BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

_ _ _

In the Matter of Auto :
Plaza, Inc. and Dennis E.:

Hardesty, Notice of : Case No. 14-119-TR-CVF

Apparent Violation and : Intent to Assess : Forfeiture. :

- - -

PROCEEDING

before Mr. Kerry K. Sheets, Hearing Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-C, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:00 a.m. on Thursday, May 8, 2014.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215

(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

_ _ _

```
2
 1
      APPEARANCES:
 2
             Mr. Dennis E. Hardesty
             539-B North Edison
 3
             Kennewick, Washington 99336
                  On his own behalf.
 4
 5
             Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General
             Mr. William L. Wright, Section Chief
 6
             By Mr. Ryan P. O'Rourke
             Assistant Attorney General
 7
             Public Utilities Section
             180 East Broad Street
 8
             Columbus, Ohio 43215
 9
                  On behalf of the staff of the Public
                  Utilities Commission of Ohio.
10
11
12
1.3
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

				3
1	INDEX			
2				
3	WITNESSES	PAGE		
4	John T. Rammel	_		
5	Direct examination by Mr. O'Rourke Cross-examination by Mr. Hardesty	5 21 27 29		
6	Redirect examination by Mr. O'Rourke Recross-examination by Mr. Hardesty			
7	Thomas Persinger	30		
8	Direct examination by Mr. O'Rourke			
9	Dennis E. Hardesty Direct testimony by Mr. Hardesty		38	
	Cross-examination by Mr. O'Rourke	44		
10				
11		TD 1D	22212	
12	STAFF EXHIBITS	ID'D		
13	1 - 9/27/13 Driver/Vehicle Examination Report	7	45	
14	2 - Photographs	10	45	
1516	3 - 12/18/13 Notice of Preliminary Determination, Case OH3247010472D	32	45	
17	4 - 12/18/13 Notice of Preliminary	33	45	
18	Determination, Case OH3247010472C			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Thursday Morning Session,
May 8, 2014.

- -

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I'll go ahead and call the hearing. The PUCO has set for hearing at this time and place Case No. 14-119-TR-CVF In the Matter of Auto Plaza, Inc. and Dennis E. Hardesty.

My name is Kerry Sheets. I'm an attorney examiner for the Commission, and I've been assigned to hear this case.

May I now have the appearance of the parties, please, starting with staff.

MR. O'ROURKE: Thank you, your Honor.

Ryan O'Rourke with the Ohio Attorney General's office, Public Utilities Section, representing staff today. My address is 180 East Broad, 6th floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Thank you.

Mr. Hardesty, just give your name and address.

MR. HARDESTY: It's Dennis Hardesty.

Business address is 539-B North Edison Street,

Kennewick, Washington 99336.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Very good.

Any preliminary matters to take care of

```
5
      today?
 1
 2
                  MR. O'ROURKE: I don't think so, your
 3
      Honor.
 4
                  HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: You have
      witnesses to call?
 5
                  MR. O'ROURKE: I do. I have two.
 6
 7
                  HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Go ahead.
 8
                  MR. O'ROURKE: Your Honor, staff calls
      John Rammel to the stand.
9
10
                  HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Please raise
11
     your right hand.
12
                  (Witness sworn.)
1.3
                  HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Be seated.
14
15
                          JOHN T. RAMMEL
16
      being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
17
      examined and testified as follows:
18
                        DIRECT EXAMINATION
19
      By Mr. O'Rourke:
2.0
             Q. Good morning.
2.1
             A. Good morning.
22
             Q. Can you please state your full name for
23
     the record.
             A. John T. Rammel.
24
25
             Q. Where are you employed?
```

- A. Ohio State Highway Patrol, Motor Carrier Division, Piqua District.
- Q. What's your job title and responsibilities?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

- A. Motor Carrier Enforcement Inspector, and I inspect commercial motor vehicles.
 - Q. How long have you been in that position?
 - A. Approximately 15 years now.
- Q. What's your training and qualifications for that position?
- A. I had to get certified through the Federal Motor Carrier Association and we have to do annual inspections to stay certified through them.
 - Q. The scope of your jurisdiction?
- A. Just to do Federal Motor Carrier inspections.
- Q. And have you received training to enforce the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations?
 - A. Yes. Annually.
 - Q. About how many times have you enforced those in the course of doing an inspection?
- A. I probably average in the area of 900 inspections a year.
- Q. Okay. And are you familiar with the facts and circumstances that gave rise to the

7 1 inspection that we're here today to discuss? 2 Α. Yes, I am. 3 MR. O'ROURKE: May I approach, your Honor? 4 5 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: You may. 6 MR. O'ROURKE: I'm handing the witness 7 what's been marked as Staff Exhibit 1. I have copies 8 for everyone. 9 Mr. Rammel, I'm going to ask you to take 10 a look at Staff Exhibit 1 which you've just been handed. Do you recognize that document? 11 12 Α. Yes, I do. 13 0. What is that? 14 That's the inspection I did on that 15 particular truck that day. 16 And are these the type of inspection 17 reports that are typically conducted in the field --18 Α. Yes. 19 -- by you? 2.0 Α. This is the report I generate out of 2.1 every inspection. 22 Okay. Let's walk through some of the Q. 23

24

information?

2.0

2.1

- A. The report number is a unique number that's identified for each report. The inspection date is the date that I did the inspection.
 - Q. And what's a walk-around inspection?
- A. Basically, that just checks the lights, the tires, and checks credentials for the company.
- Q. And in the next block of information we have Auto Plaza, Inc., the U.S. DOT number, and the driver is Dennis Hardesty. Do you recall that information?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And is the gentleman sitting to your left Mr. -- do you recognize him as Dennis Hardesty?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. So let's walk down to the violations. We have, let's start with the first one, the violation code is 395.8A. The violation description was "No logbook for September 20, 2013 to September 27th, 2013." What facts and circumstances caused you to issue that citation?
- A. It was a commercial motor vehicle. The driver of that vehicle is required to have a logbook for that particular day that he's driving plus seven previous days. The driver had no logbook that day.

- Q. Did you ask him to produce it?
- A. Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. And what was his response?
- A. He didn't think he needed one because he didn't think he was in a commercial vehicle at the time.
- Q. And what type of vehicle was he in at the time?
- A. It was a Chevy duly pickup truck and he was pulling a bumper pole style trailer with two pickup trucks in it. The pickup truck was rated at 11,400 which puts it in the commercial motor vehicle inspection for our range because he was in interstate.
- Q. Let me stop there. What do you mean, he was in interstate?
- A. His business that he was in from -- he was moving from state to state.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. He had picked some vehicles up in, I believe, if I remember correctly, in Florida, and he was hauling them back to Washington state.
 - Q. And the business name being what?
- A. Basically that's what he was doing. He told me he was an auto dealer and he was buying

- vehicles in other states and hauling them back to Washington state for his dealership.
 - Q. Do you recall what the name of his business was?
 - A. Auto Plaza, Incorporated.
 - Q. Did you take any pictures on that day?
- A. Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

18

- MR. O'ROURKE: Approach, your Honor? I'm handing the witness what's been marked as Staff Exhibit 2.
- 11 Q. Take a moment and flip through those, let 12 me know when you're ready.
 - A. I'm ready.
- Q. Do you recognize the pictures that have just been handed you?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. What are those?
 - A. Those are pictures that I took that day as I did the inspection.
- Q. Okay. Now, in the course of your answers
 to my question we may need to be flipping back and
 forth so --
- 23 A. Sure.
- Q. -- just be cautious about identifying
 which page we're referring to.

So previously you identified a particular trailer and truck --

A. Yes.

2.0

2.1

- Q. -- that was being driven that day. Can you point in any of these pictures to that particular truck and trailer?
- A. The seventh picture is a picture of the trailer that he was pulling. The eighth picture is a picture of the front of the truck that he was driving. And the seventh [verbatim] picture is the rear of the truck that he was driving. It doesn't look like I took a picture of the truck.

The third picture is a picture of the truck's VIN plate which is where I got the gross vehicle weight for the truck.

- Q. And the significance of the gross vehicle weight is what?
- A. Any vehicle over 10,000 pounds is considered a commercial vehicle if it's used in the course of a business, and this particular truck's gross vehicle weight was 11,400.
- Q. And Mr. Hardesty was driving this truck when you stopped him on that day.
 - A. Yes, he was.
 - Q. Let's move down to the second violation,

- the violation code being 383.23A2. If we move along horizontally, the violation description was "Operating a CMV without a CDL operating a class a vehicle with a non cdl license." What does that mean?
- A. Basically, the gross vehicle weight of the truck with the gross vehicle weight of the trailer was in excess of 26,001 pounds. The driver of that vehicle that day just had a regular driver's license, a regular operator's license. With those two combination gross vehicle weight, that vehicle requires a Class A CDL to drive it.
 - Q. And did you ask him to produce a CDL?
 - A. Yes, I did.

2.0

2.1

- Q. What was his response?
- A. He just had a regular driver's license and that's what he produced for me then.
- Q. Are there any pictures on here that would illuminate the violation that you issued?
- A. No. There are two pictures that I based this on. The first picture is the actual gross vehicle weight of the trailer which was 21,000 --
- Q. Let me stop you there. Which particular picture are you referencing?
 - A. Picture one in the packet. And then

picture three in the packet is the gross vehicle weight of the truck which the combination of the two are in excess of 26,001.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Okay. Let's move down to the third violation, the violation code being 385.325C, and if we move along horizontally, the violation description "Operating in interstate commerce on or after the Operational Out of Service order date for failure of a Safety Audit." What is the significance of that description?
- A. That particular company has a DOT number and when I checked the DOT number through the internet, which I have a connection to at that particular time, the credentials for them showed that they had a federal out-of-service order on them at that time for failure of a safety audit.
 - Q. What's a safety audit?
- A. As far as when they obtain a DOT number, that particular state comes in and does a safety audit to make sure they have all their insurances, their drug testing, and all that type of stuff in order and, evidently, they didn't do something that they needed to do, and I don't know exactly what they didn't do, but the Federal Safety Commission ordered the out-of-service order because they failed the

audit.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

- Q. Okay. And the DOT number is issued by who?
 - A. The Federal Motor Carrier Association.
- Q. Okay. And then can you be a little more specific about how you accessed that information?
- A. There was nothing displayed on the truck so I asked him if he had a DOT number. He made a call and got the DOT number from his partner in Washington state and from there I took that DOT number and ran it through the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Association and that's where I found out it had a federal out-of-service order.
- Q. Okay. So you're sitting in your truck or your cruiser that day?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And that comes equipped with certain computer equipment that enables you to access that?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. And that's customary on the cruisers?
- A. Yes. That's a normal inspection process.

 If they don't have a DOT number, then we have to find out if they have one, and we can check by running that particular name or asking the driver for a DOT

- number. And he produced a DOT number for -- he gave it to me.
- Q. And the DOT number, that's -- if we move up a couple blocks on that inspection report, the DOT number 2202629, is that the DOT number that you're referring to?
 - A. Yes.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Okay. And that's a unique number --
- A. That only pertains to that company, none other.
- Q. Okay. Let's move back down to the block associated with violations. I believe we're now on our fourth and last violation that you cited that day, the code being 390.21A. Moving over horizontally in that block the description is "Not marked in accordance with regulations not marked for drive-away tow a way operation." Can you please explain what that means?
- A. For drive-away/tow-away operations, which is what he was doing that particular day, there are specific requirements. He's only required to have a sign with a DOT and the company name on it. He did not have any displayed.
- Q. Okay. Let me stop you there and let's back up. What is a drive-away/tow-away operation?

- A. Basically, an auto dealership that has vehicles that he's transporting for himself or for another company from one place to another, from a pickup location to a dealership.
- Q. Okay. And how do you determine that he was doing this as part of his business?
- A. He produced for me some receipts that showed me he had bought trucks and trailers.
 - Q. Did you take pictures of those?
 - A. Yes, I did.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Which pages are those identified on?
- A. On the second page of the packet there, there is a receipt there, and then on the fifth page is another receipt.
- Q. And two receipts for two vehicles or two receipts for one vehicle?
 - A. Two receipts for two vehicles.
- Q. Okay. Let's flip back to the second picture in the packet. And if you could help illuminate some of the information in here, who is the seller?
- A. Looks like he bought it at an auction in Orlando, Florida. If you look, there's a spot about midway down that says a "V-6 S-10," right below that it says "Hosting Auction, Manheim Central Florida" I

- believe is what it says, Orlando, Florida, is where he purchased it from.
 - Q. Okay. And does it identify Auto Plaza Sales anywhere on that receipt?
 - A. Yes. At the very top of it, Subject -in the Subject line, "Confirmation for Auto Plaza
 Sales and Leasing."
 - Q. And how about the middle?
 - A. It also has it there.
 - Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

- A. As dealer. Marked as dealer.
- Q. Let's turn to the next receipt. Can you tell who the seller is on that or what the vehicle purchased was?
 - A. Looks like a -- I'm not real sure. A red, possibly, Chevy I think. Well, no, I can't really tell for sure.
 - Q. Okay. How about this, can you tell if Mr. Hardesty's name or Auto Plaza Sales is identified there as the purchaser?
- A. Yes. Midway in that receipt it also has
 "Purchaser" and it states in there -- has his
 address.
- Q. How did you come about procuring these two receipts?

- A. I asked if he had receipts for any of the vehicles and he produced these two.
- Q. Okay. So let's go back to the violation.

 "Not marked." What do you mean when you say it
 wasn't marked?
- A. There were no markings on the truck. It was just a truck with no markings.
 - Q. Markings that associated it with who?
 - A. With the auto dealership.
- Q. So in order to be in conformance it would need some sort of a --
- A. It would have to be marked. He would have to have at least one sign that said "Auto Plaza, Incorporated" with the U.S. DOT that he had provided, 2202629, displayed.
 - Q. Okay. And you couldn't identify that insignia anywhere.
 - A. No, sir, I could not.
 - Q. Okay. And are these pictures accurate representations of what you saw on that day?
 - A. Yes, they are.
- Q. Okay. They're true and accurate to the best of your knowledge?
- A. Yes, they are.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

25

Q. And this inspection report, is this a

- true and accurate representation of what you completed that day?
 - A. Yes, it is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. Let's flip over to page 2. Was he given a copy of this inspection report?
 - A. Yes, he was.
- Q. Okay. And then how did you go about transmitting the report to the PUCO?
- A. Once the report is completed, I connect to the internet and upload the inspection to PUCO.
- Q. Is that something that you customarily do?
 - A. Yes. I do that after every inspection.
 - Q. Can we flip back to page 1 for a second. You have some inspection notes there. Are those the notes that you made on that day?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And at the very last sentence,

 "All vehicle were bought for his car dealership."
- A. That's correct. That's what he told me that day.
- MR. O'ROURKE: Your Honor, I don't think
 I have any more questions for this witness.
- I don't think I have any more questions.

 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: No more? Okay.

Do you have any questions for this witness?

2.0

2.1

MR. HARDESTY: Well, a couple of things. I've tried to explain as we were there at the roadside that I felt because I had bought this trailer for my recreational use --

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: At this point we need you to ask this witness questions. That's how we conduct the hearing.

MR. HARDESTY: Okay.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: He asked questions and the witness answered, so this is your period of cross-examination of this witness. You'll have a chance to tell your story later.

MR. HARDESTY: Oh, okay.

MR. O'ROURKE: Your Honor, before we move forward can I just — there are carrier and driver violations here today. Mr. Hardesty does not appear to be represented by an attorney and I — he may or may not be a licensed attorney in the state of Ohio, but I know that the Commission has certain rules about allowing nonattorneys to ask questions of staff's witness and I just want to make sure we abide by those.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Yeah, he can go

ahead.

2 MR. O'ROURKE: Okay.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I mean, he's --

MR. HARDESTY: And I'm fine just telling

5 my story without interrogating.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: -- questioning on behalf of Dennis Hardesty.

Go ahead, ask questions.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

1

3

4

6

7

8

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Hardesty:

Q. Did I -- once I was pulled over I made the comment to you that I had bought this pickup and trailer for my personal use, that we were going to use it for some amount of recreation, that we might be going hunting in it, so on and so forth. And I didn't -- my direct question to you was I didn't understand the difference between a guy buying a motor home and putting a trailer on that that is well over the weight and that's why I didn't use a DOT number. I did say that to you. Or, did I say that to you?

A. I don't remember for sure if you did that particular day. That's been a while ago. You may have, but I don't recollect you saying that, no.

Q. Did you say to me that even the farmers in Ohio can run well over 50,000 pounds worth of trailers and stuff up the highway without a DOT?

2.0

2.1

- A. I may have, there are farm exemptions, yes.
- Q. Did I say to you that I didn't feel that I was any different than a guy in a motor home with a trailer who was doing something with --
 - A. I think I do remember --

THE REPORTER: Excuse me. If I can have one person at a time.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Slow down so the reporter can hear you and so I can hear you.

- Q. I'm sorry. My question was: I was clear in the fact that I didn't feel that I needed a DOT number for this particular operation because I was doing something on my own and that a buddy and I had bought this trailer, it had a little bit of a sleeping unit, a restroom unit in it, and we were bringing it back for our hunting purposes and fishing purposes and it was my personal trailer.
- A. As I said a little bit ago, I don't remember you saying that you were going to use it as a hunting or recreational vehicle. Because I'm pretty thorough about what I do and the questions I

ask and what I see, and from what I see here that particular day, if you would have told me that, I probably wouldn't have believed you anyway.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Okay. Would it make sense to you if I felt that particular way, that I actually had this particular unit for my own use, that even though it had over 26,001 pound vehicle gross, that it actually only weighed 18,000 pounds totally loaded? Would it make sense to you by me telling you that, that you would think that possibly I didn't feel that I needed a DOT number displayed on the vehicle?
- A. No, sir. With the two pickup trucks that you had bought in whatever state it was, and I don't remember where you told me you bought them, and you told me you bought those two pickup trucks to take back to your auto dealership and you bought that particular trailer to take those two pickup trucks back to the auto dealership, that led me to believe that it was not a personal use, it was for the dealership.

MR. HARDESTY: Okay. My point I'm trying to, I guess this is going to come down to what I try and explain for myself because I --

 $\label{eq:hearing_examiner_sheets:} \mbox{ It has to be in }$ the form of a question --

MR. HARDESTY: I understand that.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: -- for this

witness. Go ahead, ask a question.

2.0

2.1

MR. HARDESTY: What I'm trying to explain to you, your Honor, is this is the basis for not using the DOT number.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: We need a question for this witness right at this time. You can get on the stand later and be sworn in and provide testimony.

MR. HARDESTY: Okay.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: You have to ask a question of this witness based on the -- this is cross-examination, based on what went before.

Q (By Mr. Hardesty) During our hour-long conversation before we went to the DOT deal was there any reason in your mind for you to think that possibly I legitimately felt that I did not have to display a DOT number from my arguments? There was never a point to which you thought that possibly I might be right on this for the fact that I bought a -- a motor home guy with a trailer, or when you brought up the fact that there was farming done where guys didn't have to have a DOT number.

A. No, sir. I thought you were going to be

- required a DOT number as soon as you told me that you had bought the trucks.
- Q. My question to you is: Is there any reason for you to think that possibly I felt that I was right, not that you were right, I understand that you feel you're right, but in your -- as you think about it, if I'm driving up the road, and every state seems to have a little bit different regulations, so on and so forth, if my interp- -- would you have possibly read that my interpretation could have possibly been that I was completely legal with what I was doing? Not your interpretation, my interpretation.
 - A. I can't honestly answer what your interpretation was because I know what I'm looking at, okay, so I can't speak for you what you're thinking.
 - Q. Did you --

2.0

2.1

- A. Yes. You thought that you didn't need a DOT number or a CDL, yes.
- Q. Did you think I was lying to you?

 MR. O'ROURKE: Your Honor, asked and answered. I think this is the third time we've gone round and round about this.
- 25 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Now

we've got to keep a single-subject question, and if he's answered it already, he says he can't know what your interpretation was, so then you have to move on to another question.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Did you think that I was lying to you?
- A. No, I didn't think you were lying to me.
- Q. When you brought up the out-of-order certificate, did you say "You've been lying to me all along"?
- A. I don't remember, to be honest with you. I might have —— I might have said that, or I know I was thinking that because for people to do what you're doing and not display a DOT number and have a DOT number, there's one of two reasons that they won't display their DOT number, either they don't know that they have to, or the DOT number has a federal out—of—service order, and if I see that and run that DOT number, I'm going to know that.

MR. HARDESTY: Okay. I think I just need to move on to my own story at this point or whatever we do next.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Do you have any other questions?

MR. HARDESTY: I don't think I do.

25 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Any on

redirect?

2.0

2.1

MR. O'ROURKE: A few.

3 | - - -

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. O'Rourke:

- Q. You were asked a question about -- he gave you a set of facts, that I would note haven't been established as evidence yet, but perhaps an intent to use this as a motor home to go hunting. What would distinguish the legal consequences of someone doing that versus the legal consequences of what you found on this day when you issued your inspection?
- A. For someone -- for me to see that they are going to use this as a motor home to go hunting, they would either have an empty trailer or they would have camping gear in there that they would be using to go hunting or go camping, or they would have four-wheel vehicles, not pickup trucks, in the trailer. You know, ATV-type vehicles or that kind of thing.

If those type of things would have been in there, I would have -- I would think I would be more likely to believe that it was used for a hunting trip. But he produced receipts and he had two pickup

- trucks in the trailer that he had bought from another state and was taking back to his dealership.
- Q. Okay. And in the hunting/motor home/leisure activity, it's fair to say that that wouldn't bring someone within the definition or the understanding of what it means to be in interstate commerce?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And why would that be?
- A. Because as a hunter or a camper in that operation he wouldn't be required to follow any of these rules, if it was used strictly as a hunting or a camping type vehicle, but it would have to be used for that at that time.
- Q. Okay. And then to distinguish that versus what we have here, it was your understanding of the facts that he made these purchases to facilitate his business?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And then what's the consequence of that?
- A. That it's an interstate commerce type move. It's a business move at that point so then he has to follow the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Rules.
- MR. O'ROURKE: Nothing further, your
- 25 Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

```
1
                  HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Do you have any
 2
      on recross?
 3
                  MR. HARDESTY: I do.
                  HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Remember, it
 4
 5
     has to be a single-subject question here you've got
 6
     to ask him.
 7
                  MR. HARDESTY: Okay.
 8
                  HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: It's got to be
     based on what he --
9
10
                  MR. HARDESTY: Is it safe to assume --
11
                  HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Excuse me.
12
      It's got to be based on what he said on redirect,
13
     okay?
14
                  MR. HARDESTY: Yes.
                                       Yes, sir.
15
                  HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: All right.
16
17
                       RECROSS-EXAMINATION
18
     By Mr. Hardesty:
19
                  Is it safe to assume that all my hunting
2.0
     equipment and four-wheelers could be left at home and
2.1
     that I would pick them up and put them there rather
22
     than buy them on the as-you-go plan, and because I'm
     a businessperson there's kind of a fine line between
23
24
     me buying a couple of cars to make a couple of
25
     dollars on so that's how it happened?
```

30 MR. O'ROURKE: I object. Calls for 1 2 speculation. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Do you have any other questions? 4 5 MR. HARDESTY: No. 6 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: All right. 7 Excused. 8 (Witness excused.) 9 MR. O'ROURKE: We have one other witness, 10 your Honor. Staff calls Tom Persinger to the stand. 11 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Raise your 12 right hand. 13 (Witness sworn.) 14 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Please be 15 seated. 16 17 THOMAS PERSINGER being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 18 examined and testified as follows: 19 2.0 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2.1 By Mr. O'Rourke: 22 Mr. Persinger, can you please state your full name for the record. 23 24 A. Name is Tom Persinger. 25 Q. Where are you employed?

- A. I'm employed with the Public Utilities
 Commission of Ohio.
- Q. What are your job title and responsibilities?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

2.4

- A. My job title is Compliance Officer.
- Q. How long have you been in that position?
- A. Approximately three years.
- Q. Okay. And what are your training qualifications for that position?
- A. Some of my training includes taking

 Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration courses
 involving vehicle inspections, driver inspections,
 and various HazMat courses.
- Q. In the customary course of your duties do you or your office cause notice of preliminary determinations to be issued to respondents?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- MR. O'ROURKE: May I approach, your Honor? Your Honor, I'm handing the staff witness two exhibits, Staff Exhibit 3 and Staff Exhibit 4.
- Q. Mr. Persinger, you've just been handed Staff Exhibits 3 and 4. Have you had an opportunity to look at those?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And have you ever seen these before?

A. Yes, sir.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Okay. Previously you testified that your section causes notices of preliminary determinations to be sent to respondents.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Is this an illustration of that?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What is a notice of preliminary determination?
- A. A notice of preliminary determination letters, or "NPDs" as I will call them, are sent after an original notice of violation is sent to a respondent, a conference has been requested in an attempt to settle, the violations that have been listed that result from the inspection report that do not get settled at conference that are still held in dispute, violations are still being disputed get sent this NPD letter after conference.
- Q. Let's look at the RE: line. It says
 "Notice of Preliminary Determination," and I'm on
 Staff Exhibit 3, "Notice of Preliminary
 Determination" and there's a case number, and I won't
 read all that, I would just ask you to go to the very
 end of that number and there is a "D" there, do you
 see that?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

- Q. What does that stand for?
- A. "D" stands for driver where violations that come from an inspection report are placed on the driver.
- Q. Okay. And let's pivot over to Staff
 Exhibit 4 and let's do the same thing here real
 quick. The RE: line, at the end of that case number
 there is a "C" there. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. What is the significance of that?
- A. The "C" stands for carrier where all violations from an inspection report that are given the "C" are on the carrier.
 - Q. Okay. Let's go back to Staff Exhibit 3 and just stick with the driver violations. If you look down to about the middle of the page, we have a violation 395.8A and the violation is a no logbook.

 Was there a forfeiture associated with that?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - O. And what was that?
- A. On the logbook that was a hundred dollars.
- Q. And why would that be?
- 25 A. Because there are -- certain violations

- are assessed at certain values.
 - Q. And did you review this document to ensure that the violation merited a \$100 forfeiture?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And let's move down to the second violation, operating a CMV without a CDL, was there a forfeiture associated with that?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - O. And what was that?
- A. \$250.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

- 11 Q. And why was that imposed?
- A. Because that violation was -- is set at a \$250 fine on the assessment chart.
 - Q. And the assessment chart, what is that?
 - A. The assessment chart provides a list of violations and any applicable fine amounts that are to be attached to those violations that result from an inspection report.
- Q. And who develops or who creates this assessment chart?
- 21 A. The Compliance division.
- 22 Q. Of who?
- A. Of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.
- Q. Okay. So this is something that you

```
consult with --
```

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Q. -- in imposing forfeitures.
- A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. So what was the total amount due on this driver violation?
 - A. On both violations?
 - O. On the driver violations.
- 9 A. Driver violations only was a total of 10 \$350.
- 11 Q. So after you get done calculating a total 12 amount due what do you do with this document?
- A. After final amounts --
- Q. Or your office.
- A. After final amounts are determined a letter is mailed out to the party.
- Q. Okay. Let's briefly pivot over to Staff
 Exhibit 4 and I'll be asking you the same set of
 questions. The first one is code 385.325C. Do you
 see that?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. And the violation was operating in
 23 interstate commerce on or after the operational
 24 out-of-service order date for failure of a safety
 25 audit. What was the forfeiture imposed on that?

A. \$1000.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. And reason being?
- A. That is the assigned amount on the forfeiture chart for that violation.
- Q. Let's go down to the next one, code 390.21A, not marked in accordance with regulations, not marked for a drive-away/tow-away operation. What was the violation associated with that?
 - A. \$100.
 - Q. Why is that?
- A. Because that's the fine amount that's assigned to that violation on the assessment chart.
- Q. And the total of the carrier violations was?
- 15 A. \$1,100.
- Q. What did you do after this document was compiled?
- A. It was mailed out to the carrier of record.
- Q. And are these NPDs, as you referred to them, customarily kept in the course of the staff's business?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Is this an accurate representation of those NPDs?

```
37
            A. Yes, sir.
 1
 2
                  MR. O'ROURKE: I don't think I have any
 3
     more questions, your Honor.
 4
                  HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: What was the
 5
     total for the driver again?
                  THE WITNESS: The total for the driver
 6
 7
     violations was $350.
 8
                  HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: 350.
9
                  THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
10
                  HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: And for the
11
     company it was 1100.
12
                  THE WITNESS: Correct.
1.3
                  HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Thank you.
14
                  Do you have any questions for this
     witness?
15
16
                  MR. HARDESTY: No, sir. Thank you.
17
                  HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: You're excused.
18
                  (Witness excused.)
                  MR. O'ROURKE: We would now seek to move
19
2.0
     our documents into evidence, your Honor.
2.1
                  HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay.
22
                  MR. O'ROURKE: Exhibits 1 through 4.
23
                  HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: We'll wait on
24
     the exhibits here.
25
                  You're resting, correct?
```

MR. O'ROURKE: We have no further 1 2 witnesses to call, correct. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Let's have your testimony then. 4 5 MR. HARDESTY: Okay. 6 (Witness sworn.) 7 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Be seated. 8 want you to go ahead and tell your story in a 9 narrative style. 10 MR. HARDESTY: Okay. 11 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Speak up so the 12 reporter can hear you. 13 14 DENNIS E. HARDESTY 15 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 16 examined and testified as follows: 17 DIRECT TESTIMONY 18 MR. HARDESTY: All right. A friend of 19 mine and I had watched a trailer down in Pensacola go 2.0 through and we were trying to come up with a way to 2.1 move our toys from one campsite/hunting area to 22 another and we thought we might buy it if the price 23 is right, so we did. 2.4 And we went down to get it with a truck 25 that I bought in South Carolina, and then I bought a

couple of pickups down in Florida, put them both together, and we headed home.

2.0

2.1

And we came through Ohio, because my business partner was up in Columbus at the time when we were actually pulled over, and I was of the impression that because this was for our personal use, that I didn't need to have DOT stickers or anything to do with DOT, nor did I have to have a CDL because, to be honest, I didn't know the thing had a gross vehicle weight of 22,000 pounds but — number one, and number two, it was my personal use.

In the state of Washington, by being the owner of a corporation business drive-away, you have to have certification for a CDL but it's merely a letter that you write to the state as opposed to going through the 4000 four-week course. I've since completed that.

When we got pulled over, I was kind of flat-footed that a officer was extremely polite, and I was inquisitive because I just questioned everything about it. I explained to him that I didn't feel that it was necessary for me to have DOT compliance as far as having the placards and logbooks and so on and so forth because it was for my personal use.

He asked me if I had a DOT number, which I did, and I know the number so I gave it to him. He mentioned earlier that I had to call my business partner, but I actually gave him the number. He came back to the truck and said "You're out of service," and I've already elaborated how the conversation went.

2.0

2.1

I called my business partner who was up in Columbus at the time and said, "What's going on?" He says, "I have no idea what's going on because we have a trail of paperwork that says everything's fine." And he said, "I will call DOT right now."

So he calls DOT and there's a fellow named Jeff Secrest, and I have the paperwork over there, that said, "I'm not exactly sure what's going on, but if you'll just mail me this MC150," which is kind of a form that you use to -- you mail it in every two years, it was not due, but you mail it in every two years, he said, "If you mail that in to me, I'll put you back on the system, but I don't know how it fell through the cracks."

So we started doing some research on the whole thing, and in December of 2012 we had -- everything was operational and everything was good and we mailed in some money. I think on the 7th of

January we get this letter that we have been revoked, and it was from a safety audit because the state of Washington came in and did their little kind of an audit thing and we didn't have real good logbooks, safety issues, drug issues, and so on and so forth. Not drug issues, but a formalized plan.

2.0

2.1

So we immediately wrote a letter to this Jeff Secrest, it was a corrective action letter that indicated what steps we were going to take to be compliant. And a couple of days after we mailed and faxed that off to Jeff Secrest he called up, and this is in the month of January, and said, "This is the best letter we've ever had written to us. We generally don't get such detailed letters and they're normally real sloppy, but I commend you for your great letter and there's not a reason to have —— for you to think at all that you're not reinstated."

So we went for nine months, apparently, without being, you know, in service which was -- and the officer looked at me like I was lying out of my teeth. And I was flat-footed because I just didn't realize that we had not been.

We had never had an issue. And I made stops on some of our trips at some of the DOT stations with our placard and our books in some

states, I don't think we're actually required to go through them, but in some states if we have a dealer tag, we're required because we're actually hauling commercially and you have to get a permit to drive through their states.

2.0

2.1

So my DOT number has been presented in a number of states during all this and I would think if they punched the number in, they would certainly see that something wasn't right.

I have a very important job with Lockheed Martin that I haul their convention equipment that's worth well over a million dollars, and I do those various trips, but due to the economy the trips have been reduced a little bit, but I still have to be ready to go at any time, and I would never in my wildest dream have wandered off out of town knowing that I didn't have a DOT or that my DOT could have been possibly revoked.

I don't get tickets. I haven't had tickets in years. I don't play above the law. I honestly feel that somehow the U.S. DOT department had something fell through the cracks and, without sounding like a bad guy Republican, the U.S. government has made a number of mistakes, with Obama Care and so on and so forth, lately, it's not a

surprise to me that I did fall through the cracks. But, honest to God, I had no clue that I wasn't active as a DOT member.

So that's my story.

2.0

2.1

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Now, the day of the stop, do you want to say anything about that? When you were stopped on the highway.

THE WITNESS: You know, I don't -- the officer was doing his job. It was lighthearted at first. It got a little bit threatening after I was told I couldn't drive the rig and if I got into it again, I could go to jail.

And when I was met at the rest stop, they had a couple of other Ohio State Police members there like I was going to be like a bad guy, which kind of caught me flat-footed. I was, you know, outside of being terribly flat-footed, we were put right back in business immediately.

I had to leave the vehicle behind. It cost me \$3500 to ship it home. So I don't question the officer's ability, but somewhere along the line my name and number had fallen through the cracks and I would never knowingly, especially with the association with Lockheed Martin, would never have let that happen had I known. I had absolutely no

```
knowledge of it.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

22

And our compliance office that we use in Seattle normally gets any kind of revocation or some notice, and they've never had any either. So I have a chain of events that say I was, they say I wasn't. I typed in our number after we left there; we weren't. I typed it again and we were. So I can't answer to it.

But I don't think it's my mistake. I seriously do not think it's my mistake. And I wouldn't come along and fight and take up everybody's time if I didn't think I was right.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Does that conclude your testimony?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Do you have any questions on cross-examination?

MR. O'ROURKE: Just a few.

19

20 CROSS-EXAMINATION

21 By Mr. O'Rourke:

- Q. What's your position with Auto Plaza?
- A. I'm an owner.
- MR. O'ROURKE: That's all I have, your

25 Honor.

```
45
                  HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: No questions?
 1
 2
                  MR. O'ROURKE: No.
 3
                  HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: You're excused.
 4
                  THE WITNESS: Thank you.
 5
                  (Witness excused.)
                  HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I will admit
 6
 7
      all exhibits into evidence at this time.
 8
                  (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
 9
                  HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Is there
      anything more to offer? Staff? No?
10
11
                  Mr. Hardesty?
12
                  MR. HARDESTY: No, sir.
                  HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I'll consider
13
14
      the matter submitted on the record, and I thank you
15
      all for coming.
16
                  (The proceedings concluded at 11:14 a.m.)
17
18
19
2.0
2.1
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Thursday, May 8, 2014, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Maria DiPaolo Jones, Registered Diplomate Reporter and CRR and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

My commission expires June 19, 2016.

11 (75473-MDJ)

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

5/22/2014 10:57:21 AM

in

Case No(s). 14-0119-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript Transcript from hearing held on May 8, 2014 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Jones, Maria DiPaolo Mrs.