BEFORE THE

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Annual Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for an Adjustment to Rider AMRP Rates.)	Case No. 13-2231-GA-RDR
In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for Tariff Approval.)	Case No. 13-2232-GA-ATA

APPLICATION OF DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC., FOR AN ADJUSTMENT TO RIDER AMRP GAS RATES AND FOR TARIFF APPROVAL

- Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., (Duke Energy Ohio) is an Ohio corporation engaged in the business of supplying natural gas to approximately 424,000 customers in southwestern Ohio, all of whom will be affected by this Application, and is a public utility as defined by R.C. 4905.02 and 4905.03.
- 2. This Application is made pursuant to R.C. 4909.18 and related sections of the Ohio Revised Code for authority to make changes and increases in gas rates applicable in incorporated communities and unincorporated territory within Duke Energy Ohio's entire service area, which includes all or part of Adams, Brown, Butler, Clinton, Clermont, Hamilton, Montgomery, and Warren Counties in Ohio. The gas rates that Duke Energy Ohio seeks to change in its tariff, P.U.C.O. Gas No. 18, are as follows:

Rider AMRP, Accelerated Main Replacement Program Rider, Sheet No. 65.

- 3. The Notice of Intent to File was served on the mayor and legislative authority of each municipality affected by this Application on November 27, 2013, and was filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (Commission) on November 27, 2013, pursuant to R.C. 4909.43(B) and in compliance with the Commission's Standard Filing Requirements set forth in O.A.C. 4901-7-01.
- 4. Duke Energy Ohio proposes a test year consisting of the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2013, and the date certain for property valuation of December 31, 2013.
- 5. Duke Energy Ohio estimates that the rate changes proposed herein, if granted in full and factoring in the applicable rate caps approved by the Commission, would increase gross revenues by \$9.9million, or 2.6%, annually over the estimated test period gross revenues generated from providing service to customers.
- 6. Duke Energy Ohio is filing this Application pursuant to the terms and conditions of a Stipulation and Recommendation filed with the Commission on April 2,2013 in In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. for an Increase in its Natural Gas Distribution Rates, Case No. 12-1685-GA-AIR, and approved by the Commission in its Opinion and Order dated November 13, 2013.
- 7. Duke Energy Ohio filed the current Rider AMRP and the proposed new Rider AMRP with its Pre-Filing Notice, and incorporates such current and proposed riders herein by reference, as required by R.C. 4909.18 and the Commission's Standard Filing Requirements. Duke Energy Ohio also filed the following schedules with the Commission on or about November 27, 2013, in accordance with the Stipulation and Recommendation, and Duke Energy Ohio also incorporates such schedules by reference:

- (a) Schedule 1, AMRP Annualized Revenue Requirement;
- (b) Schedules 2, Riser Replacement Revenue Requirement;
- (c) Schedules 3-A and 3-B, AMRP Plant Additions by Month;
- (d) Schedules 4-A and 4-B, Riser Additions by Month;
- (e) Schedules 5-A and 5-B, Cost of Removal by Month;
- (f) Schedules 6-A, 6-B, AMRP Original Cost Retired by Month;
- (g) Schedules 7-A and 7-B, AMRP Accumulated Provision for Depreciation;
- (h) Schedules 8-A, 8-B, Riser Accumulated Provision for Depreciation;
- (i) Schedules 9-A and 9-B, AMRP Net Regulatory Assets Post In-Service Carrying Cost;
- (j) Schedules 10-A and 10-B, AMRP Net Deferred Tax Balance PISCC;
- (k) Schedules 11-A and 11-B, Riser Net Regulatory Assets Post In-Service Carrying Cost;
- (l) Schedules 12-A and 12-B, Riser Net Deferred Tax Balance PISCC;
- (m) Schedule 13, AMRP Deferred Taxes on Liberalized Depreciation;
- (n) Schedule 14, Riser Deferred Taxes on Liberalized Depreciation;
- (o) Schedules 15-A and 15-B, AMRP Annualized Depreciation Associated with Additions;
- (p) Schedules 16-A and 16-B, Riser Annualized Depreciation Associated with Risers;
- (q) Schedules 17-A and 17-B, AMRP Annualized Reduction in Depreciation for Retirements;
- (r) Schedules 18-A and 18-B, AMRP Annualized Amortization of PISCC;

- (s) Schedules 19-A and 19-B, Riser Annualized Amortization of PISCC;
- (t) Schedule 20, AMRP Gas Maintenance Accounts Savings;
- (u) Schedule 21 Camera Work Expenses
- (v) Schedule 22, AMRP Annualized Property Tax Expense Calculation;
- (w) Schedule 23, Riser Annualized Property Tax Expense Calculation;
- (x) Schedule 24, AMRP Cap Calculation by Rate Class;
- (y) Schedule 25, Aged Survivors of Mains and Services as of December 31, 2013; and
- (z) Schedule 26, Annual AMRP Rider Filing Calculation of Depreciation Expense and Accumulated Depreciation.
- 8. At the time of the filing of this Application, no municipal corporation has in effect any ordinance or franchise that does, or will, regulate the rates or charges to any customer affected by this Application.

WHEREFORE, since the rates, prices, charges and other provisions in the current rate schedules do not yield just and reasonable compensation to Duke Energy Ohio for supplying gas service to the customers to which they are applicable, do not yield a just and reasonable return to Duke Energy Ohio on the value of the property used for furnishing gas service to such customers, and result in the taking of Duke Energy Ohio's property for public use without compensation and without due process of law, Duke Energy Ohio respectfully prays that this Honorable Commission:

- (a) Accept this Application for filing;
- (b) Find that this Application and the schedules incorporated by reference herein are in accordance with R.C. 4909.18 and the Rules of the Commission;
- (c) Find that the current rates, prices and charges for gas service are unjust, unreasonable and insufficient to yield reasonable compensation to Duke Energy Ohio for the gas service rendered;

- (d) Find that the proposed rates, prices, and charges are just and reasonable based upon the test period for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, and approve such schedules in the form tendered herewith or incorporated by reference herein;
- (e) Find that Duke Energy Ohio is in compliance with R.C. 4905.35;
- (f) Approve the proposed notice for newspaper publication attached hereto as Attachment A or, in the alternative, make a finding that no newspaper publication is required because Duke Energy Ohio published newspaper notification of all proposed Rider AMRP increases when it filed the original application in the proceeding resulting in the Opinion and Order that initiated this filing;
- (g) Approve Duke Energy Ohio's Application for Approval to Change Accounting Methods consistent with proposed Rider AMRP; and
- (h) Fix the date on or after which deliveries made are subject to the proposed rates.

Respectfully submitted,

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.

Amy B. Spiller (0047277)

Deputy General Counsel

Elizabeth H. Watts (0031092)

Associate General Counsel

Jeanne W. Kingery (0012172)

Associate General Counsel

139 E. Fourth Street, 1303-Main

P.O. Box 960

Cincinnati, Ohio 45201-0960

(513) 287-4359

Amy.Spiller@duke-energy.com

Elizabeth.Watts@duke-energy.com

PROPOSED NOTICE FOR NEWSPAPER PUBLICATION

Please take notice that, pursuant to Ohio Revised Code Section 4909.18, Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Duke Energy Ohio) has filed an application with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (Commission) for an increase in its gas rates. The purpose of the application is to allow Duke Energy Ohio to recover the costs it incurred in 2013 related to its Accelerated Main Replacement Program (AMRP) and Riser Replacement Program (RRP). Under these programs, Duke Energy Ohio is replacing cast iron and bare steel gas mains and service lines and risers on an accelerated basis, in order to improve the safety and reliability of its distribution system. Under the RRP, Duke Energy Ohio is replacing certain gas service risers through as accelerated program, in order to improve the safety and reliability of its distribution system. The Commission approved the AMRP and the RRP in an Opinion and Order dated November 17, 2013 in Case No. 12-1685-GA-AIR.

Duke Energy Ohio estimates that the rate changes proposed herein, if granted in full, would increase gross revenues by \$9.9 million or 2.6% annually over the estimated test period gross revenues generated from providing service to customers. The average percentage increase that a typical residential customer will bear should the increase be granted in full is 2.5% based on November 2013 billings. The proposed Rider AMRP charges applicable to Duke Energy Ohio tariffed gas rates are as follows: Rate RS and RSLI -- \$2.00 per month; Rate RFT and RFTLI -- \$2.00 per month; Rate GS-S and GS-L -- \$21.33 per month; Rate FT-S and FT-L -- \$21.33 per month; Rate DGS -- \$21.33 per month; and, Rates IT -- \$.08per Mcf.

Any person, firm, corporation, or association may file, pursuant to Ohio Revised Code Section 4909.19, an objection to such increase that may allege that such application contains proposals that are unjust and discriminatory or unreasonable.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Application was served on the following parties of record by first class, U.S. mail, postage prepaid, or overnight delivery this 27th day of February, 2014.

Elizabeth H. Watts

Larry S. Sauer Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, 18th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3485

William Wright
Section Chief, Public Utilities Section
Office of the Ohio Attorney General
180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

PEGGY A ON BEHA		
DIRECT TEST	IMONY OF	
		_
In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for Tariff Approval.) Case No. 13-2232-GA-ATA	
In the Matter of the Annual Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for an Adjustment to Rider AMRP Rates.) Case No. 13-2231-GA-RDR)	

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.

February 27, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>PAGE</u>
I.	INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE	1
II.	EXPLANATION OF SCHEDULES	2
III.	REASONABLENESS OF REQUESTED INCREASE	7
IV.	CONCLUSION	8

I. <u>INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE</u>

- 1 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
- 2 A. My name is Peggy A. Laub. My business address is 139 East Fourth Street,
- 3 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202.
- 4 Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
- 5 A. I am employed by the Duke Energy Business Services LLC., an affiliate service
- 6 company of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., (Duke Energy Ohio or Company) as
- 7 Director, Rates and Regulatory Strategy.
- 8 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATION AND PROFESSIONAL
- 9 **QUALIFICATIONS.**
- 10 A. I received a Bachelor of Business Administration Degree with a major in
- accounting from the University of Cincinnati. I began my career with The
- 12 Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company, the predecessor of Duke Energy Ohio in the
- Accounting Department in 1981. I worked in various departments including Tax,
- Regulated Business Unit's financial group and Fixed Assets. In May 2006,
- following the merger with Duke Energy Corporation, I transferred to the Midwest
- 16 US Franchised Electric & Gas accounting group. In November 2008, I
- transferred to the Midwest wholesale accounting group as Manager of Wholesale
- and Bulk Power Marketing accounting. In May 2010, I transferred to the Rate
- Department and to my current position as Director, Rates & Regulatory Strategy
- in the OH/KY Rate Department.

1 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR DUTIES AS DIRECTOR RATES AND

- 2 **REGULATORY STRATEGY**
- 3 A. As Director, I am responsible for the preparation of financial and accounting data
- 4 used in Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc., retail rate filings and
- 5 changes in various other rate recovery mechanisms.
- 6 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE PUBLIC
- 7 UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO (COMMISSION)?
- 8 A. Yes. I have previously testified in a number of cases before this and other
- 9 regulatory commissions.
- 10 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 11 A. I will explain the updated schedules filed by Duke Energy Ohio in this proceeding
- for both the Accelerated Main Replacement Program (AMRP) and the Riser
- Replacement Program (RRP). I will also support the reasonableness of Duke
- 14 Energy Ohio's request for revised Rider AMRP rates.

II. EXPLANATION OF SCHEDULES

- 15 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN SCHEDULES 1 AND 2.
- 16 A. Schedules 1 and 2 provide the annualized revenue requirement for Duke Energy
- Ohio's revised Rider AMRP rates based on the Net Rate Base of the AMRP
- (Schedule 1) and the RRP (Schedule 2) at December 31, 2013 The information on
- these schedules is supported by various schedules from Schedules 3 through 26.
- 20 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN SCHEDULES 3-A, 3-B, 4-A AND 4-B.
- 21 A. Schedules 3-A, 3-B, 4-A and 4-B provide actual plant additions by month from
- January 2013 through December 2013 to calculate the balance at December 31,

- 1 2013. Schedules 3-A and 3-B provide information for the AMRP and Schedules 4-
- A and 4-B provide information for the RRP.
- 3 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN SCHEDULES 5-A AND 5-B.
- 4 A. Schedules 5-A and 5-B provide the AMRP actual cost of removal by month from
- January 2013 through December 2013 to calculate the balance at December 31,
- 6 2013.
- 7 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN SCHEDULES 6-A AND 6-B.
- 8 A. Schedules 6-A and 6-B provide the AMRP actual original cost retired by month
- 9 from January 2013 through December 2013 to calculate the balance at December
- 10 31, 2013.
- 11 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN SCHEDULES 7-A, 7-B, 8-A AND 8-B.
- 12 A. Schedules 7-A, 7-B, 8-A and 8-B provide actual provision for depreciation from
- January 2013 through December 2013 to calculate the balance at December 31,
- 14 2013. Schedules 7-A and 7-B provide information for the AMRP and Schedules
- 8-A and 8-B provide information for the RRP.
- 16 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN SCHEDULES 9-A, 9-B, 11-A, AND 11-B.
- 17 A. Schedules 9-A, 9-B, 11-A, and 11-B provide the PISCC activity by month from
- January 2013 through December 2013 to calculate the balance at December 31,
- 19 2013. These schedules also provide the actual PISCC amortization from January.
- 20 2013 through December 2013 to calculate the balance at December 31, 2013.
- 21 Additionally, the Net PISCC Regulatory Asset for the periods is provided.
- Schedules 9-A and 9-B provide information for the AMRP and Schedules 11-A
- and 11-B provide information for the RRP.

- 1 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN SCHEDULES 10-A, 10-B, 12-A AND 12-B.
- 2 A. Schedules 10-A, 10-B, 12-A and 12-B provide the actual PISCC net deferred tax
- activity and balance from January 2013 through December 2013. Schedules 10-A
- 4 and 10-B provide information for the AMRP and Schedules 12-A and 12-B
- 5 provide information for the RRP.
- 6 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN SCHEDULES 13-A, 13-B,14-A AND 14-B.
- 7 A. Schedules 13-A, 13-B, 14-A and 14-B provide the calculation of deferred taxes on
- 8 liberalized depreciation for actual deferred taxes for vintage 2012 and vintage
- 9 2013 to calculate the balance at December 31, 2013. These deferred taxes are
- calculated only on the plant in-service added through the AMRP and the RRP
- since the date certain in the Company's last gas base rate case. Schedules 13-A
- and 13-B provide information for the AMRP.
- 13 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN SCHEDULES 15-A, 15-B, 16-A AND 16-B.
- 14 A. Schedules 15-A, 15-B, 16-A and 16-B provide the calculation by month of the
- annualized depreciation expense associated with additions, based on actual
- AMRP and RRP additions from the date certain of the Company's last gas base
- 17 rate case through 2013. Schedules 15-A and 15-B provide information for the
- AMRP and Schedules 16-A and 16-B provide information for the RRP.
- 19 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN SCHEDULES 17-A AND 17-B.
- 20 A. Schedules 17-A and 17-B provide the calculation by month of the annualized
- 21 reduction in depreciation expense associated with retirements based on actual
- 22 AMRP retirements from the date certain of the Company's last gas base rate case
- 23 through 2013.

1 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN SCHEDULES 18-A, 18-B, 19-A AND 19-B.

- 2 A. Schedules 18-A, 18-B, 19-A and 19-B provide a calculation of the annualized
- amortization of the PISCC accrued from the date certain of the Company's last
- 4 gas base rate case through 2013. The PISCC Regulatory Assets by account are in
- 5 agreement with those provided on Schedules 9-A, 9-B, 11-A, and 11-B.
- 6 Schedules 18-A and 18-B provide information for the AMRP and Schedules 19-A
- 7 and 19-B provide information for the RRP.

8 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN SCHEDULE 20.

- 9 A. Schedule 20 demonstrates that there is \$73,082 of savings included in our filing.
- In Case No. 10-2788-GA-RDR, the Company committed to savings for year 2013
- of \$690,220. Schedule 20 shows the calculated savings of \$617,138 when
- comparing the last rate case, Case No. 07-589-GA-AIR to the most recent rate
- case, Case No. 12-1685-GA-AIR. The difference between the \$690,220 and
- \$617138 is a guaranteed savings amount of \$73,082 as shown on Schedule 20 and
- on the revenue requirement page, Schedule 1 for this Application. This schedule
- does not include any expenses for the Integrity Management Program.

17 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN SCHEDULE 21.

- 18 A. Schedule 21 provides actual camera work expenses by month for the twelve
- months ended December 31, 2013.

20 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN SCHEDULES 22 AND 23.

- 21 A. Schedules 22 and 23 provide the calculation of the annualized property tax
- 22 expense based on actual additions and retirements to plant in-service from the
- date certain of the Company's last gas base rate case through 2013. This

I	calculation follows the process used in Duke Energy Ohio's Annual Report to the
2	Ohio Department of Taxation to determine the Net Property Valuation and uses
3	the latest known average property tax rate per \$1,000 of valuation. Schedule 22
4	provides information for the AMRP and Schedule 23 provides information for the

5 RRP.

6 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN SCHEDULE 24.

7 A. Schedule 24 provides the Rider AMRP charge by rate class using the allocation 8 percentages for the AMRP and the RRP included in the Stipulation and 9 Recommendation approved by the Commission in Case No. 12-1685-GA-AIR; 10 the number of customer bills for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013; 11 Mcf Sales to Interruptible Transportation customers for the twelve months ended 12 December 31, 2013; and the annualized AMRP and RRP revenue requirement as calculated on Schedules 1 and 2. The Rider AMRP Rate Cap for 2014 for 13 14 Residential customers in accordance with the Stipulation and Recommendation is 15 \$2.00 per month.

16 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN SCHEDULE 25.

17 A. Schedule 25 provides the aged survivors of mains and services as of December 31, 2013.

1 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN SCHEDULE 26

- 2 A. Schedule 26 provides a reconciliation of the Accumulated Depreciation Balance
- for all mains and services by account from January 31, 2013, to December 31,
- 4 2013. The information is provided for AMRP plant and non-AMRP plant and the
- 5 activity is segregated between Depreciation Expense and Adjustments Due to
- 6 Retirement or Replacement.

III. REASONABLENESS OF REQUESTED INCREASE

- 7 Q. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE STIPULATION AND
- 8 RECOMMENDATION FILED WITH THE COMMISSION ON APRIL 2,
- 9 2013, AND APPROVED BY THE COMMISSION IN ITS OPINION AND
- 10 ORDER ON NOVEMBER 13, 2103, IN CASE NO. 12-1685-GA-AIR?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. IN YOUR OPINION HAS THE COMPANY STAYED UNDER THE CAP?
- 13 A. The Company's calculated rate for residential customers is over the cap but our
- tariff relects the agreed upon cap of \$2.00.
- 15 Q. HAVE YOU REVIEWED DUKE ENERGY OHIO'S APPLICATION IN
- 16 THIS PROCEEDING?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. DO YOU HAVE AN OPINION REGARDING WHETHER DUKE
- 19 ENERGY OHIO'S REQUEST FOR NEW RIDER AMRP RATES IS
- 20 **REASONABLE?**
- 21 A. Yes.

1 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR OPINION.

- A. Duke Energy Ohio's rate request is fair and reasonable. I believe that the costs of service are properly allocated to customer classes and the rate design was properly performed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Stipulation and Recommendation. The proposed Rider AMRP rates are within the rate caps
- 6 established in the Stipulation and Recommendation.

IV. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

- 7 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
- 8 A. Yes.

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Annual Application)	
of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for an)	Case No. 13-2231-GA-RDR
Adjustment to Rider AMRP Rates.)	
In the Matter of the Application of)	
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for Tariff)	Case No. 13-2232-GA-ATA
Approval.)	

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

GARY J. HEBBELER

ON BEHALF OF

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAG	E
I.	INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE	. 1
II.	DESCRIPTION OF THE AMRP	. 3
III.	CONCLUSION	15

I. <u>INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE</u>

- 1 O. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
- 2 A. My name is Gary J. Hebbeler. My business address is 139 East Fourth Street,
- 3 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202.
- 4 Q. WHAT IS YOUR CURRENT POSITION?
- 5 A. I am employed by the Duke Energy Business Services LLC, a subsidiary of Duke
- 6 Energy Corporation (Duke Energy), as General Manager, Gas Field and Systems
- 7 Operations.
- 8 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATION AND PROFESSIONAL
- 9 **QUALIFICATIONS.**
- 10 A. I am a graduate of the University of Kentucky, where I obtained my Bachelor of
- Science in Civil Engineering. In 1994, I obtained my license as a Professional
- Engineer in the Commonwealth of Kentucky and, by reciprocity, later in the State
- of Ohio.
- 14 O. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR BUSINESS EXPERIENCE.
- 15 A. I began working for The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company (CG&E), now
- known as Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Duke Energy Ohio or Company), in 1987 as
- an engineer in the Gas Engineering Department. I initially worked as a project
- 18 engineer. I was responsible for designing gas mains and water lines, coordinating
- 19 projects with governmental agencies and consulting firms, calculating pipe
- capacity and stress, and evaluating company paving standards and designs. Until
- 21 1998, I worked for CG&E and then Cinergy Services, Inc., both of which were
- subsidiaries of Cinergy Corp. I was Vice President for Michels Concrete

Construction, Inc., during 1998 and returned to Cinergy Corp.'s Gas Engine	ering
Department in 1999. In 2000, I was promoted to Manager, Contr	ractor
Construction. In this position, I helped design the Accelerated Main Replace	ment
Program (AMRP). I also managed the construction activities for replacing	g the
cast iron/bare steel pipe under the AMRP. In 2002, I was promoted to Mar	ıager,
Gas Engineering. In this position, I was responsible for managing the engine	ering
activities and the capital expenditures for Gas Operations in Duke Energy C	hio's
and Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc.'s (Duke Energy Kentucky) gas distrib	ution
systems. In 2006, I was promoted to General Manager, Gas Engineering	g. In
addition to my continued responsibilities for gas engineering activities and c	apital
expenditures, I was responsible for construction activities for the AMRP,	street
improvements, pressure improvements and major projects. In September 20)10, I
was promoted to my current position of General Manager, Gas Field and Sys	stems
Operations. I am responsible for managing the construction, install	ation,
operation, and maintenance of the natural gas distribution systems of	Duke
Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Kentucky. Approximately 1000 Company	y and
contractor personnel are involved in these activities on behalf of Duke E	nergy
Ohio and Duke Energy Kentucky.	

- 19 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE PUBLIC
 20 UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO (COMMISSION)?
- 21 A. Yes, I have testified in several rider proceedings before the Commission.

1 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS

PROCEEDING?

- 3 A. The purpose of my testimony is to explain the construction and management
- 4 practices of Duke Energy Ohio as they relate to the AMRP for construction
- 5 activities during calendar year 2013.

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE AMRP

6 Q. PLEASE GENERALLY DESCRIBE THE AMRP.

A. Duke Energy Ohio adopted the AMRP in 2000, with construction beginning in 2001, to accelerate its replacement schedule for cast iron and bare steel mains and associated service lines in order to improve the safety and reliability of Duke Energy Ohio's natural gas distribution system.

When Duke Energy Ohio adopted this program, its cast iron pipe in service dated back to 1873 and its bare steel pipe in service dated back to 1884. Cast iron and bare steel pipe, however, are more prone to leaks than plastic and coated, cathodically protected steel, which are now the material of choice for main construction throughout the United States. In 1971, the U.S. Department of Transportation (US DOT) adopted regulations removing cast iron from its list of approved materials for new pipe construction.

Duke Energy Ohio adopted formal cast iron and bare steel main replacement programs in 1988 and 1989, respectively. Each formal program consisted of an internally developed program used in conjunction with two commercially available programs; namely, the Cast Iron Maintenance Optimization System (CIMOS®) and the Bare Steel Maintenance Optimization

System (BSMOS [®]), respectively. These programs identified certain factors
associated with cast iron and bare steel main activities, such as year installed,
operating pressure, length of pipe and number of prior activities. The programs
then generated a ranking system that Duke Energy Ohio used to determine which
sections of cast iron and bare steel main to replace. The in-house program is still
being used to target these types of pipe replacement projects.

Under the CIMOS® and BSMOS® programs, Duke Energy Ohio was replacing the cast iron and bare steel mains on a replacement schedule that would have taken approximately 90 years to complete. By that time, the mains that Duke Energy Ohio would have been replacing would have been over 200 years old.

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PROGRESS DUKE ENERGY OHIO HAS MADE IN INSTALLLING NEW MAIN AND SERVICE LINES SINCE INITIATING THE AMRP.

Duke Energy Ohio's gas distribution system consists of approximately 5,588 miles of distribution mains. Prior to commencing the AMRP, Duke Energy Ohio had approximately 1,200 miles of cast iron and bare steel main in service. As reflected in the following table, Duke Energy Ohio has replaced approximately 1,061 miles of cast iron and bare steel mains since starting the AMRP construction in 2001:

A.

Voor	Miles Danlaged
Year 2001	Replaced
2001	70
2002	102
2003	103
2004	99
2005	99
2006	86
2007	80
2008	76
2009	80
2010	70
2011	76
2012	73
2013	47

Duke Energy Ohio has also replaced approximately 105,749 main-to-curb service lines. Duke Energy Ohio estimates that it has approximately 85 remaining miles of cast iron and bare steel mains, according to Company mapping records. According to Duke Energy Ohio plant records, Duke Energy Ohio has therefore replaced nearly 93% of its cast iron and bare steel mains, measured in terms of pipe length, since the AMRP has been in effect.

7 Q. WHY HAVE THE MILES OF MAIN REPLACED DECLINED SINCE 8 2005?

A. Duke Energy Ohio has managed to keep costs at the lowest possible levels because over approximately 95% of the annual AMRP work is done using outside contractors selected through a competitive bidding process. The competitive

bidding process allows Duke Energy Ohio to award contracts to the lowest and
best bidder. The Company has made investments in the AMRP each year,
consistent with the rate cap levels established by the Commission's May 30, 2002,
Order in Case No. 01-1228-GA-AIR, Case No. 07-589-GA-AIR and Case No. 12-
1685-GA-AIR. There are three basic reasons why the number of miles Duke
Energy Ohio can replace with this level of investment has declined recently.

First, general inflation has prevented the Company from replacing the same number of miles of main with the same level of investment. Costs for construction materials and labor have increased significantly since 2005. In my opinion, these cost increases result from other utilities adopting main and riser replacement programs similar to the AMRP and RRP and also adopting integrity management programs in response to new gas pipeline safety regulations promulgated by the US DOT.

Second, the Company adopted new installation procedures in 2006 in response to an incident in Middletown, Ohio, where a gas line breached a sewer line. This circumstance was not discovered until a plumber augered out the clogged sewer line. The plumber's auger pierced the gas line and caused an explosion. Prior to this incident, Duke Energy Ohio relied on municipalities to provide records of where their sewer lines were located. After this incident, however, the Company's investigation revealed that some municipalities do not maintain reliable records of sewer locations. To promote the safety of the general public and Duke Energy Ohio's customers and employees, the Company changed its installation practices to perform a pre-locate of the sewer lines before gas main

installation and to video-camera the location of the sewers after the gas main
installation. This additional work allows the Company to confirm that no sewer
line is breached during the gas main installation process. The Company also
limited the situations where it will allow installation of curb-to-meter service lines
using directional drilling. These new installation procedures have increased
AMRP costs but safety compels that the Company follow these additional
procedures.

Third, the Company is now replacing gas mains in more urban locations, where more of the gas lines tend to be located under paved surfaces. This increases the labor, material, and restoration costs necessary to replace the gas mains and to restore the construction site to an acceptable condition. In addition, Duke Energy Ohio is encountering more gas service lines in unacceptable locations. The US DOT's gas pipeline safety regulations require that gas service lines be installed in locations that will not present safety hazards if a leak occurs. Relocating the new gas service lines to a different, accessible location often increases costs.

1	Q.	PLEASE DISCUSS	THE	BENEFITS	OF	THE	AMRP	PROGRAM	TO
2		CUSTOMERS.							

The AMRP has been quite successful in allowing Duke Energy Ohio to reduce the amount of cast iron and bare steel mains in its distribution system. This has resulted in substantial benefits to Duke Energy Ohio's customers and to the public at large.

Customers and the public at large benefit from the improved safety and reliability of Duke Energy Ohio's natural gas distribution service. One key safety measure of the AMRP's success is the leak rate for Duke Energy Ohio's gas distribution system. The incidence of leaks repaired (excluding damages) has decreased significantly, from 6,223 in 2002 to approximately 4,916 in 2013. In addition, the severity of leaks reported has been reduced. Customer outages resulting from water infiltration have also been reduced, thereby mitigating costly emergency repairs and minimizing inconvenience to customers.

This reduced incidence of leaks has caused Duke Energy Ohio's maintenance accounts associated with leaks to decline from approximately \$6.4 million in 2001 to \$3.8 million in 2013. To date, customers have realized approximately \$24.4 million in maintenance savings through Rider AMRP. These maintenance savings have been returned to customers through the Rider AMRP tracking mechanism. Additionally, the maintenance savings were reflected in the 2013 rate case. Customers also benefit from Rider AMRP because Duke Energy Ohio has not had to file frequent and costly general gas rate cases to recover its capital expenditures for the AMRP. The Commission has conducted

Α.

annual Rider AMRP proceedings for Duke Energy Ohio to update this tracking
mechanism in an efficient and expeditious manner.

In addition to these significant benefits, Duke Energy Ohio has been able to coordinate certain construction activities with governmental agencies, thereby reducing costs and limiting the inconvenience to the public. For example, Duke Energy Ohio coordinates the replacement of natural gas facilities with governmental agencies' road improvement projects. It also provides a long-term construction schedule, which enables these agencies to identify those future projects that may benefit from coordinated effort. The Company has also been able to better integrate the existing natural gas distribution system. Prior to starting the AMRP, Duke Energy Ohio's natural gas service territory included areas where pressures were lowered to reduce leaks resulting from deteriorated facilities. This, in turn, resulted in the system being segregated. The AMRP allows Duke Energy Ohio to increase pressures without having to incur costs associated with the construction of pressure improvements.

Finally, Duke Energy Ohio assumes ownership of the curb-to-meter services when installing new services, replacing an existing service, or renewing a riser. Given its expertise, as compared to the customer, Duke Energy Ohio is better positioned to determine when equipment needs to be replaced.

20 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN DUKE ENERGY OHIO'S INTEGRITY 21 MANAGEMENT PROGRAM.

A. Duke Energy Ohio developed its Transmission Integrity Management Program

(TIMP) in response to federal legislation issued in 2002 and accompanying

regulations, 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 192.1001, issued by the
Pipeline and Hazardous Material Safety Administration (PHMSA), US DOT.
These regulations require operators of hazardous liquid pipelines and natural gas
transmission pipelines to provide enhanced pipeline safety inspection and testing
activities for their facilities. The regulations also require the hazardous liquid
pipeline and natural gas transmission pipeline operators to develop a program to
identify all heavily populated areas traversed by their pipelines, develop a
baseline assessment plan, conduct periodic risk assessments, and implement
certain maintenance procedures.

In response to the law and regulations, Duke Energy Ohio developed its TIMP in 2004. This program is a comprehensive, systematic approach to maintain and improve the safety of the Company's hazardous liquid and transmission pipeline system. The TIMP is comprised of five separate plans – Integrity Management Plan, Performance Plan, Communications Plan, Management of Change Plan, and Quality Control Plan – that provide the foundation for the program and include the processes and procedures necessary to comply with the laws and regulations.

The ongoing integrity activities for 2014 include: identifying high consequence areas, evaluating pipeline threats and conducting risk assessments for each covered pipeline segment, identifying and implementing additional preventative and mitigative measures, if necessary, conducting integrity assessments through direct assessment methods, remediating conditions found during integrity assessments. Through the maximum allowable operating

pressure	(MAOP)	validation	process	conducted	in	2013	the	total	mileage	of
transmis	sion lines	decreased fr	om appr	oximately 2	11	miles	to 60) mile:	S.	

Duke Energy Ohio developed its Distribution Integrity Management Program (DIMP) in response to federal legislation, C.F.R. 192.1007, issued in 2010 and accompanying regulations issued by the PHMSA. These regulations require operators of natural gas distribution pipelines to develop and implement an integrity management program that includes a written integrity management plan.

In response to the law and regulations, Duke Energy Ohio developed its DIMP in 2011, which became effective August 2, 2011. This program is a comprehensive systematic approach to maintain and improve the safety of the Company's distribution pipeline system. The DIMP is comprised of seven key elements: 1) Knowledge of System; 2) Indentify Threats; 3) Evaluate and Rank Risks; 4) Identify and Implement Measures to Address Risks; 5) Measure Performance, Monitor Results, and Evaluate Effectiveness; 6) Periodic Evaluation and Improvement; and 7) Report Results. This information provides the foundation for the program and includes the processes and procedures necessary to comply with the laws and regulations.

The ongoing integrity activities for 2014 include: analyzing data, updating a threat and risk matrix, evaluating pipeline threats, root cause analysis and submitting annual reports to document performance measures. The top risk categories identified within the DIMP are excavation damage, natural forces and corrosion.

	Q.	HOW DOES DUKE ENERGY OHIO PLAN FOR CAST IRON AND BARE
--	----	---

2 STEEL MAIN REPLACEMENT UNDER THE AMRP?

- 3 A. The AMRP is designed to replace the cast iron and bare steel, along with the associated metallic services in the system.
 - The AMRP consist of four types of projects: Modules, CIMOS®, BSMOS®, and Street Improvements. The Module work encompasses two- to five-mile replacement segments and is a proactive program to replace cast iron and bare steel. CIMOS® and BSMOS® are responsive programs to replace the cast iron and bare steel in the system with the highest possibility of developing future incidents. Street Improvement work involves replacing cast iron and bare steel pipe as a result of projects initiated by governmental entities. In addition to replacing cast iron and bare steel mains, Duke Energy Ohio replaces associated services as part of the AMRP.
- 14 Q. HOW MANY MILES OF CAST IRON AND BARE STEEL MAIN DOES
 15 DUKE ENERGY OHIO PLAN TO REPLACE UNDER THE AMRP
 16 DURING THE NEXT TWO YEARS AND WHAT IS THE PROJECTED
 17 COST?
- A. For 2014 and 2015, Duke Energy Ohio plans to replace 91 miles of cast iron and bare steel mains, main-to-curb services, and curb-to-meter services, at an estimated cost of \$98 million.

1	Q.	DOES	DUKE	ENERGY	OHIO	CONTINUE	TO	COMPETITIVELY	BID

2 THE WORK FOR THE AMRP PROGRAM?

A. Yes. The competitive bid process has enabled Duke Energy Oho to execute the

AMRP efficiently since its inception. This has allowed Duke Energy Ohio to keep

its costs at reasonable levels. Additionally, Duke Energy Ohio has operated the

program such that it is on schedule and at competitive rates. Duke Energy Ohio

has maintained a replacement schedule that would allow it to complete the

program in a timely manner.

In addition to the customer benefits previously described, Duke Energy Ohio's proficient implementation of the AMRP has allowed the Commission to process the annual filings efficiently. Duke Energy Ohio anticipates that these benefits will be realized throughout the remainder of the program.

- Q. IS DUKE ENERGY OHIO COMMITTED TO USING UNIT-BASED
 PRICES FOR THE AMRP PROGRAM, EXCEPT IN SITUATIONS
 OUTLINED IN PARAGRAPH 6 OF THE 2004 AMRP STIPULATION,
 AND, IF SO, DID DUKE ENERGY OHIO FOLLOW THIS PRACTICE IN
 2013?
- 18 A. Yes. Duke Energy Ohio used unit-based prices for the contracts and paid
 19 contractors the unit-based prices specified in the contracts, except for the types of
 20 situations outlined in the Stipulation: (a) in the case of unanticipated conditions,
 21 such as unusual field conditions not contemplated by the parties; (b) where a
 22 governmental entity imposed additional construction requirements for work
 23 within the right-of-way; (c) where a greater number of units was required for the

9

10

11

1	actual work versus the number of units contemplated in the plan drawings; or (d)
2	for certain types of construction activities where Duke Energy Ohio determined
3	that it would result in lower costs for the contractor to perform the work under
4	other price methods such as on a time and materials basis.

- Q. AT PARAGRAPH 11 OF THE 2004 AMRP STIPULATION, DUKE ENERGY OHIO AGREED TO EXPLAIN WHY IT SELECTED THE AREAS SCHEDULED FOR MODULE WORK UNDER THE AMRP IN 2013, INCLUDING THE REASONS WHY DUKE ENERGY OHIO SELECTED EACH AREA, BASED ON SAFETY, RELIABILITY, AND PERMITTING CONSIDERATIONS. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW DUKE ENERGY OHIO SELECTED THE MODULES FOR THE AMRP FOR 2013 BASED ON THESE CONSIDERATIONS.
 - The module work is divided into nine categories, ranked from the highest potential for reportable incidents first. Duke Energy Ohio also considers system integrity, permit requirements, and public safety. System integrity is taken into account when a large portion of a system is under construction. The Company evaluates system integrity factors such as location of tie-ins, flow, system pressures, and the time of year the tie-ins will be performed. Permitting agencies require an orderly construction methodology so that an entire municipality will not be directly affected, causing hardship throughout for municipal residents and employees. Finally, flow of traffic must be considered for the traveling public. Four of the modules constructed in 2013 were in the priority 1 category. Twelve of the modules constructed in 2013 were in the priority-two or -three categories.

A.

One of the modules constructed in 2013 were in the priority seven category. The remaining modules were in the priority-eight or -nine category, which spread the work over more of the system to reduce the hardship on particular communities.

This enabled Duke Energy Ohio to address safety considerations, maintain system integrity, abide by permitting requirements, and maintain safety to the traveling public for all construction activities.

III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

- 7 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
- 8 A. Yes.

Duke Energy Ohio Ohio AMRP Case No. 13-2231 Annualized Revenue Requirement

Achual Alh Guarter 2013 Total	36,479,616.12 138,164,489.65 Schedule 6-A, 3-B, Ln. 27 (1.921,854.86) (7.996,601.83) Schedule 6-A, 6-B, Ln. 24 34,557,761.24 130,167,887.92	658,483.51 2,407,470.63 Schedule 7-A, 7-B, Ln. 15 (341,060.99) (2,486,026.51) Schedule 5-A, 5-B, Ln. 23 (1,921,854.89) (7,996,601.83) Schedule 6-A, 6-B, Ln. 24 (1,604,452.25) (8,075,157.71)	365,301,76 3,141,391.57 Schedule 9-A, 9-B, Ln. 44	(127,855.62) (1,089,487.05) Schedule 10-A, 10-B, Ln. 4	(6.459,529.35) (27,859,768.10) Schedule 13, Ln. 25	29,940,130.28 112,425,181.94	10.60% 10.60%	3,173,653.81 11,917,069.29	487,932.56 1,595,597.34 Schedule 15-A, 15-B, Ln. 18 165,305.70 603,141.00 Schedule 15-A, 15-B, Ln. 19 75,013.49 574,598.89 Schedule 15-A, 15-B, Ln. 22 75,100.27 473,674.91 Schedule 15-A, 15-B, Ln. 22 803,352.02 3,247,002.13 Schedule 17-A, 17-B, Ln. 16 (19,918.74) (28,241.25) Schedule 17-A, 17-B, Ln. 16 (19,918.74) (15,971.35) Schedule 17-A, 17-B, Ln. 17 (12,705.72) (115,817.95) Schedule 17-A, 17-B, Ln. 22 (46,865.10) (220,181.37) Schedule 17-A, 17-B, Ln. 23 (46,865.10) (220,181.59) Schedule 17-A, 17-B, Ln. 23 (45,865.10) (220,181.59) Schedule 22 Ln. 23 (157,547.41) (73,082.00) Schedule 22 Ln. 12 250,000.00 1,000,000.00 Case No. 12-1685
Activity Thiu September 30, 2013	32,344,455.01 (1,664,873.98) 30,679,581.03	1,390,316,79 (1,082,808,47) (1,664,873,98)	1,689,797.98	(591,429.30)	(5,085,432,15)	27,249,883.22	10.60%	2,888,467.62	1,107,664,78 495,575,39 499,575,39 398,574,69 2,443,650,11 (19,713,85) (14,066,25) (173,111,23)
Actual Thru Decambor 31, 2012	69,340,418.52 (4,409,872.97) 64,930,545.55	358,690,23 (1,062,157,06) (4,409,872,97) (5,113,339,80)	1,086,291.83	(380,202.14)	(15,514,806.60)	55,235,168.44	11.67%	6,445,944,16	
e 1 Heturn on Investment 2 Plant In-Service	Additions Original Cost Retired Total Plant In-Service	Less: Accumutation Provision for Depreciation Depreciation Expense Cost of Removal Original Cost Retired Total Accumulated Provision for Depreciation	Net Regulatory Asset-Post in-Service Cerrying Cost	Nei Delerred Tax BalancoPISCC	Deferred Taxes on Liberalized Depreciation	Nat Rate Gase	Approved Pre-lax Raie of Relum	Annuskzed Return on Rate Sese & Deletted Expense	17 Opgrafing Expenses 18 Annualized Provision for Depreciation For Additions 19 Mains - Plastic 20 Mains - Plastic 21 Main to Curb Services - Plastic 22 Main to Curb Services - Steel 23 Curtent Year Provision 25 Annualized Reduction in Depreciation For Retirements 26 Mains - Steel 27 Mains - Steel 28 Main in Curb Services - Cast Iron & Copper 29 Main in Curb Services - Steel 30 Mains - Steel 31 Mains - Residence And Services - Plastic 32 Annualized Property Tax Expense 33 Annualized Property Tax Expense 34 Annualized Property Tax Expense 35 Achieved Reduction in Mains - Maintenance Expense 36 Camera Work Expenses 37 Annualized Revenue Requirement
Line No.	ω 4 W	a v e c	=	5	5	ĭ	15	5	28 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48

Summary

Duke Energy Ohio Ohio AMRP Case No. 13-2231 Annualized Revenue Requirement

	Schedule 4-A, 4-B, Ln. 2		Schedule 8-A, 8-8, Ln. 4		Schedule 11-A, 11-B, Ln. 17	Schedule 12.A, 12.B, Ln. 4	Schedule 14, Ln.				Schedule 16-A, 16-B, Ln. 4 Schedule 19-A, 19-B, Ln. 10 Schedule 23, Ln. 11	
Total	2,126,202.66	2,126,202.66	96,746.94	96,746.94	98,062,34	(34,321.81)	(379,429.08)	1,713,767.17	10.60%	181,659,31	76,330.68 3,255.39 48,874.83	310,120.21
Actual 4th Cuarter 2013	• •		18,551,11	18,551,11	(814,73)	285.16	٠	(19,080.68)	10.60%	(2,022,55)		(2,022,55)
Activity Thru September 30, 2013			54,855.99	54,855.99	47,863.55	(16,752.24)	(1,559,35)	(25,304.03)	10.60%	(2,682.23)	76,330.68 3,255,39 48,874,83	125,776.67
Actual Thru December 31, 2012 S	2,126,202.66	2,126,202.66	23,339.84	23,339.84	51,013.52	(17,854,73)	(377,869.73)	1,758,151.98	11.67%	205,176.31		205,176.31
t Beturn on fowerfroad	Fliser Replacements Additions Original Cost Retired	Total Plani in Service	Less: Accumulation Provision for Depreciation Depreciation Expense Cost of Removal Original Cost Retired	Total Accumulated Provision for Depreciation	Net Regulatory Asset-Post In-Service Carying Cost	Net Deferred Tax BalancePISCC	Deferred Taxes on Uberalized Depreciation	Not Rate Base	Approved Pre-tax Rate of Return	Annualized Return on Rate Base & Deferred Expense	17 Operating Expenses 18 Annualized Provision for Depreciation For Additions 19 Annualized Amorization of PISCC 20 Annualized Property Tax Expense	Annulized Rovenue Requirement
당 교	. U C 4 :	ιn	9 ~ 8 6	9	Ξ	5	13	Ξ	15	16	7. 18 62 20	24

Duke Energy Ohio Ohio AMRP Plant Additions By Month

No.	Cumulative	Actual Balance at 12/31/2012	01/151/13	02/28/13	03/113	04/30/13	05/31/13	06/30/13	÷ 61/16/20	08/11/13	09/30/13	Actual Thru Sept. 30, 2013
- 14 12	Plastic Steel	40,180,050,68	41,162,682.17	42,550,489.05	43,454,151.27	44,755,289.60	46,142,575.15 15,966,448,45	47,384,070.98	49,158,844.58 16,282,201.81	51,928,199.59	53,253,114.54	53,253,114,54
4	-	54,638,019.40	55,924,028,10	57,589,853.13	58,785,589.44	60,398,715.92	62,109,023.60	63,519,388.89	65,441,046.39	68,247,108.29	76,666,766.80	76,666,786.80
o L	Manie Curit Berychell Plastic Steel	8,662,644.46	9,076,769.85	9,781,996.63	10,347,640.91	10,837,979.26	11,368,511.06	11,938,120.11	12,716,659.56	13,358,903.63	13,915,749.11	13,915,749.11
€	•	8,662,644,46	9,076,769.85	9,781,996.63	10,347,640.91	10,837,979.26	11,368,511.05	11,938,120.11	12,716,659.56	13,358,903.63	13,915,749.11	13,915,749.11
.g. 5 =	9 Cristic Metal Service 0 Plastic 1 Steel	8,039,754.66	6,421,834.84	6,991,771.60	7,473,971,88	8,228,731.27 0.00	6,913,172.04	9,454,967.88	10,033,062.85	10,598,171,14	11,102,357.62	11,102,357.62
51	•	6,039,754,66	6,421,834,84	6,991,77,1.60	7,473,971.88	0,228,731.27	8,913,172.04	9,454,967,88	10,033,062.85	10,598,171.14	11,102,357.62	11,102,357,62
5	Total	69,340,418.52	71,422,632,79	74,363,421,36	76,607,202.23	79,465,426.45	82,390,806.70	84,912,476.88	88,190,768.80	92,204,183.06	101,684,873,53	101,684,873.53
		Actual Balance at		3						Ü		Actual Thru
4 2	14 Incremental	12/31/2012	01/31/13	02/28/13	03/11/13	04/30/13	05/31/13	08/30/13	07/31/13	08/31/13	09/30/13	Sept. 30, 2013
19	Plastic Steel	40,180,050.68	962,631,49	1,387,806.88	903,662.22	1,301,138.33	1,387,285.55	1,241,495.83	1,774,773.60	2,769,355.01	1,324,914.95	13,073,063.86 8,955,683.54
18		54,638,019,40	1,286,008.70	1,685,625,03	1,195,936,31	1,613,126.48	1,710,307.68	1,410,365.29	1,921,657,50	2,806,061.90	8,419,658.51	22,028,747,40
2 8 E	Plastic Plastic Steel	8,662,644.46	414,125,39	705,226,78	565,644.28	490,338.35	530,631.80	569,509.05	778,539.45	642,244.07	556,845,48 0.00	5,253,104.65
22		8,662,644.46	414,125,39	705,226,78	565,644.28	490,338,35	530,631.80	569,509.05	778,539,45	642,244.07	556,845,48	5,253,104,65
23	23 Seretto Hittarionicano 24 Plastic	6,039,754,66	382,080.18	569,936.76	482,200.28	754,759.39	684,440.77	541,795.84	578,094.97	565,108.29	504,186.48	5,062,602.96
N N	Steel	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00:0	00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	00:00
8		6,039,754.66	382,080.18	569,936.76	482,200.28	754,759.39	684,440.77	541,795.64	578,094.97	565,108.29	504,186.48	5,062,602.96
27	Total	69,340,418.52	2,082,214,27	2.940,788.57	2,243,780,87	2,858,224,22	2,925,380,25	2,521,670.18	3,278,291,92	4,013,414.26	9,480,690.47	32,344,455.01

Duke Energy Ohio Ohio AMRP Plant Additions By Month

					Actual
Line No. Cumulative	10/31/13	11/30/13	12/31/13	OctDac. 2013	Balance at 12/31/2013
2 Plastic 3 Sleel	63,054,406.07	89,356,743.05 27,761,095.95	76,711,411.38	23,458,296.84 8.839,877,25	76,711,411.38
' '	86,506,280.41	97,117,839.00	108,964,940.89	32,298,174.09	106,964,940.89
5 Mein in Burti Berrices 6 Plastic 7 Steel	14,470,109.73	15,149,415.22	16,005,261,49	2,089,512,38	16,005,261.49
	14,470,109.73	15,149,415.22	16,005,261,49	2,069,512.36	16,005,261.49
9 Carb to Metre Sebjore 10 Phastic 11 Steel	11,559,552,34	12,390,687.00	13,194,287.27	2,091,929.65	13,194,287.27
	11,559,552.34	12,390,687.00	13,194,287.27	2,091,929.65	13,194,287.27
13 Total	112,535,942,48	124,657,941.22	138.164.489.65	36,479,616.12	138,164,489,65
4 Incremental	10/31/13	11/30/13	12/31/13	Oct.~Dac. 2013	Actual Balance at 12/31/2013
15 Plestic 17 Steel	9,801,291,53	6,302,336.98	7,354,668.33	23,458,296.84	36,531,360.70
	9,839,513.61	10,611,558.59	11,847,101.89	32,298,174.09	54,326,921.49
19 Main in Shift Beryleer 20 Plastic 21 Steel	554,360.62	679,305.49	855,846.27	2,069,512.38	7,342,617.03
- 22	554,360.62	679,305.49	855,846.27	2,089,512.38	7,342,617.03
23 Curto Ments Brokes 24 Plastic 25 Steel	457,194.72	831,134.66	803,600.27	2,091,929.65	7,154,532.61
	457,194.72	831,134.66	803,600.27	2,091,929.65	7,154,532.61
27 Total	10,651,068.95	12,121,998,74	13,506,548.43	36,479,616.12	68,824,071.13

Summary

Duke Energy Ohlo Riser Replacement Cap Calculation Riser Additions by Month

Line No.	Actuat Balance at 12/31/12	01/31/13	02/28/13	03/31/13	04/30/13	05/01/13	06/30/13	07/31/13	08/31/13	09/30/13	Activity Thru Sept. 30, 2013
1 Biser Replacements 2,126,202.66	2,126,202.66	•			•		•		•	•	٠
2 Cumulative	2,126,202.66	2,128,202.66 2,126,202.66 2,126,202.66 2,126,202.86	2,126,202.66	2,126,202,66	2,126,202.66	2,126,202.66 2,126,202.66		2,126,202.66	2,126,202.66 2,126,202.66	2,126,202.66	2,126,202.66

Riser Replacements

Riser Replacements

Duke Energy Ohio Riser Replacement Cap Calculation Riser Additions by Month

Line No.	10/21/13	11/30/13	12/31/13	Oct.~Dec. 2013	Actual Batance at 12/31/13
1 Riser Replacements		•			
2 Cumulative	2,126,202,66	2,126,202 66 2,126,202,66 2,126,202,66	2,126,202.66	•	2,126,202,66

Duke Energy Ohio Ohio AMRP Cost Of Removal By Month

Actual Thru Sept. 30, 2013	2,031,282.36 96,668.41 15,014.76	2,144,965.53	0.00	0.00	2,144,965.53	Actual Thu	Sept. 30, 2013	1,025,419.62	49,609.19	1,082,808.47	0000	6 6 6 6	0.00	1,082,808.47
09/30/13	2,031,282.36 98,868.41 15,014.76	2,144,965.53	0.00	0.00	2,144,965.53		S 61/06/60	167,377.90	8,130.28 1,237.22	176,745.40	0.00	0 0	0.00	176,745.40
08/31/13	1,863,904.46 80,538.13 13,777.54	1,968,220.13	0.00 0.00 0.00	00.00	1,968,220.13		08/31/13	145,422.82	1,074.93	153,561.59	0.00	0 0 0 0 0	0.00	153,561.59
07/21/13	1,718,481.64 83,474.29 12,702.61	1,814,658.54	0.00	0.00	1,195,533,92 1,239,121.17 1,293,314,21 1,418,311.72 1,628,023.11 1,814,658,54 1,968,220.13 2,144,965,53		07/31/13	178,637.75	1,320.45	188,635.43	0.00	8 8	0.00	188,635.43
£1/0£/90	1,539,843.89 74,797.06 11,382.16	1,626,023.11	0.00	0.00	1,626,023,11		06/30/13	196,702.69	1,453.98	207,711.39	0.00	00:0	0.00	207,711.39
05/31/13	1,343,141.20 65,242.34 9,928.18	1,418,311,72 1,626,023,11	0.00	0.00	1,418,311.72		05/31/13	118,372.64	5,749.89 874.98	124,997.51	0.00	0 0	0.00	124,997.51
04/30/13	1,224,768.56 59,492.45 9,053.20	1,293,314.21	0.00	00.0	1,293,314.21		04/30/13	51,320.81	2,492,66° 379,35	54,193.04	0.00	8 8	0.00	54,193.04
03/31/13	1,173,447.75 56,999.57 8,673.85	1,239,121,17	0.00	0.00	1,239,121.17		03/31/13	41,277.13	305.11	43,587.25	0.00	00.0	0.00	43,587.25
02/28/13	1,132,170.62 54,994.56 8,368.74	1,195,533.92	0.00 0.00 0.00	00.0	1,195,533.92		02/28/13	70,064.74	3,403.36	73,986.00	0.00	6.0 6.0	0.00	73,986.00
01/21/13	1,062,105.88 51,591.20 7,850.84	1,121,547.92	0.00	00'0	1,121,547.92		01/31/13	56,243.14	2,731.96	59,390.86	0.00	0.00	0.00	59,390.86
Actual Balance at 12/31/2012	1,005,862.74 1,062,105,88 48,859.22 51,591.20 7,435.10 7,850.84	1,082,157.06 1,121,547.92	0.00	0.00	1,062,157.06 1,121,547,92	Actual Balance at	12/31/2012	1,005,862.74	46,859.22 7,435.10	1,062,157.06	0.00	00.0	00.00	1,062,157.06
	1 Cost of Removal—Total 2 Mains 3 Cast Iron & Copper 5 Pissic	Total	7 Main to Curb Services B Cast Iron & Copper 9 Steel 0 Plastic	Total	Total Cost of Removal		Cost of Removal-Incremental 13 Mains		Steel Preside	Total	Σ	Steel		Total Cost of Removal
B B	— 00 a €	9	7 m e t	=	<u></u>		13	# !	ត ក	17	8 0	2 2	22	23

^{24 (1)} Breakdown based on estimate from Gas Department. Actual data will be provided by Fixed Asset Accounting.

<u>Duke Energy Ohio</u> Ohio AMRP Cost Of Removal By Month

Line No.	10/31/13	11/30/13	12/31/13	Oct.~Dec. 2013	Aclual Balance at 12/31/2013
1 Cost of Removal-Total					
2 Mains					
3 Cast Iron & Copper	2,242,599.82	2,331,040,69	2,354,267.10	322,984,74	2,354,267.10
4 Sleel	108,933.04	113,229.01	114,357.22	15,688.81	114,357.22
5 Plastic	16,576.77	17,230.50	17,402.19	2,387.43	17,402.19
6 Total	2,368,109.63	2,461,500.20 2,486,026,51	2,486,026,51	341,060.98	2,4
7 Main to Curb Services					
B Cast Iron & Copper	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
9 Sleel	0.00	000	0.00	00'0	
10 Plastic	00.0	00'0	00'0	00:0	
11 Total	0.00	00'0	0.00	0.00	0.00
12 Total Cost of Removal	2,368,109,63 2,461,500.20 2,486,026.50	2,461,500.20	2,486,026.50	341,060.98	2,486,026.51
					Actual Balance at
Cost of Removal-Incremental	10/31/13	11/30/13	12/31/13	OctDec. 2013	12/31/2013
14 Cast fron & Cooper	211,317,46	88.440.87	23,226,41	322.984.74	1,348,404,36
15 Sleet	10,264,63	4,295.97	1,128.21	15,688.81	65,498,00
	1,562.01	653.73	171.69	2,387.43	9,967.09
17 Total	223,144.10	93,390.57	24,526.31	341,080,98	1,423,869,45
18 Main to Curb Services	5	0	2		8
	00:0	00'0	0.00	000	00.0
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
22 Total	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
23 Total Cost of Removal	223,144.10	93,390.57	24,526.31	341,060.98	341,060.98 1,423,669.45

24 (1) Breakdown based on estimate from

Duke Energy Ohio Ohio AMRP Original Cost Retired By Month

Actuals From "Data Sheet" Estimates From "2008 Monthly Estimates"

Ling No.		Actual Balance at 12/31/2012	01/31/13	02/28/13	03/31/13	04/30/13	05/31/13	06/30/13	07/15/70	08/31/13	69/30/13	Actual Sept. 30, 2013
- यहा द्रा	1 Original Cost Retired—Total 2 Mains 3 Cast Iron & Copper 4 Steel 5 Plastic	900,517.14 1,081,725,52 294,839,56	900,517.14 1,081,725.52 294,839.56	900,517.14 1,081,725.52	900,517.14 1,081,725.52 312,357,29	900,823.55 1,081,725.52	900,823,55 1,081,725,52 312,700,97	900,823.55 1,081,725.52 312,700.97	900,823.55 1,081,725.52 312,700.97	900,823.55 1,081,725.52	900,823.55 1,081,725.52 312,700,97	900,823,55
Ð	3 Total	2,277,082.22	2,277,082.22	2,277,082.22	2,294,599.95	2,295,250,04	2,295,250.04	2,295,250.04	2,295,250 04	2,295,250,04	2,295,250.04	2,295,250.04
~ B € Õ	7 Main To Curb Services B Cast Iron & Copper 9 Steel	269,085.07 181,548.20 1,682,157,48	277,804,74 187,213.64 1,732,733,58	312,474,89 200,126.53 1,906,567,93	327,389.39 213,701.09 1,998,955.50	342,650.29 222,154,92 2,166,325,12	353,944,98 227,433,88 2,209,332,99	390,792.96 255,361.96 2,380,778.21	407,923.82 267,800.37 2,527,549,19	423,691,77 278,410,33 2,650,062,35	473,611,22 308,466,29 2,997,419,40	473,611.22 308,466.29 2.997,419.40
=	Total	2,132,790.75	2,197,751.96	2,419,169.35	2,540,045.98	2,731,130.33	2,790,711.85	3,026,933.13	3,203,273.38	3,352,164.45	3,779,496.91	3,779,496.91
12	Total Original Cost Retired	4,409,872.97	4,474,834,18	4,696,251.57	4.834,645.93	5,026,380,37	5.085.961.89	5,322,183.17	5,498,523.42	5,647,414,49	6,074,746,95	6,074,746,95
•		Actual Belanco at	9	9		9	8 3 4 6					Actual
- 4	∑ €	2/32/15/21	01/31/13	02/28/13	03/31/13	04/30/13	U5/31/13	CE/30/13	E1/15/20	08/31/13	09/30/13	Sept. 30, 2013
₩.		900,517,14	٠	•	•	306.41	•	٠		٠		306.41
9 1	District Control of the control of t	1,081,725.52 294,839.56	• •		17.517.73	343.68			, ,	• •	• •	17 861 41
18		2,277,082.22	•		17,517.73	620.03		•				18,167.82
<u>1</u>	Z	70 940 040	1	40 000	9	00000	20 700 77	6	0000	1000	6	6 6
2 5		181.548.20	5 665 44	12.912.89	13.574.56	8.453.83	5.278.98	20,847.90 27 928 OB	12 438 41	10,600,90	49,919,45	126 918 00
2		1.682,157.48	50,576.10	173,834.35	92,387.57	167,369.62	43,007.87	171,445.22	146,770,98	122,513.16	347,357.05	1,315,261,92
S		2,132,790.75	64,961.21	221,417.39	120,876,63	191,084.35	59,581.52	236,221,28	176,340.25	148,691.07	427,332.46	1,646,706.18
24	Total Original Cast Retired	4,409,872.97	64,961,21	221,417,39	138,394,36	191,734,44	59.501.52	236,221,28	176,340,25	148,891.07	427,332.46	1,664,873.98

Summary

Plant Original Cost Retired By Month-Actual-2013-Final.xls

Duke Energy Ohio Ohio AMRP Original Cost Retired By Month

×
ž
N
ā -
EQ.
<u></u>
0
-
tı.
_
ID.
m
=
int.
STITE
I
=
=
e.
ш
_
-
Sheet
ř.
×
-
D.
8
-
Ci.
-
•
Ē
=
$\overline{}$
~
-
-
200
207
Actual
=
ᆵ
77
3
Q.

						Artual
No.		10/31/13	11/30/13	12/31/13	OctDec. 2013	Balance at 12/31/2013
1 Origin	1 Original Cost Retired-Total		+1			
2 Mains						
3 Casi	Cast Iron & Copper	900,823.55	1,006,395.19	1,713,633.34	813,009.79	1,713,833,34
4 Steel	_	1,081,725.52	1,144,892.44	1,632,442.03	550,716.51	1,632,442.03
5 Plastic	Sic.	312,700.97	328,341.86	407,446.18		407,446.18
6 Total	lei	2,295,250,04	2,479,629.49	3,753,721.55	7	3,753,721.55
7 Main 7	7 Main To Curb Services					
B Cast	Cast kon & Copper	493,075.94	494,128.72	537,756.32	64,145.10	537,756.32
9 Sleet		313,321.65	313,382.42	338,323,21	29,856.92	338,323,21
10 Plastic	lic	3,098,956.98	3,101,343.43	3,366,800.75	n	3,365,800,75
11 Total	is)	3,905,354,57	3,908,854.57	4,242,880,28	463,383,37	4,242,880.28
12	Total Original Cost Retired	6.200,604.61	6.388,484.06	7,996,601.83	1,921,854 88	7.996,601.83
						Actual Balance at
13 Orlgin 14 Mahs	13 Original Cost Retired-Incremental 14 Mains	10/11/13	11/30/13	12/31/13	Oct.~Dec. 2013	12/31/2013
15 Cast	Cast Iron & Copper	•	105,571,64	707,438.15	813,009.79	813,316.20
16 Steel	_	•	63,166.92	487,549.59	550,716,51	550,716,51
17 Plastic	ić	•	15,640.89	79,104.32	94,745.21	112,606.62
18 Total	į	٠	184,379.45	1,274,092.06	1,458,471,51	1,476,639,33
19 Main T	19 Main To Curb Services					
	Cast Iron & Copper	19,464,72	1,052.78	43,627.60	64,145,10	268,671.25
21 Steel	_	4,855.36	60.77	24,940.79	29,856.92	156,775.01
22 Ptastic	de de la companya de	101,537.58	2,386.45	265,457.32	369,381.35	1,684,643.27
23 Total	la la	125,857.66	3,500.00	334,025.71	463,383.37	2,110,089.53
24	Total Original Cost Retired	125,857.66	187,879.45	1,608,117.77	1,921,854,88	3,586,728.86

Duke Energy Ohio Ohio AMRP Cap Calculation Provision for Depreciation

Line	Actual										
No.	Batance at 12/31/12	61/16/10	02/28/13	03/31/13	04/30/13	05/31/13	06/30/13	C1/15/70	08/31/13	09/30/13	Activity Thru Sept. 30, 2013
3 2761-Main-Cast Iron & Copper	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•
4 2762-Main-Steel	•		•	٠	*	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	٠
5 2763-Main-Plastic	٠	•	•	•		•		٠	٠	•	•
6 2767MainSteel	46,711.89	20,843.57	21,280.94	21,681.46	22,102.62	22,552.61	23,018.30	23,261,75	23,473,51	23,526.43	201.741.39
7 2768-Main-Plastic	146,945.70	68,640.92	70,319.58	72,690.42	74,234.18	76,456.95	78,826.90	80,947.79	83,979,69	68,710.67	694,807,10
8 2769Main-Feeder	•	,	1	•	•	•	•	•		,	•
9 2801-Savices-Cl & Copper	٠			•	•	•	4	•	*	•	•
10 2802ServicesStael		•	•		٠	٠	ı	í	٠	•	٠
11 2803-Services-Plastic	•	•	•					•	٠	٠	
12 2804-Services-Steel	٠	•	٠	٠		•	٠	•	•	•	
13 2805-Services-Plastic	96,262.59	24,832.91	26,020.07	28,041.72	29,663.24	31,068.87	32,590.02	34,222,61	36,454,42	38,295,52	281,189,38
14 Curb to Meter ServicesPlastic	68,770.05	17,313.96	16,409,25	20,043,08	21,425,39	23,589.03	25,551.09	27,104.24	28,761.45	30,381,42	212,578.92
15 Total	358,690.23	131,631,36 136,029,85 142,456,88 147,425,63	136.029.85	142,456.68	147,425,63	153,667,46	159,986.31	165,536.39	172.689.07	180,914.04	180,914.04 1,380,316.79
16 Cumulative Provision for Depreciation	358,690.23	490,321.59	626,351,44	768,808.12	916,233,75	490,321.59 626,351,44 768,808.12 916,233,75 1,069,501.21 1,229,887,52 1,395,423.91 1,568,092.98 1,749,007.02 1,749,007,02	1,229,887,52	1,395,423.91	1,568,092.98	1,749,007.02	1,749,007.02

Summary

Duke Energy Ohio Ohio AMRP Cap Calculation Provision for Depreciation

Line					Actual Reference of
2 Province for Depreciables	10/31/13	11/30/13	12/31/13	OctDec. 2013	
3 2761-Mein-Cast Iron & Copper		•	•	•	•
4 2762MainSteel		•	,	•	•
5 2763-Main-Plastic	•		•	٠	1
5 2767-Main-Steel	33,754.58	33,809.79	43,261.04	110,825.51	361,278.79
7 2768-Main-Plastic	90,974.07	107,717.94	120,218.35	318,910.36	1,160,663.16
8 2769Main-Feeder	•	•	,	•	•
9 2801ServicesCI & Copper		•	٠	•	•
10 2802ServicesSteel	•	•		•	ï
11 2803 - Services - Plastic	•	٠	•	•	
12 2804 Services Steel		٠	•		
13 2805ServicesPlastic	39,891,81	41,480.98	45,322,00	126.894,79	504,148.76
14 Curb to Meter Services-Plastic	31,826.76	33,137,38	37,068.91	102.032.95	381,361,92
15 Total	196,447.32	216,146.09	245.870.20	658.463.61	659,463,61 2,407,470,63
16 Cumulative Provision for Depreciation	1,945,454,34	1,945,454.34 2,161,600.43 2,407,470.63	2,407,470,63		2,407,470.63

Summary

Duke Energy Ohio Riser Replacement Cap Calculation Provision for Depreciation

No.	Actual Batance at 12/31/12	01/21/13	02/28/13	03/31/13	04/30/13	05/31/13	06/30/13	61/15/70	DB(21/13	09/30/13	Activity Thru Sept. 30, 2013
3 Riser Replacement	23,339.84 6,085.1	6,095.11	6,095.11	6.095.11	6,095.11	6,095.11	6,095,11	6.095.11	6.095.11	6,095.11	54,855.99
4 Total	23,339.84 6,095.11	6,095.11	6,095.11	6,095.11	6.095.11	6,095.11	6,095.11	6,095.11	6.095.11	6,095.11	54,855.99
5 Cumulative Provision for Depreciation	23,339.84	23,339.84 29,434,95	35,530,06	41,625.17	47,720.28	53,815.39	59,910,50	66,005.61	72,100.72	78,195.83	78,195.83

Summany

Biser Replacement Cap Calculation Provision for Depreciation

Line No. 1 Abstracted 2 Profession for Demolation	10/11/13	11/36/13	12/31/13	OctDec. 2013	Actual Balance at 12/31/13
3 Riser Replacement	6,095.11	6,095.11	6,360.89	18,551,11	96.746.94
4 Total	6,095.11	6,095.11	6,360.89	18,551,11	96,746.94
5 Cumulative Provision for Depreciation	64,290 94	90,386.05	96,746.94		96,746.94

Duke Energy Ohio Ohio AMRP Net Regulatory Asset-Post In-Service Carrying Cost

Line Regulatory Asset-Deterrais	Actual	•			•	,					
No.	Balance at										Activity Thru
1 Account Description	12/31/12	01/11/13	02/28/13	C1/1C/C0	04/30/13	05/31/13	06/30/13	07/31/13	08/31/13	09/30/13	Sept. 30, 2013
ALLED 2011 Se	2.754 62		•	•			•		•	٠	•
	00.00					4			•	1000	
3 CIBSISI AMAR 2011 Frashe Carry Costs	50'101'NC	•	•		•	•	•		•		•
4 0182122 AMRP 2011 Service Carry Costs	60,475.00		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•
5 0182124 AWRP 2012 Steel Carry Costs	178,514.22	64,211.92	65,825.31	78,482,32	83,265.21	•	•		•	•	291,784.76
6 0192125 AMRP 2012 Plactic Corry Costs	339,553.37	180.910.43	186,850,34	225,569.46	240.935.87		٠	,		•	B34,266,10
7 D182126 AMRD 2012 Service Carry Cocts	376.377.17	94.245.78	95 022 08	111,623 69	116,707,68	•	•		•		417,599,23
CONTRACTOR STATE COST CONTRACTOR COST COST COST COST COST COST COST COST	000					•	•	•			
6 UIGSISS AMPLY 2013 SIGGS CATTY CORE	300	•		•			1	•	414		
9 0162129 AMRP 2013 Plastic Carry Costs	80.0	•		•	•		•	•	1,240,15	16,220,01	19,500.09
10 0182130 AMRP 2013 Service Carry Costs	0000	1,943.42	7,032.21	14,911.25	22,414.65	14,983.97	18,298.36	21.691.04	22,329.19	27.717.70	152,321.79
11 Total-Regulatory Asset-Deferrals	1,107,856.07	341,311,55	354,729.94	430,586.72	463,323,41	14,983.97	18,298.36	21,691,04	24,075 37	45,740.21	1,715,540.57
					L						
12 Cumdalive-Regulatory Asset-Deferrads		1,449,167.52	1,803,897,56	2,234,484,28	2,697,807.69	2,712,791.66	2,731,090.02	2,752,781,06	2,777,656.43	2,823,396 64	2,823,396.64
13 Receiptory Asset-Amortization	Actual										
	Relance at										Activity Thru
14 Accessed	12/11/12	5010710	61/82/20	03/31/13	04/30/13	05/31/13	06/30/13	61/15/10	08/31/13	09/30/13	Sept. 30, 2013
04031100 41400 2011 514	00 800		257	151	151	150	25	0.50	196	5	77.10
	20000	23.50	24.50 24.70 24.00 26.00	307 55	23 EE	227 EE	127 EE	757 KE	227 EE	227 KE	30.47.06
	10,007.60	527,55	CE 1777	CC: 177	C. 122	56.133	8.77	55.733	CE 133	CC 177	CC.140,2
	11,318.56	157.48	157.48	157.48	157.48	15/.4B	24./CI	15/48	157.48	157,48	1,417.32
18 0182124 AMRP 2012 Steel Carry Costs	00.0	•	•			602.95	662.32	602.95	602.95	802.95	3,014,75
19 0182125 AMRP 2012 Plastic Carry Costs	000	•		•		1,779.10	1,779.10	1,777.33	1,778.51	1,778.51	8,892.55
_	00:0	•			•	2,118.91	2,118.91	1,965.13	2,067,65	2,067.65	10,338.25
_	0,00	•		•			٠			•	
	000						•		•		•
22 O182120 AMRP 2013 Sarvice Carts	000		,	1	•		•	•	•	•	•
	24 664 54	ADD C.C.	ARA CC	300 6.6	228 820	4 RRQ 57	4 RAG 52	4 733 97	4 R17 F7	4 817 67	25,742,50
Lotel-Trajuctury Asset-Asset.	19:40	200000	No. more	2000			A PROPERTY OF			A COLONIA	
25 Cumulative-Regulatory Asset-Amort.		21,952.80	22,341,36	22,729.92	23,118.48	28,008.00	32,897.52	37,631.49	42,469.16	47,306.83	47,306.83
26 Regulatory Asset-Net	Actual										
	Balance at	1			1	1			1 0		Activity thru
	12/11/12	571510	02/28/13	00031/13	04/30/13	EL/LE/SO	06/30/13	E1/15//0	בויורניסט	03/20/13	Sept. 30, 2013
-	2,516.62	(05.0)	(5.53)	(153)	<u> </u>	(3.53)		(3.53)	(2.53)	(3.53)	(31.77)
-	140,174.01	(227.55)	(227.55)	(227.55)	(227.55)	(227.55)	(227.55)	(227.55)	(227.55)	(227.55)	(2,047.95)
-	49,156.44	(157.46)	(157.48)	(157,48)	(157,48)	(157.48)	(157.48)	(157,48)	(157.48)	(157.48)	(1,417,32)
31 01B2124 AMRP 2012 Steel Carry Costs	178,514.22	64,211,92	65,825.31	78,482.32	80,285.21	(602.95)	(802.95)	(602.95)	(602.95)	(602.95)	288,770.01
32 0182125 AMRP 2012 Plastic Cany Costs	339,553.37	180,910.43	186,850.34	225,589.46	240,935.87	(1,779.10)	(1,779.10)	(1,77,23)	(1,778.51)	(1,778.51)	825,373.55
33 0182128 AMRP 2012 Service Carry Costs	376,377.17	94,245.78	95,022.08	111,623.69	116,707,68	(2,118.91)	(2,118.91)	(1,965.13)	(2,067,65)	(2,067,65)	407,260.98
	00.00				•	•	•		,	**	0.00
35 0182129 AMRP 2013 Plastic Carry Costs	00.0		•	•	•	٠	•	•	1,546,18	18,022.51	19,568.69
36 0182130 AMRP 2013 Service Carry Costs	00'0	1,943.42	7,032,21	14,911.25	22,414,65	14,983.97	18,298.36	21,691.04	23,329,19	27,717,70	152,321.79
37 Total-Regulatory Asset-Net	1,086,291.83	340,922,99	354,341.38	430,198.16	462,934.85	10,094.45	13,408.84	16,957.07	20,037.70	40,902.54	1,689,797.98
							1				
36 Cumulative-Regulatory Asset-Net.		1,427,214,82	1,781,556.20	2,211,754,36	2,674,689.21	2,684,783.66	2,698,192,50	2,715,149.57	2,735,187.27	2,775,069.81	2,775,089.81

Duke Energy Ohio Ohio AMRP Net Regulatory Asset-Post In-Service Carrying Cost

	9 0 0	1	1		Balance al
Account	10/31/13	CLACAL	12/31/13	OctDec. 2013	12/31/13
D182120 AMRP 2011 Steel Carry Costs	•		•	•	2,754.62
D182121 AMRP 2011 Plastic Carry Costs	•	•	,	•	150,181,69
0182122 AMRP 2011 Service Carry Costs	•	•		•	60 475 nn
0182124 AMED 2012 Stool Comp Code					470 006 06
THE STATE OF THE S	,		•	•	410,500.0
DIBSTRO AMERICANIS HASSIC CARY COSTS		•			1,173,819.47
0182126 AMRP 2012 Service Carry Costs	•		٠	,	793,976.40
0182128 AWRP 2013 Steel Carry Costs	34.342.05	27,993,53	50.054.58	108,390,15	108 390 16
DIRECTOR AMRD 2013 Plactic Care Costs	20 168 25	43 202 05	A2 555.07	146 015 07	10E E03 76
THE TAKE THE PARTY COUNTY COUN	200100	10,262,05	06,000.07	140,013.07	100,000
D182130 AMRP 2013 Service Carry Costs	23,286.42	40,624.54	61,550.42	125,461.38	277,783,17
Total-Regulatory Asset-Deferrats	77,794.72	107,911,02	194,160.87	379,866.61	3,203,263.25
Cumulative-Regulatory Assot-Deferrats	2,901,191.36	3,009,102.38	3,203,263.25	3,203,263,25	
13 Regulatory Asset-Amortization					Actual
					Balance at
Account	10/11/13	11/20/13	12/1/13	OctDec. 2013	1231/13
0 AMRP 2011 Ste	2.63	3.53	2.53	10.59	280.36
0192121 AMBD 2011 Physik Com. Coste	23766	227 66	227 65	A90 65	BC BCZ G1
Control Attendance Composite Composi	157.48		457.40	477 44	15,17,174.6.
UIBCIEC AMAIN 2011 SOLVICE CRITY COSIS	24.701	04.70	D61/C	412.44	21.505.L1
	602.95	602.95	602.95	1,808 85	4,823.60
0182125 AMRP 2012 Plastic Carry Costs	1,778.51	1,776.51	1,779.09	5,336.11	14,228.66
0182126 AMRP 2012 Service Carry Costs	2,067,65	2,067.65	2,118.91	6,254,21	16,592,46
0182128 AMRP 2013 Steel Carry Costs	•	•		•	000
D182129 AMRP 2013 Plactic Carry Coes	,				000
Contract Annual Factor Contract Contrac				2	5 6
UIGZIJU AMRIP ZUIJ SETVCE CAITY COSTS		•			0.00
Total-Regulatory Asset-Amort.	4,837,67	4,837.57	4,889,51	14,564.85	61,871.68
Cumuletive-Regulatory Asset-Amort.	52,144,50	56,982.17	61,671.63	61,871.68	
26 Regulatory Asset-Net					Actual
Description	51/15/01	1170013	E1/11/21	Det Dac 2013	12/71/13
UTBZ1ZU AWIRIY ZUTT SIEBI CAMY COSTS	(FG:F)	7	2	(90.01)	2,4/4.25
0182121 AMRP 2011 Plastic Camy Costs	(227,55)	(227.55)	(227.55)	(682.65)	137,443.41
0182122 AMRP 2011 Service Cerry Costs	(157,48)	(157,48)	(157.48)	(472.44)	47,268.68
D182124 AMRP 2012 Steel Carry Costs	(602.95)	(602.95)	(802.95)	(1,608.65)	465,475,38
0162125 AMRP 2012 Plastic Carry Costs	(1,778.51)	(1,778.51)	(1,779 09)	(5,336,11)	1,159,590,81
D182126 AMRP 2012 Service Cerry Costs	(2.067.85)	(2.067.65)	(2.118.91)	(6.254.21)	777 383 94
D182128 AMRP 2013 Steel Carry Costs	34.342.05	27,997,53	50.054.58	108 390 16	108.390.16
Discission Alaba Sons Chemic Contra	30 331 00	42 202 06	00,000,00	146 016 07	44 644 444
Discission when constitution of the Constitution	C3:001.03	CE 707'74	20.000.00	10.010.04	20,000,000
JIBELIAU AMAN' KULI DENKER CRITY COSTS	Z3.CD0.42	40.054.34	24.000.10	123,401.30	211.103,11
Total-Regulatory Asset-Net	72.957.05	103,073,35	189,271,36	365.301.76	3,141,391,57
			1		

Net Def Tax Balance

Duke Energy Ohio Ohio AMRP Net Deferred Tax Balance--PISCC

Activity Thru 08/30/13 Sept. 30, 2013	950,302,35 957,315,55 7,013,20 14,315,89 591,429,30	05 057 215 55 021 631 44 501 430 30
07/21/13	944,367.38 5,934.97	20 202 25
06/30/13	939,674.29	020 624 20 044 167 20 050 200 25
05/31/13	936,141.23 3,533.06	020 674 20
04/30/13	774,114,03	005 141 33
03/31/13	623,544.67 150,569.36	774 114 00 005 141 00
02/28/13	499,525.19 124,019.48	COM 244 CT
61/21/13	380,202.14 119,323.05	400 605
Actual Balance at 12/31/12	305,568.51 380,202.14 74,633.63 119,323.05	100 000 000
ine No.	1 Net Useerreg 1 ax Balances - 15CC 2 Beginning Balance 3 Monthly Activity	

Nei Del Tax Balance

Duke Energy Ohio Ohio AMRP Net Deferred Tax Balance--PISCC

Duke Energy Ohio Riser Replacement Cap Calculation Net Regulatory Asset—Post In-Service Carrying Cost

Line Regulatory Asset-Deferrats No.	Jalenals	Actual Balance at										Activity Thru
_	Account Description First 271 AMRP 2011 River Carin Code	12/31/12	51/15/10	02/28/13	51/15/20	04/30/13	05/31/13	06/20/13	07/31/13	C1/1C/80	£1/06/60	Sept. 30, 2013
	0182127 AMRP 2012 Riser Carry Costs	37,836.49	11,147,15	11,238.97	13,202,56	13,803.88	es			,	•	49,392.56
5 Total	UIBZI31 AMHP 2013 Hiser Cany Costs Total-Regulatory Assel-Defenals	54,613.60	11,147.15	11,238.97	13,202.56	13,803.88		•				49,392.56
6 Cumul	Cumulative-Regulatory Asset-Delenals		65,760.75	76,999,72	90,202.28	104,006,16	104,006.16	104,006.16	104,006.16	104,006.16	104,006.16	104,006,16
7 Regulatory AssetAmortization B	Vmortization	Actual Balance at	577570	CTBGC0	20100	04/20/13	6503713	OSTOVIA 1	02731733	C1/10/20	500000	Activity Thru
	0182123 AMRP 2011 Riser Carry Costs	3,600.08	43.69	42.69	43.69	43.69	42.69	43.69	43.69	43.69	8	393.21
11 0182127 AMRP 2 0182131 AMRP 2	0182127 AMRP 2012 Riser Carry Costs 0182131 AMRP 2013 Riser Carry Costs		• •		• •	1	728.7	22834	222.80	227.16	227.16	1,135.80
12 Total-	Total-Regulatory Asset-Amort.	3,600.08	43.69	43.69	43.69	43.69	273.03	273.03	266.49	270.85	270.85	1,529.01
13 Curnul	Cumulativa-Regulatory Assol-Amort.		3,643.77	3,687.46	3,731.15	3,774.84	4,047.87	4,320,90	4,587.39	4,658.24	5,129.09	5,129.09
14 Regulatory Asset-Net		Actual										Activity Then
15 16 0182123 AMRP 2	DIB2123 AMRP 2011 Riser Carry Costs	12/31/12	01/21/13	02/28/13	03/31/13	04/30/13	05/31/13	06/30/13	07/31/13	08/31/13		Sept. 30, 2013 (393.21)
	0182127 AMRP 2012 Riser Carry Costs	37,636.49	11,147.15	11,238.97	13,202.56	13,800.88	(229.34)	(229.34)	(222.80)	(227.16)	(227, 16)	48,256.76
19 Total	Ulberg 1 AMHP 2013 Riser Carry Costs Total-Regulatory Asset-Net	51.013.52	11,100.46	11,195.28	13,158.87	13,760.19	(273.00)	(273.03)	(266.49)	(270.85)	(270.85)	47,863 55
20 Cumul	Cumulative-Regulatory Asset-Net.	51,013,52	62,116.98	73,312.26	66,471,13	100,231,32	99,958.29	99,585.26	59,418,77	89,147,92	98,677.07	98,877.07

Reg Asset Bal.

Duke Energy Ohio
Riser Replacement Cap Calculation
Net Regulatory Asset-Post In-Service Carrying Cost

Une Regulatory Asset-Deletrals No.					Actual Balance at
Voccuri 0182127 AMRP 2017 Riser Carry Costs 0182127 AMRP 2012 Riser Carry Costs 0182131 AMRP 2013 Riser Carry Costs	57,1501	11/30/13	12/31/13	OctDec. 2013	12/31/13 16,777,11 87,229.05
Total-Regulatory Assot - Deferrals		•			104,006.16
Cumutative-Regulatory Asset-Deferrals	104,006.16	104,006.16	104,006.16	104,006.16	
					Actual Balance el
Uccount Description District AMRP 2011 Bisser Camy Costs	10/31/13	11/30/13	12/31/13	Oct Dec. 2013	12/31/13
1182127 AMRP 2012 Riser Carry Costs	227.16	227.16	229.34	229.34	1,365.14
Total-Regulatory Asset-Amort.	270.85	270.85	273.03	360.41	5,489.50
Cumulative-Regulatory Asset-Amort.	5,399.94	5,670.79	5,943.82	5,943.82	
					Actual Balance et
	10/1/13	11/30/13	12/31/13	OctDec. 2013	12/11/13
3182123 AMRP 2011 Riser Carry Costs	(43.69)	(43.69)	(43.69)	(101.07)	12,652,75
D162127 AMRP 2012 Riser Certy Costs	(227.16)	(227.16)	(229.34)	(683.66)	85,409.59
Total-Regulatory Asset-Net	(270.85)	(270.85)	(273.03)	(814.73)	96,062.34
Cumulative Regulatory Asset-Net.	98,606,22	98,335,37	98,062,34	98,062.34	

Biser Replacement Cap Calculation Net Deferred Tax Balance-PISCC

Line No. I Net Deferred Tax Balances—PISCC	Actual Balance at 12/31/12	61/15/10	02/28/13	03/31/13	04/30/13	05/31/13	6/30/13	07/11/13	08/11/13	09/30/13	Activity Thru Sept. 30, 2013
2 Begivning Balance 3 Monthly Activity	15,083.48 17,854,73 2,771,25 3,886.21	17,854,73	21,740.94	25,659.29 4,605.60	30,264.89	35,080.98	34,985.40 (95.56)	34,889.84 (93.27)	34,796.57 (94.80)	34,701.77 (94.80)	16,752.24
4 Ending Balance	17.854.73 21.740.94	21,740.94	25,659,29	30,264.89	35,080.96	34,985.40	34,889.84	34,786.57	34,701,77	34.606.97	16,752.24

Nel Del Tax Balance

Net Def Tax Balance

Duke Energy Ohlo Riser Replacement Cap Calculation Net Deferred Tax Balance—PISCC

Balance at OctDec. 2013 12/31/13	34,417.37 (285.16) (95.56)	(265.16) 34,321.81
12/31/13 0c	34,417.37 (95.56)	34,321.81
11/30/13	34,512.17 (94.80)	34,512.17 34,417.37 34,321.81
10/21/13	34,606.87	34,512.17
ine (O) 1 Mail Defended Two Defended - A Mail De	2 Beginning Balance 3 Monthly Activity	4 Ending Balance

Duke Energy Ohio Ohio AMRP

Deferred Taxes on Liberalized Depreciation

Duke Energy Ohio Riser Replacement Cap Calculation Deferred Taxes on Liberalized Depreciation

Total Deferred Tax	Balance																	379,429.08		
	Total		2,126,202.66	2,126,202,66			2,216,202.66	2,216,202.66		•	77,596.61	77,596.61		73,141,32	777	73,141,32	4,455,29	1,559.35	379,429.08	35.00%
		Vintage 2013	٠												1	•	•			
Tax Year 2013		OctDec.2013		•				•				٠				,	•			
	Vertage 2013	Sept. 30, 2013		P				•								•	•		1,559.35	
	Vintage, 2012		2,126,202.66	2,126,202,66			2,216,202.68	2,216,202.66		•	77.596.61	77,596.61		73.141.32		73,141.32	4,455.29	1,559.35		
Tax Year 2012	Vintage 2012		2,126,202.66	2,125,202.66	•		2,126,202,66	2,126,202 66		1,063,101.33	28,487.98	1,091,589.31	72 000 00	23,339,84		23,339.84	1,068,249.47	377.869.73	377,869 73	35.00%
•	•	Une No. Plant tn-Service -	1 Riser Replacement	2 Total Plant in Service	3 Book to Tax Basis Adjustments:	4 Tax Base In-Service subject to:	5 Bonus Depreciation - 50% 6 Bonus Depreciation - 100%	/ MACHS on Bases 6 Total Tax Depreciation Base 6	9 Tax Depreciation -	30 Bonus Depreciation - 50% 11 Bonus Demeciation - 100%		13 Total Tax Depreciation	14 Book Depreciation		 Lass: Book Dept on AFUDC Equity Plus: Originating Dif. Exclusive of AFUDC Equity 	19 Net Book Depredation	20 Tax Depreciation in Excess of Book Depreciation	21 Federal Deferred Taxes @ 35.00%	22 Deferred Tax Balance	Federal Deferral Rate

Duke Energy Obio Ohio AMRP Annualized Depreciation Associated With Additions

Line		<u>.</u>										
1 Plant Besis		Balance at 12/31/2012	1/31/2013	2/28/2013	3/31/2013	4/30/2013	5/31/2013	6/30/2013	7/31/2013	8/31/2013	9/30/2013	Actual Sept. 30, 2013
3 Plastic 4 Steel		40,180,050.68	41,162,682.17	42,550,489.05 15,039,164.08	43,454,151.27	44,755,289.60 15,643,426,32	46,142,575.15 15,966,448.45	47,384,070.98	49,156,844.58	51,928,199.59	53,253,114,54	53,253,114,54
ស	1	54,638,019.40	55,924,028.10	57,589,653.13	58,785,589.44	60,398,715.92	62,109,023.60	63,519,388.89	65,441,046,39	68,247,106.29	76,666,766.80	76,665,766.80
6 DAM IN CARD Service 7 Plastic 8 Steel		8,562,644,46	9,076,769.85	9,781,996.63	10,347,640.91	10,837,979.26	11,368,611.06	11,938,120.11	12,716,659,56	13,358,903,63	13,915,749,11	13,915,749.11
	1	B,662,644.46	9,076,769.85	9,781,996.63	10,347,640.91	10,837,979.26	11,368,611.06	11,938,120.11	12,716,659.56	13,358,903 63	13,915,749.11	13,915,749,11
10 - 61-11-7-78 - 78-71 11 Phastic 12 Steel		6,039,754.66	6,421,834.64	6,991,771.80	7,473,971.88	8,228,731.27	8,913,172.04	9,454,967.88	10,033,062,85	10,598,171,14	11,102,357.62	11,102,357,62
	I	6,039,754.66	6,421,834.84	6,991,771.60	7,473,971.88	6,228,731.27	8,913,172.04	9,454,967.88	10,033,062.85	10,598,171,14	11,102,357.62	11,102,357.62
14 Total	1	69,340,418,52	71,422,632,79	74,363,421.36	76,607,202,23	79,465,426.45	82,390,806.70	64,912,476.68	88,190,768.60	92,204,180.06	101,684,873.53	101,684.873.53
15 Annualized 16 Depreciation Expense	2											
17 Weigh 16 Plestic 19 Steel	2.08%	835,745.06	856,163.79	885,050.17	903,846.35	930,910.02	959,765.56	985,588.68	1,022,503.97	1,080,106,55	1,107,554.78	1,107,664.78
	ł	1,106,109,08	1,132,220.96	1,166,282.54	1,180,544.24	1,223,442.09	1,258,338.15	1,267,319.12	1,326,981,14	1,385,270,14	1,545,500.08	1,545,500.08
21 Week to Supplicate 22 Plastic 23 Steel	3.58%	310,986.94	325,856.04	351,173.68	371,480.31	369,083.46	408,133.14	428,578.51	456,528.08	479,584,64	499,575.39	499,575,39
	1	310,988.94	325,856.04	351,173.68	371,480.31	389,083.46	408,133.14	428,578.51	456,528.08	479,584.64	499,575.39	499,575.39
Curt for Market Service Plastic Steel	3.59%	216,827.19	230,543.87	251,004.60	268,315.59	295,411,45	319,982.88	339,433.35	360,166.96	380,474.34	398,574.64	398,574.64
	1	216,827.19	230,543.87	251,004.60	268,315,59	295,411,45	319,982.88	339,433.35	360,186.96	380,474,34	398,574.64	398,574.64
29 Total	i	1,633,925.21	1,688,620.67	1,758,460.82	1,830,340,14	1,907,937.00	1,986,454.17	2,055,330.98	2,143,696,18	2.245,329.12	2,443,650.11	2,443.650.11

Duke Energy Ohio Ohio AMRP Annualized Depreciation Associated With Additions

						Actual
- (Figure Design	10/51/13	11/30/13	12/31/13	OctDec. 2013	12/31/2013
V ET	Plastic	63,054,406.07	69,356,743.05	76,711,411.38	23,458,296.84	76,711,411,38
4	Steel	23,451,874,34	27,761,085.95	32,253,529,51	8,639,677.25	32,253,529,51
IO.	•	86,506,280.41	97,117,839.00	108,964,940.89	32,298,174.09	108,964,940.89
φ	Mentrio Carlo Services					
~ =	Plastic	14,470,109.73	15,148,415.22	16,005,281,49	2,089,512.38	16,005,261.49
0		14,470,109.73	15,148,415.22	16,005,261,49	2,089,512,38	16,005,261.49
10	Oafb to Mater Services					
= 5		11,559,552.34	12,390,687.00	13,194,287.27	2,091,929.65	13,194,287.27
1 12		11,559,552,34	12,390,687.00	13,194,287.27	2,091,929.65	13,194,287.27
4	Total	112.535,942.48	124,657,941.22	138,154,489,65	36,479,616,12	138,164,489,65
ž 5	15 Annualized 16 Depreciation Expense					
14	Plastic	1,311,531.65	1,442,620.26	1,595,597.36	487,832.56	1,595,597.34
	1.87%	1,750,061.70	1,961,752.75	2,198,738.36	653,238.26	2,198,738.34
21	Sold Sport				1	
ង ន	Plastic 3.59% Steel 2.90%	519,476.94	543,864.01	574,588.89	75,013,49	574,586.88
24		519,476.94	543,864.01	574,588.89	75,013.49	574,588.86
স্থ প্র	OutbioMana and Plastic	414,987.93	444,825.66	473,674.91	75,100.27	473,674.91
2 2	Steel 2.90%	414,987.93	444,825.66	473,674,91	75,100.27	473,674.91
গ্ন	Total	2,684,546.57	2,950,442.42	3,247,002.16	803,352.02	3,247,002.13

Duke Energy Ohlo Riser Replacement Cap Calculation Annualized Depreciation Associated With Risers

Line <u>No.</u> 1 Plant Basts		Actual Balance at 12/31/2012	01/21/13	02/28/13	63/21/13	04/36/13	05/31/13	06/30/13	61/16/20		£1/0E/60	Actual Sept. 30, 2013
1 Riser Replacements		2,126,202.66	2,126,202.66 2,128,202.66 2,126,202.66	2,126,202.66	- 1	2,126,202.66	2,126.202.66	2,126,202.66	2,126,202.66	2,126,202,66 2,126,202,66 2,126,202,66 2,126,202,66 2,126,202,66 2,126,202,66 2,126,202,66 2,126,202,68	2,126,202.66	2,126,202.66
2 Annualized 3 Depreciation Expense												
4 Riser Replacements	3.59%	. u	76,330.68 76,330.68	76,330.68	76,330,68	76,330.68	76,330.68	76,330.68	76,330.68	76.330.68 76,330.88	76,330.68	76,330.68

Duke Energy Ohio Riser Replacement Cap Calculation Annualized Depreciation Associated With Risers

Line No. 1 Piert Basis		10/31/13	11/30/13	12/31/13	OctDec. 2013	Actual Balance at 12/31/2013
1 Riser Replacements	1	2,126,202.66	2,126,202.66 2,126,202.66 2,126,202.66	2,126,202,66	•	2,126,202.66
2 Annualized 3 Depreciation Expense						
4 Riser Replacements	3.59%	76,330.68	76,330.68	76,330.68	ı	76,330.68

Duke Energy Ohlo Ohio AMRP Annualized Reduction in Depreciation For Retirements

Une No. 1 Plant Baels 2 Marin 3 Cast fron & Copper 4 Steel 5 Plastic 6		Actual Balance at 12/31/2012 900,517.14 1,081,725.52 294,839.56 2,277,082.22	900,517.14 1,081,725.52 224,839.56 2,277,082.22	02/28/13 900,517,14 1,081,725,52 294,819,56 2,277,082,22	03/31/13 900,517.14 1,081,725.52 312,357.29	04/30/13 900,823.55 1,081,725.52 312,700.97 2,295,250.04	05/31/13 900,823.55 1,081,725.52 312,700.97 2,295,260.04	06/30/13 900,823.55 1,081,725.52 312,700.97 2,295,250.04	07/31/13 800,823,55 1,081,725,52 312,700,97 2,285,250.04	08/31/13 900,823.55 1,081,725.52 312,700.97 2,295,250.04	09/30/13 800,822.55 1,081,725.52 312,700.97 2,295,250.04	Actual Sept. 30, 2013 900,823.55 1,081,725.52 312,700.87 2,295,250.04
B Cast Iron & Copper 9 Steel 10 Plastic 11 12 Total		269,085.07 181,548.20 1,682,157.48 2,132,790.75 4,409,872.97	277,804,74 167,213,64 1,732,733,68 2,197,751,96 4,474,834,18	312,474.80 200,126.53 1,806,567.83 2,419,169.35 4,696,251.57	327,389,38 213,701,09 1,998,955,50 2,540,045,98 4,834,645,93	342,650,29 222,154,92 2,166,325,12 2,731,130,33 5,026,380,37	353,944.98 227,433.08 2,209,332.99 2,790,711.85 5,085,961.89	390,792,96 255,361,96 2,380,778,21 3,026,933,13 5,322,183,17	407,923,82 267,800,37 2,527,549,19 3,203,273,38 5,498,523,42	423,691,77 278,410,33 2,650,062,35 3,352,164,45 5,647,414,49	473,611.22 308,466.29 2.987,419.40 3,779,486.91 6.074,746.95	473,611.22 308,466.29 2.987,419.40 3,778,496.91 6,074,746.95
13 Annualized Reduction in 14 Depreciation Expense 15 West 16 Cast fron & Copper 17 Steel 18 Plastic 19	2.45% 1.73% 2.05%	(22,062,67) (18,713.85) (6,044,21) (46,820,73)	(22,062.67) (18,713.85) (6,044.21) (46,820.73)	(22,062.67) (18,713.65) (6,044.21) (46,820.73)	(22,062,67) (18,713.85) (6,403.32) (47,179.84)	(22,070.18) (18,713.85) (6,410,37) (47,194.40)	(22,070.18) (18,713.85) (6,410.37) (47,194.40)	(22,070.18) (18,713.85) (6,410.37) (47,194,40)	(22,070.18) (18,713.85) (6,410.37) (47,194.40)	(22,070.18) (16,713.85) (6,410.37) (47,194.40)	(22,070.18) (18,713.85) (6,410.37) (47,194.40)	
20 Cast from & Copper 22 Steel 23 Plastic 24 Cots Copper 25 Plastic 24 Cots Copper 25 Total	2.97% 2.90% 3.44%	(7,991.63) (5,264.90) (57,866.21) (71,122.94)	(8,250.80) (5,429.20) (59,506.04) (73,286.04) (120.106.77)	(9,280.50) (5,803.67) (65,585.94) (80,670.11)	(9,723.46) (6,197.33) (69,764.07) (64,684.06) (131.864.70)	(10,176,71) (8,442,49) (74,521,58) (91,140,78) (138,335,18)	(10,512.17) (6,595.58) (76,001.05) (93,108.80) (140,303.20)	(11,606.55) (7,405.50) (61,696.77) (100,910.82) (148,105.22)	(12,115,34) (7,766,21) (86,947,69) (106,829,24) (154,023,64)	(12,583.65) (8,073.90) (91,162.14) (111,819.69)	(14,066.25) (8,945.52) (103,111.23) (126,723.00) (173,317.40)	(14,066.25) (8,945.52) (103,111.23) (126,123.00) (173,317.40)

Duke Energy Ohio Ohio AMRP Annualized Reduction in Depreciation For Retirements

- - - - -	Pian Basic						Actual Balance at
	ADELLA		10/31/13	11/30/13	12/31/13	OctDec. 2013	12/31/2013
מ א	-		900,823.55	1,006,395.19	1,713,833.34	813,009.79	1,713,833.34
4	Steel		1,081,725.52	1,144,892.44	1,632,442.03	550,716.51	1,632,442.03
45)	Plastic		312,700.97	328,341.86	407,446.18	94,745,21	407,446.18
Ð		!	2,295,250.04	2,479,629.49	3,753,721.55	1,458,471.51	3,753,721.55
7	Mein To Carb Sevices						
40	Cast Iron & Copper		493,075.94	494,128.72	537,756.32	64,145.10	537,756.32
O)	Sleef		313,321,65	313,382.42	338,323.21	29,856,92	338,323.21
0	Plastic	١	3,098,956.99	3,101,343,43	3,366,800.75	369,301.35	3,366,800,75
Ξ			3,905,354,57	3,906,854.57	4,242,880.28	463,383.37	4,242,880.28
12	Total	١	6,200,604.61	6,388,484.06	7,996,601.83	1,921,854,88	7,996,601.83
5 4	13 Amualized Reduciion In 14 Depreciation Expense						
₹.	9.1						
9		2,45%	(22,070,18)	(24,656.68)	(41,988.92)	(19,918.74)	(41,986.92)
7	Steel	1.73%	(18,713.85)	(19,808.64)	(28,241.25)	(9,527.40)	(28,241.25)
9	Plastic	2.05%	(6.410.37)	(6,731.01)	(8,352.65)	(1,942,28)	(8.352.65)
<u>=</u>			(47,194.40)	(51,194.33)	(78,582.82)	(31,388.42)	(78,582,82)
웄							
2	Cast Iron & Copper	2.97%	(14,644.36)	(14,675.62)	(15,971,36)	(11,905,11)	(15,971,36)
ដ	Steel	2,90%	(9,086.33)	(60'880'6)	(9,811.37)	(865.85)	(9,811,37)
Ŋ	Plastic	3.44%	(106,604,12)	(105,686.21)	(115,817.95)	(12,706,72)	(115.817.95)
24			(130,334.81)	(130,449.92)	(141,600.68)	(15,477,68)	(141,600.68)
25	Total		(177,529.21)	(181,644.25)	(220,183.50)	(46,866.10)	(220,183.50)

Duke Energy Ohio Ohio AMRP Annualized Amortization of PISCC

Une Regula	Uno Regulatory Asset-Deferrals No.		Actual Balance at										Actival
1 Account	uni Description		12/31/12	61/15/10	02/28/13	03/31/13	04/30/13	05/31/13	06/30/13	07/21/13	08/31/13	09/30/13	Sept. 30, 2013
2 01621	0182120 AMRP 2011 Sleel Carry Costs		2,754.62	•	•	•	•	•		٠	•	٠	2,754.62
3 01821	0182121 AMRP 2011 Plastic Carry Costs		150,181,69	•	•				G.		•	•	150,181.69
4 01821	0182122 AMRP 2011 Service Carry Costs		60,475.00	•	•			•	ě	•	*	•	60,475.00
5 01821	0182124 AMRP 2012 Sieel Carry Costs		178,514.22	64,211.92	65,825.31	78,482.32	83,265.21	•				•	470,298.98
6 01821	0182125 AMRP 2012 Plastic Carry Costs		339,553,37	160,910.43	186,850,34	225,569.46	240,935.87			•	•		1,173,619.47
7 01821	0182126 AMRP 2012 Service Carry Costs		376,377.17	94,245.78	95,022.08	111,623.69	116,707.68	•		•	•	•	793,976.40
8 01821	0182128 AMRP 2013 Steel Carry Costs		•	•	•	•	•				•	•	•
9 01821	0182129 AMRP 2013 Plastic Carry Costs		•		٠	•	•	•	•		1,546.18	16,022.51	19,568.69
10 01821	10 0182130 AMRP 2013 Service Carry Costs		•	1,943,42	7,032.21	14,911.25	22,414,65	14,983.97	18,298,36	21,691.04	22,329.19	27,717.70	152,321.79
11	Total-PISCC		1,107,856.08	341,311.55	354,729.94	430,586.72	463,323,41	14,983.97	18,298.36	21,691.04	24,875.37	45,740,21	2,823,396.64
12	Cumulative TotalPISCC		1,107,856.08 1,449,167.63	1,449,167.63	1,803,897,57	2,234,464.29	2,697,807.70	2,712,791,67	2,731,090.03	2,752,781,07	2,777,656.44	2,823,396.65	2,823,396.64
13 Annua	13 Annualized Amortization of PISCC		Actual										
			Balance at										Actual
4	Description		12/31/12	01/31/13	02/28/13	03/31/13	04/30/13	05/31/13	06/30/13	07/31/13	08/31/13	09/30/13	Sept. 30, 2013
15	AMRP 2011 Steel Carry Costs	1.54%	42.42	•	•	ř	•	•	•	•			42.42
16	AMRP 2011 Plastic Carry Costs	1.82%	2,733.31	٠	•	٠	11	•	•	٠	•	٠	2,733,31
17	AMRP 2011 Service Carry Costs	3.13%	1,892,67		•	•	6	•		•	•	•	1,892.87
91	AMRIP 2012 Steel Carry Costs	1.54%	2,749.13	988.B6	1,013.71	1,208.63	1,282.28	•	•	×	٠	•	7,242.61
91	AMRP 2012 Plastic Carry Costs	1.82%	6,179.88	3,292.57	3,400.68	4,105.36	4,385.03	٠		•	٠	٠	21,363.52
8	AMRP 2012 Service Carry Costs	3.13%	11,780,61	2,949.89	2,974.19	3,493.82	3,652,95	•		٠	•	Þ	24,851,46
21	AMRP 2013 Steel Carry Costs	2,54%	٠	٠			٠		•			٠	•
ដ	AMRP 2013 Plastic Carry Costs	1.82%					•				28.14	328,01	356.15
23	AMRP 2013 Service Carry Costs	3.13%	•	60.83	220.11	456.72	701.58	469,00	572.74	678.93	730.20	967,58	4,767.67
24	Total-Anwalized Amortization PISCC		25,378,22	7,292,15	7,608.69	9,274.53	10,021,84	469.00	572.74	678.93	758.34	1,195,57	63,250.01

Duke Energy Ohio Ohio AMRP Annualized Amortization of PISCC

Actual Balance at	12/31/13	2,754.62	150,181.69	60,475.00	470,298.98	1,173,819.47	793,976.40	108,390.16	165,583,76	277,783,17	3,203,263,25	3,203,263,25	Actual	Balance at	12/31/13	42.42	2,733.31	1,892.87	7,242,61	21,363.52	24,851.46	1,669.21	3,013.63	8,694.61	71,503.64
	OctDec. 2013	•	•	•	•	•	•	109,390.16	146,015.07	125,461,38	379,866.61				OctDec. 2013	•	•	•	4	•	•	1,669.21	2,657.48	3,926.94	8,253,63
	12/31/13	•			٠	•	•	50,054,58	82,555.87	61,550.42	194,160.87	3,203,263,25			12/01/13		ž.	٠	,		•	770.84	1,502.52	1,926.53	4,199.89
	11/30/13	٠	•			4	•	22,993.53	43,292,95	40,624.54	107,911.02	3,009,102,36			11/30/13	•	•		٠		•	369,50	787.93	1.271.55	2,428.98
	10/31/13	•		•		•	•	34,342.05	20,166.25	23,286.42	77,794.72	2,901,191,36			10/31/13	•			•		•	528.87	367.03	728.86	1,624.76
																1.54%	1.82%	3.13%	1.54%	1.82%	3.13%	1.54%	1.82%	3.13%	၂
Line Regulatory Asset-Deferrats No.	Description	0182120 AMRP 2011 Steel Carry Costs	0182121 AMRP 2011 Plastic Carry Costs	0182122 AMRP 2011 Service Carry Costs	0182124 AMRP 2012 Steel Carry Costs	0182125 AMRP 2012 Plastic Carry Costs	0182126 AMRP 2012 Service Carry Costs	0182128 AMRP 2013 Steel Carry Costs	0182129 AMRP 2013 Plastic Carry Costs	10 0182130 AMRP 2013 Service Carry Costs	Total-PISCC	Cumulative Total-PISCC	13 Annualized Amortization of PISCC		Description	AMRP 2011 Steel Carry Costs	AMRR 2011 Plastic Carry Costs	AMRP 2011 Service Carry Costs	AMRP 2012 Steel Carry Costs	AMRP 2012 Plastic Carry Costs	AMRP 2012 Service Carry Costs	AMRP 2013 Steel Carry Costs	AMRP 2013 Plastic Carry Costs	AMRP 2013 Service Carry Costs	Total-Annualized Amortization PISCC
egulatory Ass	Account	182120 AMRI	182121 AMR	182122 AMRI	182124 AMRI	182125 AMRI	182126 AMRI	182128 AMRI	182129 AMRI	182130 AMRI	Tot	Cur	rnualized Am			AMRE	AMR	AMR	AMPR	AMR	AMRI	AMH	AMBE	AMR	Tota

Annual Amort.

Duke Energy Ohio Riser Replacement Cap Calculation Annualized Amortization of PISCC

Line Regulatory Asset-Deferrals No.		Actual Belence at										Ardinal
1 Account Description		12/31/12	01/11/13	02/28/13	61/16/60	04/30/13	05/31/13	06/30/13	07/31/13	08/31/13	09/30/13	Sept. 30, 2013
2 0182123 AMRP 2011 Riser Carry Costs	Costs	16,777,11		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	16.777.11
3 0182127 AMRP 2012 Riser Carry Costs	Costs	37,836.49	11,147.15	11,238.97	13,202,56	13,603.88	•	•	•	•	•	87,229.05
4 0182131 AMRP 2013 Riser Carry Costs	Costs	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	٠
5 Total-PISCC		54,613.60	11,147,15	11,238.97	13,202,56	13.803.88			·			104,006,16
6 Cumulative Total-PISCC	, 8	54,613,60	65,760.75	76,999,72	90,202.28	104,006.16	104,006.15	104,008.16	104,006.16	104,006.16	104,006.16	104,006.16
7 Annualized Amortization of PISCC		Actual Balance at										Actual
B Account Description		12/31/12	01/11/13	02/28/13	03/21/13	04/30/13	05/31/13	06/30/13	07/31/13	08/31/13	09/30/13	Sept. 30, 2013
9 0182123 AMRP 2011 Riser Carry Costs	Costs 3.13%	525.12			•						•	525.12
10 0182127 AMRP 2012 Riser Carry Costs	Costs 3.13%	1,184,28	348.91	351.78	413.24	432.06		•		•		2,730,27
11 0182131 AMRP 2013 Riser Carry Costs	Costs 3.13%		•	•		•	•	•		٠		•
12 Total-Anmatized Amortization PISCC	rization PISCC	1,709.40	348.91	351.78	413.24	432.06		•	•	•	1	3,255.39

Annual Amort.

Riser PISCC Regulatory Asset and Amortization-Actual -2013-Final xls

Duke Energy Ohio Riser Replacement Cap Calculation Annualized Amortization of PISCC

Line regulatory Asset-Deterrals <u>No.</u>						Balance at
Description		10/31/13	11/30/13	12/31/13	OctDec. 2013	12/31/13
0182123 AMRP 2011 Riser Carry Costs		•		•	•	16,777.11
0182127 AMRP 2012 Riser Carry Costs		•	•	٠	•	87,229,05
4 0182131 AMRP 2013 Riser Carry Costs		•		•	•	•
	•	٠			•	104,006.15
Cumulative Total-PISCC		104,006.16	104,006.16	104,006.16		104,006,16
7 Annuelized Amortization of PISCC						Actual
Description		10/31/13	11/20/13	12/31/13	OctDec. 2013	12/31/13
9 0182123 AMRP 2011 Riser Carry Costs	3.13%	•		•		525.12
10 0182127 AMRP 2012 Riser Carry Costs	3,13%	•	٠	,	•	2,730.27
11 0182131 AMRP 2013 Riser Carry Costs	3.13%	•			٠	•
Total-Annualized Amortization PISCC	200	٠		•	•	3,255.39

Duke Eneray Ohlo Ohlo AMRP Cap Calculation Gas Maintenance Accounts Savings Calculation

								fred	Included in Rates							
			Actual							Eath	Estimated					
Account	1 Description	Jen 2012	Eeb 2012	Mer 2012	Apr 2012	May 2012	Aun 2012	Jul 2012	Aus 2012		Act,/Bud, Thru Sept, 30, 2012	9512012	Hoy 2012	Dec. 2012	Budget OctDes 2012	Teke
		17,610,00	16.667.00	10,020,00	15,372,00	15,476.00	(Budget) 20,115.00	(Budget) 15,301,00	(Budgel) 15.311.00	(Budget)	149 631 00	(Budget) 15.367.00	(Budget)	(Budget)	W 000 07	200 460 70
2 887000	Maintenance of Mains Maintenance of Sections	281,528 00	277,776.00	362,848.00	00.575,622	250,968.00	319,990.00	294 280 00	229,142.00	221,118 000	2,467,027.00	216,573.00	202,556.00	332,461.00	751,590.00	3,216,613.00
		37.53	00.924.01	12,806 00	19,650.00	16,986,00	26,283,00	20,660,00	13,563,00	13,961.00	165,084.00	17,866.00	22,203.00	36,676,00	76,945.00	242,029 00
4	Total	322,681.00	310.B69.00	393.274.00	264,602.00	283 430 00	366,368,00	330,461.00	258.016.00	252,237 00	2,781,938.00	249,796.00	244.698.00	384,470.00	679.164.DO	3,661,102,00
	,															
	ل ا								Actual							
		Jen 2013	Est. 2013	Mar 2013	Apr 2013	May 2013	den 2013	Jul 2013	Ays. 2013	Sec 2015	Actual Thru Seet 30, 2013	0c12013	Nev 2013	Dec.2012	Actual OctDec 2012	Total
5 885000 6 887000	885000 Maintenance Supervision/Eng 887000 Maintenance of Mains	17,902.04	17,670 00	18,407.58	17,809.90	15,239,09	16,265,97	10,465.81	16,499.52	17,580.97	153,950 66 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	15,114.37	19,523.10	17,779.92	\$2,417.39	206,368 27
7 892000	Maintenance of Services	25.522.76	15.312.53	26.124.60	14.829.D0	17,758,10	23 486 94	17,251 73	17.898 ED	20,306.25	178,492 54	15,475.00	27,560 68	27,260 18	70,295,86	248,788.40
	Total	364.629.66	229,790 45	305,833,39	25,153,830	473,860 53	292,017.91	353,784 50	331.700 34	134,069,20	2.066.400.41	291,207,03	377,778.43	266,492,35	805,478.81	3.901.662 22
œ	2012 Savings Based on Rate Filling	(43.946 68)	71,078 55	190%;740	(C2 053-061) (SE. 2013-01)	(190,420 53)	74,350.09	(22,223 54)	(73,684,34)	118,177,80	(84,465 41)	(41,411,00) (132,881,43)	132,881.43)	117,977.65	(56.314.81)	(140.780 22)
9	Guaranteed Amount											K	2013 Winham Guaranteed Savings	unterfeed Savin		73,082 00
	Guaranteed Savings Calculation Amount agreed to in Case Ho. 10-2786-GA-RDR	S-GA-RDR		690,226												
	Amount reflected in Base Raies Cost included in pravious Case Ho. 07-569-GA-AIR Cost included in current base rates (Case No 12-1865	17-569-GA-AIR Case No 12-1685	4.278,240 3,561,102	-617,138												
	Savings to be reflected for Rider AMRP	d.		73,082												

Maintenance Mains Accounts

Duke Energy Ohio Ohio AMRP Cap Calculation Camera Work Expenses

No.	61/10/10	C1/82/20	C1/16/ED	04/30/13	5/11/13	E1/06/30	07/21/13	C1/10/80	09/30/13	Activity Thru Sept. 30, 2013	10/31/13	E1/00/11	12/31/13	Actual Bullence el 12/21/13 OctDec. 2013 12/31/13	Actual Balance of 12/21/13
1 Meter Relocations 7,258,13 784,31 8,900,75 13,731,36	7,258,13	764.31	8,900.75	13,731.38	155,050.30	10,321,36	117,168.04	26,228,54	10,120.56	349,561,35	25,547.85	42,878.24	69,769.66	1 155,050.30 10.121.38 117,188.D4 26.228.54 10.120.56 349,501.35 25,547.65 42,878.24 89,768.65 158,194,74 507,756.09	507,756.09
2 Cumulative	7,258.13	8.042.44	16.943.19	30.674.55	165,724,85	196.046.21	31321425	179 440.79	349.561.35	349 581.35	375.109.20	417.987 44	507,756,09	7.268.13 6.042.44 16943.19 30.674.56 185.724.85 180.046.21 313.214.25 335.461.79 349.561.35 375.100.20 417.097.44 507.756.09 156.156.09	507,758,00

Camera Work Expenses

Duke Energy Ohio
Ohio AMRP Cap Calculation~Projected
Annualized Property Tax Expense Calculation

2		Actual	Actual		Actual Activity			Actual	Grand
됩	z. 1 Property Tax Expense (Amounts Exclude Post In-Service Cerrying Costs)	2012	Sept. 30, 2013	10/31/2013	11/30/2013	12/31/2013	Oct.~Dec. 2013	2013	
OI T	Current Year Investment	69,340,418.52	32,344,455.01	10,851,068.95	12,121,998.74	13,508,548.43	36,479,616.12	68.824,071.13	136,164,489.65
च च	Drawing Costs In-Service	(158.863.96)	(415.630 01)	(9.831.60)	(11,352.68)	(8.156.31)	(118,303.07)	(148,806.87)	(800,426.27) (603 834 56)
LÓ)	Net Cost of Taxable Property	68,529,935,16	31,898,321.20	10.834.417.61	12,071,432,60	13,426,122,25	36,331,972,46	68,230,293 66	136,760,228.82
ю	Parcent Good (a)	95.0%	98.3%	98.3%	98.3%	98.3%			
7	True Value of Toxable Property (excluding PISCC)	65,103,438.40	31,356,049 74	10,650,232,51	11,866,218.25	13,197,878,17	35,714,328.93	67,070,378.67	132,173,817.07
69	Gas Valuation Percent	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%			
a 0	Total Taxable Value Reliced Net Taxable Main End of Year (@ 15%)	16,275,859.60	7,839,012.44 (196,307.18)	2,662,558.13 (18,878.65)	2,966,554.56 (28,181.92)	3,299,469.54	6,928,582.23 (288,278.23)	16,767,594.67 (484,585.40)	33,043,454,27 (484,585,40)
=	Net Property Tax Valuation	16,275,859.60	7,642,705.26	2,543,579.48	2,938,372.64	3,058,251.87	8,640,304.00	16,283,009.27	32,558,868.87
12 P	12 Property Tax @ \$96.787 per \$1,000 of Valuation	1,575,291.62	739,714.51	255,873.81	284.396.27	295 999 02	RJ6 269 10	1.575 981.61	9 15t 975 99

<u>Duke Energy Ohio</u>
Ohio Riser Cap Calculation--Projected
Annualized Property Tax Expense Calculation

5		Actual	Actual		Actual Activity			Actual	Grand
휭	0. 1 Procenty Tax, Expense (Amounts, Exclude Post in-Service Caming Costs)	2013	Sept. 30, 2012	10/31/2013	11/30/2013	12/31/2013	12/31/2013 OctDec. 2013	2013	
<i>(</i>)	Current Year Investment Less: AFUDC in-Service	2,126,202.66		. ,	Æ.		*:	•	2,126,202.66
4	Net Cost of Taxable Property	2,126,202,66			•		•		2.126,202.66
in C	Percent Good (a)	95.0%	98,3%	98.3%	98.3%	98.3%			
9	True Value of Taxable Property (excluding PISCC)	2,019,892,53	٠	٠	0.0	*	٠	•	2,019,892.53
7	Gas Valuation Percent	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%			
Ф 0	Total Taxable Value	504,973.13	•	•	٠	•	•	•	504,973.13
9	Net Property Tax Valuation	504,973.13	•	•					504,973.13
=	11 Property Tax @ \$96.787 per \$1,000 of Valuation	46,674,83	•	•	•		,	•	48 874 R3

<u>Duke Energy Ohio</u> Ohio AMRP Cap Calculation—Projection Cap Calculation By Rate Class

	Allocated AMRP	Allocated Riser	Total Allocated	Billing Determinates	ninates	Calculated AMRP
Rale Class	Revenue Requirement (1) Revenue Requirement (2)	Revenue Requirement (2)	Revenue Requirement	# of Bills	Sales (Mcfs)	Charge
Total Residential	10,780,737.48	285,310.59	11,066,048.07	4,685,758	N/A	\$2.36
Total General Service & Firm Transportation	7,252,496.13	24,809.62	7,277,305.75	341,123	N/A	\$21.33
Interruptible Transportation	1,568,107.27	•	1,568,107.27	N/A	19,510,909	\$0.08
Total Revenue	19,601,340.88	310,120.21	19,911,461.09			
Revenue Hagus ementio Bo. Allocated	19,601,340.88	310,120.21	19,911,461.09			

Ħ	×	
ю	12	
ш	и	
ŧΠ	и	
в	u	
i,	П	
Υ.	Н	
1	Н	
L	-	
Ξ.		
5	П	
15	ш	
F	- 4	
Ш	ч	
П		
le	ч	
١£	а	
C.		
i.		
Į.	и	
1	I	
ш		
15	N	
1	1	
	NI.	
1		

cated on the Following Basis:	
Residential	55%
General Service & Firm Transportation	37%
Interruptible Transportation	% 8

	82%	8%	80
Allocated on the Following Basis:	Residential	General Service & Firm Transportation	Interruptible Transportation

Ouke Energy Ohio Schedule 25
Ohio AMRP
Aged Survivors of Mains and Services
As of December 31, 2013
All Accounts
Mains by Vintage Year

Туре	(Multiple !tems)

Corner of Total		
Sum of Total	3.00-1	
	Vintage Year	Total
27601		252,099.31
	1911	6,031.94
	1912	408.17
1 1	1914	2.62
1 1	1917	6,897.42
1 1	1918	3,361.22
	1922	5.99
	1923	258.96
í I	1925	62.65
	1929	281.77
	1930	546.55
	1931	3,179.22
	1932	859.17
	1933	2,974.26
1	1934	3,666.78
1 1	1935	26,713.82
I I	1936	1,610.66
I I	1937	16,620.58
1 1	1938	73,210.74
	1939	17,465.52
1 1	1940	10,163.16
1 1	1941	28,584.69
	1942	27,826.15
	1943	7,465.80
1 1	1944	3,482.86
1	1945	1,457.06
1 1	1946	5,965.08
	1947	6.71
i I	1948	21,547.42
l	1949	55,914.72
[1950	13,413.93
	1951	12,416.21
	1952	79,308.12
	1953	94,662.97
1	1954	153,678.99
	1955	50,230.99
	1956	146,151.26
	1957	471,938.51
	1958	317,648.77
	1959	68,133.04
	1960	360,677.70
[1	1961	20,693.68
	1962	51,427.07
1	1963	153,667.39
1	1964	68,566.00
1	1965	144,136.36
1	1966	84,043.58

	27602	1963	1,608,825.74	1	
		1964	1,495,490.97		
		1965	1,755,961.34		
		1966	1,947,553.54	1	
		1967	1,593,030.90		
		1968	2,764,812.35		
	1.5	1969	2,689,349.97		
		1970	2,806,339.13		
	ļ.	1971	2,546,693.51		
		1972	1,604,643.93		
		1973	1,162,741.31		
		1974	1,058,903.55		
		1975	815,028.54		
	i	1976	751,021.24		
		1977	656,644.73		
		1978	1,395,540.64	1	
		1979	1,769,429.59		
	I I	1980	5,044,511.05		
		1981	4,290,125.74	_	
		1982	3,822,145.83		
		1983	4,247,085.03]	
		1984	3,848,548.21		
		1985	5,178,166.50	1	
	[1986	8,323,248.04		
	I 1	1987	12,515,570,57	1	
		1988	17,358,471.14	1	
	, ,	1989	20,199,671.95		
		1990	19,206,062.37		
		1991	23,166,581.38	1	
		1992	6,710,766.12		
		1993	4,998,579.45		
	1 1	1994	3,495,961.71		
		1995	2,061,232.79		
		1996	1,340,102.78		
		1997	1,690,108.87]	
		1998	1,316,526.42		
		1999	1,946,085.23		
		2000	2,609,615.45		
		2001	5,859,691.64		
		2002	15,464,392.72		
		2003	19,890,258.31	ŀ	
		2004	10,458,774.68		
		2005	4,858,821.63	ļ	
		2006	6,122,655.32		
		2007	1,355,333.17		
		2008	499,227.62		
		2009	204,099.86		
		2010	278,495.94		
		2012	321,584.43		
	27602 Total	LO12	256,895,066.09	+ 571,003,44 =	057
ı	27603	1966	17,074.33]*	257,
		1969	114,104.28		
	The state of the s	1970	199,339.85		
		1971	578,947.09		
		1972	563,895.51		
		1973	455,683.54		
		1974	112,515.81	11	
		1975	-		
		1976	136,998.55		
- 1	1	1976	106,022.10		
ı	ı	1911	125,793.91	I	

,466,069,53

1	809,602.45
	400,184.96
1	420,780.36
	1,425,128.23
1961	1,234,007.83
1962	567,938.98
1963	409,417.27
1964	104,253.73
1965	1,287,083.03
1966	615,525.41
1967	561,321.28
1968	1,157,508.39
1969	972,914.25
1970	359,102.60
1971	800,152.37
1972	300,905.18
1973	948,865.80
1974	65,214.11
1975	964,620.10
1976	228,549.94
1977	144,015.29
1978	417,977.07
1979	603,234,92
1980	80,222,70
1981	501,085.47
1982	191,929.84
1983	9,246.36
	127,120.69
1.111	279,475.48
	1,926,423.93
	861,085.48
	6,356,783.87
11227	2,258,387.84
	3,826,647.45
	1,490,106.97
	2,165,421.50
	1,050,995.28
	1,004,071.72
	2,047,998.00
	257,190.00
	1,760,749.98
	1,198,465.69
	1,581,596.75
	444,397.29
	2,462,865.53
	2,508,463.14
	12,415,444.07
'	4,886,882.66
	2,352,722.02
	1,212,207.67
· I	1,490,143.87
	16,347,240.82
	399,107.17
	33,995.37
7.7.1	1,081,789.52
2012	159,619.18
	92,157,615.31
	664,038,679.58
	1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981

<u>Duks Energy Ohlo</u> Annual AMRP Rider Filing 12/31/2013

Calculation of Depreciation Expense and Accumulated Depreciation

mulated	Balance 713	Other	172,655.61	7,919,929.31 (824,850.66)	28,791,605 30 (16.989,893 62)	(233 266 81)	859,705.65 0.00 850,04	19,318,830.00 [6,482,723.32]	8,959,860.47 (7,750,237,77)	33,742,464.20	Not Available 33,742,464.20
Ending Accumulated	Depreciation Balance 12/31/2013	АМПР	(1,713,833.34)	(1,632,442.03) 775,108.65	(407,446.18) 9,017,640.51	(537,756 32)	(338,323.21) 0.00 0.00	3,052,720.57	0.00 4,070,734.98	6,919,602.88	(2.486.026.51)
	s Due to leplacement	Other	61,533.81	(406,435.90) 824,850.66	(16,308,003,30) 16,989,850,37	154,209.15	38,032.50 0.00 0.00	(5,166,456.94) 6,482,723.32	(7,394,445.43) 7,750,237,77	3,026,096.11	
lecember 31, 2013	Adjustments Due to Retirement or Replacement	AMRP	813,316.20	550,716.51 0.00	112,606.52	268,671.25	156,775,01 0 00 0 00	1,684,643.27	0.00	3,586.728.86	l Progress AMRP rision for Depreciation
Activity January through December 31, 2013	Expense	Other	135,489.92	4,575,158.01	7,484,719,66	0.00	527,663.69 0.00 806.57	8,683,111,72	1,173,000,85	22,579,960.42	108 Reikemeni Work in Progress AMRP Total Accumulated Provision for Depreciation
Acti	Depreciation Expense	AMRP	0.00	0.00	0.00 5,300,484.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9,966,472.62	
cumulated	n Balance 2012	Other	98,689.50	2,938,335.40	4,998,882.34 (43.25)	(79,057.66)	370,074,56 0.00 43.47	5,469,261.34	392,414,19	14,188,599.89	
Beginning Accumulated	Depreciation Balance 12/31/2012	AMRP	(900,517.14)	(1,081,725.52) 243,941.87	(294,839.56) 3,717,156.27	(269,085.07)	(181,548.20) 0.00 0.00	(1,682,157,48)	0.00	2,539,859.12	
	Depreciation	Hate	2.45%	1,73%	2.05%	2.97%	2.90% 2.90% 2.90%	3.44%	3 44%		
:	Deprectable Plant in	Sarvice	Mains - Cast Iron / Copper 2761	Mains - Steel 2762 2767	Mains - Plastic 2763 2768	Services - Cast Iron / Copper 2801	Services - Steel 2802 2804 2808	Services - Plastic 2803 2805	Services - C·M Plastic 2806 2807	Totals	

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

2/27/2014 2:49:09 PM

in

Case No(s). 13-2231-GA-RDR, 13-2232-GA-ATA

Summary: Application Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for an Adjustment to Rider AMRP Gas Rates and for Tariff Approval electronically filed by Carys Cochern on behalf of Watts, Elizabeth H. Ms.