BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of the :
Petition of Norfolk :
Southern Railway Company :
to Close to Vehicular :
Traffic the Shores :

Road/Township Road 159
Grade Crossing (DOT No.

: Case No. 12-2145-RR-UNC
::

Grade Crossing (DOT No. : 472543T), Located in : Brown Township, Paulding : County, Ohio. :

PROCEEDINGS

before Mr. Jim Lynn, Hearing Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, called at 1:00 p.m. on Thursday, March 6, 2014.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215

(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

_ _ _

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Eastman & Smith, Ltd. By Mr. D. Casey Talbott	
3	One SeaGate, 24th Floor Toledo, Ohio 43604	
4	On behalf of Norfolk Southern Railway	
5	Company.	
6	Mr. Joseph R. Burkard Paulding County Prosecuting Attorney	
7	112 North Water Street Paulding, Ohio 45879	
8	On behalf of Brown Township.	
9	ALSO PRESENT:	
10		
11	Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General By Mr. Alan H. Klodell	
12	Associate Assistant Attorney General Transportation Section 150 East Gay Street, 22nd Floor	
13	Columbus, Ohio 43215	
1415	On behalf of the Ohio Department of Transportation.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

				3
1	INDEX			
2				
3	WITNESSES	PAGE		
4	Marty Adams			
5	Direct examination by Mr. Burkard Cross-examination by Mr. Talbott	6 10		
6	Michael L. Porter			
7	Direct examination by Mr. Burkard Cross-examination by Mr. Talbott Redirect examination by Mr. Burkard	19 24		
		32		
8	Catherine Mary Stout			
9	Direct examination by Mr. Talbott	34		
10	Cross-examination by Mr. Burkard Redirect examination by Mr. Talbott	40 45		
11	William L. Barringer, Jr.			
12	Direct examination by Mr. Talbott Examination by Hearing Examiner Lynn	46 78		
13	Cross-examination by Mr. Burkard Redirect examination by Mr. Talbott	81 88		
14	Anthony J. Burkley			
	Direct examination by Mr. Burkard	89		
15	Cross-examination by Mr. Talbott	92		
16				
17	PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS	ID'D	REC'D	
18	A - PUCO and FRA Crossing Profiles	55	96	
19	B - Recent Traffic Counts	56	96	
20	C - TR159 Roadway Photos	59	96	
21	D - Photos of Crossings	64	96	
22	E - Aerial/Mileage Overview	11	96	
23	F - Parcel Chart	77	96	
24				
25				

Thursday Afternoon Session,
March 6, 2014.

- - -

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Let's go on the record at this time. The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio has assigned for evidentiary hearing at this time and place Case No. 12-2145-RR-UNC, In the Matter of the Petition of Norfolk Southern Railroad Company to Close to Vehicular Traffic Stores Road/Township Road 159 Grade Crossing, Located in Brown Township, Paulding County, Ohio.

I'm Jim Lynn, the attorney examiner assigned to hear this case. At this time we'll have the appearances of the parties. We'll begin with Norfolk Southern Railway.

Mr. Talbott.

2.0

2.1

MR. TALBOTT: Thank you, Mr. Lynn. Casey
Talbott of Eastman and Smith here on behalf of
Norfolk Southern Railway Company, and I'm accompanied
by our corporate representative, Bill Barringer, who
is the director of Grade Crossing Safety.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Thank you.

And, Mr. Burkard.

MR. BURKARD: Sir, my name is Joe
Burkard, I'm the Paulding County Prosecuting Attorney

representing Brown Township. Today I have with me
Marty Adams, who is one of our township trustees, and
then also Mike Porter, who is one of the residents in
Brown Township.

2.0

2.1

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Fine. Thank you.

Mr. Burkard, given that you have brought some persons from the community with you, we'll actually begin with them.

MR. BURKARD: That would be fine.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: So whoever you'd like to call as a witness first.

MR. BURKARD: Thank you. At this time we'd like to call Marty Adams. Would you like him -- HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Yes.

Mr. Adams, come up here to the witness stand, please. Mr. Adams, if you would raise your right hand.

(Witness sworn.)

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Thank you. Have a seat and, again, could you indicate your role up there in Paulding County.

THE WITNESS: My name's Marty Adams and I'm a Brown Township trustee.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Burkard, go ahead with any questions

6 1 you may have. 2 MR. BURKARD: Thank you, Mr. Lynn. 3 4 MARTY ADAMS 5 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows: 6 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 By Mr. Burkard: 9 Mr. Adams, I'm going to ask you, how long 10 have you lived there in Brown Township in Paulding 11 County, Ohio? 12 Α. My entire life; 50 years. 13 Q. How long have you been a trustee for 14 Brown Township? 15 Α. Two-and-a-half years. 16 During your tenure as Brown Township 0. 17 trustee you've got to know the voice of the people in 18 your township, correct? 19 Correct. Α. 2.0 Okay. And it's my understanding that you Q. 2.1 feel there is a demonstrable need for that crossing 22 on Road 159 to continue in existence; is that also 23 correct? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. Can you --

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Go ahead, please.

Q. Can you tell me some of the reasons that you as trustee and a resident of Brown Township feel that there's a need for that crossing at Road 159 to continue in existence?

1.3

2.0

2.1

A. Yeah. Actually, several. One being future expansion. You never really know, industrial or housing, what's going to be there. You know, we don't know what's going to be there 50 years from now. Once that road is closed and that field — it will never be opened again for, you know, future expansion.

Also, I serve as a firefighter in that community and I know the other roads and that would be the preferred route to the house down there on that road for emergency access.

- Q. Could you maybe expand a little bit on that, the need for that access to the sole house on that road.
- A. The other route, which was pointed out to me before and I commented on that, it's actually a little longer, on a map it doesn't look a big difference, but I drive that truck with 1500 gallons of water on it and I know the waviness, the poor condition of the other road, your response time would

be cut by, only an estimation, two, three minutes, and them two minutes is a lot, that's a whole lot in a heart attack condition, house on fire.

- Q. Okay. Can you describe the condition of the Road 159 there in Brown Township?
- A. That is actually a stone road, but it is a smooth road as opposed to the other route we would have to travel, as a fire. There's a lot of dips, curves. Both roads are narrow, so.
- Q. So is there a concern about speed but also safety of the road that you have to travel?
 - A. Correct. Yeah.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Okay. What other concerns do you have for this body as far as the need for this to continue to be in existence?
- A. Like I said, the future expansion of it and the emergency access really. I just, I don't want to see our community held back because we closed a road that possibly in the future we'd be wishing was open.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: I have a question. You mentioned an alternate road or other road and how many more minutes it would take to go that route. What road is that? What's the name of it?

THE WITNESS: I believe it is Township 1 2 Road 82. 3 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: And the name of it, just Township Road --4 5 THE WITNESS: The name, it's just "Township Road 82." 6 7 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Again, the reason 8 you would go to that road would be if the crossing at issue is closed, the other road would be used for 9 10 what, just deliveries, or you mentioned you drive a 11 water vehicle and so on. 12 THE WITNESS: For fire. I'm on the Fire 13 Department. 14 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Okay. 15 THE WITNESS: And we've actually 16 responded to an auto accident down that road in 17 question. 18 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: 19 THE WITNESS: On 82. And we could travel 2.0 at like 35, 40 mile an hour to be safe in the fire 2.1 truck, otherwise, you stand a chance of being -- the 22 road dips right, left, right, left, and you'll end up with the vehicle in the ditch. 23 2.4 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Okay. I don't

have any more questions at this time. Mr. Burkard,

1 | any more questions?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

22

25

Q (By Mr. Burkard) Any other concerns,

Marty, that you have that you haven't already shared today?

A. As far as the farmer access, I think the farmer that uses that now possibly could be landlocked into his farm, I'm not real sure if there's another access to that field, and this could create him to go maybe three, four miles around with the farm equipment.

MR. BURKARD: Okay. At this time I have no other questions for this witness.

13 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Okay.

14 Mr. Talbott.

MR. TALBOTT: Sure.

16

17 CROSS-EXAMINATION

18 By Mr. Talbott

Q. Mr. Adams, Casey Talbott. We had a chance to meet sometime previously. Nice to see you again.

A. Nice to see you.

Q. I have a few questions for you. So the record's clear --

MR. TALBOTT: And may I approach, your

11 Honor? 1 2 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Yes. 3 MR. TALBOTT: May I put this up here? Thank you. 4 5 Mr. Lynn, I'm not sure if you have a great view of that, but it's Exhibit E. 6 7 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: I can't see it, 8 but I have a smaller copy. 9 MR. TALBOTT: Joe, can you see this? 10 MR. BURKARD: Thank you. 11 (By Mr. Talbott) So the record's clear, 12 Mr. Adams, the Township Road 159 we're talking about, 13 closing this crossing here, that's the one at issue, 14 correct? 15 Α. Correct. 16 Okay. And when you say that Township 17 Road 159 is a stone and dirt road, that's actually 18 only accurate from 613 to the Porter residence, 19 correct? 2.0 Correct. Α. 2.1 Ο. Okay. Meaning it's stone for about 22 two-thirds of a mile to the north, correct? 23 Right. Correct. Α. 24 0. Correct? 25 Α. Yes.

- Q. And if we go to the south, it's actually paved heading down to 82, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir, that is correct.
- Q. Okay. And, again so the record's clear, when you're talking about the possibility of future expansion, you do have one singular residence on this roadway currently, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. All right.
- 10 A. There was two. Someone lived there but he's moved out.
- Q. Somewhere in the past there was a trailer, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. So currently you have one single residence there, right?
- A. (Witness nods.)
- Q. Okay. When you say -- when you're talking about -- let's talk about the EMS for a second. EMS service is provided out of Oakwood, correct?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. A nice new facility on Sixth Street, right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. All right. So when EMS is coming in and they come in due west on 613, they get to the main intersection here in Melrose, correct?
 - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

22

- Q. And that is the intersection marked here by where the orange and the yellow come together, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And at that point as an EMS provider you're acknowledging that you have a choice, your choice is you can go north and take -- you could go north, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Or you could go south, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. If you go north, the first thing you're doing is you're having to cross a railroad track, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then you head west on 613, you get to 159 and you need to take a left, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Onto a dirt/stone road, correct?
- A. Actually, the first part of it I believe is also paved.

14 It's not. Have you been out there? 1 Q. 2 I was thinking that was paved on that Α. 3 side too. 4 But you're not sure of that, correct? Ο. 5 Α. Right. Okay. It's not. 6 0. 7 And immediately, when you turn, you've 8 got to go over another railroad track, correct? 9 Α. Correct. 10 0. With a relatively high profile, correct? 11 Yes. Α. 12 Okay. Higher profile than 165 or 151, Q. 13 correct? 14 Yeah, I believe so. Okay. And then -- and then you've got to 15 0. 16 drive two-thirds of a mile on a stone and dirt road 17 to this house, correct? 18 Stone, yes. Α. 19 Okay. An alternative would be, if you 20 come south on 177, you take a right on 282 and then 2.1 you take a right on 159 and you're at this house, 22 correct? 23 Α. Correct. 24 Okay. When you make that trek, all three

of the roads we just named are paved, correct?

- A. Paved but Township Road 82 is very poorly paved and a lot of dips in it.
- Q. Okay. And I've driven it recently. You talked about how wide these roads are. Have you yourself before coming in here today actually taken measurements of 151, 159, 165, 82, or 177?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

- Q. Have you measured them?
- A. I have not measured them.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Talbott, just for the record, I'll note you mentioned I believe 282, it's Township Road 82.

MR. TALBOTT: And I apologize if I misspoke, your Honor. Call you "your Honor"?

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Yes.

MR. TALBOTT: All right.

Q. Yeah, Township Road 82 there.

And when you say, you did testify when there was a, there was a public -- the PUCO facilitated a public meeting some time ago regarding this case, correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And at that public meeting

 Mr. Lynn was there, Hearing Officer Lynn was there,

 correct?

16 1 Α. Yeah. 2 I was there, right? Q. 3 Α. Yep. Mr. Burkard was there? 4 Ο. 5 Α. Yep. ORC may have had a representative present 6 0. 7 I don't recall, but on behalf of the township there 8 were three trustees there, right? 9 Yeah. This is the one that was held at Α. 10 our trustee building. Yes. But there were not any residents 11 Ο. 12 present, correct? 13 Α. No, I don't believe there was at that 14 time. 15 0. Right. So none of the farmers or none of 16 the, you know, local residents, not one person came 17 in, correct? 18 Α. Correct. 19 All right. And you did -- you did make 2.0 some remarks to Hearing Officer Lynn, right? 2.1 Α. Yes. 22 And what you said is with regard to EMS, 23 your quote was, quote: The shortest route is always 24 the best route. Correct?

Normally, yes.

25

Α.

Q. Okay. Well, here you said the shortest route is, quote, always the best route. Did I read that part correctly? Quote: The shortest route is always the best route.

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

- Q. And by "shortest" I'm assuming you're talking about shortest in time as opposed to shortest in distance; that's common sense, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. All right. Because if you've got a mile that's flat, it's very different than a half a mile that's up over a mountain, right?
 - A. Right.
- Q. And so the record's clear, if you go -- well, strike that.

Have you actually ever with a stopwatch timed from this critical juncture in Melrose, Ohio, timed going to the north heading to the singular residence versus going to the south on 177 and going to the singular residence?

- A. No, sir, never with a stopwatch.
- Q. Would you be surprised that actually the time -- well, strike that.

MR. TALBOTT: That's it.

25 | HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: I'll note for the

```
18
     record, Mr. Talbott, when he refers to going north
 1
 2
     and south, it looks as though it's County Road
 3
      170- --
 4
                  MR. TALBOTT:
                                Seven.
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: -- 7, okay.
 5
 6
                  MR. TALBOTT: Correct, your Honor.
 7
                  And you're clear on that, right? When
 8
     EMS gets to this point, you acknowledge that your
9
     preferred route, you're telling us your preferred
10
     route would be to go north on 177, correct?
11
             Α.
                  Yes.
12
                  Your alternate route, your first
13
     alternate route would be to go south on 177 to
14
      Township Road 82 to Township Road 159 --
15
             Α.
                  Correct.
16
             Ο.
                  -- correct? Okay.
17
                  MR. TALBOTT: Your Honor, that's all I
18
     have at this point.
19
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Thank you.
2.0
                  MR. TALBOTT:
                                Thank you.
2.1
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Any additional
22
     questions, Mr. Burkard?
23
                  MR. BURKARD: I have no other questions
24
     at this time.
25
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: All right.
```

19 Mr. Adams, thank you. You may take your seat. If I 1 2 have further questions I'll be asking you, you will 3 still be under oath. Thank you, though. (Witness excused.) 4 5 MR. BURKARD: Your Honor, we would next call Mike Porter. 6 7 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: All right. 8 Mr. Porter, raise your right hand, please. 9 (Witness sworn.) 10 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Thank you, 11 Mr. Porter. Please take a seat. 12 Mr. Burkard. 13 MR. BURKARD: Thank you. 14 15 MICHAEL L. PORTER 16 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 17 examined and testified as follows: 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 By Mr. Burkard: 2.0 I'm going to ask you to state your full Q. 2.1 name. 22 A. Michael L. Porter. 23 Mike, where do you live at? Q. 24 I live on 613 between Oakwood and Α.

25

Melrose.

- Q. Is that in Brown Township?
- A. Yes.

2.0

2.1

- Q. How long have you lived there?
- A. Nineteen years.
- Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the Road 159 railroad crossing?
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. Can you give me some of your feelings of whether or not it would be appropriate to close that railroad crossing.
- A. I don't think any of them should be closed along there. They were put there for a reason to begin with, that's to get from one side to the other, and once they're closed, like Marty said, they don't open again.

I got friends over in Putnam County that farm and they closed the one down there, it's on Road 18 on the west side of Kieferville, and I knew, like I said, several friends that farm on both sides of the railroad tracks, big machinery; everybody knows that's what we all run now.

So you go across that crossing to stay out of traffic on 613 and State Route 15, now they got to go three miles around through town to Kieferville into heavy traffic on State Route 15,

into heavy traffic on State Route 613 and, you know, that's the only way they got to travel.

Besides the aggravation of going around three miles there's also, you know, a lot more traffic and people don't watch for machinery. I mean, unless you've operated the stuff and know how it is, you can't understand.

- Q. So your concerns are safety, number one.
- A. Absolutely.
- Q. And also convenience to get to the area that's being farmed or being accessed.
 - A. Yes.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Are you a farmer?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. How important is that to you as a farmer, to be able to get directly to the field as quickly as you can?
- A. I had formerly bought hay and straw and I bought from everybody around in Brown Township and Auglaize and that, and to be able to make crossings and stuff like that and stay off of main roads when I pull a wagon or two wagon loads of hay stacked seven high, it's not just convenient for all the traffic going down 613 because I don't have -- 15 cars backed up behind me that I can't see, you know, when I'm

2.2

pulling two wagons with a tractor, I got old stuff, I don't have mirrors, I don't have all that kind of stuff, so it's convenient for me to stay out of traffic.

Maybe it's a mile or two farther to go across that crossing from time to time, but it keeps me out of traffic. Keeps everybody else safe.

- Q. Are you familiar with Township Road 82?
- A. Yep.
- Q. Have you driven that?
- 11 A. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Tell me, your reaction to that is --
 - A. It sucks.
 - Q. Okay. Tell me what you mean by that.
 - A. Plain English. It's rough. I mean, our township's not made of a lot of money. I mean, we don't get repaved roads every year, two years, three years, they get patched in here, they get patched in there, they get patched what needs to be patched and then they go on and patch the next one. We just, our township's not made of money.
 - Q. By comparison State Route 613, how does that compare?
- A. Much smoother.

- O. The state maintains it?
- A. Wider, traffic can see either side of you to get around it and, you know, like I said, it does make for convenience.
 - Q. Are you familiar enough with that area to know if you've ever known of or been witness to any accidents at that railroad site crossing?
 - A. None of I know of. I been there, like I said, 19 years and I never heard of anybody hurt there.
- 11 Q. No problem.
- 12 A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

- Q. In your mind does that railroad crossing need to continue in existence?
 - A. Absolutely.
- Q. And that best serves the township and the community?
- 18 A. Yep.
- MR. BURKARD: Thank you. I have no further questions.
- 21 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Porter, I
 22 have a question. Is that crossing one that you use
 23 yourself?
- 24 THE WITNESS: In the past I used it 25 pretty regular when I was pulling hay wagons.

24 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: I see. 1 2 THE WITNESS: But I just, I recently quit 3 bailing hay and straw, but I'm not the only one that 4 does this. 5 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Sure. 6 THE WITNESS: I'm also looking, you know, 7 some of my friends that still do and, you know, 8 safety of the kids that work for them and everything 9 just like where I was. 10 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Thank you. 11 Mr. Talbott, do you have any questions of 12 the witness? 13 MR. TALBOTT: Very few. 14 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION 16 By Mr. Talbott: 17 Mr. Porter, you don't farm in the -- I'll 18 come up -- you don't farm in this quadrant here, 19 correct? 2.0 Α. No. 2.1 Ο. Okay. You live somewhere over here, 22 right? 23 Α. Yes. 24 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: For the record,

Mr. Talbott is pointing to the, approximately the

2.5 area where Township Road 159 and the railroad tracks 1 2 intersect. 3 MR. TALBOTT: Correct. 4 THE WITNESS: Yep. 5 0. So when you say that in the past you used to use the 159 crossing, how long ago are we talking 6 7 about? 8 Within the last two years. Α. 9 Ο. Okay. So within the past two years 10 what's your best estimate as to how many times you've 11 actually crossed that track? Probably half a dozen. 12 Α. 13 Q. So in the past two years you've used this 14 crossing probably six times? 15 Α. Yeah. 16 Okay. And by "this crossing" I'm talking Ο. 17 about the TR 159 crossing, correct? 18 Α. Uh-huh. 19 0. Right? 2.0 (Witness nods.) Α. 2.1 Q. You have to say --22 Α. Yes. Thank you. 23 Q. 24 MR. TALBOTT: I think we're all set, your 25 Honor. Thank you.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Thank you, 1 2 Mr. Talbott. 3 Mr. Burkard, any other questions? MR. BURKARD: Your Honor, I don't have 4 5 any other questions for Mr. Porter, but I do have one 6 other witness that I didn't know was going to be here 7 today, but a very brief witness if I could. 8 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Okay. Before we 9 go to that person, Mr. Adams, I had an additional 10 question for you. The land on the north and south 11 side of the tracks here at Township Road 159, is that 12 all owned by the same person, do you have any idea? 13 MR. ADAMS: I believe there's two 14 different owners there. 15 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: That is one 16 person on the north side, one on the south side? 17 MR. ADAMS: Yes. I believe so. 18 haven't looked at a plot map to verify. 19 MR. TALBOTT: Could you repeat the 2.0 question, your Honor? 2.1 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: My question was 22 where Township Road 159 intersects the railroad 23 tracks, is the land on both the north and south side 24 of the track -- the tracks run east and west, is the 25 land on the north and south side of the tracks all

```
owned by the same person or different persons.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. TALBOTT: Yeah, the answer is that it is owned by the same person but -- I know I'm not testifying.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Sure.

MR. TALBOTT: He's not on the stand, but he said to a possibility.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Well, he's still under oath, though.

MR. TALBOTT: Okay. But he's wrong.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Well, Mr. Adams, at any rate, could you repeat that again, please, to your knowledge.

MR. ADAMS: To my knowledge, I wasn't sure, and he's very possibly correct, I know Morris Dobbelaere farm's there, but I'm not sure of the ownership of it.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Okay. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Could I say something?

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Certainly.

THE WITNESS: Okay. One of the other reasons I don't want to see this crossing closed, our biggest employee in Paulding County is Cooper Farms, and I know for a fact there was a farm that was 40 acres right across from where John Porter lives

and Coopers tried to buy it. John bought it because he didn't want to have a turkey barn across from his house; that's what his decision was on that.

2.0

2.1

But John's 60-some years old, he's I think two or three years older than me so probably about 62 or 63, sometime in the future there's a good chance he could retire. If that ground comes up for sale and Cooper Farms would happen to buy that, having that access to 613 would be critical for an operation for them to have that out there.

And the reason why I want to see something like that stay open, jobs number one, our county relies on Coopers for jobs and if they close that crossing off and they've got no access to haul feed to turkey or hog farms that they put in over there and they've got -- if they put in a feed grinding plant or something like that, just like they're doing on the east side of Oakwood right now, if they put some sort of building or something in to process for their business and they have no access to get out to 613, that could mean, you know, who knows how many jobs.

And I've got kids and grandkids and they need work, you know. This is an industry that we always need because, you know, food, everybody's got

to eat and Coopers is in the food business and as long as they're treating our county good like they do I don't want to see them cut off, you know.

And there's a good chance, like I said, they look in their future of adding buildings or something like that they could look at this area because it's not right in a town. Nobody wants hog barns or turkey barns in their backyard so they look at places like this to buy, and if they've got access to be able to go put them buildings up and stuff like that, they're going to look at a place like that before they'll move somewhere 30, 40 miles away from the rest of their operation.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Talbott.

MR. TALBOTT: Yes, if I may.

- Q. Sir, you're not employed by Cooper Farms, correct?
 - A. I'm not employed by Coopers.
 - Q. And you're not here --
 - A. No.

2.0

- 21 Q. -- as Cooper's representative, correct?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- Q. As you sit here today you don't have any clue as to Cooper's position as to this closure, correct?

- A. I have no position $\--$ no idea what their position is on that $\--$
 - Q. And let me ask you --
- A. -- but I'm just saying, we, you know, we have our one supplier there and one business there in our township and they've been, you know, they've been very good to us so anything we can do to try to keep them there rather than take it somewhere else we'd rather do.
- Q. You're talking about commercial vehicles accessing the facility, okay?
 - A. (Witness nods.)
 - Q. I assume you've driven --
- A. I know how that grade is, but it could be repaired if you put something in.
- Q. Let me ask a question. I assume you've driven the three crossings at 151, 159, and at 165, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Have you ever -- have you -- and would you agree with me, 151 is a paved roadway? Correct?
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. Is that right?
- 24 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

Q. Okay. 165 is a paved roadway, correct?

31 1 Α. Okay. 2 Is that right? Q. 3 Α. Yeah. 4 Okay. 159 is a dirt and stone roadway, Q. 5 correct? Pretty much. 6 Α. 7 Okay. Have you measured any of those 8 roadways to determine which one is the widest and 9 which one is the narrowest? 10 I'm not an engineer, no, and I haven't 11 measured it, no. 12 Q. Okay. Do you know as you sit here today 13 which is the narrowest of the three? 14 I haven't got a clue. Α. 15 Q. Okay. 16 And I'm sure you know. Α. 17 Q. I do. 18 And I think you volunteered this, that 19 the profile on 151 and 165 is lower than the profile 2.0 on 159, correct? 2.1 Α. Probably. 22 Okay. Do you know as you sit here, 151, Q. 23 does that have active lights and gates? 24 Α. Yeah, I think it does.

Okay. 165, does that have active lights

25

Q.

and gates?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

A. That's the one past? No, that's got crossbucks.

Q. So your recollection, and you live right in this area, correct?

A. I live just -- I live just east of Melrose right on 613.

Q. Okay. And so your recollection is 151 has lights and gates, 165 has crossbucks, what about 159, does that have crossbucks or lights and gates?

A. That's the one you're talking about closing?

Q. Right.

A. It's got -- just got crossbucks.

Q. Just got crossbucks.

MR. TALBOTT: That's all I have. Thank

17 you.

18 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Thank you.

Mr. Burkard, any follow-up to that?

20

21 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

22 By Mr. Burkard:

Q. Mr. Porter, you indicated on Road 159 you've actually used that crossing multiple times --

A. Sure.

- Q. -- during your operation?
- A. Yeah.

1

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Ever had any problems going up and over it with your equipment?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Know of any of the farmers in the community that have ever had any problems going over that grade --
 - A. None that I've ever heard of.
 - Q. -- being slightly higher?
- MR. BURKARD: No other questions.
- 12 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Thank you.
- 13 Mr. Porter, you may take your seat, thank you.
- 14 (Witness excused.)
- 15 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Talbott, if
- 16 | you would like to call your first witness.
- MR. TALBOTT: We would, your Honor. We
- 18 | would call Cathy Stout with the Ohio Rail Development
- 19 Commission.
- 20 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Thank you. If
- 21 you'd like to raise your right hand.
- 22 (Witness sworn.)
- HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Thank you.
- 24 Please have a seat.
- Mr. Talbott, please continue.

	34				
1	MR. TALBOTT: Thank you, your Honor.				
2					
3	CATHERINE MARY STOUT				
4	being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was				
5	examined and testified as follows:				
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION				
7	By Mr. Talbott:				
8	Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Stout.				
9	A. Good afternoon.				
10	Q. Would you state your full name for the				
11	record.				
12	A. Catherine Mary Stout.				
13	Q. I did not know your middle name was Mary,				
14	so I know now.				
15	Would you tell us where you're employed.				
16	A. I'm sorry?				
17	Q. Yes, would you tell us where you're				
18	employed.				
19	A. State of Ohio, Ohio Rail Development				
20	Commission.				
21	Q. Okay. And, Miss Stout, how long have you				
22	been with the Ohio Rail Development Commission?				
23	A. Five years.				
24	Q. All right. What is your current				
25	position?				

- A. I am the manager for Safety Programs.
- Q. Is that throughout the state of Ohio
 - A. Correct.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

- Q. -- just in around --
- A. State of Ohio.
 - Q. Okay. Miss Stout, what was your position immediately before that?
 - A. Assistant manager, Safety Programs.
- Q. Okay. And your former manager's Susan
 Kirkland?
- 12 A. Correct.
 - Q. Just briefly, Miss Stout, would you describe what those positions entail, in other words, what responsibilities?
 - A. Okay. We manage federal highway safety improvement funds for grade crossing safety improvement and we evaluate grade crossings for engineering solutions to improve safety at the grade crossings. I'm also the environmental coordinator of our development commission.
 - Q. Thank you, Miss Stout.
- 23 Miss Stout, do your responsibilities 24 include evaluating which, if any, of the state's 25 crossings might be appropriate for closure?

A. Yes, it does.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Okay. And why is the Ohio Rail
 Development Commission interested in that, making
 that type of evaluation?
- A. For a couple of reasons. First off, the Federal Railroad Administration in 1991 established a goal to eliminate up to 25 percent of redundant grade crossings in the nation, and the ORDC supports the Federal Rail Administration's goal. And the reason we support that goal is safety. When you have eliminated a grade crossing, there's no future potential to have a train-vehicle crash at that location; it saves lives.
- Q. Thank you. Thank you, Miss Stout.

 And, Miss Stout, is that a -- interested in making that evaluation particular to Brown Township or throughout the state of Ohio?
- A. Well, throughout the state of Ohio we evaluate crossings for that purpose.
 - Q. So it isn't -- okay. That's fine.

 Miss Stout, when you look at a crossing,

when "you" I'm talking about the ORDC, when you look

23 at a crossing toward determining whether there's a
24 demonstrable need for it, what sort of factors do you

25 consider? What are you looking at?

A. Okay. We look at safety. We look at alternate routes. We look at the access that folks have; we certainly can't landlock folks so we look at that. We look where nearby crossings are in relation to the crossing they're considering. We look at warning devices. We look at the average daily traffic on the crossing. We look at train count and speed. We look at economic influence and impact of that crossing, and cultural and community impacts of the crossing.

Q. Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Miss Stout.

Miss Stout, I want to direct your

attention to the Township Road 159 crossing in Brown

Township. Has the ORDC had the opportunity to

evaluate this crossing for closure more specifically

toward evaluating whether there's a demonstrable need

for the crossing to exist?

A. Yes.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Okay. And what type of factors jumped out at you when you evaluated the crossing for closure?
- A. Well, at the time we looked at it there were two residences served by the crossing. There is a crossing on half a mile either side of the crossing we're reviewing, 159 crossing, both of those

crossings have active warning devices.

We looked at the fact that at the time there was about 34 trains per day on that line, a very high traffic train line, and it had a vehicle count of only 26 vehicles and --

- Q. Per day you're talking about.
- A. Per day.
- Q. Okay.

2.0

2.1

- A. And then it looked like there were alternative routes that it wouldn't landlock anyone so we considered it a viable closure.
- Q. Okay. Is this 159 a through route or is it a -- do you know how long the roadway is, approximately?
- A. I think it's about a mile. I don't -no, I didn't travel it when I was in the area.
- Q. The ORDC assisted in putting the aerial overview together that we're looking at there?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Miss Stout, as manager of Safety Programs for the ORDC and based upon your experience and upon the ORDC's analysis of the TR 159 crossing, do you have an opinion, to a grade crossing safety probability, with respect to whether there's a demonstrable need for this crossing?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

- Q. And what is your opinion?
- A. Well, to me it certainly looks like a viable closure. To me it looks like an extremely hazardous crossing with potential for a serious and possibly fatal accident to occur.
- Q. And that's based on some of the factors you've given us before?
- A. Yes, based on the train speed, I believe that there are alternate routes that are reasonable and I believe the crossing should be closed.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you.

And, Miss Stout, you're aware as you sit here today that Norfolk Southern has petitioned for the closure of this crossing?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And let me ask you this, does the ORDC always agree with every position advanced by Norfolk Southern?
 - A. No.
- Q. No? Okay. But in this instance you do.
- 22 A. Correct.
- MR. TALBOTT: Okay. Thank you,
- 24 Miss Stout. That's all I have.
- 25 | HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Burkard.

MR. BURKARD: Thank you.

2.0

2.1

2.4

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Burkard:

- Q. Miss Stout, you've indicated there's a traffic count, a daily traffic count of 26 times that road is used and when was that? I guess at what point in the year was that actually taken?
- A. That was the vehicle count that was in the PUCO database at the time we were evaluating this crossing, so that would have been around 2011. The ORDC first became involved in reviewing this crossing in 2005 and I know they -- I don't believe that there's been any increase in traffic or usage since that time. It may be less.
- Q. Okay. And do you know what time of the year that that vehicle count was taken?
 - A. No, I do not.
- Q. Okay. So would you agree with me that if it was taken probably in an off-farming season like during the winter, it probably would be a lower count than during the farming season when things are very active in an agricultural community?
- A. The traffic will change seasonally, but based on what I observed in July of 2011 when I was

out there, I can't imagine that there would be anything significantly more than what was in the database at the time.

2.0

2.1

2.4

- Q. Okay. But you don't know when or how that database information was developed for that crossing.
- A. The database was developed around 1999 and the vehicle and train counts are updated as that information is made available to us, so without looking at that, the data for that particular crossing, it will say it's a public database that can be accessed and it will say when the train count was updated last or when the vehicle count was updated last.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: And, Miss Stout, you're referring to the count of trains per day is also from the Public Utilities Commission database.

THE WITNESS: Correct.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Okay.

- Q. Miss Stout, you'd indicated that this particular crossing appears to be a hazardous crossing. And do you know --
 - A. Every crossing is a hazardous crossing.
 - Q. I would agree.

Do you have any information or any

evidence that there have been motor vehicle or farm-related vehicle accidents at this particular crossing?

2.0

2.1

A. Not for this particular crossing, I don't have the records with me for that crossing, however, if I can state an observation based on my experience with the Rail Commission, I have seen accidents occur or heard of accidents that occur on crossings that are not used very frequently. There was a recent accident at a crossing that was a private crossing on somebody's driveway, the people know those trains are there, they live there, yet there was still a fatality.

I think the hump, one of the things I observed out at the crossing was the amount I had to slow down for that hump, and given the speed and the number of trains, if you're slowing down to negotiate that hump, it's very similar to a crossing at Altz Road (phonetic) that had a hump and there was a fatality. But that was the first thing I thought of as I crossed that crossing was how much it was like the Altz Road crossing as far as the hump and how much I had to slow down to cross it. And you've got high-speed trains there.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Again, when you

say "that crossing," you're referring to the one on Township Road 159 --

THE WITNESS: Yes.

2.0

2.1

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: -- that's at issue here.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

- Q. But at the 159 crossing we're talking about today, no accidents that you're aware of?
 - A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. Okay. Now in your position in the safety arena, if this railroad crossing doesn't close, what happens?
- A. From the ORDC's perspective, we have no further involvement with the crossing unless something changes; if there is some development, if there is a fatality. I believe that the ORDC's position and my recommendation to the ORDC management would be that we did not initiate any kind of grade crossing safety improvement simply because of the fact that it's been through this process and we still believe that it's a redundant grade crossing.
- Q. Okay. But it is possible, though, that there could be some improvements to that railroad crossing, correct?
 - A. There could always be improvements.

- Q. Okay. And there could be lights, there could be arms that go up and down that are actuated by trains passing, that would be a safety improvement that could possibly happen there rather than closure.
- A. It could. It's not -- it is not an improvement that I would recommend to my management.
- Q. Okay. Does that come from your arena, or does that come from another source?
- A. There's a couple of options. It could come from the ORDC, or it could come from the PUCO. It could even come from Norfolk Southern itself.
 - Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

- A. It could come from the township if the township decided that it believed the crossing was -- warranted lights and gates, they could enter into an agreement with Norfolk Southern to have those lights and gates put in place.
- Q. Okay. So but if for today's purposes, if the Public Utilities Commission decides this shouldn't close, you would not recommend any changes to that particular crossing on Road 159, correct?
 - A. No. Correct.
- MR. BURKARD: Thank you. No further questions.
- 25 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Talbott.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. Talbott:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

2.0

22

23

24

25

- Q. Just a quick follow-up. When you support Norfolk Southern's position, the petition here, and you advocate for the closure of this crossing, are you trying to be proactive as opposed to reactive? I mean, would you like --
 - A. Oh, absolutely.
- Q. Okay. Why don't you just sit here and wait three years until a fatality occurs? Would that be a good move?
- A. I don't believe so.

MR. TALBOTT: Okay. Thank you.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Burkard?

MR. BURKARD: I have no further questions for this witness, your Honor.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Just a minute. I
may have some questions for you.

Mr. Talbott, do you have any additional witnesses?

MR. TALBOTT: I have Mr. Barringer.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Okay.

Miss Stout, you can take your seat for now and
Mr. Barringer can come up, and I may have some
additional questions depending on what Mr. Barringer

```
46
 1
      says.
 2
                  (Witness excused.)
 3
                  MR. TALBOTT: So we call Bill Barringer
      from Norfolk Southern.
 4
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Yes.
 5
 6
      Mr. Barringer, raise your right hand, please.
 7
                  (Witness sworn.)
 8
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Thank you. Take
 9
      a seat.
10
                  THE WITNESS: Thank you.
11
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Talbott,
12
     please proceed.
13
                  Oh, one question. Mr. Barringer, again,
14
      you represent?
15
                  THE WITNESS: Norfolk Southern.
16
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Okay. Go ahead.
                  MR. TALBOTT: Thank you.
17
18
19
                    WILLIAM L. BARRINGER, JR.
2.0
      being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
2.1
      examined and testified as follows:
22
                        DIRECT EXAMINATION
23
      By Mr. Talbott:
24
             Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Barringer.
25
             A. Good afternoon.
```

- Q. Would you state your full name for the record.
 - A. William Lee Barringer.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you.

5 And what, sir, is your professional

6 address?

1

2

3

- 7 A. 1200 Peachtree Street South -- Northwest, 8 Atlanta, Georgia.
- 9 Q. I understand you're employed by Norfolk
 10 Southern.
- 11 A. I am, sir.
- Q. Okay. And could you tell us in what capacity?
- A. I am director of Grade Grossing Safety
 for the entire system.
- Q. You say "the entire system" meaning beyond the state of Ohio?
- A. Twenty-two states in which we operate in, yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Barringer, what does this position involve? Just tell us a bit about your responsibility.
- A. Basically, our responsibility is we act
 as liaisons between Norfolk Southern and communities,
 state DOTs, citizens to improve grade crossing safety

across our 22-state system.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

Q. Thank you.

Mr. Barringer, how long have you been working in the railroad industry?

- A. This month, 40 years.
- Q. Congratulations.
- A. Thank you.
- Q. How long with Norfolk Southern?
- A. Since 1998.
- Q. Okay. Immediately before Norfolk Southern you were --
- A. I was director of Safety for Conrail out of Philadelphia. Consolidated Rail Corporation,
 Philadelphia.
 - Q. You mentioned -- how long have you been involved in grade crossing safety?
 - A. Generally my entire railroad career I've been in the safety office in one fashion or another since I hired in in 1974. More recently coming to Norfolk Southern in 2003 I was dedicated to fully being involved in grade crossing safety issues.
- Q. And you're currently the director of Grade Crossing Safety for the entire Norfolk Southern system.
- A. Yes, sir, that's correct.

- Q. All right. This grade crossing safety has been your entire career it sounds like.
 - A. Pretty much.
- Q. All right. And is it winding down as we speak?
 - A. April 1.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

- Q. All right. Well, I thank you for coming in from Atlanta for today's hearing and notably thank you for your years of service toward improving rail safety --
 - A. Thank you.
 - Q. -- throughout the system.
- Mr. Barringer, is one of your responsibilities evaluating what crossings throughout the Norfolk Southern system may be appropriate for closure?
- A. Yes, sir, that's one of the areas in which our group works and we work in areas of education, engineering, enforcement.
- Q. Okay. Let me, when you say "education," are you a member of any national leadership programs?
- A. We are -- Norfolk Southern supports

 Operation Lifesaver, it's a national program for

 prevention of loss of life at highway-rail

 intersections.

(Interruption.)

2.0

2.1

2.4

- A. We support Operation Lifesaver, which is a national program for -- nonprofit for the prevention of loss of life on the nation's highway, an education program. I've been involved in that for -- since 1990 and recently I was elected as a chairman of the board for the national office out of Washington, DC.
- Q. So you're the chairman of the board of the National Operation --
 - A. Operation Lifesaver.
 - Q. -- Life Saver.
 - A. Yes, sir, I am.
- Q. All right. Mr. Barringer, you stated that one of your responsibilities is evaluating what crossings throughout the system may be appropriate for closure; why do you do this?
- A. Miss Stout talked about a few of them.

 There are many reasons. We're looking to provide safety to the community, provide safety to the traveling public, provide safety to the operations of our trains. It's a joint partnership between all the parties we are involved with.
- Q. Okay. Miss Stout mentioned a federal mandate, are you familiar with that?

A. We are part of the, you know, we're governed by the Federal Railroad Administration. As she said, in 1991 the Federal Railroad Administration looked at accidents and the crossings we have in this country and they said we have at least 25 percent too many, you know, more crossings than what we need in this country and they have mandated the railroads to go out and look at their property and crossings and look for opportunities to consolidate crossings where they can and they gave us a mandate looking at 25 percent.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Mr. Barringer, we're here today to talk about primarily one crossing in particular, that is Township Road 159 in Brown Township. Are you familiar with this crossing?
 - A. Yes, sir, I'm very familiar with it.
- Q. Okay. I'm not talking about looking at photographs. Tell us how you're familiar with the crossing.
- A. I have been to this crossing many times, driven over it, driven the area, looked at it, been out on the street, you know, from the highway side, the roadside, the field side, looked at the crossing and surrounding crossings in adjacent area also.
 - Q. I think you answered that, so you've

actually evaluated not only Township Road 159 but you have inspected and driven the other crossings and roadways as well.

- A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
- Q. All right. Mr. Barringer, I'm hoping that -- I want to run through some information with you toward giving Mr. Lynn some greater information regarding the TR 159 crossing and the surrounding crossings and roadways. First let's talk about the TR 159 crossing itself.

Miss Stout testified that the -- well, first of all, what's the, to your understanding, the approximate daily vehicle count at the crossing?

- A. The Ohio PUCO record shows 26 trains a day.
 - Q. No; talking vehicles.
 - A. Excuse me. Vehicles a day.
 - Q. Yes.

2.0

2.1

- A. And as we prepared for this hearing we took the opportunity to go out and do a traffic count so we could have some kind of point of reference, so the ORDC or the PUCO says 26 trains a day. When we did our recent traffic count for vehicles, we did 15 per day.
 - Q. Okay. Are you taking issue with the

PUCO's number?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- A. No, sir, I'm not.
- Q. Okay. And can you tell us what the -so, if I understood you, you erred on the side of
 doing a traffic count just to make sure we're within
 striking distance of the PUCO.
- A. Having a point of reference, yes, sir.

 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Talbott,

 Mr. Barringer, when was that traffic count done by

 Norfolk Southern?
- 11 THE WITNESS: Within the last two or three weeks.
- MR. TALBOTT: Just recent and that's one of the exhibits.
- 15 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: So approximately
 16 what, February of 2014 I guess.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Maybe a little later than 18 that, but within the last three weeks I would say.
- 19 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: All right.
- 20 THE WITNESS: And I think there's a paper 21 in the file that shows the traffic count; exhibits.
- HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Okay.
- MR. TALBOTT: We'll come to that.
- Q (By Mr. Talbott) Mr. Barringer, can you tell us what the approximate train count is at this

crossing?

2.0

2.1

- A. Over this line of track, this is our -one of our main lines that runs from Buffalo,
 New York, to Chicago. It's one of our key routes.
 And currently we're running 32 to 34 trains a day at
 maximum timetable speeds of 60 miles an hour.
- Q. Okay. Let's talk for a moment about the adjacent crossings, and you can feel free to look at that chart if you'd like. The Township Road 165 and 151, can you tell us the approximate vehicle counts at those crossings?
- A. The next crossing east, which is Township Road 165, PUCO has a count of 70 vehicles per day. When we did our count, we counted nine per day.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. On the adjacent west crossing, TR 151, PUCO has a count of 332 vehicles per day, and we measured 121 vehicles per day.
- Q. Again, do you take issue with the PUCO's count?
 - A. No, sir, I do not.
- Q. And you do recognize that there could be some seasonal difference and numbers.
 - A. Absolutely.
 - Q. Okay. And I assume that the train count

for the two adjacent crossings is identical to the train count for 159?

- A. It is. And it varies by season also. The one thing we have to note is that rail traffic in this country is projected to go up 50 to 70 percent in the next five to ten years, so we could see this as a core route, this train traffic increasing dramatically.
 - Q. Thank you.

2.0

2.1

MR. TALBOTT: May I approach?
HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Yes.

MR. TALBOTT: Thanks.

- Q. Mr. Barringer, would you confirm --
- A. If I may for point of reference, the Chicago line, which is the next line up, is another one of our core routes.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. And they're running 126 trains a day currently.
- Q. Okay. Would you confirm what we've marked for the record as Petitioner's Exhibit A are the PUCO and FRA profiles or, pardon me, inventories for these crossings, the three crossings you just discussed.
- A. Yes, sir, they are.

Q. Okay. Thank you.

2.0

2.1

And these contain information such as traffic and train counts and other information as well?

- A. Yes. Basically, the inventory for the state and also the federal database record for the inventory.
- Q. Okay. And, Mr. Barringer, I'm now handing you -- you had referenced a more recent traffic count. Can you confirm that that is what's in Petitioner's Exhibit B.
- A. Yes. It's the traffic count we commissioned on March 5th of this year or, well, February 25th dated March 5th of this year.
 - Q. Okay. The cover letter dated that.
 - A. Right.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Barringer, so if, so we're on the same page here, if the Township Road 159 crossing was closed and vehicular traffic was redirected to one of the two adjacent crossings, would we be talking about a large number of vehicles being redirected or a relatively low number?
- A. In our methodology, the way we look at crossings and crossing consolidations, the easiest way to do it is divide the number of vehicles on a

- given crossing and, you know, separate it and move to the two additional crossings. So if the highest number you've got is 26, so that would move 13 cars to each one of the adjacent crossings.
 - Q. So you're talking about approximately one vehicle every other hour --
 - A. About every other hour.
 - Q. -- at the adjacent crossings?
 - A. Yes, sir, I am.
 - Q. I want to chat for a second about the involved roadways and the adjacent crossings.
- A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. First, would you describe the Township
 Road 159 roadway for me?
- A. 159 is a north-south cut-through road that runs from SR 163 down to Township Road 82.
- Q. Okay. Actually, from State Route 613.
- A. 613. I'm sorry. A little glare there.
- 19 Sorry about that.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. State Route 613.
- Q. You may have said; approximately how long is it?
- A. About a mile long. Two-thirds of it is a gravel compact road, the last one-third closer to TR

82 is a paved road.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Okay. And so the record's clear, your testimony is from State Route 613 down to the -- well, do you know how many residences are on this one currently?
- A. It's just one residence on the road currently.

(Interruption.)

- Q. Can you tell us, Mr. Barringer, how many residences are located on TR 159?
 - A. Currently, there's one residence.
- Q. Okay. At some point in the past there was a trailer that's no longer there?
 - A. That's my understanding.
- Q. Okay. And Mr. Adams I believe testified that he thought that TR 159 was paved between 613 and the track; is that accurate?
- A. From my recollection of being out there and the photographs, I remember it's a gravel road, there may be a little pavement, you know, a runoff from the state route, but it's basically a gravel road.
- Q. Okay. So you're talking about the approximate two-thirds of a mile from State Route 613 to the Porter residence is a gravel, a gravel-dirt

roadway?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

- A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. And then from the sole residence to the south, to 282 [verbatim], that's a paved roadway.
 - A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
 - Q. Okay. Mr. Barringer, can you confirm that what we've marked as Defendant's Exhibit C are true and accurate photographs depicting the roadway condition on TR 159 to the north of the Porter residence?
 - A. Yes, I can.
- Q. Thank you.
 - Mr. Barringer, is TR 159 more narrow or wider than the adjacent roadways?
- A. In taking some basic measurements, TR 159 is about nine-foot wide going from edge to edge along --
 - Q. Edge of the gravel to edge of the gravel?
 - A. Edge of the gravel to edge of the gravel, yes, sir. About nine feet.
- Q. Is that wider or more narrow than the other roadways? And don't tell me the other roadways' measurements yet.
- 25 A. It's the narrowest of the three crossings

```
1 in this area.
```

- Q. Okay. Now let's talk about 165 and 151.
- 3 | HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Just a minute.
- 4 Mr. Talbott. I have a question or two for
- 5 Mr. Barringer.
- Stores Road, how many vehicles would you
- 7 say would be able to travel on that road at one time
- 8 at any time?
- 9 THE WITNESS: Two at a slow pace. It's
- 10 not a high-speed road. Gravel. You know, two normal
- 11 vehicles could pass.
- MR. TALBOTT: I think he's talking about
- 13 | widthwise.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah, they could pass.
- 15 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: So two could pass
- 16 each other.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- 18 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Going in opposite
- 19 directions.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Right. That's correct.
- Q (By Mr. Talbott) As long as they're
- 22 hugging the roadway?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Hugging the edge?
- 25 A. Yes.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: And what about the speed limit on that road, do you have any idea what that is?

THE WITNESS: I didn't see a posted speed limit, but it's got to be slow because of the gravel.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Okay. All right.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

22

23

24

25

Go ahead, Mr. Talbott.

- Q. And regardless of the speed, gravel creates limitations on how fast you can drive?
 - A. Yes, sir, it does.
- Q. Mr. Barringer, we're just starting to talk about the adjacent roadways, 165 and 151, are they paved or unpaved?
- A. TR 165 is a paved roadway, and TR 151 is a paved roadway.
- Q. Okay. And approximately how wide are they?
- A. Approximately, TR 165 is approximately
 11 feet wide, and TR 151 is approximately 18 feet
 21 wide.
 - Q. Okay. You're talking about from edge of pavement to edge of pavement.
 - A. Yes, sir. Generally.
 - Q. And then plus a little stone berm on the

edges.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

22

- A. Right. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Barringer, let's talk a moment about the crossings themselves. First, how far from the Township Road 159 crossing are the immediately adjacent crossings, TR 165 and TR 151?
- A. About half a mile in each direction equally spaced out.
- Q. Okay. So you have one crossing approximately half a mile to the east and the other approximately a half mile to the west.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. And so the record is -- or, strike that.
 - Mr. Barringer, would you describe for me the warning devices at each of the three crossings.
- A. Sure. The east crossing, TR 165, has
 gates, lights, and bells. The middle crossing here,
 the TR 159, is a passive crossing with crossbucks and
 a yield sign on it. And the far west crossing,
 TR 151, has gates, lights, and bells on it.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. Automatic warning devices.
- Q. When Mr. Porter testified that at least to his recollection TR 165 was passive, did not have

active lights and gates, he was just simply wrong in that regard?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. So the record's clear, 165 and 151 have active warning devices, 159 has passive.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. So to the extent that closure results in the redirection of the, you know, fairly low number of vehicles to adjacent crossings, those vehicles are going to be redirected to crossings with active warning devices as opposed to passive?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. Mr. Barringer, can you also describe for me the profile of the -- the profiles of the three respective crossings?
- A. If you look at the three of them together, 159 has the highest profile of the three.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Barringer.

So to the extent that closure resulted in the redirection of a fairly low number of motor vehicles to the adjacent crossing, they'd be redirected to crossings with lower profiles.

- A. Lower profiles, better geometry, and gates, lights and bells, automatic warning devices.
 - Q. And paved roadways and wider --

- A. Paved roadways, yes, sir.
- Q. Mr. Barringer, can you speak briefly to the sight distance at the three crossings.
- A. This is a tangent level track and a motor vehicle operator approaching the crossing, prepared to comply with their duty under law, is going to be able to see for a long way. No problem with sight distance at all.
 - Q. See a country mile either way.
- 10 A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

- 11 Q. And that's at all three crossings?
- 12 A. All three crossings, yes, sir.
- Q. Now, two of them have active warning devices --
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. -- one has passive, but all three you can see for a country mile.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Mr. Barringer, I want to talk, well, I
 want to hand you what we've marked as Defendant's
 Exhibit D. Can you confirm that these are photos
 which truly and accurately depict the three crossings
 you've just discussed from the opposite sides?
- A. Yes, sir, they depict the three crossings we're talking about this afternoon.

Q. Thank you.

2.0

2.1

Mr. Barringer, I want to speak with you for just a moment about the potential impact of closing the TR 159 crossing. Have you had the opportunity to review the transcript from the PUCO public hearing?

- A. Yes, sir, I did.
- Q. You were present and had the opportunity to hear Mr. Adams speak earlier today --
 - A. Yes.
- Q. -- about it and specifically you're aware as you sit here that a concern that has been voiced is with respect to EMS response time.
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. And specifically we're talking about EMS response time to the Porter residence which is the sole residence on 159.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. Mr. Barringer, let's spend a few minutes speaking to that concern. First, can you confirm for the record where the safety service building is located?
- A. The EMS fire rescue is in Oakwood, which is not depicted on the map, but it is further east on this horizontal line that comes into the center of

Melrose.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. Approximately how far up 613 are we talking?
- A. I believe it's about two-and-a-half miles, maybe 2.6 miles.
- Q. Okay. And so we heard from Mr. Adams that as EMS is coming in from Oakwood, they come to a critical intersection in the middle of Melrose; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. All right. Mr. Barringer, just so we know what we're identifying, can you confirm what we've marked for the record as Petitioner's Exhibit E is a true and accurate copy of an aerial and mileage overview of the involved area.
 - A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Talbott,
- 19 please.
- Mr. Barringer, again, you're saying it's
- 21 about 2.6 miles from Oakwood to Melrose.
- 22 THE WITNESS: From the fire station to
- 23 the center, what I call the main intersection of
- 24 Melrose.
- 25 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: And that's along

1 Route 613.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's correct.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Thank you.

- Q (By Mr. Talbott) Okay. Mr. Barringer, have you yourself actually confirmed the mileage set forth on this chart?
 - A. Yes, sir, we have.
- Q. All right. And have you, in fact, driven each of these roadways?
- A. Yes, sir, I have, numerous times and compared the measurements and all came in close proximity of each other.
- Q. Mr. Barringer, we've heard -- we just talked about with Mr. Lynn and confirmed that EMS comes in from Oakwood to this critical intersection in Melrose and specifically we're talking about the intersection of State Route 613 and County Road 177, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. All right. And at that point,

 Mr. Barringer, EMS has a choice of going to the

 right, meaning the north, or going to the left,

 meaning the south, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. Mr. Barringer, can you walk

us through each of those routes from a rail safety perspective?

A. Sure.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Let's start going north.
- A. When you approach the intersection, you make a right-hand turn onto a paved road, you go a couple blocks, you approach the highway rail intersection of the main line, cross over the tracks; it's a crossing that has gates and lights on it. You move around to the left, turn to the left and you go a distance and you make a left-hand turn on TR 159. So you come off a paved road onto the gravel road. You immediately are faced with the grade crossing there at the intersection. At the intersection you've got another two-thirds of a mile down to the residence on unpaved road.
- Q. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Barringer.

 Now, that's talking about if you go to the north.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And let's walk us through if you go to the south.
- A. Right. If you go to the south, you're again on a paved road. You come down to TR 88, you make a right-hand turn on TR 88.

69 1 Q. Eighty-two. Eighty-two, I'm sorry. 2 Α. HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: When you say 3 "come down," that's --4 5 THE WITNESS: Going south, in a southerly direction. 6 7 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: -- County Road 8 177. 9 THE WITNESS: County Road 177. 10 Make a right-hand turn on TR 82 to 11 TR 159, make a right on 159 and about a third of a 12 mile to the residence there on that road, on a paved 13 roadway. 14 Okay. Thank you, Mr. Barringer, so 0. 15 that -- would you confirm -- strike that. 16 You mentioned 177 and 82. Are those both 17 paved roadways? 18 Yes, they are. Α. 19 Okay. Have you had -- can you tell us 2.0 how wide each of those roadways is? 2.1 Generally, they average between 17 and 22 18 feet wide each of them.

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

Pavement to pavement.

You're talking pavement to pavement.

And there's some additional berm on each

23

24

25

Q.

Α.

Q.

side.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- A. Berm on each side, a little gravel maybe.
- Q. All right. Mr. Barringer, have you -- have you actually driven each of those routes?
 - A. Yes, sir, I have, numerous times.
- Q. Have you actually timed each of those routes?
 - A. I have.
- Q. Okay. And can you tell -- for the purpose of today can you tell the hearing officer which way is quicker?
- A. The south route going down south on 177 to the residence is, on average, four minutes and six seconds. Going the northern route, 177 to State Route 613 and back down, averages about four minutes and 32 seconds.
- Q. That was based on your own driving and timing of the roadway.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 20 | HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: And,
- 21 Mr. Barringer, you're referring to the time from, is
- 22 it from Melrose to the residence or from Oakwood?
- THE WITNESS: From Melrose. From the
- 24 intersection there.
- 25 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Okay. All right.

MR. TALBOTT: And I should have done a better job clarifying that.

- Q. Mr. Barringer, you testified that from the Oakwood safety services to the intersection in Melrose, the critical intersection, that's approximately two-and-a-half miles?
 - A. Two-and-a-half miles, correct.
 - Q. So that's a constant regardless --
 - A. Yes, sir.

2.0

2.1

- Q. -- of which way they're going to go at the critical intersection. But your testimony is if you go to the right and head north and cross 159, it's going to take you longer than if you go to the south and come in the back way.
 - A. By timewise, yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. So and it's actually, you made a good point, the distance, when Mr. Adams testified that or at the public meeting that the, quote, shortest route is always the best route, in this instance we have a little difference there because the short, the, quote, shortest route distancewise is heading north, correct?
- A. Right. It's about two-tenths or four-tenths of a mile difference between the two routes.

- Q. And that's depicted on the chart. I think if you go to the north, it's 2.33 miles.
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. If you go to the south, it's 2.68 miles.
- A. That's correct.
- Q. But driving it, it's actually quicker to go the south route?
 - A. Timewise, yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And the reason being is you've got -- if you go north, you've got to cross the railroad tracks not one time but two?
 - A. Right.
- Q. And you've also got to drive on a dirt and gravel road?
 - A. Right. And there is, you know, an additional safety factor.
 - Q. What do you mean?
- A. You know, we have seen this historically within the United States, we work very hard and very closely with our emergency response community throughout the United States to try to prevent these guys and gals out there, who we need to protect our safety, to keep them from being involved in train-vehicle accidents.
 - We've had some very tragic accidents in

this country and high speeds involved in them and the guys and gals out there who ride the service have adrenaline pumping and they get what's called tunnel vision and, you know, they are so used to motoring public yielding to their fire trucks and their police vehicles and ambulances, well, trains don't yield. Trains have no ability to steer away.

And so we work very hard to advise the community at all possible when you're responding to an incident, try not to have to cross railroad tracks.

And one of the key points of this project is by taking the south route going down 177 you avoid that opportunity for emergency response vehicles to have an accident with a train.

- Q. Let me make sure the record's clear on that. You're saying if they go, and what Mr. Adams said was the preferred route which you've said is actually longer timewise --
 - A. Yes, sir.

2.0

2.1

Q. -- if you go the one route, how many opportunities does -- back up further.

You know, we're talking maybe once a year EMS or safety service, or once every five years, has to go out to this residence, whatever it is.

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

18

19

2.0

- Q. On the rare occasion that safety service would have to go to this residence, if they go north, how many times are they going to have to cross a heavy mainline railroad track?
- A. They're exposing their apparatus and their men to two opportunities for a vehicle-train collision.
 - Q. Okay. Specifically, one time on 613?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And then the second time at the crossing you discussed on 159.
 - A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
 - Q. And so the record's clear, if they go south on 177 and come in the back way, it's quicker, right?
- 17 A. Yes, sir, by time.
 - Q. And how many times do they interact with the rail tracks doing that?
 - A. Zero.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Talbott, when
 you say "the back way," again, you're referring to
 177 to County Road 82 and then on to 159 from there.
- MR. TALBOTT: Yes, sir, your Honor.

```
1
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Okay.
 2
                  MR. TALBOTT: Which I believe was the
 3
     testimony was the second preferred route of the EMS
     folk.
 4
 5
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: One other
 6
     question. Mr. Barringer, you mentioned -- you're
 7
     describing emergency management or --
 8
                  THE WITNESS: Fire, ambulance, police.
9
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Fire, ambulance
10
     as well?
11
                  THE WITNESS: Anything. Yes, sir.
12
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: And they would
13
     all come from Oakwood again.
14
                  THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. That's my, I
15
     mean, the police I think in their area is the county
16
      sheriff, so I don't know that they're headquartered
17
     there, but this is --
18
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: No you're
19
      saying --
2.0
                  THE WITNESS: -- Oakwood would be fire
2.1
     and emergency response to my understanding.
22
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: All right. Thank
23
     you.
2.4
                  (By Mr. Talbott) Mr. Barringer, at least
25
      in passing at the PUCO public meeting and then here
```

today there's been a concern raised with respect to the potential or possible impact closure would have on local farmers; can you speak to that?

2.0

2.1

- A. I had an opportunity to read the transcript, it's my understanding that, you know, very few people showed up at the meeting. There was no farmers there that actually farm the territory showed up at the meeting. You know, we've gone through and looked at the parcels and the property to see what would be impacted and from our look at the parcels and the people on it, that there's alternate access, people can cut through their farm fields to get to the parcels. They're all able to be accessed, their farm parcels.
 - Q. Because they own multiple parcels?
 - A. Yeah, they own multiple parcels.
- Q. Let's say they don't. If they have to drive around, where would you rather see commercial and farm vehicles crossing? Would you rather see them crossing the higher profile passive on 159 or would you rather see the --
- A. I would rather see these type of vehicles, any vehicle, go to a crossing that has automatic warning devices on it and has a lower profile, less opportunity to get hung up and more

opportunity for the vehicle operator to be made aware of an approaching train.

- Q. And paved roads?
- A. Paved roads, yes, sir.
- O. Wider roads?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

- A. Yes, sir, they're wider.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Barringer, you referenced some parcel information you looked at. Can you confirm that Defendant's Exhibit F is a true and accurate copy of that?
 - A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. And then when you referenced looking at the, reviewing the transcript from the public, the PUCO's public meeting, you weren't there, right?
 - A. No, I was not, sir.
- Q. But from looking at the transcript there were three trustees there, correct?
 - A. That's my understanding, yes.
 - Q. But no residents.
 - A. No residents, that's correct.
- Q. All right. Mr. Barringer, as director of
 Grade Crossing Safety for Norfolk Southern based on
 your career-long experience in the railroad industry
 and more notably your years in grade crossing

safety, in your review and analysis of the Township Road 159 crossing in particular do you have an opinion to a grade crossing safety probability with respect to whether there is a demonstrable need for this crossing?

2.0

2.1

A. This is a classic case for consolidation. There's not a need for this crossing. It serves one residence. It has alternate access on east and west within half a mile to have roads that are paved, gates, lights, and bells, better geometry on the crossing, lower profiles, it is a crossing that has very few vehicles on it, even using the highest standard and even doubling it to a seasonal factor to 50, 60, a hundred cars a day, that still is well below any factor that the industry, the FRA, would use in looking at opportunities for consolidations.

Again, this is just a classic textbook case where a crossing needs to be consolidated with the adjacent crossings.

MR. TALBOTT: Thank you, Mr. Barringer. That's all I have.

- - -

EXAMINATION

By Hearing Examiner Lynn:

Q. Mr. Barringer, I have a few additional

questions for you --

2.0

2.1

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. -- just so we'll get this on the record. Township Road 159 or Stores Road where the crossing at issue is located we're talking about, just to clarify, does Township Road 159, is it in any way a through road or does it go south of Route 82 and north of Route 613?
 - A. No, sir, it does not.
- Q. Okay. And you've indicated there is one residence on that road.
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. One home. Or one homeowner. Do you have any knowledge of whether they have any children, whether they would be getting a school bus, any knowledge of that?
- A. No, I do not. I've thought about that question and, again, you know, with my experience in the industry, you know, the industry has had some very tragic accidents involving children and school buses and one of the recommendations that's been made by the NTSB is that school buses should use routes that have automatic warning devices.
- So in this case, if I was the school bus superintendent for this area, I would direct my

drivers not to use this crossing without --

- Q. But you don't have any knowledge --
- A. No, sir, I do not.
- Q. -- of that particular residence.
- A. Correct.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Okay. Melrose apparently is the nearest community. Do you have any idea whether any businesses will be using that route, Township Road 159?
- A. I would assume that the resident there gets mail delivery, UPS package deliveries, the normal commercial business that would go to any residence. In the times that I've been out there I've never seen any vehicles on the road when I've been driving it, and I've been out there during the week, during the days, morning, afternoons, and on Sunday afternoons.
 - Q. So you were there on different days --
- A. I've been to this crossing many times over the last ten years or so looking at it and trying to, you know, look at a plan on how we can make this crossing and this area safe for the community.
- Q. When you say "many times," could you give an estimate maybe?

- A. I'd say at least five times, yes sir.
- Q. Do you have any knowledge of what the nearest school would be to that home owner?
 - A. Not as I sit here right now sir.

 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Okay. All right.

6 Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

Let's go off the record for a minute.

(Discussion off the record.)

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Back on the record. Mr. Burkard, please go ahead with your questions.

12

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Burkard:

- Q. Mr. Barringer, you indicated most of your career has been with the railroad and then most recently with Norfolk Southern?
- A. All of my career. I graduated high school, did two years of college, went to work for Penn Central Railroad in 1974 in Cincinnati, Ohio. So I've worked my way up through the ranks since then.
- Q. And with this federal directive you're currently evaluating crossings for Norfolk Southern to close.

- A. Across the entire 22 states, yes, sir, we are.
- Q. Does Norfolk Southern or do you ever reach out to an independent evaluator to look at crossings to say should that crossing close or shouldn't it close?

2.0

2.1

- A. Our independent evaluation is with working with the state. It's a joint partnership.

 So if we would go out and look at a line segment or a crossing, we may have, you know, some thoughts on the process but we are always looking for the partnership with the state DOT involved with public crossings.

 Communities, it's a joint partnership. We don't just come in and unilaterally say this crossing looks like it needs to be closed and proceed. We try to do it in a partnership and work with the communities to make, you know, the crossings safer in the communities.
- Q. You said one of your directives or one of your core parts of the evaluation is prevent the loss of life at these various locations --
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. -- that you're evaluating, do you have any knowledge of any accidents or collisions with trains that occurred on Township 159?

- A. No, there's never been an accident there.
- Q. Okay. Is that unusual in the various crossings that you're looking to close or is that usually a precursor to that?

2.0

2.1

A. It's just one of the factors we use. You know, surely if a crossing has more accidents, you know, we're going to look at those at a faster level than we would those that didn't have accidents.

But this particular line segment was part of a overall project we did with the state of Ohio. We went to the state of Ohio and said we wanted to do a major improvement project for the entire state.

Ohio is very important to Norfolk

Southern. It's a key route for us both north, south,
east, and west. We've got five operating divisions
that operate here. There's major, major
through-traffic here and, you know, when you run
trains and you have vehicles in an intersection, you
have to do things to prevent that from happening. So
it's a great partnership for the citizens in the
state of Ohio.

- Q. Now, you talked about the profile of Township Road 159 versus the two adjoining roads to the east --
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. -- and to the west. Was there some elevation taken by an engineer, or what's the basis other than merely seeing it by eye?
- A. There's -- in the PUCO there is a profile diagram and it shows the profile, how narrow it is, you know, and that's how high the crossing is from the level roadway, and they've measured it out so it's part of the PUCO inventory record.
- Q. Okay. And as part of your observations of not only the record but also personal observations are we talking about two inches or are we talking about two feet?
 - A. As far as profilewise?
 - Q. Elevation, yes.

2.0

2.1

- A. I'm going to say it's probably four to five feet and it's more pointed, if you would, than the other two crossings. The other two crossings of course have a profile too, but they're more level and flat which is really what you try to obtain when you have roads that have lots of vehicle traffic on it so that you have that nice, even flow of service whenever you can do that, possibly. If the current, you know, geography of the area allows for it.
- Q. That probably was as a result of the improvements that were made with the lights and the

other things on the two adjoining roads?

2.0

2.1

- A. It could be. It could be just the way the geography of the land is over the years.
- Q. Now, you had described as you're looking from Township, excuse me, yeah, Township Road 159 looking west and also looking east, there are no obstructions for a significant period of time, are there?
- A. That's correct. There's a couple bushes and trees along the fence line maybe, you know, but as far as sight obstructions, when you pull up to where you're supposed to look for the train, you can see a very long distance.
- Q. Which is a positive for that location versus having trees or --
 - A. Right.
 - Q. -- buildings or anything else?
- A. It's a positive and a negative. One of the things we teach in our education classes is that people have a tendency to misjudge the speed of a train.
- If you've ever looked at a jet landing in an airport and it looks like it's just barely falling, you know, it looks like it's just barely landing, it's going very slow, and that's because of

the triangulation of where you're standing looking at that plane, when that plane is really doing 4-, 500 miles an hour into a landing. Maybe not that much, but I guess 200, 300. That triangle makes that plane look like it's barely floating along.

2.0

2.1

The same happens with railroad crossings. If you stand and look at the crossing, the parallel lines run together and then that train sits there at the distance, and people have a very hard time judging the speed, and that's one of the things that we always look at to say is this a crossing that needs to be consolidated or do we need to look at doing other treatments at the crossing.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Barringer,

I'll follow up on a question from Mr. Burkard. You said there had been no fatalities at that crossing.

Have there been any collisions at that crossing?

THE WITNESS: No, I could not find any record in our database or the FRA database.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: All right. Thank you.

Q. Mr. Barringer, there were several questions by Mr. Talbott regarding the alternative route from the central point in Melrose, one going north, one going south, and you indicated you had

actually driven both of those points.

A. Yes, many times.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Is it fair to say that going north the roadway is more stable, flat, and probably safer than going to the south on the Road 177 to Township Road 82?
- A. It is definitely a paved road, a little bit nicer road than TR 82. I don't know if you could say it's safer. I mean, you have to look at the parameters of it.

You're definitely going to be exposed to vehicle-train incidents, collisions, at the railroad tracks. You're going to have more oncoming traffic. In this day of distracted driving, you know, people should yield to the lights and sirens but, you know, I live in Atlanta, Georgia, so it's a different world there.

So, you know, I mean safety is a matter of that time and situation.

- Q. But if you have about, I think you estimated maybe 34 trains running across that track per day; is that correct?
 - A. Thirty-two to 34 currently, yes, sir.
 - Q. So that's maybe 1 plus an hour.
 - A. One an hour, right. As it stands today.

1 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Just to clarify 2 for the record, Mr. Barringer indicated 82 as a 3 township road. It's a county road, actually. County Road 82. 4 5 MR. BURKARD: Oh, township road, sure. 6 I have no other questions for this 7 witness at this time. 8 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Talbott, any 9 additional questions? 10 11 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 12 By Mr. Talbott: 13 Mr. Barringer, I'll ask you the same 14 thing I asked Miss Stout, are you trying to be 15 proactive here with regard to closing this crossing

A. That is the primary mission of our grade crossing report is to be as proactive as we can to look at opportunities to make grade crossings safer.

MR. TALBOTT: Thank you.

notwithstanding that there has never, to your

knowledge, been an accident or fatality?

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Talbott, do you have any additional witnesses?

MR. TALBOTT: We do not, your Honor.

25 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Burkard, I

```
89
      believe you said you had one additional witness.
 1
 2
                  MR. BURKARD: I did if we could --
 3
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: If you want to
      call him to the stand, fine. I neglected to do that
 4
      earlier.
 5
                  MR. BURKARD: That's fine. It was a last
 6
 7
      minute thing. Call Tony Burkley.
 8
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Barringer,
 9
      thank you.
10
                  THE WITNESS: Thank you.
11
                  (Witness excused.)
12
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Burkley,
13
      raise your right hand please.
14
                  (Witness sworn.)
15
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Burkard, go
16
      ahead.
17
                  MR. BURKARD: Thank you. I appreciate
18
      that.
19
2.0
                       ANTHONY J. BURKLEY
2.1
      being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
22
      examined and testified as follows:
23
                        DIRECT EXAMINATION
24
      By Mr. Burkard:
25
             Q.
                  Mr. Burkley, I'm going to ask you to
```

state your name.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- A. Anthony J. Burkley. Tony Burkley.
- Q. And, Mr. Burkley, where do you live at?
- A. Payne, Ohio.
- Q. How long have you lived there?
- A. Pretty much all my life. I mean, I lived in Paulding for a while, but I grew up in Paulding County.
- Q. Okay. You've held a couple of elected positions there in Paulding County, correct?
- A. Yeah. I was county commissioner for 16 years and now state representative.
 - Q. Okay. Mr. Burkley, are you familiar with this Township Road 159?
 - A. Yeah, like I mentioned earlier, I'd grown up in Paulding County and I traveled the back roads of Paulding County quite often, especially when you're campaigning in a small community, that's what you do.
 - Q. Okay. Now, in your current position you represent the constituents in a number of different counties including Paulding, correct?
- A. Yeah. I represent four different counties, Defiance County, Paulding County, Van Wert County, and part of Auglaize County.

Q. As part of your job has this Township Road 159 issue been on your radar?

2.0

2.1

- A. Yeah, it was brought to my attention by the trustees previously and they made me aware of what was wanting to transpire and so I kind of followed it as it's gone through the process.
- Q. In your opinion, not only as a resident but also as a representative, is there a necessity to close this Township Road 159?
- A. I could see how -- when the federal government mandates something, there's a reward and then there's a penalty for not achieves those goals, and I can understand where the Rail Commission and the railroad may feel this is low-hanging fruit and it would be an easy close, but it's my view that it is not in the best interest of Brown Township and the residents that they serve.

There's made mention earlier that when they held public meetings, that there was not a lot of residents that showed up to those meetings. I would submit that the township trustees are the representatives for the people in their township. As we know, sometimes the meetings are held when farmers tend to fly south for the wintertime and so they aren't easily accessible to a meeting, but it's

always been my view that they represent the people in their district. It's a few thousand people that they're responsible to.

I as a representative, on the other hand, am responsible for 120,000 people in the district and it's a part of my district that I have a concern about, and I don't think it's in the best interest of Brown Township to -- for this to be closed.

MR. BURKARD: Thank you. I have no further questions.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Talbott.

MR. TALBOTT: Yeah, I guess just a few.

- - -

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Talbott:

2.0

2.1

Q. Representative Burkley, first thanks for coming in today. As you sit here you're guessing as to why residents didn't come in to the public meeting, right? You didn't -- you weren't told somebody flew south. I mean, you're aware that the PUCO took time out of their day and out of their life, scheduled a meeting up there in that same area in Melrose, right there in Melrose or Oakwood, wherever it was, and in response the three trustees showed up but not a single resident; you're aware of

that?

2.0

2.1

- A. I'm -- you brought that to my attention.
- Q. Okay. You're not aware that I'm wrong, right?
- A. I'm not aware that you're wrong, although to say that the farm community or the residents have not communicated to the trustees is maybe an assumption that might not be accurate.
- Q. Right. But as far as when we know we have a meeting, and I think it may have been in January, as far as when we had that meeting, why people came or didn't come, you don't know; is that fair?
 - A. Yeah, I don't have a --
- Q. Okay. And then you encouraged the parties to reach a voluntarily agreement here, correct?
- A. I always hope that the interested parties can reach an agreement that is beneficial to both parties.
- Q. Fair. Fair. And you are aware that on Norfolk Southern's behalf we tried like the dickens to do that. Are you aware of that?
- A. I have -- I heard that that was, that you were -- made attempts, yeah.

- Q. And did you hear that the township, the trustees' position was that for political, you know, for political reasons they'd prefer that any closure decision be made by the state of Ohio as opposed to at the local level? Is that fair?
- A. To speak on what the trustees were thinking, I can't make an assumption there.
- Q. Well, did they tell you that? Because they came to you at some point.
- A. I don't know if they, if there was any mention that they wanted the state to carry their load. I don't recall any comment like that.
 - Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

- MR. TALBOTT: Sir, that's all I have.
- Q. Or I guess, back it up, you haven't, you yourself, have you driven each of these three crossings recently?
 - A. Probably not in the last four months, no.
- Q. Okay. And as far as Mr. Barringer's testimony with regard to measurements and pavement and warning devices, I assume you don't dispute any of that.
 - A. No, I don't --
 - Q. Thank you.
- 25 A. -- dispute any of that.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Representative
Burkley, you said you don't believe it's in the best
interest of the community to close this crossing but
could you go into any additional detail as to why?

2.0

2.1

THE WITNESS: Well, there was a mention -- I submitted a letter previously that the Commission probably has, hopefully they received it.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Yes, we did.

THE WITNESS: There are a number of factors that I think go into, you know, anything that is a change in the status quo. When you talk about inconvenience versus safety, you know, you could maybe weigh those differently depending on what side of the railroad track you're on, depending on whether you think that if it's been a crossing that has had a lot of activity as far as crashes, accidents, farm equipment getting hung up on it, you know, I haven't seen that, you know, and in a small community news like that travels fast. You know, if a farmer gets hung up on a railroad crossing, you know it pretty quick, and I have no knowledge of anything like that happening.

You know, you always weigh what you think is going to be beneficial for all parties involved, and do you do something -- do you change something

```
just for change? I don't think that's in the best interest of anybody.
```

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Burkard, any additional questions?

MR. BURKARD: I have no other questions

6 at this time.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Okay. And any more witnesses for you or for Mr. Talbott?

MR. TALBOTT: No, your Honor, we would -or, actually, we didn't call this witness. I guess
if he's excused I have one --

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Yes, he is.

MR. TALBOTT: Thank you.

(Witness excused.)

MR. TALBOTT: And then we'd submit our exhibits as well.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: I'll just go off the record for a minute just to take a quick break.

MR. TALBOTT: Okay.

(Recess taken.)

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: We'll go back on the record. Before we took our break, Mr. Talbott had moved that his exhibits, I should say his evidence here, petitions, Exhibits A through F be admitted into evidence. Mr. Burkard, do you have any

```
objection to that.
```

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

MR. BURKARD: Your Honor, I have reviewed those exhibits and I have no objection to those being admitted. They appear to be appropriate.

5 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Okay. Thank you.
6 We'll admit those into evidence.

(EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: And also,

Mr. Talbott, your photograph there is on the easel, will you be admitting that into evidence as well or --

MR. TALBOTT: That is the identical, it's a blow-up of Defendant's Exhibit, I believe it's E, and so I'd hate to burden the PUCO with maintaining the posterboard, but I'm certainly willing to give it to you if you would like it. Otherwise, I'll take it on home.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Well, as long as it's the same as Exhibit E, which it appears to be -MR. TALBOTT: Yeah, it's the same as

Exhibit E.

HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: -- you can fold that up and take it home.

MR. TALBOTT: Okay.

25 HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Incidentally,

```
98
      also before we close, do the parties want to file
 1
 2
     briefs? There's an opportunity to do so if you wish.
 3
                  MR. TALBOTT: I guess we should. Would
      it help the Commission?
 4
 5
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: It could, yes.
 6
                  MR. BURKARD: I'd like to do that, then,
 7
      if we could.
 8
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Okay.
9
                  MR. TALBOTT: That's fine. I guess a
10
     reasonable window of time because we -- is there a --
11
     we probably want to get this -- does this get
12
     transcribed within --
13
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Certainly. Yes.
14
                  MR. TALBOTT: We should probably take a
     peek at that, if the Commission was okay, and then
15
16
     maybe two weeks after transcription or something like
17
     that.
18
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: That would be
19
      suitable, certainly.
2.0
                  MR. TALBOTT: That okay with you, Joe?
2.1
                  MR. BURKARD: That's fine.
22
                  (Discussion off the record.)
23
                  MR. BURKARD: That would be fine with me,
24
     then.
25
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: So approximately
```

```
99
      a couple weeks after the transcript is filed.
 1
                  MR. TALBOTT: Yeah.
 2
 3
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: That's fine.
                  MR. TALBOTT: If the court reporter is
 4
 5
     pretty confident on the ten days, do we want to agree
     on a set date now by which our exhibits should be
 6
     filed.
 7
 8
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: I'm open to that,
9
     certainly. Off the record.
10
                  (Discussion off the record.)
11
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Back on the
12
     record. Mr. Talbott, the date you had in mind is?
13
                  MR. TALBOTT: Joe and I conferred. If
14
     the Commission was -- was okay with April 11 for the
     briefs.
15
16
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: That works just
17
     fine. We'll make it April 11th for the briefs.
18
     Thank you.
19
                  MR. TALBOTT: And we'll file them or just
2.0
     both file testimony the same day I assume?
2.1
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: That's fine.
22
                  MR. BURKARD: Perfect.
23
                  HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: Any further
24
     questions at all?
25
                  MR. BURKARD: No, your Honor.
```

MR. TALBOTT: Not on behalf of Norfolk Southern. HEARING EXAMINER LYNN: As I indicated, we will admit all of Norfolk Southern's exhibits into evidence. Thank you. Thank you all for attending today, and that closes our hearing. MR. TALBOTT: Thank you. MR. BURKARD: Thank you. (The hearing concluded at 2:34 p.m.) 1.3 2.1

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Thursday, March 6, 2014, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Maria DiPaolo Jones, Registered Diplomate Reporter and CRR and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

My commission expires June 19, 2016.

11 (74826-MDJ)

2.1

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/20/2014 10:30:34 AM

in

Case No(s). 12-2145-RR-UNC

Summary: Transcript Proceedings from the hearing held on 3/6/2014 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Jones, Maria DiPaolo Mrs.