#### **BEFORE**

## THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

| In the Matter    | of the Commission's    | ) |                         |
|------------------|------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| Investigation of | Ohio's Retail Electric | ) | Case No. 12-3151-EL-COI |
| Service Market.  |                        | ) |                         |

# REPLY COMMENTS OF DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.

### I. Introduction

Now comes Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., (Duke Energy Ohio) and submits reply comments in response to the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (Commission) Entry, issued on December 12, 2012, inviting comments regarding the vitality of the competitive retail electric service markets supported by the legislative mandates set forth in Amended Substitute Senate Bill 221 (SB221).

#### II. Jurisdiction and Procedure

At the initiation of this proceeding, in establishing the basis for the Commission's inquiry the Staff created areas of study and inquiry and created topics and committees to facilitate the discussion. During these discussions, various stakeholders commented that the ideas under consideration would entail significant costs. In initial comments filed on February 6, 2014, many of the participating stakeholders correctly raise this concern anew. Although the Commission may ultimately deem some of the initiatives raised in these discussions as suitable actions to enhance retail competition, it remains to be determined whether such goals are feasible

given the costs that may be incurred in pursuing them. It is paramount that the matters under consideration, such as bill format changes, bill standardization, customer enrollment policies, etc. must first be determined to be worthy of the costs they will create and who should be responsible for these costs. It will not be possible to determine what is in the best interest of customers unless such matters are taken up in appropriate case proceedings, where there is appropriate due process including testimony, discovery and an opportunity for hearing.

Duke Energy Ohio generally concurs with comments submitted by Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company (collectively Companies), wherein the Companies reiterate, *inter alia*, that any order stemming from this proceeding must be based on evidence of record and must set forth the reasoning relied upon by the Commission in reaching its conclusions. And further, any Commission order requiring an electric distribution utility to make changes that will incur costs without a means for recovery would be contrary to law. None of the changes discussed in this proceeding were contemplated in Duke Energy Ohio's base rate proceedings and thus, costs incurred as a result of making such changes to systems or programs are not reflected in rates. An order that Duke Energy Ohio's shareholders simply accept responsibility for such costs would be contrary to law.

## III. Corporate Separation

Duke Energy Ohio concurs with other stakeholders who have commented that the Staff's recommendations with respect to monitoring compliance with corporate separations rules are duplicative or overlap with existing regulatory requirements, are unnecessary and would be more costly for Ohio customers.

After promulgating the rules contained in Chapter 4901:1-37, Ohio Administrative Code, (O.A.C.), the Commission directed each electric utility to file an application for approval of a corporate separation plan as outlined in those rules. Duke Energy Ohio filed a corporate separation plan in June of 2009. The Commission retained an auditor to assist the Commission in evaluating Duke Energy Ohio's plan and compliance with the rules. Subsequent to the audit, the Commission determined that Duke Energy Ohio's corporate separation plan was in compliance and was approved. That plan was amended and approved in Duke Energy Ohio's second application for an electric security plan to include full legal corporate separation as set forth in the stipulation and recommendation that was submitted in those proceedings and approved by the Commission.<sup>2</sup>

As related to Duke Energy Ohio, the above-noted proceedings provided the Commission and any interested parties an opportunity to review, analyze and comment on the Company's corporate separation. It is curious that the Staff now wishes to alter or somehow complicate the process that is already in place and that no one claims to be problematic. The existing law and regulation is more than sufficient to protect competitors and customers. No changes in this area are necessary or advisable.

# IV. Billing Disclosure

Interstate Gas Supply, Inc., (IGS) argues that electric distribution utilities should be required to inform standard service offer customers (SSO customers) how much they could save

<sup>1</sup> In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for Approval of the Second Amended Corporate Separation Plan Under Section 4928.17, Revised Code, and Chapter 4901:1-37, Ohio Administrative Code, Case No. 09-495-EL-UNC, Opinion and Order, April 5, 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan, Accounting Modifications and Tariffs for Generation Service, Case No. 11-3549-EL-SSO, et al, Stipulation and Recommendation, October 25, 2011.

if they switched to a CRES provider. IGS claims this is reasonable even after recognizing that "price comparisons on the bill are often misleading and confusing to customers as they do not take into consideration, product differences (e.g., fixed rate, variable rates, renewable products, bundled products, etc.) or past or future prices." IGS further recognized that "[a]s CRES product offerings become more diverse, and pricing becomes more dynamic, the SSO price comparison will become even less relevant and have greater potential to mislead."

Despite IGS' explicit recognition of the folly in trying to provide such information to customers, IGS seeks to complicate this even further by advising the customer not only what the momentary price-to-compare might be, but by also providing the customer who is not shopping with a number that purports to represent what the customer might save if shopping. This is simply not possible and with respect to either case, is unwise and misleading. For customers that are not presently shopping, the consideration of whether to shop may include options such as a green energy option where the price might be higher, rather than lower, and therefore not a savings. The number of possible options available to customers for shopping is effectively boundless as there are nearly fifty CRES providers in Duke Energy Ohio's territory offering numerous 'standard' offers and an indeterminate number of tailored offers to customers. Consequently, this proposal is not only imprudent but, arguably, impossible to implement.

#### V. Conclusion

Duke Energy Ohio appreciates this opportunity to provide Reply Comments to the Commission regarding enhancements to the retail electric service market. Duke Energy Ohio respectfully requests that the Commission consider the comments made above and adopt the above recommendations in a final order.

# Respectfully submitted,

Elizabeth H. Watts

Associate General Counsel

Rocco O. D'Ascenzo

Associate General Counsel

Duke Energy Shared Services, Inc.

155 East Broad Street, 21st Floor

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Phone: 614-222-1330

Fax: 614-222-1337

Rocco.DAscenzo@duke-energy.com
Elizabeth.Watts@duke-energy.com

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing was delivered via U.S. mail (postage prepaid), personal, or electronic mail delivery on this the 20<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2014, to the parties listed below.

Elizabeth H. Watts

Maureen R. Grady
Joseph P. Serio
Edmund Berger
Michael J. Schuler
Assistant Consumers' Counsel
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485
grady@occ.state.oh.us
serio@occ.state.oh.us
berger@occ.state.oh.us
schuler@occ.state.oh.us

M. Howard Petricoff
Stephen M. Howard
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
52 E. Gay Street
Columbus, OH 43215
mhpetricoff@vorys.com
smhoward@vorys.com

Attorneys for Exelon Generation Company, LLC, and Constellation NewEnergy, Inc.

Attorneys for Ohio Consumers' Counsel

Christopher J. Allwein
Williams, Allwein & Moser LLC
1500 West Third Avenue, Suite 330
Columbus, Ohio 43212
callwein@wamenergylaw.com

Attorney for The Sierra Club

David F. Boehm
Michael L. Kurtz
Jody Kyler Cohn
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 E. Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
dboehm@bkllawfirm.com
mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com
jkylercohn@bkllawfirm.com

Attorneys for Ohio Energy Group

Colleen L. Mooney
Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy
231 West Lima Street
Findlay, OH 45839-1793
cmooney@ohiopartners.org

Attorneys for Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy

Trent A. Dougherty
Ohio Environmental Council
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201
Columbus, Ohio 43212
trent@theoeg.org

Attorney for Ohio Environmental Council

Steven T. Nourse
Matthew J. Satterwhite
Yazen Alami
American Electric Power Service
Corporation
1 Riverside Plaza 29th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
stnourse@aep.com
mjsatterwhite@aep.com
yalami@aep.com

Attorneys for Ohio Power Company

Todd M. Williams
Williams Allwein & Moser, LLC
Two Maritime Plaza, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor
Toledo, Ohio 43604
toddm@wamenergylaw.com

Attorney for Advanced Energy Economy Ohio

Thomas R. Hays
7107 Cannons Park Road
Toledo, Ohio 43617
trhayslaw@gmail.com

Attorney for Northwest Ohio Aggregation Coalition

Judi Sobecki
Joseph Strines
The Dayton Power and Light Company
1065 Woodman Drive
Dayton, Ohio 45432
judi.sobecki@aes.com

Attorneys for The Dayton Power and Light Company

Matthew White Vincent Parisi IGS Energy 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 mswhite@igsenergy.com vparisi@igsenergy.com

Attorney for IGS Energy

Glenn S. Krassen

Matthew W. Warnock

J. Thomas Siwo

Bricker & Eckler LLP

100 South Third Street

Columbus, Ohio 43215

gkrassen@bricker.com

mwarnock@bricker.com

tsiwo@bricker.com

Attorney for Northeast Ohio Public Energy Council

M. Howard Petricoff
Stephen M. Howard
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
52 East Gay Street
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008
mhpetricoff@vorys.com
smhoward@vorys.com

Attorneys for NRG Energy, Inc.

Gregory Poulos
EnerNOC, Inc.
471 East Broad Street, Suite 1520
New Albany, Ohio 43215
gpoulos@enernoc.com

Attorney for EnerNOC, Inc.

M. Howard Petricoff
Stephen M. Howard
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
52 East Gay Street
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008
mhpetricoff@vorys.com
smhoward@vorys.Com

Attorneys for the Retail Energy Supply Association

Michael R. Smalz
Joseph V. Maskovyak
Ohio Poverty Law Center
555 Buttles Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43215-1137
msmalz@ohiopovertylaw.org
jmaskovyak@ohiopovertylaw.org

Attorneys for Ohio Poverty Law Center

Nicholas A. McDaniel Environmental Law and Policy Center 1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201 Columbus, Ohio 43212 NMcDaniel@elpc.org

Attorney for ELPC

Noel Morgan
Legal Aid of Southwest Ohio, LLC
215 East Ninth Street, Suite 500
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
nmorgan@lascinti.org

Attorney for Communities United for Action

Joseph Patrick Meissner
Law Firm of Meissner and Associates
5400 Detroit Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44102
meissnerjoseph@yahoo.com

Attorney for The Citizens Coalition

Ellis Jacobs
Advocates for Basic Legal Equality, Inc.
130 West Second Street, Suite 700 East
Dayton, Ohio 45402
ejacobs@ablelaw.org

Attorney for the Edgemont Neighborhood Coalition

Michael A. Walters
Pro Seniors, Inc.
7162 Reading Road
Suite 1150
Cincinnati, OH 45237
mwalters@proseniors.org

Scott Torguson
Legal Aid Society of Columbus
1108 City Park Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43206
storguson@columbuslegalaid.org

Attorney for Legal Aid Society of Columbus

William Sundermeyer
Associate State Director, Advocacy
AARP Ohio
17 S. High Street, #800
Columbus, OH 43215
wsundermeyer@aarp.org

Jay L. Kooper
Hess Corporation
One Hess Plaza
Woodbridge, NJ 07095
jkooper@hess.com

Attorney for Hess Corporation

Samuel C. Randazzo
Frank P. Darr
Matthew R. Pritchard
Joseph E. Olliker
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC
21 E. State Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Sam@mwncmh.com
fdarr@mwncmh.com
mpritchard@mwncmh.com
jolliker@mwncmh.com

Attorney for Industrial Energy Users of Ohio

Peggy Lee
Robert Johns
Southeastern Ohio Legal Services
964 East State Street
Athens, OH 45701
plee@oslsa.org
rjohns@oslsa.org

Craig G. Goodman, Esq.
President
Stacey Rantala
Director, Regulatory Services
National Energy Marketers Association
3333 K Street, NW, Suite 110
Washington, DC 20007
cgoodman@energymarketers.com
srantala@energymarketers.com

Mark Brooks
Utility Works Union of America
521 Central Avenue
Nashville, TN 37211
markbrooks@uwua.net

Attorney for UWUA

James W. Burk
Carrie M. Dunn
FirstEnergy Service Company
76 S. Main Street
Akron, Ohio 44308
burkj@firstenergycorp.com
cdunn@firstenergycorp.com

Attorney for FirstEnergy Service Company

Gary Benjamin
Community Legal Aid Services, Inc.
50 South Main Street, Suite 800
Akron, OH 43308
gbenjamin@communitylegalaid.org

Julie Robie
Anne Reese
The Legal Aid Society of Cleveland
1223 West Sixth Street
Cleveland, OH 44113
Julie.robie@lasclev.org
Anne.reese@lasclev.org

Mark A. Hayden
Scott J. Casto
FirstEnergy Service Company
76 South Main Street
Akron, OH 44308
haydenm@firstenergycorp.com
scasto@firstenergycorp.com

Attorneys for FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.

Gary A. Jeffries
Assistant General Counsel
Dominion Resources Services, Inc.
501 Martindale Street
Suite 400
Pittsburgh, PA 15212
Gary.a.jeffries@dom.com

Gretchen L. Petrucci Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 52 E. Gay Street Columbus, OH 43215 glpetrucci@vorys.com

Attorney for Direct Energy Services LLC and Direct Energy Business LLC

Amy B. Spiller
Jeanne W. Kingery
Duke Energy Business Services LLC
139 East Fourth Street
Cincinnati, OH 45202
Amy.Spiller@duke-energy.com
Jeanne.Kingery@duke-energy.com

Attorneys for Duke Energy Retail

Michael K. Lavanga
Brickfield Burchette Ritts & Stone, PC
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Eighth Floor, West Tower
Washington D.C. 20007
mkl@bbrslaw.com

Attorney for Nucor Steel Marian, Inc.

Kimberly W. Bojko
Mallory M. Mohler
Carpenter, Lipps, & Leland, LLP
280 North High St., Suite 1300
Columbus, OH 43215
Bojko@carpenterlipps.com
Mohler@carpenterlipps.com

Attorneys for OMA Energy Group

Barth E. Royer
Bell & Royer Co., LPA
33 South Grant Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43215
barthroyer@aol.com

Attorney for Dominion Retail, Inc.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

2/20/2014 4:39:15 PM

in

Case No(s). 12-3151-EL-COI

Summary: Comments Reply Comments of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. electronically filed by Carys Cochern on behalf of Watts, Elizabeth H. Ms.