

Legal Department

American Electric Power
1 Riverside Plaza
Columbus, OH 43215-2373
AEP.com

Chairman Thomas W. Johnson The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215

May 12, 2014

RE: In the Matter of the Application of Riverside Methodist Hospital and Ohio Power Company for approval of Special Arrangement with a Mercantile Customer

Case No. 14-0398-EL-EEC

Dear Chairman Johnson:

On March 20, 2014 in this docket, Ohio Power Company d/b/a AEP Ohio submitted an Application seeking for approval of a Special Arrangement with a Mercantile Customer. Since filing the Application, AEP Ohio discovered an error in Section 6 of the Application. Enclosed please find an amended Section 6 that corrects the error.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Yazen Alami Yazen Alami

Attachments

Yazen Alami, Esq. Regulatory Services (614) 716-2920 (P) (614) 716-2950 (F) yalami@aep.com

Section 6: Cost Effectiveness

The program is cost effective because it has a benefit/cost ratio greater than 1 using the (choose which applies):
Total Resource Cost (TRC) Test. The calculated TRC value is: (Continue to Subsection 1, then skip Subsection 2)
☑ Utility Cost Test (UCT) . The calculated UCT value is: .06 (Skip to Subsection 2.)
Subsection 1: TRC Test Used (please fill in all blanks).
The TRC value of the program is calculated by dividing the value of our avoided supply costs (generation capacity, energy, and any transmission or distribution) by the sum of our program overhead and installation costs and any incremental measure costs paid by either the customer or the electric utility.
The electric utility's avoided supply costs were
Our program costs were
The utility's incremental measure costs were
Subsection 2: UCT Used (please fill in all blanks).
We calculated the UCT value of our program by dividing the value of our avoided supply costs (capacity and energy) by the costs to our electric utility (including administrative costs and incentives paid or rider exemption costs) to obtain our commitment.
Our avoided supply costs were \$ 66,236.30
The utility's program costs were \$ 893.28
The utility's incentive costs/rebate costs were \$ 27,675.00.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

5/12/2014 8:31:21 AM

in

Case No(s). 14-0398-EL-EEC

Summary: Amended Application correcting an error identified in Section 6 of the March 20 filing electronically filed by Mr. Yazen Alami on behalf of Ohio Power Company