn't run out.

11 Q. Okay. All right. That's enough. Thank
12 you.

13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: Any
14 additional questions, Counsel?

15 MR. BURK: No questions, your Honor.

16 MR. KURTZ: No questions, your Honor.

17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: At this
18 point in time, it appears that we have Staff Exhibit
19 1, Warren Exhibit 1, and Joint Exhibit 1. Would the
20 respective counsel like to move the admission of the
21 aforementioned exhibits?

22 MR. KURTZ: Thank you, your Honor.
23 Warren Steel would move the admission of Joint
24 Exhibit 1 as well as Warren Steel Exhibit 1.

25 MR. McNAMEE: And I would ask the Court

1 to -- strike that -- your Honor to admit the letter
2 that I haven't produced yet as Staff Exhibit 1,
3 indicating that I meant to sign but mistaken failed
4 to sign the Joint Stipulation.

5 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: All right.
6 That's not a problem, as long as it does end up
7 ultimately in the file sometime today in the record.

8 MR. McNAMEE: It will intend, just as
9 soon as it can be typed up.

10 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: Based on
11 there being no objections, Warren Exhibit 1, Joint
12 Exhibit 1, and Staff Exhibit 1 shall be admitted as
13 part of the record at this time.

14 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: And the
16 only other issue that I have that needs to be
17 discussed is the actual obtaining of the transcript
18 in order for us to move forward and get this before
19 the Commission.

20 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, we've requested
21 expedited treatment, and the court reporter has
22 indicated that either today or the latest tomorrow
23 morning, which, of course, is a holiday, but the
24 transcript will be provided; so it will certainly be
25 here beginning Monday, opening of business Monday,

1 but possibly as early as this afternoon.

2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: And I
3 assume that no party is seeking the opportunity to
4 file a brief in this matter?

5 MR. McNAMEE: No.

6 MR. BURK: No, your Honor.

7 MR. KURTZ: No, your Honor.

8 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: Is there
9 anything else that needs to be discussed at this
10 point in time?

11 MR. KURTZ: No.

12 MR. McNAMEE: Nothing from the Staff,
13 your Honor.

14 ATTORNEY EXAMINER AGRANOFF: If not,
15 then this matter shall be considered as submitted on
16 the record, and I appreciate everybody's help. Thank
17 you.

18 MR. KURTZ: Thank you, your Honor.

19 MR. BURK: Thank you.

20 (Thereupon, the hearing was concluded at
21 10:25 a.m.)

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing
is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings
taken by me in this matter on Wednesday, July 3,
2014, and carefully compared with my original
stenographic notes.

Valerie J. Sloas, Registered
Professional Reporter and
Notary Public in and for
the State of Ohio.

My commission expires June 8, 2016.
(VJS-76004)

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

7/3/2014 3:49:52 PM

in

Case No(s). 14-1009-EL-AEC

Summary: Transcript in the matter of Warren Steel Holdings, LLC hearing held on 07/03/14 electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Sloas, Valerie J. Mrs.