FILE

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us To: PUCO ContactThePUCO

Subject: 81740

Received: 6/17/2014 12:16:55 PM

Message:

WEB ID: 81740 AT:06-17-2014 at 12:16 PM

14-1027-EL-ATA

Related Case Number: 14-1027

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Michael Spacek

CONTACT SENDER? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 205 Sprowl Road
- PO Box 471
- Huron, Ohio 44839
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: (419) 433-7048 • Alternative: (419) 602-0503

• Fax: (419) 433-6872

E-MAIL: mike@bestuseofenergy.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- (no utility company name provided?)
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I would like to comment and testify in regard to Case # 14-1027--EL-ATA, FirstEnergy's Experimental LED Street Light Rate. In particular, "The Remaining Costs of Existing InfraStructure" section. The fee does not appear unreasonable. However, it appears discrimunatory to the entities that utilize the customer owned street lighting option within the street light tariffs. Under that provision, removal costs of existing lights is much higher. How much does it really cost to remove a light? Why does one section of the same rate class pay so much more?

> This is the pertify that the images opposite ora un accurate and complete reproduction of a determinadocument delivered in the regular course of business. Du Date Processed JUN 1 9 2014 Technician

ZOILJUN 19 AM 8: 39
PUCO