BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke)	
Energy Ohio, Inc., for an Adjustment)	Case No. 14-375-GA-RDR
to Rider MGP Rates.)	
)	
In the Matter of the Application of Duke)	Case No. 14-376-GA-ATA
Energy Ohio, Inc., for Tariff Approval.)	

APPLICATION OF DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC., FOR AN ADJUSTMENT TO RIDER MGP RATES

1. Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., (Duke Energy Ohio or the Company) is an Ohio corporation engaged in the business of providing natural gas services to customers in southwest Ohio and, as such, is a natural gas company as defined by R.C. 4905.0(A)(5) and a public utility as defined by R.C. 4905.02.

2. In this Application, Duke Energy Ohio seeks approval to adjust its manufactured gas plan (MGP) rider to recover 2013 costs for investigation and remediation of MGP sites pursuant to Ohio and federal environmental laws. Rider MGP was originally approved for recovery in Case No. 12-1685-GA-AIR, *et al.*, (Gas Distribution Rate Case) on November 13, 2013. Duke Energy Ohio hereby requests such tariff approval and accounting authority as may be required for recovery.

3. The Company's Gas Distribution Rate Case was partially resolved by a stipulation that provided, in part, for the establishment of Rider MGP, and an appropriate allocation of any costs.

4. The parties agreed to litigate whether the Company would recover costs associated with the environmental remediation of the MGP sites. After an evidentiary hearing

1

the Commission's Opinion and Order authorized Duke Energy Ohio to recover \$62.8 million, less \$2,331,580 for the purchased parcel, 2008 costs for West End site, and carrying costs for investigation and remediation costs incurred for the period January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2012, for the East End site, and January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2012, for the West End site.

5. The Commission explicitly authorized the Company to continue to defer the MGP costs for the East and West End sites for a ten-year period, and to file annual updates to Rider MGP as set forth in the Commission's Opinion and Order.

6. In support of this Application, Duke Energy Ohio submits the testimony of Peggy A. Laub, Jessica L. Bednarcik and Keith Bone. Duke Energy Ohio witness Peggy A. Laub will provide details supporting the calculation of the rider and rate implementation, Duke Energy Ohio witness Jessica L. Bednarcik will discuss the continuing investigation and remediation at the MGP sites, including management oversight and

7. This Application will not result in an increase in any rate, joint rate, toll, classification, charge, or rental. Therefore, it is not an application for an increase in rates under R.C. 4909.18 and the Commission may thus approve this Application without a hearing.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons set forth herein, Duke Energy Ohio respectfully requests Commission approval to adjust Rider MGP, as described herein.

2

Respectfully submitted,

aleutitle a

Amy B. Spiller Deputy General Counsel Elizabeth H. Watts Associate General Counsel 139 E. Fourth Street 1303-Main Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 (513) 287-4359 (telephone) (513) 2887-4385 (facsimile) Amy.Spiller@duke-energy.com Elizabeth.Watts@duke-energy.com

Attorneys for Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/31/2014 3:53:53 PM

in

Case No(s). 14-0375-GA-RDR, 14-0376-GA-ATA

Summary: Application Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for an Adjustment to Rider MGP Rates electronically filed by Carys Cochern on behalf of Watts, Elizabeth H. Ms.