BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Commission's Review)	Case No. 12-2050-EL-ORD
of Chapter 4901:1-10, Ohio Administrative)	
Code, Regarding Electric Companies)	

APPLICATION FOR REHEARING OF INTERSTATE GAS SUPPLY, INC.

On January 15, 2014, the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission") set forth a Finding and Order ("Jan. 15 Order") in the above captioned proceeding amending the rules that pertain to Electric Companies. Pursuant to Ohio Administrative Code ("OAC") 4901-1-35, Interstate Gas Supply, Inc. ("IGS") hereby submits this Application for Rehearing on the following issues:

- OAC 4901:1-10-28 is unlawful and unreasonable in that it fails to clarify that reciprocating engine technology qualifies for utility net metering.
- OAC 4901:1-10-24(E) is unlawful and unreasonable in that it fails to allow reasonable access to customer usage data when consent is given by the customer.

Failure of IGS to request rehearing on the other amendments to the Electric Company rules set forth in Jan. 15 Order neither indicates IGS' support or opposition to such rule changes.

MEMERANDUM IN SUPPORT

A. Reciprocating Engine Technology Should be Included as an Eligible Technology for Net Metering

In the Entry filed on November 11th 2012 Commission Staff proposed that a definition of microturbine be included in OAC 4901:1-10-28(A). In response to Staff's proposed rules, IGS and Hull & Associates ("Hull") filed comments requesting that the Commission include reciprocating engine technology as part of the proposed definition for microturbine. ¹ The purpose of this proposed modification was to clarify that reciprocating engines, which is the most common generation technology used in combined heat and power ("CHP") systems, are eligible for utility net metering. As an alternative, IGS and Hull proposed that if the Commission did not modify the definition of microturbine, then reciprocating engine technology should be included in the list of generating technologies that are eligible for net metering under OAC 4901:1-10-28(A)(1)(a)(i).²

In the Jan. 15 Order, the Commission concluded that a definition of microturbines does not need to be included in OAC 4901:1-10-28(A). However, the Commission did not address whether reciprocating engine technology is eligible for net metering.

Ohio Revised Code 4903.09 provides that in every Opinion in Order issued by the Commission "the commission shall file . . . findings of fact and written opinions setting forth the reasons prompting the decisions arrived at, *based upon said findings of fact*." The Commission did not base its decision in the proceeding on the findings of fact in the Jan. 15 Order, but rather simply ignored IGS' and Hull's concerns. Thus, rehearing

2

¹ IGS & Hull & Associates Comments at 2-5.

² Id. at 5.

should be granted on this matter to get clarification as to whether reciprocating engine technology qualifies for utility net metering.

It is important that the Commission clarify that reciprocating engine technology is eligible for net metering because it has been IGS' experience that certain electric distribution utilities are denying requests for net metering on CHP projects simply because reciprocating engine technology is being utilized instead of traditional turbines. This is despite the fact that reciprocating engines makeup a substantial portion of new installations for CHP projects of less than 5 MW.³

IGS does not believe that it is the Commission's intent to exclude reciprocating engine technology from net metering. Reciprocating engines perform the same function in CHP projects as microturbine generators, in that they are both capable of generating electricity and utilizing waste heat at the customer's premises. In fact, for smaller projects, reciprocating engines often provide higher electrical efficiencies than combustion microturbines of the same size.⁴

Excluding reciprocating engines from net metering effectively favors one technology for CHP projects at the expense of another, for no apparent reason. This is contrary to the principals guiding Governor Kasich's Common Sense Initiative which conclude that "regulations should facilitate, not hinder, economic growth" and "regulations should be enforced fairly and consistently." As such, the Commission should grant IGS' application

_

³ Information on CHP installation by state can be found on the Energy and Environmental Analysis Website. Ohio specific data can be found at: http://www.eea-inc.com/chpdata/States/OH.html.

⁴ The U.S. Department of Energy has a report on CHP prepared by Onsite Syscom Energy that discusses the different types of technology that can be utilized for CHP projects. The Onsite Syscom Energy Report can be found at: http://www1.eere.energy.gov/manufacturing/distributedenergy/pdfs/chp comm market potential.pdf. See page 25.

for rehearing on this matter to clarify that reciprocating engine technology does qualify for net metering under the electric utility rules.

B. CRES Suppliers Should Have Access to Customer Smart Meter Data if Consent is Given by the Customer

In the Jan. 15 Order the Commission failed to modify OAC 4901:1-10-24(E) or 4901:1-10-29(E) to make it easier for customers to consent to disclosure of granular electric usage data available from smart meters. Currently the written consent requirements in OAC 4901:1-10-24(E)(4)(a) are burdensome for customers and likely to deter customers that otherwise would be interested in enrolling in a dynamic electric product that requires granular usage data. For instance, DP&L made a reasonable proposal in this proceeding which is to simply allow customers to consent to disclosure of energy usage data via a recorded telephone conversation. The Commission, however, rejected this proposal in the Jan. 15 Order without explaining why a recorded telephone conversation protects customer privacy any less than a written form.⁵

In order for more dynamic products to be offered to customers in the marketplace it is imperative that Commission rules and procedures enable CRES suppliers to have access to smart meter data required for these products. A rule that would allow customers to consent to disclosure of customer usage data via a recorded telephone call would do just this. Accordingly, IGS respectfully requests that the Commission reconsider its decision and allow customers to consent to the disclosure of their electric usage data via telephone and more broadly, lessen the overly burdensome written consent requirements set forth in OAC 4901:1-10-24(E)(4)(a).

_

⁵ Jan.15 Order, at 15.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Matthew White

Matthew White (0082859)
Counsel of Record
Interstate Gas Supply, Inc.
Email: mswhite@igsenergy.com
6100 Emerald Parkway
Dublin, Ohio 43016

Telephone: (614) 659-5000 Facsimile: (614) 659-5073

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I served a true copy of the foregoing Application for Rehearing upon the following via electric transmission, this 14th day of February, 2014.

/s/ Matthew White
Matthew White

SERVICE LIST

William Wright
Chief, Public Utilities Section
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
William.wright@puc.state.oh.us
Bryce.mckenney@puc.state.oh.us

Christopher J. Allwein Advanced Energy Economy - Ohio Williams Allwein & Moser, LLC 1373 Grandview Ave., Suite 212 Columbus, Ohio 43212 callwein@wamenergylaw.com

Nolan Moser Ohio Environmental Council 1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201 Columbus, Ohio 43212-3449 Nolan@theoec.org

Kimberly W. Bojko Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP 280 North High Street, Suite 1300 Columbus, Ohio 43215 bojko@carpenterlipps.com Thadeus B. Culley Keyes, Fox & Wiedman LLP 436 14th Street, Suite 1305 Oakland, California 94612 510-314-8203 510-314-8205 jkeyes@kfwlaw.com tculley@kfwlaw.com

Nicholas McDaniel Environmental Law & Policy Center 1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201 Columbus, Ohio 43212 NMcDaniel@elpc.org

Annie C. Lappé Solar Policy Director The Vote Solar Initiative 1120 Pearl Street, Suite 200 Boulder, Colorado 80302 annie@votesolar.org

Elizabeth Watts
Jeanne W. Kingery
Duke Energy Retail Sales, LLC
155 East Broad Street, 21 Fl
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Jeanne.kingery@duke-energy.com
Elizabeth.watts@duke-energy.com

Amy B. Spiller
Elizabeth H. Watts
Duke Energy Business Services, LLC
139 East Fourth Street, 1303 Main
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
Elizabeth.watts@duke-energy.com
Amy.spiller@duke-energy.com

Judi L. Sobecki
Dayton Power and Light Company
1065 Woodman Drive
Dayton, Ohio 45432
Judi.sobecki@dplinc.com

Nathan G. Johnson Staff Attorney Buckeye Forest Council 1200 W. Fifth Ave., STE 103 Columbus, Ohio 43212 nathan@buckeyeforestcouncil.org Trent A. Dougherty, Esq. Director of Legal Affairs Ohio Environmental Council 1207 Grandview Ave. Suite 201 Columbus, Ohio 43212 trent@theoec.org

Scotte Elliott, MSEE, CEM NABCEP Certified Solar PV InstallerTM Metro CD Engineering, LLC 7003 Post Road, Suite 204 Dublin, Ohio 43016 selliott@metrocdengineering.com Mark A. Hayden Scott J. Casto FirstEnergy Service Company 76 South Main Street Akron, Ohio 44308 haydenm@firstenergycorp.com scasto@firstenergycorp.com

M. Howard Petricoff
Stephen M. Howard
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
52 East Gay Street
P. 0. Box 1008
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008
mhpetricoff@vorys.com
smhoward@vorys.com

James W. Burk
Counsel of Record
Carrie M. Dunn
FirstEnergy Corporation
76 South Main Street
Akron, Ohio 44308
burkj@firstenergycorp.com
cdunn@firstenergycorp.com

Colleen L. Mooney
Cathryn N. Loucas
Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy
231 West Lima Street
Findlay, Ohio 45840
cmooney@ohiopartners.org
cloucas@ohiopartners.org

Matthew J. Satterwhite
Steven T. Nourse
American Electric Power Corporation
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
mjstatterwhite@aep.com
stnourse@aep.com

Thomas J. O'Brien Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291 tobrien@bricker.com

Steven Giles
Vice President – Alternative Energy
Hull & Associate, Inc.
6397 Emerald Parkway
Dublin, Ohio 43016
sgiles@hullinc.com

Emma Berndt
Opower, Inc.
1515 North Courthouse Road
Arlington, Virginia 22201
Emma.berndt@opower.com

Mallory M. Mohler Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP 280 North High St., Ste. 1300 Columbus, Ohio 43215 mohler@carpenterlipps.com Richard L. Sites General Counsel and Senior Director of Health Policy 155 East Broad Street, 15th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-3620 ricks@OHANET.org

Matthew White In-House Counsel Interstate Gas Supply, Inc. 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 mswhite@igsenergy.com

Joseph M. Clark
Jennifer L. Lause
Direct Energy
Fifth Third Building
21 East State Street, 19th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
joseph.clark@directenergy.com
jennifer.lause@directenergy.com

James Nice 230 W. Huron, Ste. 85-53 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 inice@energy-avenue.com

Melissa Yost
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485
(614) 466-1291 – Telephone
vost@occ.state.oh.us

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

2/14/2014 4:44:38 PM

in

Case No(s). 12-2050-EL-ORD

Summary: App for Rehearing electronically filed by Mr. Matthew White on behalf of IGS Energy