Attachment B: Electric and Magnetic Field Study

Letter of Notification – Case No. 14-0591-EL-BLN Carroll County Energy LLC CCE Transmission Interconnection

POWER ENGINEERS, INC.

303 U.S. ROUTE ONE FREEPORT, ME 04032 USA

PHONE 207-869-1200 *FAX* 207-869-1299

MEMORANDUM

DATE:	March 7, 201	4				
	Andrew S. D	egon				
то:	Director, Eng	zineering and Construction				
	Advanced Po	ower				
	31 Milk Street, Suite 1001					
	Boston, MA 02109					
C:	Steve Walker (POWER-FRE), Jon Ferguson (POWER-FTW)					
	Tyler Kent (POWER-SYR), DMS SR-06/133424.001.001					
FROM:	<i>n</i> : Andrea Wood					
SUBJECT:	140203	Advanced Power – Carroll County Energy 345 kV Preliminary				
		EMF Study, Rev. B				

MESSAGE

Dear Mr. Degon,

This memorandum provides the predicted electric and magnetic field (EMF) effects for the Advanced Power Carroll County Energy proposed 345 kV double circuit transmission line. In addition, it also provides the predicted EMF effects of the existing AEP Tidd-Canton Central 345 kV single transmission line for comparison.

POWER's engineering service for this study was to analyze the electric and magnetic fields for the proposed structure using a two conductor bundle 954 Rail ACSR conductor and for the existing structure using a two conductor bundle of 954 Cardinal ACSR conductor. The field calculations were performed at mid-span with a minimum clearance of 28 feet. The study was performed using version 3.0 of the Bonneville Power Administration's Corona and Field Effects Program.

Proposed 345 kV Double Circuit Line

A study was performed on the proposed conductor to estimate electric and magnetic fields at one meter above ground level for standard 345 kV single pole double circuit steel tangent structures with loading of 3,000 amps per phase and an operating voltage of 105% of nominal (see structure drawing in Appendix A). In order to produce conservative results the phase configuration of both circuits were assumed to be A phase on the top, B phase in the middle, C phase on the bottom.

The field results are summarized in Table 1 compared against the maximum permissible exposure (MPE) limit of IEEE C95.6-2002. Plots of the results throughout the Right-of-Way can be found in Figure 1 and Figure 2.



Table 1: Maximum Calculated EMF Levels on the Edge of ROW and within the ROW of the proposed double circuit line								
Effect:	IEEE MPE Limit for General Public Edge of ROW	Calculated Value Edge of ROW	IEEE MPE Limit for General Public within ROW	Maximum Calculated Value Within ROW				
Electric Fields (kV/m)	5.0 kV/m	0.158 kV/m	10.0 kV/m	8.8 kV/m				
Magnetic Fields (mG)	9,040 mG	218.9 mG	9,040 mG	586.2 mG				



Figure 1: Electric Field within the ROW (Proposed 345 kV line)



Figure 2: Magnetic Field within the ROW (Proposed 345 kV line)

Existing AEP 345 kV Single Circuit Line

A comparison study was performed on the existing electric and magnetic fields at one meter above ground level for the standard 345 kV single pole single circuit steel horizontal structures with a maximum summer emergency loading of 2,675 amps and an operating voltage of 105% of nominal (see structure drawing in Appendix B).

The field effects results are summarized in Table 2 compared against the maximum permissible exposure (MPE) limit of IEEE C95.6-2002. Plots of the results throughout the Right-of-Way can be found in Figure 3 and Figure 4.

Table 2: Maximum Calculated EMF Levels on the Edge-of-ROW and within the ROW of the existing AEP single circuit line								
Effect:	IEEE MPE Limit for General Public Edge of ROW	Calculated Value Edge of ROW	IEEE MPE Limit for General Public within ROW	Maximum Calculated Value Edge of ROW				
Electric Fields (kV/m)	5.0 kV/m	2.3 kV/m	10.0 kV/m	7.6 kV/m				
Magnetic Fields (mG)	9,040 mG	202.4 mG	9,040 mG	670.1 mG				



Figure 3: Electric Field within the ROW (Existing 345 kV line)



Figure 4: Magnetic Field within the ROW (Existing 345 kV line)

Conclusion

It was found for the two conductor bundle 954 Rail ACSR conductor the predicted electric and magnetic fields levels are below the IEEE Standard C95.6-2002 maximum permissible exposure limits for the general public within and at the edge-of-ROW. In addition, the maximum predicted values are also found to be similar to the existing 345 kV line conditions. To accomplish the cut-in of the existing line, the existing horizontal configuration transforms to a vertical configuration at the dead-end cut-in structures. Since, for the same ampacity and lowest conductor height above ground, a vertical line configuration along the ROW centerline will have a lower magnetic field when measured at one meter above ground at the edge of the ROW than a horizontal configuration, it is reasonable to state that the magnetic field one meter above ground at the edge of the ROW is lowered as the line transitions from horizontal to vertical than it is within the existing (current) horizontal spans. The results presented in this memorandum should be re-verified if the structure geometries, minimum line clearance or loading conditions are modified.

Thank you,

Andree Wood

Andrea Wood

APPENDIX A – STRUCTURE DRAWING PROPOSED DOUBLE CIRCUIT 345 KV LINE



HEAV	HEAVY SUSPENSION STRUCTURE: R8VDC							
	U	TIMATE LO	AD (KIPS)					
C1	C2	Ca	C4	Ce	C6	STRUCTURE VUND PRESSURE 175F)	ALLOWABLE DEPLECTION CRITERA IN OF POLE HERPTI	
11.59	11.59	11.59	11.58	11.59	11.59		1	
6.49.	6.49	649	6.49	6,49	6.49	10	10	
0.00	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
8.54	8.54	8.54	8.64	£.5A	8.54	1	1.000	
5.02	5.02	5.02	5.02	5/02	5.02	10	10	
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	and the second s		
4.21	4.21	4.21	-4.21	4.21	4.21			
8.65	8.65	8.65	8.65	8.65	8.65	37	10	
0.00	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00		1 mar - 1	
13,38	13,38	13,38	13,38	13,36	13.38			
5.80	5.80	5,80	5.80	5.80	5.80	10	10	
0.00	0,00	Q.0Q	0.00	0.00	0.00			
16.98	16.98	16.98	16.98	16.98	16.98	11000	1.20	
1.27	1.27	1.27	1.27	1.27	1.27	0	10	
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	000			
4.21	4.21	4.21	4.21	421	4.21		1.	
4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	17	10	
9.00	0.00	Q.0Q	0.00	0.00	0.00			
421	421	4.21	4.21	+21	4.21	11 1 1 11		
0.20	0.39	0.39	0.38	0.39	0.39	Ó	10	
5.85	0,00	0.00	0,00	0.00	0.00			
5.98	5.98	5,98	5.98	5.98	5.98			
3.94	-3.11	311	311	3.11	3.11	in	10	
4 88	4,68	488	4.88	4.88	4 88	ţ.	10	
421	421	4.21	421	421	4.21		-	
0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	2.0	15	
000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100	112	
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Ō	0	
0.00	8.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			

IESC MEDIUM ENSION (LBS)	RULING SPAN (FT)	VERTICAL SPAN (FT)	WIND SPAN	LINE ANGLE
5950 PER WIRE	1100	1680	1400	0° 2ª
9250 PER WIRE	1100	1680	1400	0° 2°

POLE ATTACHMENTS PURVisied DV. VENDOR POLE STANDARD. DEFAIL LOCATION REFERENCE FOI 0109 1158 (DETAL %) 0.0° TOP OF POLE 0109 1158 (DETAL %) 0.0° TOP OF POLE 0109 1158 (DETAL %) 0.0° TOP OF POLE 0100 1158 0.25° TOP OF POLE 0100 1158 0.26° ABUVE 9° OF CROSSARI 0100 1158 4.0° SELOW HAND HO (SS 0105 1157 0.23° BELOW CROSSARI B 0100 1159 A8 NOTED AS MOTED AS MOTED 0100 1159 A8 NOTED AS MOTED AS MOTED 0100 1163 1.5° ABOVE REVEAL BASEF ABOVE REVEAL BASEF	URMISHED BY VENDOR		
POLE STANDARD. DETAIL	LOCATION	REFERENCE FOINT	ORIENTATION
0104 (158 (DETAIL W)	0.2	TOP OF POLE	AS NOTED
0100-1159	0.25	TOP OF POLE	FLATS 6, 12
0100-1158	2.9'	ABOVE VIDE GROBSARM BRACKE	FLATS 5, 12
0100-1158	+ A .()*	BELOW HAND HOLD	FLATS 6, 12
0105-1373	0.25	BELOW CROSSARN BRACKET	FLATS 4, 10
0100-1139	AS NOTED	AS INDITED	AS NOTED
01D(-11/3	ASNOTED	A3 MD TED	ASNOTED
81D4-1185	1.5	ABOVE REVEAL BASERLATE, OF AS NOTED	FLATS 1.7
01D4-1353	3.5	ABOVE GROUND LINE	FLAT 1
01D1 1166	AS NOTED	BASE PLATE	
0101-1161 OR 0103-1180	AS NOTED	BOTTOM OF POLE	
0109-1179			
	POLE / POLE STANDARD DEFAIL 0105 1168 (DETAL N) 0100 1159 0100 1159 0100 1159 0100 1159 0105 1572 0100 1159 0101 1159 0104 1159 0105 1196 0105 1196 0105 1196	POLE ATTACHMENTS P FOLE STANDARD DETAIL LOCATION 0109-1158.0253 0.3 0109-1158.0253 0.25 0109-1158.0253 0.29 0109-1158.0253 0.29 0109-1158.0253 0.29 0109-1158.0233 0.23 0109-1159.0233 0.23 0109-1159.0233 0.23 0109-1159.033 48 NOTED 0109-1103.0453 48 NOTED 0109-1103.053 45 NOTED 0109-1108.053 45 NOTED 0109-1108.053 45 NOTED 0109-1109 45 NOTED	POLE ATTACHMENTS FURNISHED BX VENOOP POLE STANDARDX DETAIL LOCATION REFERENCE FOINT 0109-1158 0.25 TOP OF POLE 0109-1158 0.26 TOP OF POLE 0109-1158 2.01 ABOVE 9' OF CROSSARM BIAACKET 0109-1158 2.01 ABOVE 9' OF CROSSARM BIAACKET 0109-1158 2.01 ABOVE 9' OF CROSSARM BIAACKET 0109-1159 4.01 SELOW CROSSARM BRACKET 0109-1159 4.01 SELOW CROSSARM BRACKET 0109-1159 AS NOTED AS NOTED 0109-1103 AS NOTED AS NOTED 0109-1103 AS NOTED AS NOTED 0109-1103 AS NOTED ASOVE REVEAU BASER_ATE, OR AE NOTED 0109-1103 3.51 ABOVE REVEAU BASER_ATE, OR AE NOTED 0109-1104 1.51 YBOVE REVEAU BASER_ATE, OR AE NOTED 0109-1104 AS NOTED BASE PLATE 0109-1104

	PC	LE ATTACHMENTS FU	RNISHED BY AEP		
	POLE STANDARD DETAIL	LOCATION	REFERENCE POINT	ORIENTATION	
3N	70AQ-1153	0.5'	TOP OF POLE	FLATS 1, 7	
	70A0-1154	11,0	ABOVE GROUND LINE	FLATS 1, 7	
IR	0100-1159 0100-1460 0100-1161	AS NOTED	AS NOTED	FLATS 1, 7	

AEP	10000	INGR	WIM 0827/2010	APPROVEC: N. ALEDSOF		10508/3 5.3.2			
	AEP	ELISTICESCUE) AL STICES STEPPING SERVICE ODES USING	0RAWN 5AF 0919/2012	JRL		Edule			
		REDSTRATION (Fr.)	CHECKED.	577 XXXXXXX	69/5	502-1268	GHEET	8 OF 21	rey ()
1.0					-	р	AGE 7 ()F 9	tono (ches

APPENDIX B – STRUCTURE DRAWING EXISTING AEP 345 KV SINGLE CIRCUIT LINE



MEDIUM SUGPENBION, GUYED VITA

THIS TOWER IS DESIGNED TO SUPPORT ? GROWDWIRES OF 7 # 8 ALLMOWED (8) WIRE AND 3 BUNDLES OF ? EACH 954000 CM 54 ACSR CONDUCTORS ON A MAXIMUM TANGENT SPAN OF 2010, OR AT AN ANALE IN LINE OF 3' WITH A SPAN OF 955', OR ANY COMBINATION OF ANGLE AND SPAN NOT EXCEEDING THELOODS SHOWN BELOW.

The calles are assumed to be so strund that the maximum tension will not exceed G400[#] for each groundwire and 24000[#] for each bundle of 2 conductors.

Tower LOADING IS BASED ON A CONDITION OF 12 WIND ON BARE CABLES AND THE ABOVE MAXIMUMTENSIONS FOR ANGLE LOADS. VERTICAL LOADS ARE ASUMED FOR BARE CONDUCTORS ON 1.5 TIMES THE MAXIMUM TANGENT SPAN. HEAVY VERTICAL LOADS ARE CALCULATED ON A DAVIS OF 34 RADAL CONTING OF ICE ON ALL CONDUCTORS ON 1.5 TIMES THE MAXIMUM TANGENT SPAN.

The longitudinal loading is based on a condition of 2" Radial ICE, O'F, no wind on one stan and no iCE © O'F, no wind on an adjacent span (Differential ICE LOAD)

LOADS

1.) VERTICAL

2. GROUNDWIRES € 800"= 1600 # 3. BUNDLES OF ?: CONDUCTORS € 7450"=<u>72350"</u> 23950

2) TRANSVERSE

2. GEONDWIRES (1040"=2080" 3. BUNDLES OF: 2 CONDUCTORS & 4800"=14400" 16480" 3.) LONGITUDINA (DIFFERENTIAL ICE LOOD)

2.GROWNERES C 2000#, 4000 3.BUNDLES - 2.CONDUCTORS C 5780[#]:17340[#] 21340[#]

4) TORSIONAL

1- BUNDLE OF 2. CONDUCTORS @ 6720#

5) WIND ON TOWER OF 13th at x 1 1/2 FACES.

G.) DEAD LOAD OF TOWER

7.) HEAVY VERTICAL

2. QROWNERS & 4000[#]= 8000[#] 3. BUNDLES OF 2. CONDUCTORS & 18408[#]=55200[#] 63200[#]

COMBINE :

(1,2,54G) ×1.9

(1,330-2,3,546) ×1.5

(1,330=2,4,50G) x1.5

(7) (1.5

(12 of 5) XC.C (NE.S.C. WIND ON TOWER) FOR ULTIMATE UNIT STREESES, MATL, CATING, COMM-ECTIONS & SPECIFICATIONS, SEE A.B.D. OWS NOTIO347 NOTE: EXTENSIONS TO POSTS TO BE BUTT Spiced.



AMERICAN ELECTRIC BURER SERVICE COM 345K.V. SINGLE CIRCUIT TRANSMISSION LINE MEDIUM SUSPENSION, SUMED V TYPE VITA

TOWER DEPARTMENT DRAWING NO.T.10348 INQUIRY NO. T.9582

DATE: 4-10-61 CONTRACT NO.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

4/17/2014 3:52:31 PM

in

Case No(s). 14-0591-EL-BLN

Summary: Exhibit (Attachment B) electronically filed by Mr. Michael J. Settineri on behalf of Carroll County Energy LLC