

March 28, 2014


**Case No. 14-0180-EL-REN
Kreilkamp-Ann-IN-PV-4.32kW Residence**

**Case No. 14-0181-EL-REN
Kreilkamp-Ann-IN-PV-4.32kW Residence-2
Staff Interrogatories – Second Set**

Question 1: In Case. No. 14-0181 section N the meter reading in the image shows 209 kwh, but the meter reading in section N.1.e shows 240 kwh. Please submit a new right-side-up image of the meter as well as a revised section N.1.e.

Answer 1: Here is a photo of the Enphase Enlighten remote monitoring with a reading visible as of today – March 28, 2014.

The cumulative meter reading which should be entered in Section N.1.e is 1,060 kWh as of 03/28/2014.



Question 2: In your 3/18/2014 response to staff interrogatory for both cases, you claim:

"Each system is monitored and wired independently, and so they should be considered two different systems."

However, both applications contain the same meter photograph with identical date and time taken. Are these two systems being metered separately? If so, please provide the correct image of the appropriate meter for each facility.

Question 2:
 Photo for **Case No. 14-0180-EL-REN**
Kreilkamp-Ann-IN-PV-4.32kW Residence





Photo for **Case No. 14-0181-EL-REN**
Kreilkamp-Ann-IN-PV-4.32kW Residence-2



Please let me know if you have any further questions and thank you for your cooperation.

Best,

Anna Nocas
 Associate
 Sol Systems, LLC

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/28/2014 10:04:21 AM

in

Case No(s). 14-0181-EL-REN

Summary: Reply reply to staff interrogatory electronically filed by Ms. Anna Noucas on behalf of Kreilkamp, Ann