BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

- - -

In the Matter of the Application of 6011

Greenwich Windpark, LLC, : Case No. 13-990-EL-BGN

for a Certificate of
 Environmental Compatibility :
 and Public Need for the
 Greenwich Windpark. :

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

before Greta See, Administrative Law Judge, held at the offices of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Hearing Room 11-C, Columbus, Ohio, on Monday, May 19, 2014, at 10:08 a.m.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
FAX - (614) 224-5724

_ _ _

```
2
 1
      APPEARANCES
 2
           Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General
           Mr. John J. Jones
 3
           Mr. Ryan P. O'Rourke
           Assistant Attorneys General
 4
           180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor
           Columbus, Ohio 43215
 5
                     On behalf of the Staff of the Ohio
 6
                     Power Siting Board
 7
           Bricker & Eckler
           Ms. Sally W. Bloomfield
           Mr. Dylan F. Borchers
 8
           100 South Third Street
 9
           Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291
10
                     On behalf of Greenwich Windpark, LLC
11
           Mr. Chad A. Endsley
           280 North High Street, 6th Floor
12
           Columbus, Ohio 43215
13
                     On behalf of Ohio Farm Bureau
14
      Also Present:
15
      Dale Arnold
      Walter Leber
16
      Tom Dunlap
      Steve Irwin
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		3
1	INDEX OF WITNESSES	
2	WITNESS	PAGE
3	WALTER LEBER	
4	Examination by ALJ See	6
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Endsley Continued Cross-Examination by ALJ See Cross-Examination by Ms. Bloomfield	9 10 12
6	MONICA JENSEN	
7		
8	Direct Examination by Ms. Bloomfield Cross-Examination by ALJ See	17 18
9	GRANT T. ZETO	
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Jones	25 28
11	Examination by ALJ See	20
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

				4
1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS		
2	CC	MPANY EXHIBITS	IDENTIFIED	ADMT
3	1	Application filed June 17, 2013	14	16
4	2	Proof of service of application		
5		on local public officials and libraries	14	16
6	3	Proof of publication, first notice	15	16
7	4	Proof of publication, second notice	15	16
9	5	Testimony of Monica Jensen on		
10		behalf of 6011 Greenwich Windpark, LLC, filed May 9, 2014	15	24
11	JC	JOINT EXHIBITS		
12	1	Joint stipulation and recommendation		
13		filed December 23, 2013	25	29
14	STAFF EXHIBITS			
15	1	Staff report of investigation filed April 18, 2014	16	17
16	2	Prefiled testimony of		
17		Grant T. Zeto	16	29
18				
19				
20				
21				
2223				
23				
25				
2				

Monday Morning Session,

May 19, 2014.

2.1

- - -

ALJ SEE: Scheduled before the Ohio Power
Siting Board at this time is Case No. 13-990-EL-BGN,
entitled In The Matter of the Application of 6011
Greenwich Windpark, LLC, for a certificate to construct
a wind power electric generation facility in Huron
County, Ohio.

My name is Greta See. I am the

Administrative Law Judge assigned to this case by the

Board. At this time I'd like to take appearance of

counsel. On behalf of Greenwich Windpark?

MS. BLOOMFIELD: Your Honor, Sally W.
Bloomfield and Dylan Borchers with the law firm of
Bricker & Eckler, 100 South Third Street, Columbus,
Ohio 43215.

ALJ SEE: On behalf of Ohio Farm Bureau?

MR. ENDSLEY: Chad Endsley, legal counsel
for the Ohio Farm Bureau, 280 North High Street, 6th
Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

ALJ SEE: And on behalf of the Ohio Power Siting Board Staff?

MR. JONES: Good morning, your Honor. On behalf of the Ohio Power Board Siting Staff, Ohio

6 1 Attorney General, Mike DeWine, Assistant Attorneys 2 General Ryan O'Rourke and John Jones. 3 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Is there a member of the public who wishes to make a statement at this 4 time? 5 MR. LEBER: Yes, there is. 6 7 ALJ SEE: Sir? 8 MR. LEBER: Yes, your Honor. 9 ALJ SEE: Are you here to make testimony on 10 behalf of the public? 11 MR. LEBER: Yes, I am. 12 ALJ SEE: Okay. I need you to step 13 forward. I need you to raise your right hand. 14 WALTER LEBER 15 16 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 17 examined and testified as follows: 18 19 EXAMINATION 20 By ALJ See: 2.1 Q. Have a seat and give your statement. First 22 state and spell your name for the record and provide us 23 your address. 24 A. My name is Walter Leber, W-a-l-t-e-r, 25 L-e-b-e-r.

- Q. And, Mr. Leber, did you not give testimony, public testimony at the hearing held on --
 - A. May 6th.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

- MS. BLOOMFIELD: May 6th.
- Q. -- the local public hearing?
- A. Yes, I did, your Honor.
- Q. Okay. And do you understand that that testimony is already part of the record in this case?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. At the end of my testimony I asked a statement when I was being crossed examined, "are you sure?" If you remember that in the conversation, your Honor.
 - Q. I'm sorry. What question did you ask?
- A. I asked if he was sure he didn't want to cross-examine me.

The problem I'm finding with this project or my testimony is today, is if there's questions that aren't asked that we find out afterwards, that we don't have the "that" factor where we didn't know about "that", we didn't know "this" was going to happen, if there are questions in cross-examine, then we have questions where somebody doesn't -- comes into the room and says, well, I hope that doesn't get asked today,

you know, that doesn't get brought up.

2.0

2.1

Being a township trustee, and being in politics, I've run into that problem where we started things and then it doesn't go as well, and, well, you didn't ask that question. I didn't know I should. I didn't know I could. And that's some of the things with this windpark, this is new to Huron County. It's new to Greenwich Township. I don't know if we have asked all the questions from the residents' side as to what everything is going to get involved with this windpark.

And I'm here to represent my residents as I should as a township trustee, at that lowest form of government, grassroots government. And I'm trying to understand. I'm not trying to say I'm against this project, because I think it is an opportunity for our township. And I understand by all the letters being written, everybody sees the dollar signs.

I also reiterate my testimony, I'd like to see this done right. I don't want to see it done wrong and then afterwards we have problems.

I'm not trying to get sidetracked, but I am going through something similar with a project in my township right now with the Sunoco pipeline. That's a huge project. We are having issues and it's the same

kind of issues that I don't want to have with the windpark next year.

And with those problems, I'm open to try to find some answers here today or get some inclination of what's going to happen.

Q. Okay. You do recognize, as you were informed at the public hearing, that you are now going to be subject to cross-examination, correct?

A. Correct.

ALJ SEE: On behalf of Greenwich?

MS. BLOOMFIELD: No, your Honor, we don't

12 have any questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

2.1

ALJ SEE: Okay. On behalf of the Ohio Farm Federation. Does the Farm Federation need a few minutes?

MR. ENDSLEY: Sorry. I just have one quick question.

18

CROSS-EXAMINATION

20 By Mr. Endsley:

- Q. Mr. Leber, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. I just wanted to ask if you were aware of a dispute resolution process that is -- will be part of the Windpark development?

- A. No, I am not.
- Q. That might be something, if I can just respond briefly, that we could explain maybe after the proceedings today, just to make sure you're aware of the dispute resolution process.
 - A. Okay.

ALJ SEE: Counsel for Staff?

MR. JONES: No questions, your Honor.

_ _ .

CONTINUED EXAMINATION

By ALJ See:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Just for the Bench's understanding, have you read the Staff Report, Mr. Leber?
 - A. No, I have not.
- Q. Did you attend any of the public information meetings that were held?
- A. I attended one. It seemed informational, but not -- I don't know how to explain it. There are still residents that still have questions even after the hearing process that happened May 6th. I still have residents calling me with questions.
- Q. Okay. And where have -- have you attempted to answer their questions or directed them to the Company?
- A. I've tried to answer the questions. Some

of our biggest concerns --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Mr. Leber, the question I asked you is have you directed them to the Company?
 - A. No, I have not.
 - Q. Okay. Go ahead.
- A. The problem is we're a small township with a small budget. We don't have industry. We have a couple hundred thousand dollar budget. Our concern with residents is the livelihood of the community being able to proceed if there are unforeseen problems with the roads, the infrastructure.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. If we don't have the things in place to keep the roads in shape, how do I close roads to public transportation for a school or for fire, for ambulance when it's my responsibility to keep the roads open?
- Q. So your concerns, one of your concerns is with the roads?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And --
 - A. It's really -- go ahead, your Honor.
- Q. Is it open and repair of -- opening, availability of the roads or repair of the roads or both?
 - A. It's both. And it comes back to a time

frame. See, originally this was going to be a winter project. When things are torn up in the wintertime, you don't have the availability of products to fix roads because it's cold out. There's no asphalt.

There's no tar. You can only put stone down. If this turns into a summer project, then it changes things and we can do some repair. You know, the timing of a project means a lot to our community.

We are a dairy community, which we have dairy farmers that need milk out every day. If you cannot get that milk out, you affect their income or they have to dump the product.

ALJ SEE: Okay. Thank you very much,

Mr. Leber.

MS. BLOOMFIELD: Your Honor, you opened up one point --

ALJ SEE: Would you like to ask a question?

MS. BLOOMFIELD: -- which was not brought

up earlier, so I wonder if I can ask a question.

- - -

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Ms. Bloomfield:

2.0

2.1

Q. You are aware that there is a road agreement that the Company enters into with the county engineer?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Q. And were you not given a copy of that road agreement?
- A. That road agreement at the current time is not finished. There's not -- nothing for us to sign yet.
- Q. Correct. But you were given a draft of the --
- A. We were given a draft. You're right.
- MS. BLOOMFIELD: Thank you. That's all I
- 11 have, your Honor.
- 12 ALJ SEE: Any other redirect of this
- 13 | witness? Recross, I'm sorry.
- MR. ENDSLEY: No, your Honor.
- MR. JONES: No, your Honor.
- 16 ALJ SEE: Thank you, Mr. Leber.
- Ms. Bloomfield, I understand there's been a stipulation filed by the parties?
- MS. BLOOMFIELD: Yes, your Honor, there has
- 20 been a joint stipulation and recommendation which was
- 21 filed in this case I think on Friday. And at this
- 22 time, if it's agreeable with you, what we'd like to do
- is identify exhibits. Will that be all right?
- 24 ALJ SEE: Yes. Please do.
- MS. BLOOMFIELD: We have marked as Company

Exhibit 1 the application which is this three volume, the three-volume notebook in front of me and typically we don't -- they've already been filed in the case, of course, and typically I just reference them and we don't put them into the record, but if you would like them, I would be happy to give them to you.

1.3

2.0

2.1

ALJ SEE: Since they've been docketed they -- we need them marked so that we can continue, but they don't have to be given to the court reporter.

MS. BLOOMFIELD: And then as the stipulation notes, we have Company Exhibit 2, which is the proof of service of the application.

ALJ SEE: Ms. Bloomfield, let me just make one clarifying note in the record.

MS. BLOOMFIELD: Sure.

ALJ SEE: According to the stipulation that was filed on Friday, it indicates that the application was filed on December 23rd, just to clarify, that's when applicants started to file the application. So it is three volumes and it commenced on December 23rd, and there were three volumes who came in over a course of a few days.

MS. BLOOMFIELD: That's right, your Honor.

And at the time I think we checked with someone to

see. At the time, our understanding was it was going

to be considered filed on the 23rd. There were some docketing — not docketing, computer issues at the PUCO level that prevented the whole thing from going through. However you want to designate it is fine and it did take three days to finally get the whole thing electronically filed.

ALJ SEE: Okay. Go ahead.

2.0

2.1

MS. BLOOMFIELD: Okay. We have proof of service of the application, which we would like to have marked as Company Exhibit 2.

And then we have Company Exhibit 3, which is proof of publications in the first notice. Those have also been previously docketed.

Company Exhibit 4, which is the proof of the second notice of the application, filing of the application.

And, finally, we have the testimony of Monica Jensen, which we would like to have marked as Company Exhibit 5. Was filed on May 9th.

And I believe the -- Staff has some exhibits and then we -- jointly there's three parties here who will re-present, I guess, the joint stipulation and recommendation which was filed this past Friday.

ALJ SEE: Okay. Are there any objections

13-990-EL-BGN 16 to the admission of Company Exhibits 1 through 5? 1 MR. JONES: No objection. 2 3 ALJ SEE: Mr. Endsley? MR. ENDSLEY: Yes. 4 5 ALJ SEE: Any objection to the admission of Company Exhibits -- I'm sorry. Let me take a step 6 7 back. Are there any objections to the admission of 8 Company Exhibits 1 through 4? 9 MR. ENDSLEY: No, your Honor.

10 ALJ SEE: Okay. Admission of Exhibits 1 through 4 is fine with you as well? 11

MR. JONES: Yes, your Honor. No 12 13 objection.

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

14 ALJ SEE: They're admitted into the 15 record.

16 Staff, I believe you have some exhibits you 17 need to mark as well?

MR. JONES: Yes, your Honor. I'd like to have marked for identification Ohio Power Siting Board Staff Exhibit 1, a Staff Report of Investigation filed in this docket on April 18th, 2014. And also I would like to have marked Staff Exhibit 2, the prefiled testimony of Grant T. Zeto, that was filed on May 13th, 2014. I would move for admission of those two exhibits.

1 ALJ SEE: Okay. Staff Exhibits 1 and 2 are 2 so marked. Are there any objections to the admission 3 of the Staff Exhibit 1? MS. BLOOMFIELD: I have no objection. 4 5 MR. ENDSLEY: No, objections, your Honor. ALJ SEE: Ms. Bloomfield, I understand you 6 7 have a witness here to testify in support of the 8 stipulation? 9 MS. BLOOMFIELD: Yes. We call Monica 10 Jensen. May I call her to the stand at this point? ALJ SEE: Yes. 11 12 13 MONICA JENSEN 14 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows: 15 16 17 ALJ SEE: Go ahead, Ms. Bloomfield. 18 MS. BLOOMFIELD: Thank you. 19 2.0 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2.1 By Ms. Bloomfield: 22 Ms. Jensen, I'm handing you an exhibit 23 marked, that states testimony of Monica Jensen, and has 24 been marked as Company Exhibit 5. Is this your 25 testimony?

18 A. Yes, it is. 1 2 Q. And was this prepared under your 3 supervision? 4 A. Yes, it was. 5 And if I were to ask you the questions today that are stated in this testimony, would your 6 7 answers be the same? 8 A. Yes, they would be. 9 Do you have any corrections, additions, or deletions to the testimony? 10 11 A. No, I do not. 12 MS. BLOOMFIELD: Your Honor, I have no 13 further questions. 14 ALJ SEE: Mr. Endsley? 15 MR. ENDSLEY: We have no questions, your 16 Honor. 17 ALJ SEE: Mr. Jones? 18 MR. JONES: No questions, your Honor. 19 2.0 EXAMINATION 2.1 By ALJ See: 22 Q. Ms. Jensen, I'd like to address a couple issues that were raised this morning by Mr. Leber. How 23

familiar are you with the Staff Report of

24

25

Investigation?

- A. I have read it multiple times.
- Q. Okay. And did you participate in the development of the stipulation in this case?
 - A. I did.

2.0

2.1

- Q. And you recognize that there's a three-part test that the Board uses to determine whether a stipulation is reasonable. Are you aware of that?
- A. I am, sir. Excuse me, ma'am. Sorry, about that.
- Q. Tell me why you believe this particular stipulation filed in this case benefits the public interest.
- A. The Greenwich Windpark is a 60 megawatt windpark that not only supplies energy to -- can supply energy for Ohio's needs. As well as Mr. Leber has concerns about the community as well as the road agreement that is -- sounds like is one of his main concerns.

Throughout the process we have worked with the Huron County in regards to working through a draft road agreement that covers, I don't want to say soup to nuts, but it does. It has — it covers areas of if the road has to be shut down, coordinating with the county, with the township in regards to hours, minutes, that it can be shut down, who needs to be notified. And if

it's not, if those rules are not adhered to, you know, there's consequences and much iterative process of any time roadwork, construction, shutting down of roads, all has to be followed according to the road agreements.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Okay. And how is the general public in the community notified that a particular road will be shut down and what period of time it would be shut down for?
- A. Well, number one, it is coordinated through meetings on a weekly basis with the contractor as well as the county road staff as well as the township.

 People living on the roads are also notified if that road is going to be shut down. Typically road closures are not on a -- like an entire day. It's more like two, three hours. Those are obviously coordinated around any concerns on school buses, the local EMS.

Emergency service personnel are also part of the meeting. Everyone who would on any given day use that road would be in that meeting, that weekly meeting that covers any road closures no matter how temporary or extending past X number of time.

Road closures typically involve our crane walks. Those are pretty staged very quickly. You can --

- Q. When you say quickly, like how long?
- A. An hour.

2.0

2.1

- Q. If I were a resident living in the area, and I had to move my milk or other dairy product or my crops --
 - A. Cows.
- Q. -- how much advanced notice would I likely be given?
- A. At least a minimum of a week. And the one thing about this area, they are all section roads, so in order to go around the block there is that option, you know. They're mile section squares that if you can't go out one way, then typically you can go out the other way, so to speak.

There are only, you know, all those road crossings do not happen in the same day. They're obviously staged one at a time.

In addition, the landowners on that particular section are also notified, call -- I have a very good relationship with the landowners in the area. It's not uncommon for me to pick up the phone and, you know, coordinate anything. It's pretty -- it's an easy process.

Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the section in the Staff Report that addresses repair of roads?

- A. I am. I don't have it in front of me, so I don't have it to reference, but within the road agreement we agree to repair roads to as good, if not better condition.
- Q. And is the county and township involved in review of that or participating in that process to restore the roads?
- A. Absolutely. And we've been working with the county road engineer on this for well over a year.
- Q. I'd like to switch topics a little bit here. Ms. Jensen, you said you were familiar with the three-part test that the Board uses to evaluate a stipulation?
- A. Yes. I'm not overly familiar but a little. Read it.
 - Q. Okay. And you did participate and you sat in negotiates to develop the stipulation, correct?
 - A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

- Q. Are you aware of any -- to your knowledge, does this stipulation violate any important regulatory principle or practice?
- A. I don't understand the question, your
 Honor.
- Q. Okay. Are you familiar with Ohio Power
 Siting Board proceedings?

- A. Have I ever done one, no. Am I that familiar with them, no. But I understand them from reading other hearing process.
- Q. Okay. In the negotiations to develop the stipulation in this case, was your counsel present?
 - A. Absolutely.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Counsel was present for all of the other parties involved in this case?
 - A. Yes, they were.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware of any other ways that this project would benefit the public interest?
- A. Well, the tax dollars that come back to the community are quite large. I know that the current South Central School District has a gap or operating budget forthcoming and the monies that flow through from the tax dollars are greatly needed in the community. I think that is a major benefit even though people may be -- not be so-called part of the project, it is a distinct benefit that will come back to this community.
- Q. And can you tell me, do you have an idea of the number of workers from the community that would be employed for the construction of this project?
- A. Number one, as many as possible. Number two, in our application and in regards to also

following the Ohio regulated code on your tax benefits and domicile workers, we have agreed to, I want to say we're 125 workers during the construction period and once the project is operating it's two to three full-time workers that make a good wage that we have basically put forth in the plan of the application.

ALJ SEE: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Am I good?

ALJ SEE: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

ALJ SEE: Ms. Bloomfield, I think you already moved for the admission of Exhibit 5.

MS. BLOOMFIELD: Yes, I did. I move for the admission of Company Exhibit 5 and also for the Joint stipulation and recommendation, if that's appropriate.

ALJ SEE: And are there any objections to the admission of Company Exhibit 5?

MR. JONES: No, objections, your Honor.

MR. ENDSLEY: No objections.

ALJ SEE: Company Exhibit 5 is admitted into the record.

Mr. Jones, will Mr. Zeto be offering testimony this morning?

MR. JONES: No, your Honor. Unless the

25 bench has questions for Mr. Zeto. 1 2 ALJ SEE: Please approach the Bench, 3 Mr. Zeto. 4 5 GRANT T. ZETO 6 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 7 examined and testified as follows: 8 ALJ SEE: Mr. Jones. 9 10 MR. JONES: Thank you, your Honor. 11 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 By Mr. Jones: 14 Q. Mr. Zeto, was Staff Exhibit 2 prepared by 15 you or at your direction? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Staff Exhibit 2, if I were to ask the same 18 questions in that exhibit, would your answers be the 19 same? 2.0 A. Yes. 2.1 And Staff supports the joint stipulation 22 that's been presented in this hearing today? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Okay. And does the stipulation, Joint

Exhibit 1, provide for a complaint resolution process

as one of the conditions in the stipulation?

- A. Yes, it does.
- Q. Do you happen to know what condition number that would be in the stipulation? Do you have a -- excuse me, do you have a stipulation in front of you?
 - A. I do not.
 - Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. JONES: Thank you, Sally.

Q. If you would look at Joint Exhibit 1 and please tell us where we can find the complaint resolution process as provided as a stipulation or as a condition in that stipulation, please?

ALJ SEE: Mr. Zeto, I think if you look on page 5, No. 18.

- A. Condition No. 18, yes.
- Q. And could you kind of describe what that condition provides?
- A. One moment, please. Basically provides that the Applicant has a plan to address any complaints that the public may have and those complaints would be formalized and mitigated as required and that Staff would be made aware of complaints and dealt with.
- Q. Okay. And also there's been testimony about the road use agreement. Could I refer your attention to page 11 of Joint stipulation, please. And

2.7

is there a condition on that page that addresses the road use agreement?

A. Condition 45.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

- Q. And what does that condition provide?
- A. Condition 45 deals with repair of government-maintained public roads and bridges. There is a -- that there shall be preconstruction survey, post-construction survey, that they will be repaired to the same or better condition as they were prior to construction. A timetable has to be provided.
- Q. Okay. And does the -- Condition 46, does that address also repairs to roads when there's decommissioning that would occur to the project site?
 - A. Yes, it does.
- Q. Okay. And Condition 43, does that address transportation permits that are required to be obtained by the Applicant for this construction site?
 - A. Yes, it does.
- Q. And how about Condition 44, what does that address?
- A. A final delivery, route plan, which roads would be involved.
- Q. And is that in coordination with the county engineer?
- 25 A. Yes.

MR. JONES: Your Honor, that's all the questions I have.

ALJ SEE: Any cross-examination for this witness?

MS. BLOOMFIELD: No, your Honor.

ALJ SEE: Mr. Endsley?

MR. ENDSLEY: No, your Honor.

_ _

EXAMINATION

By ALJ See:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Mr. Zeto, are you familiar with the three-part test that the Board uses to evaluate the stipulation?
- A. Yes, I am.
- Q. And do you understand that one of those criterion that the Board uses is whether or not the stipulation as a package benefits ratepayers and the public?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And tell me how you believe this particular stipulation benefits the public.
 - A. I believe that the jobs created through the development of the wind farm, as well as the tax dollars and lease agreements, will provide money to the community.

1 And to your knowledge, does it violate any 2 important regulatory principle or practice of the 3 rule? 4 No, it does not. 5 Now, Mr. Zeto, I apologize, I may have 6 referred to ratepayers, I'm thinking in Public 7 Utilities Commission processes. Your answer referred 8 to a benefit to the public in general, correct? 9 Α. Public, yes. 10 ALJ SEE: All right. Thank you. I have nothing further. 11 12 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 13 ALJ SEE: Are there any objections to the admission of Staff Exhibit 2? 14 15 MS. BLOOMFIELD: No, your Honor. 16 MR. ENDSLEY: No, your Honor. 17 ALJ SEE: With that Staff Exhibit 2 is 18 admitted into the record and as is Joint Exhibit 1 and 19 Staff Report, which is Staff Exhibit 1 are admitted

MR. JONES: No, your Honor.

into the record. Is there anything further?

ALJ SEE: With that, hearing is adjourned. Thank you very much.

(Concluded proceedings at 10:40 a.m.)

_ _

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

	30
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a
7	
8	true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by
9	me in this matter on May 19, 2014, and carefully
10	compared with my original stenographic notes.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	CATHERINE PASSMORE
16	Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio
17	
18	
19	My commission expires July 23, 2018
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

6/3/2014 2:23:32 PM

in

Case No(s). 13-0990-EL-BGN

Summary: Transcript Hearing held May 19, 2014 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Passmore, Cathy