## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the

Application of Republic : Steel for Approval of a : Case No. 13-1913-EL-AEC

Reasonable Arrangement for :
Republic Steel's Lorain, :
Ohio Facility :

\_ \_ \_

## PROCEEDINGS

before Gregory A. Price, Attorney Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-A, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:00 a.m. on Thursday, February 13, 2014.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4620
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

\_ \_ \_

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Taft, Stettinius & Hollister, LLP By Mark S. Yurick, Esq.	
3	and Zachary D. Kravitz, Esq. 65 East State Street, Suite 1000	
4	Columbus, Ohio 43215	
5	Republic Steel By Elizabeth A. Evans, Esq. Vice-President, General Counsel 2633 Eighth Street, N.E.	
7	Canton, Ohio 44704	
8	On behalf of the Applicant.	
9	McNees, Wallace & Nurick, LLC By Scott E. Elisar, Esq. 21 East State Street, 17th Floor	
11	Columbus, Ohio 43215-4228	
12	On behalf of U.S. Steel.	
13	FirstEnergy Corporation By James W. Burk, Esq.	
14	Managing Counsel 76 South Main Street Akron, Ohio 44308	
15	On behalf of Ohio Edison.	
16		
17	Carpenter, Lipps & Leland, LLP By Kimberly W. Bojko, Esq. 280 Plaza, Suite 1300	
18	280 North High Street Columbus, Ohio 43215	
19	On behalf of Ohio Manufacturers'	
20	Association.	
21	Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General	
22	By Thomas W. McNamee, Esq. Assistant Attorney General 180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor	
23	Columbus, Ohio 43215	
24	On behalf of the Staff of the PUCO.	
25		

			3
1	INDEX		
2			
3	WITNESSES:	PAGE	
4	KEVIN C. HIGGINS  Direct Examination by Mr. Yurick	10	
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Turick		
6	APPLICANT'S EXHIBITS	ID'D R	EC'D
7	1 - Direct Testimony of Kevin C. Higgins	10	15
8	2 - Joint Stipulation and Recommendation	13	15
9	A - Unique Arrangement	12	15
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Thursday Morning Session, February 13, 2014.

- -

2.0

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PRICE: Go ahead and go on the record. Good morning. The Public Utilities Commission has set for hearing at this time and place Case No. 13-1913-EL-AEC, being: In the Matter of the Application of Republic Steel for Approval of a Reasonable Arrangement for Republic Steel's Lorain, Ohio Facility.

My name is Gregory Price. I'm the Attorney Examiner assigned to preside over today's hearing.

Let's take appearances of the parties, starting with the Applicant.

MR. YURICK: Your Honor, on behalf of the Applicant, Republic Steel, Mark Yurick and Zach Kravitz. We're with the law firm of Taft, Stettinius & Hollister, 65 East State Street, Suite 1000, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PRICE: Thank you.

MR. YURICK: We also have present with us, Vice-President and Counsel of Republic Steel, Elizabeth Evans.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PRICE: Thank you.

Mr. Elisar.

2.0

2.1

MR. ELISAR: Thank you, your Honor.

Scott Elisar on behalf of U.S. Steel, from the law firm of McNees, Wallace & Nurick, 21 East State

Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PRICE: Mr. Burk.

MR. BURK: On behalf of Ohio Edison
Company, James W. Burk, 76 South Main Street, Akron,
Ohio 44308.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PRICE: Miss Bojko.

MS. BOJKO: Thank you, your Honor. On behalf of Ohio Manufacturers' Association, Kimberly W. Bojko, with Carpenter, Lipps & Leland, 280 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PRICE: Staff.

MR. McNAMEE: On behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, William Wright, Section Chief, and I am Thomas W. McNamee, Assistant Attorney General, trial counsel in this case. The address is 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PRICE: Thank you.

Mr. Yurick, do you have any preliminary matters before we take the witness?

MR. YURICK: Yes, your Honor. As your

Honor is well aware, several parties have intervened in the case. I've been asked by U.S. Steel to read a statement into the record and have agreed on behalf of Republic Steel to do that, if I might do that at this point.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PRICE: Please proceed.

2.0

2.1

MR. YURICK: Your Honor, first, Republic acknowledges that the Commission retains ongoing jurisdiction over any reasonable arrangement it may approve under Revised Code Section 4905.31.

Secondly, the Stipulation and
Recommendation that is being submitted does not
address issues raised by U.S. Steel in its motion to
intervene. As the pleadings in this proceeding show,
U.S. Steel has manufacturing operations that receive
electricity through facilities owned and operated by
Republic. The current electric supply agreement
between U.S. Steel and Republic has a term that ends
in August of 2014.

While the issues raised by U.S. Steel are not addressed by the Stipulation, U.S. Steel and Republic have reached an understanding that we believe will address U.S. Steel's issues and any concerns.

More specifically, Republic has

committed to U.S. Steel that it, Republic, shall

engage in good faith negotiations with U.S. Steel to

explore specific terms and conditions which could

enable U.S. Steel to continue to obtain electric

supply through facilities, plant, or property owned

or operated by Republic and permit U.S. Steel to

obtain Competitive Retail Electric Service from a

Competitive Retail Electric Supply -- excuse me,

Competitive Retail Electric Service or CRES provider,

without interference or reduction in service on

account of or as a result or consequence of

Republic's total electricity demand and provided that

the service arrangements do not interfere in any way

with the provision of electric service to Republic.

2.0

2.1

To the extent that the above-described resolution of U.S. Steel issues may be facilitated through exceptions to terms and conditions in Ohio Edison's approved tariff, Republic represents that it will not object to U.S. Steel seeking and receiving any such approval as may be useful or necessary.

Subject to these representations by Republic, it is my understanding that U.S. Steel is prepared to now state that it is not opposed to the Stipulation and Recommendation.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PRICE: Mr. Elisar.

MR. ELISAR: Your Honor, thank you.

Subject to the representations and commitments made

4 by Republic, U.S. Steel is not opposed to the

5 | Stipulation. We waive cross-examination of Mr.

6 Higgins, and respectfully, your Honor, we urge the

7 | Commission to promptly respond to the Stipulation.

Thank you.

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

24

25

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PRICE: Thank you.

Mr. Yurick, you may call your witness.

MR. McNAMEE: Your Honor --

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PRICE: Mr. McNamee.

MR. McNAMEE: -- one small thing. While

14 the Staff is very pleased that U.S. Steel and

15 Republic Steel have entered into discussions to

address the concerns, in our view, the -- we have not

been involved in those discussions, and in our view,

18 of course, U.S. Steel's problems are not, although

19 important, are not tied to this case; so, therefore,

20 we take no position regarding any of the

21 representations that were made. We will take

22 positions as appropriate in future cases, but we take

23 no position here. Thank you.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PRICE: Thank you.

Miss Bojko.

MS. BOJKO: Your Honor, I'd have to echo 1 2 that. OMA was not involved in these discussions 3 either, and this is a Reasonable Arrangement application for Republic, so at this time, not seeing 4 5 the language prior to hearing it this morning, we as 6 well will not take a position on that language, but still urge that the Commission approve the 7 8 Stipulation as filed. Thank you. 9 ATTORNEY EXAMINER PRICE: Thank you. Now, Mr. Yurick, you may call your 10 11 witness. 12 MR. YURICK: Thank you, your Honor. 13 Republic will call Mr. Kevin Higgins to the stand. 14 KEVIN C. HIGGINS, being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 15 16 examined and testified as follows: 17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER PRICE: Please be 18 seated, and state your name and business address for 19 the record. 2.0 THE WITNESS: My name is Kevin C. 2.1 Higgins. My business address is 215 South State 22 Street, Suite 200, Salt Lake City, Utah. 23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER HIGGINS: Thank you. 24 MR. YURICK: Your Honor, I was going to

ask for permission to stand behind the court

- reporter, so that the court reporter can actually see

  Mr. Higgins' face while he testifies.
- 3 ATTORNEY EXAMINER PRICE: That will be 4 fine.
- 5 MR. YURICK: Thank you.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

7 BY MR. YURICK:

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. Mr. Higgins, you stated your name and spelled your last name for the record. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- A. I am a Principal in the consulting firm Energy Strategies.
  - Q. Did you file testimony in support of the arrangement and the proposed Stipulation filed in this docket?
- 16 A. Yes, I did.
- MR. YURICK: Your Honor, at this time I
  would like to mark Mr. Higgins' testimony as Republic
  Exhibit 1, which is Mr. Higgins' prefiled testimony
  filed on or about February 6, 2014, and I believe
  I've provided a copy of that to the court reporter as
  well.
- 23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER PRICE: It will be so 24 marked.
- MR. YURICK: Thank you, your Honor.

- Q. Showing you, Mr. Higgins, what has been marked as Republic's Exhibit 1, can you please identify that as a true and correct copy of your Direct Testimony filed in this docket on or about February 6th, 2014?
  - A. I would need to be shown it.
  - Q. I'm sorry.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- A. I am under oath.
- Q. Here you are (indicating). First things first, I quess.
- 11 A. Yes, this is the Direct -- a copy of the
  12 Direct Testimony that I filed, yes.
- Q. That's a true and accurate copy of your
  Direct Testimony filed in this case on or about
  February 6th?
- 16 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And was this testimony prepared by you or under your direction?
- 19 A. Yes, it was.
- Q. Do you have any changes or corrections to the actual testimony?
- A. I do not.
- Q. Okay. And subsequent or

  contemporaneously with the filing of your testimony,

  is it your understanding that there was a Stipulation

filed in this case?

2.0

2.1

- A. Yes.
- Q. And do you have any comments or corrections with regard to the Stipulation or the attachment filed with the Stipulation that I'll call Exhibit A to the Stipulation, which is a specimen form of an agreement between Ohio Edison and Republic Steel?
- A. Exhibit A, as you describe, is a form of agreement that would be the representative of the Unique Arrangement that Republic and Ohio Edison would enter into. It is not intended to represent the actual contract because that has yet to be negotiated.

The specimen attached as Exhibit A does differ in some respects from the terms of the Stipulation, and so I think it would be preferable to use a substitute for that Exhibit A that adheres more closely to the terms of the Stipulation, even though — even it will only be representative of a form of contract rather than the actual contract, which is still yet to be negotiated.

Q. I'm showing you what's been marked as Exhibit A (indicating). In your opinion, is this a better or less confusing form of a contract, not the

- final contract, but a less confusing form of contract of Unique Arrangement that will be entered into subsequent to the Stipulation by Republic and FirstEnergy?
- A. I think for purposes of representing a form of contract, this is a preferable version to do so.
- Q. And showing you what's been marked Republic's Exhibit 2, is that a true and accurate copy of the Stipulation and Recommendation that was filed contemporaneously with your testimony on February 6th, 2014?
  - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Okay. And if we substitute amended Exhibit A to Republic's Exhibit 2, the exhibit to the Stipulation, would you adopt Republic's Exhibit 2 and Republic's Exhibit 1 with your testimony today?
  - A. Yes.

2.0

2.1

- Q. If I asked you the questions remember the ones I just asked you about the exhibits. If I asked you the questions set forth in your prefiled testimony marked as Republic's Exhibit 1 today, would your answers be the same at this time?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And as part of your testimony you offer

an expert opinion based on your training, experience, and knowledge in the energy industry that the Stipulation marked as Republic's Exhibit 2 satisfies the applicable legal standards for the adoption and approval of stipulations in Ohio; is that correct?

A. Yes.

2.0

2.1

MR. YURICK: Your Honor, at this point, I would move, subject to cross-examination, for the admission of Republic's Exhibit 1 and Republic's Exhibit 2 with the substitution of the more current Exhibit A to Republic's Exhibit 2.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PRICE: We will defer ruling for the motion for admission until after cross-examination.

Miss Bojko.

MS. BOJKO: No questions, your Honor.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PRICE: Mr. Burk.

MR. BURK: No questions, your Honor. I would state on behalf of Ohio Edison, though Ohio Edison does not oppose the Commission's adoption of the Stipulation as filed, that we have not had an opportunity to review what was just offered as, I believe, Exhibit A, but we'll commit to work with Republic to come to a reasonable arrangement that's consistent with the Stipulation, once approved.

```
15
 1
                   ATTORNEY EXAMINER PRICE: Thank you.
 2
                   Mr. Elisar, do you waive cross?
 3
                   MR. ELISAR: Yes, your Honor.
 4
                   ATTORNEY EXAMINER PRICE: Mr. McNamee.
 5
                   MR. McNAMEE: No questions, your Honor.
 6
                   ATTORNEY EXAMINER PRICE: At this time
 7
      we'll go ahead and admit Republic Exhibit 1 and
 8
      Exhibit 2, barring any objections.
 9
                   Hearing none, they'll be admitted.
10
                   (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
                   ATTORNEY EXAMINER PRICE: Thank you, Mr.
11
12
      Higgins. You're excused.
13
                   THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.
14
                   (Witness excused.)
15
                   MR. YURICK: Thank you, your Honor.
16
      With the added statement that we would urge the
17
      Commission, based on the filings and the testimony
18
      here, to adopt the Stipulation in an order, in a
19
      prompt fashion, Republic has nothing further at this
2.0
     point.
2.1
                   ATTORNEY EXAMINER PRICE: Thank you.
22
                   Anything else before we adjourn?
23
                   MR. ELISAR: Nothing, your Honor.
24
                   ATTORNEY EXAMINER PRICE: Thank you.
25
      We're adjourned. We are off the record. (time 10:14am)
```

## CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Thursday, February 13, 2014, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Valerie J. Sloas, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

My commission expires June 8, 2016.

13 (VJS-74625)

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

2/27/2014 2:17:45 PM

in

Case No(s). 13-1913-EL-AEC

Summary: Transcript in the matter of Republic Steel hearing held on 02/13/14 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Sloas, Valerie J. Mrs.