

In the Matter of the Application of)
Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. for an) Case No. 13-2146-GA-RDR
Adjustment to Rider IRP and Rider DSM)
Rates.)

The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") moves to intervene in this case where the Infrastructure Replacement Program ("IRP") Rider and the Demand Side Management ("DSM") Rider rates for the residential utility customers of Columbia Gas of Ohio, inc. ("Columbia" or "the Utility") will be reviewed and modified by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission" or "PUCO").¹ OCC is filing on behalf of all of Columbia's 1.3 million residential utility customers. The reasons the PUCO should grant OCC's Motion are further set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

BRUCE J. WESTON
OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485
Telephone: Serio (614) 466-9565
joseph.serio@occ.ohio.gov

¹ See R.C. Chapter 4911, R.C. 4903.221 and Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11.

In the Matter of the Application of)
Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. for an) Case No. 13-2146-GA-RDR
Adjustment to Rider IRP and Rider DSM)
Rates.)

In its Notice of Intent, Columbia is asking the PUCO to review and modify its IRP rider rate for residential customers from \$4.71 per customer per month to \$5.71 per customer per month,² and its DSM rider rate from \$0.1360/Mcf to \$0.1595/Mcf.³ The IRP rider is to recover the cost of Columbia's Infrastructure Replacement Program. The DSM rider is to recover the cost of Columbia's Demand Side Management program. OCC has authority under law to represent the interests of all of Columbia's 1.3 million residential utility customers pursuant to R.C. Chapter 4911.

R.C. 4903.221 provides, in part, that any person “who may be adversely affected” by a PUCO proceeding is entitled to seek intervention in that proceeding. The interests of Ohio’s residential customers may be “adversely affected” by this case, especially if the customers were unrepresented in a proceeding where Columbia’s IRP and DSM rider rates will be reviewed and established. Thus, this element of the intervention standard in R.C. 4903.221 is satisfied.

R.C. 4903.221(B) requires the Commission to consider the following criteria in ruling on motions to intervene:

² Columbia Application at Eleventh Revised Sheet No. 27.

³ Columbia Application at Ninth Revised Sheet No. 28.

- (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor's interest;
- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;
- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceeding; and
- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

First, the nature and extent of OCC's interest is representing the residential customers of Columbia in this case involving the review and establishment of Columbia's IRP and DSM rider rates. This interest is different than that of any other party and especially different than that of the Utility whose advocacy includes the financial interest of stockholders.

Second, OCC's advocacy for residential customers will include advancing the position that the residential IRP and DSM rider rates should be no more than what is reasonable and lawful under Ohio law, for service that is adequate under Ohio law. OCC's position is therefore directly related to the merits of this case that is pending before the PUCO, the authority with regulatory control of public utilities' rates and service quality in Ohio.

Third, OCC's intervention will not unduly prolong or delay the proceedings. OCC, with its longstanding expertise and experience in PUCO proceedings, will duly allow for the efficient processing of the case with consideration of the public interest.

Fourth, OCC's intervention will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues. OCC will obtain and develop information

that the PUCO should consider for equitably and lawfully deciding the case in the public interest.

OCC also satisfies the intervention criteria in the Ohio Administrative Code (which are subordinate to the criteria that OCC satisfies in the Ohio Revised Code). To intervene, a party should have a “real and substantial interest” according to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(A)(2). As the advocate for residential utility customers, OCC has a very real and substantial interest in this case where Columbia’s IRP and DSM rider rates will be reviewed and established.

In addition, OCC meets the criteria of Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(1)-(4). These criteria mirror the statutory criteria in R.C. 4903.221(B) that OCC already has addressed and that OCC satisfies.

Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(5) states that the Commission shall consider the “extent to which the person’s interest is represented by existing parties.” While OCC does not concede the lawfulness of this criterion, OCC satisfies this criterion in that it uniquely has been designated as the state representative of the interests of Ohio’s residential utility customers. That interest is different from, and not represented by, any other entity in Ohio.

Moreover, the Supreme Court of Ohio confirmed OCC’s right to intervene in PUCO proceedings, in deciding two appeals in which OCC claimed the PUCO erred by denying its interventions. The Court found that the PUCO abused its discretion in denying OCC’s interventions and that OCC should have been granted intervention in both proceedings.⁴

⁴ See *Ohio Consumers’ Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm.*, 111 Ohio St.3d 384, 2006-Ohio-5853, ¶¶13-20 (2006).

OCC meets the criteria set forth in R.C. 4903.221, Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11, and the precedent established by the Supreme Court of Ohio for intervention. On behalf of Ohio residential customers, the Commission should grant OCC's Motion to Intervene.

Respectfully submitted,

BRUCE J. WESTON
OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

/s/ Joseph P. Serio

Joseph P. Serio, Counsel of Record
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485
Telephone: Serio (614) 466-9565
Joseph.serio@occ.ohio.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of this *Motion to Intervene* was served on the persons stated below *via electronic mail* this 3rd day of April 2014.

/s/ *Joseph P. Serio* _____
Joseph P. Serio
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

SERVICE LIST

Steven Beeler
Ryan O'Rourke
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St., 6th Fl.
Columbus, OH 43215
steven.beeler@puc.state.oh.us
ryan.orourke@puc.state.oh.us

Stephen B. Seiple
Brooke E. Leslie
Melissa L. Thompson
200 Civic Center Drive
P.O. Box 117
Columbus, OH 43216-0117
sseiple@nisource.com
bleslie@nisource.com
mlthompson@nisource.com

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

4/3/2014 3:19:56 PM

in

Case No(s). 13-2146-GA-RDR

Summary: Motion Motion to Intervene by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel electronically filed by Patti Mallarnee on behalf of Serio, Joseph P.