BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of the
Request of Thomas L. :

Vanmeter for an : Case No. 13-1580-TR-CVF

Administrative Hearing. :

\_ \_ \_

## PROCEEDINGS

before Mr. Bryce McKenney, Attorney Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-C, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10 a.m. on Thursday, November 7, 2013.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

- - -

APPEARANCES: Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General By Mr. William L. Wright, Section Chief Public Utilities Section Mr. John Jones, Assistant Section Chief And Ms. Katie Johnson, Assistant Attorney General 180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 On behalf of the Staff of the PUCO. Mr. Richard E. Hackerd 1370 Ontario Street, Suite 2000 Cleveland, Ohio 44113-1726 On behalf of Thomas L. Vanmeter. 

		3
1	INDEX	5
	INDEX	
2		
3	Witness	age
4	Trooper Ron Kisner Direct Examination by Ms. Johnson	5
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Hackerd 2	22 32
6	<b>1</b>	33
7	Thomas L. Vanmeter	
8	4	37 42
		47
9		
10	Staff Exhibit Identified Admitt	ted
11		
12	1 Driver/Vehicle Examination Report 9 35	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Thursday Morning Session,

November 7, 2013.

EXAMINER McKINNEY: Let's go on the record at this time. The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio calls for hearing at this time and place Case No. 13-1580-TR-CVF being in the Matter of Mr. Vanmeter, Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture. My name is Bryce McKenney, and I am the Attorney Examiner assigned by the Commission to hear this case.

At this time I'll take the appearances of the parties beginning with staff.

MR. JONES: Yes, good morning, your
Honor. On behalf of the staff of the Public
Utilities Commission of Ohio, Ohio Attorney General
Mike DeWine, Assistant Attorneys General Katie
Johnson and John Jones.

EXAMINER McKINNEY: Thank you, Mr. Jones.

Mr. Hackerd.

MR. HACKERD: Richard Hackerd on behalf of Mr. Vanmeter. This is Mr. Vanmeter to my left.

EXAMINER McKINNEY: Thank you.

Staff, did you have anything you wanted to make on the record before we proceed?

```
1
                  MS. JOHNSON: Just as a preliminary
2
     matter, we would like to note that the parties have
3
     stipulated that the amount of the civil forfeiture
     was 0 dollars and also that notice of the 0 dollars
4
     civil forfeiture was given in the Notice of
5
     Preliminary Determination to Mr. Vanmeter and
6
7
     Mr. Hackerd.
8
                  EXAMINER McKINNEY: All right. Thank
9
     you.
10
                  Mr. Hackerd, is that correct?
11
                  MR. HACKERD: It is correct.
12
                  EXAMINER McKINNEY: All right. Staff,
13
     are you ready to proceed?
14
                  MS. JOHNSON: We are. First, we would
     like to call to the stand Officer Ron Kisner.
15
16
                  (Witness sworn.)
17
                  EXAMINER McKINNEY: All right. You are
18
     now under oath. Please have a seat.
19
2.0
                        TROOPER RON KISNER
2.1
     being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
22
     examined and testified as follows:
23
                        DIRECT EXAMINATION
24
     By Ms. Johnson:
25
             Q. Good morning.
```

A. Good morning.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Could you please state your name.
  - A. Trooper Ron Kisner.
  - Q. Okay. Where are you employed?
- A. I work for the State Highway Patrol on the Ohio Turnpike.
  - Q. And what is your position there?
- A. I'm a State Trooper/Motor Carrier Inspector.
  - Q. How long have you been in that position?
  - A. 17 years.
- Q. And what are your duties in your capacity as a -- as an officer?
- A. Law enforcement, I enforce the commercial motor vehicle safety regulations, I assist the motoring public, investigate accidents.
- Q. Okay. And what is your educational background?
- A. I graduated high school and then I have Patrol Academy and then yearly in-services and the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations School, about four months of that.
- Q. Okay. Is there any other additional training that you've received?
  - A. There's yearly in-service they give us

and then they -- they update us on the motor carrier regulation. When the laws change, they bring us down and give us usually a whole day of school.

- Q. Okay. And what types of certifications do you have?
- A. We have to certify with the Federal Government brake checks every year, and we have Hazmat regulations we have to be certified on. I inspect commercial buses and bulk shipments of hazardous materials.
- Q. Okay. And were you trained specifically to enforce the Federal Motor Safety Regulations?
  - A. Yes.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Okay. So without getting into the details of this specific violation, can you please describe the motor inspection -- the motor inspection process generally.
- A. Well, I patrol the highways to detect violations of driver violations or vehicle violations on commercial motor vehicles, and I stop them for that and then do the motor vehicle inspection on them.
- Q. Okay. And what are the reasons for these inspections?
  - A. Safety of the motoring public.

- Q. Okay. What type of documents do you generate during a traffic stop?
  - A. It's a computer-generated document. It's called a FMCSA program.
  - Q. Okay. And what type of information does that report contain?
- 7 A. Everything that pertains to the stop that 8 day.
  - Q. Okay. Were you working on the day of December 7, 2012?
  - A. Yes, I was.
- Q. And do you remember the inspection and traffic stop of Mr. Vanmeter?
- A. Yes, somewhat.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I would like to mark this document as Staff Exhibit No. 1.
- 18 EXAMINER McKINNEY: What is this
- 19 document?

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

- MS. JOHNSON: Sorry. It's -- let me
- 21 mark -- I am marking it.
- 22 EXAMINER McKINNEY: Is it the inspection
- 23 report?
- MS. JOHNSON: Yes.
- 25 Your Honor, may I approach opposing

9 counsel? 1 2 EXAMINER McKINNEY: You may. It will be marked Staff Exhibit 1. 3 4 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 5 MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, may I approach the witness as well? 6 7 EXAMINER McKINNEY: You may. Q. (By Ms. Johnson) Okay. Mr. Kisner, what 8 is this document? 9 This is the motor vehicle inspection 10 Α. that's generated by the FMCSR program when I complete 11 12 it. 13 Q. Okay. Now, did you prepare this 14 document? Α. Yes. 15 16 And does this document contain the same Ο. 17 information as the inspection report that you generated --18 A. Yes. 19 20 -- when you pulled Mr. Vanmeter over? Q. 2.1 A. Yes, it does. 22 Okay. And when did you prepare this Q. document? 23 24 A. At the scene of the stop. 25 Q. Okay. Did you make the report

contemporaneously with the stop or?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Is it the general practice of the Patrol to make documents like this report?
  - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And does the Highway Patrol make and keep this type of record in the ordinary course of business?
  - A. Yes, we do.
- Q. Does this report contain information that you observed, on matters that you observed directly?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And in this specific report did you report on matters you observed pursuant to a duty imposed by law?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, with regard to this document, at the top of the document it says report number.

  Can you explain what the report number is?
- A. Well, the first two letters are for Ohio. The second -- the four numbers following that are my unit number, and then the rest of the numbers are the sequential number that the computer generates.
- Q. Okay. And what was the inspection date marked on this report?

- A. December 7 of 2012.
- Q. Okay. And at what time of the day was this?
  - A. 9:58 a.m.

2.1

Q. And the report mentions the inspection level.

MR. HACKERD: Objection.

EXAMINER McKINNEY: What grounds?

MR. HACKERD: Hearsay. He is testifying from a document prepared out of court.

MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, this is a business record.

EXAMINER McKINNEY: Yeah. I think we've established.

MR. HACKERD: With all due respect may I argue that point? It is not a business record.

EXAMINER McKINNEY: Ms. Johnson, would you like to respond?

MS. JOHNSON: Yeah, it was made from his own personal knowledge. It was made in the regular course of business, and it was made at a time that's close -- or contemporaneous to when he -- when the stop happened.

EXAMINER McKINNEY: I agree. The objection is overruled. We've established the

foundation for the document. He filled out the document, so the objection to hearsay is overruled.

2.1

MR. HACKERD: I would reiterate my objection, and I would also like to voir dire the witness with respect to this document. Police reports are generally not considered business record exceptions because they are prepared in anticipation of litigation, and they are not admissible into evidence as business records.

an abundance of case law in allowing the witness to testify to the inspection reports, and I've never had anyone request voir dire of a witness on this issue, so I am going to deny your request for voir dire of the witness on the inspection report. I am going to overrule your objection on hearsay grounds and allow Ms. Johnson to continue.

MR. HACKERD: Okay.

- Q. (By Ms. Johnson) Okay. So the report mentions the inspection level. Can you explain what that means?
- A. Yes. Inspection level I is a complete inspection, more detailed inspection, underneath the vehicle, the brakes. Level II is just a walk around looking for obvious violations. Level III is just a

driver violation and the registration, driver's license, medical card, that type of thing.

2.1

- Q. Okay. The document mentions Mr. Thomas
  Vanmeter's name and also Great Lakes Petroleum
  Company. Could you explain who those different
  entities are.
- A. Great Lakes Petroleum would have been the company he was working for, the DOT number on the door, and Thomas Vanmeter was the driver that day.
- Q. Okay. And below the driver's name it says license number. Can you explain whose license number that would be?
- A. It was Thomas Vanmeter's license number. It was an Ohio driver's license.
- Q. Okay. And when did you look at Mr. Vanmeter's license?
- A. When he presented it to me after I asked him for it.
- Q. Okay. And when you looked at that, did it match your identification of Mr. Vanmeter?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Kisner, in the middle of Staff Exhibit 1 there is a number that says violation code. Could you explain what is a violation code.
  - A. That's the numbers that the Federal

Government assigns for each violation in the Federal Motor Carrier book.

- Q. Okay. And do you know what this specific violation was?
  - A. 392.16 is a seat belt violation.
- Q. Okay. In the middle of the document it also mentions a violation description. What is -- what is a violation description?
  - A. It's the actual law itself for 392.16.
- Q. Okay. After the violation or during the violation, do you write any notes with respect to the violation that just took place?
- A. Yes. When I -- when I -- the computer program I click on the 3 -- 392.16. It will make a population failing to use a seat belt while operating a commercial vehicle, and they want us to describe it a little further as to an example would be did not have on seat belt as he passed patrol car, white shirt and black seat belt. They want us to put more detail in it.
- Q. Okay. And why did you write on the report that he was wearing a white shirt and a black seat belt?

MR. HACKERD: Objection.

EXAMINER McKINNEY: What grounds?

2.0

2.1

MR. HACKERD: Hearsay, best evidence.

EXAMINER McKINNEY: Ms. Johnson?

2.1

MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I am asking him to testify as to his personal knowledge about the type of information that he reports when you are describing a violation.

MR. HACKERD: The proper method of using a document would be to have the witness testify from his recollection. If the witness is unable to recall, she might then refresh the witness's recollection ,but he is essentially reading a report so he's -- he's -- it's hearsay.

EXAMINER McKINNEY: I disagree. I don't believe this is hearsay. I understand what your objection is but I disagree, so the objection is overruled.

MR. HACKERD: Very well, your Honor.

- Q. (By Ms. Johnson) Okay. So, once again, why is it that you would write that he was wearing a white shirt and a black seat belt?
- A. So there was no doubt in my mind that I know he didn't have it on, and due to the fact that if there's a challenge to it, then it would refresh my memory somewhere down the road.
  - Q. Are there certain types of clothing and

belt color combinations that make it more difficult to view a seat belt violation?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. HACKERD: Objection.

EXAMINER McKINNEY: Grounds?

MR. HACKERD: Speculation, opinion.

EXAMINER McKINNEY: The objection is overruled on those grounds. However, I will caution counsel please try to be careful with the leading questions.

MS. JOHNSON: Okay. Yes, your Honor.

EXAMINER McKINNEY: If you could rephrase the question, please.

Q. (By Ms. Johnson) What types of color combinations make it easier or more difficult to observe a seat belt violation?

MR. HACKERD: Objection.

EXAMINER McKINNEY: What grounds?

MR. HACKERD: Leading.

EXAMINER McKINNEY: Ms. Johnson, please try to make your questions a little more open ended.

Q. What type of --

EXAMINER McKINNEY: Inspector, are there different types of shirts and belt combinations that make it easier or more difficult to observe a belt?

THE WITNESS: There's a lot of different situations. If I'm not 100 percent sure, I won't stop a person for that. He had a day cab. It was easy to see inside. There was plenty of light.

There are trucks that have neon green, orange seat belts. Some are light gray, light tan. It makes it easy to see that they have it on. Sometimes when a person has a black shirt, black seat belt, it's impossible to tell.

EXAMINER McKINNEY: Thank you, Inspector.

Ms. Johnson, you may continue.

MS. JOHNSON: Thank you.

- Q. (By Ms. Johnson) So after you generate this specific report, where do you send that report?
- A. I download that to the Public Utilities
  Commission of Ohio.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. So as -- going more specifically into this particular incident, do you commonly -- I guess this is more generally, I'm sorry. Do you commonly as part of your job look for -- specifically for seat belt violations?
  - A. Yes.

2.1

Q. And on this specific occasion what were you looking for? What type of violations were you looking for?

- A. You know, on patrol I look for everything all day, but I'll generally look at the driver to see if he has his seat belt on. If it's easy to tell and I am 100 percent sure, I'll stop them for it. If there is any doubt in my mind, I don't.
- Q. What time of day did you observe this -- this violation?
  - A. It was morning, approximately 10 a.m.
- Q. Okay. And how was the weather on that day?
  - A. I don't remember.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. How far were you from the vehicle when you saw the violation?
- A. Oh, probably a couple hundred feet. I can't remember exactly.
  - Q. Okay. And what type of vehicle were you in when you observed the violation?
    - A. Chevrolet Tahoe.
    - Q. How high does that vehicle sit?
- A. Well, I guess I sit about 4 foot off the ground.
- Q. Okay. Was there -- what was obstructing your view when you saw Mr. Vanmeter's violation?
- A. Nothing.
  - Q. And how long would you say that you

- observed Mr. Vanmeter before you decided to pull him over?
  - A. Before I decided to, it was probably maybe 5 or 10 seconds.
  - Q. Was there any sort of device that you used to observe Mr. Vanmeter?
    - A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

2.1

22

- Q. So just how -- so how did you --
- A. Just my sight, by sight.
- 10 Q. Thank you. Were the windows of 11 Mr. Vanmeter's vehicle tinted at all?
- 12 A. I do not believe so.
  - Q. Okay. And when you approached the car -- or the vehicle, did you notice again what color the shirt and the seat belt were?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. Okay. And what were the color of the shirt and the seat belt?
- 19 A. A white shirt and a black seat belt.
  - Q. Okay. Thank you. And so do you recognize or would you recognize Mr. Vanmeter?
    - A. Yes.
- Q. And could you please point out
  Mr. Vanmeter.
  - A. He is at the table with the brown shirt

and brown pants on.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

- Q. Okay. And he is the same driver that was at the vehicle that day?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. Thank you.

MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I would like to let the record reflect that the Officer has identified Mr. Vanmeter as the person sitting in this courtroom.

10 EXAMINER McKINNEY: The record will so reflect.

MS. JOHNSON: Okay.

- Q. When you pulled over Mr. Vanmeter and you finished your -- the traffic stop, did you give him a copy of a report or?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And did that information -- what type of information did that report contain?
- A. Exact same thing I have here as stated in Staff Exhibit 1.
  - Q. And Staff Exhibit 1 is an accurate representation of the report you handed to Mr. Vanmeter?
- 24 A. Yes.
- MS. JOHNSON: Okay. Your Honor, at this

```
time I would like to move to admit Exhibit 1 into
 1
 2
     evidence, Staff Exhibit 1, I'm sorry.
 3
                  EXAMINER McKINNEY: First, Ms. Johnson,
 4
     are you finished questioning the witness?
                  MS. JOHNSON: I am; yes, I am, your
 5
 6
     Honor. Sorry.
 7
                  EXAMINER McKINNEY: Mr. Hackerd, do you
     object to the admission of Staff Exhibit 1?
 8
9
                  MR. HACKERD: I do, your Honor.
10
                  EXAMINER McKINNEY: I assumed that you
     might. Before I rule on the admission of Staff
11
     Exhibit 1 because you object to it, I will give you
12
     the opportunity to do cross-examination.
13
                  MR. HACKERD: Thank you. This is -- this
14
     is voir dire essentially with respect to this exhibit
15
16
     only; is this my understanding? Or is this
17
     cross-examination?
                  EXAMINER McKINNEY: This is examination.
18
     Your voir dire was denied.
19
20
                  MR. HACKERD: I understand.
2.1
                  EXAMINER McKINNEY: Ms. Johnson, you are
22
     completed with the witness, your questioning?
                  MS. JOHNSON: I am but I would like to
23
24
     reserve Officer Kisner for rebuttal.
25
                  EXAMINER McKINNEY: You will be granted
```

2.2 an opportunity for redirect after cross-examination. 1 2 MS. JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you. 3 EXAMINER McKINNEY: Mr. Hackerd, you may 4 proceed with cross-examination. 5 MR. HACKERD: Thank you, your Honor. 6 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION By Mr. Hackerd: 8 9 Good morning, Officer Kisner. We met Ο. 10 earlier in the hallway. 11 Yes, sir. Α. 12 Q. With respect to Staff Exhibit 1 you 13 prepared this document for what purpose? 14 It's a report we generate when we stop Α. 15 commercial motor vehicles for inspections. 16 And is this document prepared in Ο. 17 anticipation of this hearing? 18 It's what I have to repair -- prepare on the side of the road. 19 20 Right. And do you prepare it for the Q. 2.1 purposes of presenting it at this hearing? 22 A. Well, if there is a hearing, I guess, 23 yes. 24 And for the purpose of refreshing your Ο.

recollection at a later date?

A. Yes, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. All right. How did you prepare for your testimony this morning? Did you take any action?
- A. I read the report that I had prepared at the scene.
- Q. And then you read it again prior to beginning your testimony this morning --
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. -- on the witness stand?
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Did you take any other action in preparation for your testimony?
- A. Just my memory, trying to remember the stop.
  - Q. Right. Is it fair to say that your memory was vague about this matter prior to reading these reports and refreshing your recollection?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Would you say you've made a number of other stops in the intervening period?
    - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. This incident occurred in December of last year; is that correct?
    - A. Yes, sir.
      - Q. And it's been, well, close on to a year.

What do we have? 11 months have passed.

A. Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. In those intervening 11 months how many traffic stops have you made?
  - A. Over a thousand.
- Q. Without -- without referring to Staff Exhibit 1 do you recall what color Mr. Vanmeter's truck was?
  - A. I believe it was white.
- Q. Do you recall what was onboard that truck, if anything?
  - A. Pretty much empty, flatbed.
- Q. Did you observe anything else inside of the truck, inside of the cab of the truck?
  - A. Just paperwork, nothing significant, I quess.
  - Q. Now, you've testified that this traffic stop took place at 10 o'clock in the morning. You did not testify as to the lighting conditions. Do you recall what the lighting conditions were?
    - A. It was daylight.
    - Q. Was the sun out?
  - A. I don't remember all that.
  - Q. Was there sunshine?
    - A. I don't know.

- Q. Okay. Any fog?
- A. Probably not.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.1

22

- Q. You also testified that you were 200 feet away from Mr. Vanmeter's truck at the time of your observation. I would like to explore that somewhat further. Where was your vehicle precisely with respect to the highway at the time that you made this observation?
- A. I was either on the side of the road on the right, or I was in the crossover. I don't remember where.
- Q. Okay. And when you say the crossover, that's a section of highway -- a section of space between the lanes of travel?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. How many lanes of traffic are there in each direction on this particular stretch of roadway?
  - A. Three.
  - Q. Three in each direction.
- 20 A. Yes.
  - Q. Do you recall which lane -- well, which way does this highway run?
  - A. East and west.
- Q. East and west. Can you tell me in which direction Mr. Vanmeter's vehicle was traveling?

A. West.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- 2 Q. Which lane was Mr. Vanmeter's vehicle?
  - A. I do not remember that.
  - Q. All right. If Mr. Vanmeter's vehicle were in the curb or berm lane, that would be the lane to the far right traveling in the -- you said he was traveling west?
    - A. Yes.
    - Q. Okay. How wide is a lane?
  - A. 12 feet.
    - Q. You were on the side of the road?
    - A. I don't remember if I was in the crossover or --
      - O. I see.
    - A. -- travel plaza. It happened right around 139. We have a travel plaza there. I could have been there on the shoulder. I don't remember.
    - Q. All right. You stated you were 200 feet way from Mr. Vanmeter's vehicle. 200 feet in which direction with respect to the direction of travel?

      Do you follow my question?
    - A. Yes, but I don't know if it was exactly

      200. It was that or less, depending on if he was

      coming towards me or I observed it right when he went

      by me. It would only be 36 feet so I don't remember.

- Q. You don't recall.
- 2 A. No.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. Okay. So is the 200 feet an estimate or a guess?
- A. Both.
  - Q. Okay. And you testified that it could be as little as 36 feet and as much as 200 feet.
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. All right. What is the speed limit on that stretch of roadway?
- A. 70.
- 12 Q. Was the traffic traveling at that speed?
- 13 A. Most people do, yes.
- Q. All right. So Mr. Van -- we can successfully assume Mr. Vanmeter's vehicle is traveling at 70 miles per hour?
- 17 A. Or less.
- 18 | Q. Or less. 60 miles per hour?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Unlikely to be traveling less than that because he would become an obstruction; is that a fair statement?
- A. Trucks usually drive in the right line, and they do less than the speed limit usually.
  - Q. Do you happen to know how quickly a

- vehicle is moving in feet per minute when traveling at 60 miles an hour?
- A. I would have to do the math on that, but I would probably say 30 or 40 feet a second.
- Q. Okay. I did do the math, and it's 88 feet per minute.
  - A. Okay.

2.1

- Q. Would you buy that, or would you like to do the math to check that?
  - A. No, that's fine.
- Q. Now, earlier you testified that you had 5 to 10 seconds within which to make your observation. Would you agree with me though that if you're 200 feet away and travel at 88 feet per second, that that number is more like 2 seconds?
- A. Usually when the weather is clear, you know, I can see them coming for quite a ways. I can't make a positive ID on whether a seat belt is on or not until it's closer.
- Q. Well, my question was you had earlier testified that you had 5 to 10 seconds within which to make your observation. I'm asking you now in light of the speed of the vehicle and the distance that you've guessed you were at, would you agree with me that your observation time is more like 1 or 2

seconds?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

16

17

18

- A. Depending on his speed, yeah. No, I probably had more than that, yes.
- Q. Well, that would -- if you had more than that, that would certainly be inconsistent with your earlier testimony; is that true?
- A. Well, you know, I don't remember what the speed was. He didn't do anything wrong, and I am sure I told him that so.
- Q. Well, it's fair to say you don't remember --
  - A. Right.
  - Q. -- so a lot of this is a guess and an estimate --
- 15 A. Yes.
  - Q. -- and so forth. Now, there was no testimony with respect to traffic. Was there other traffic on the highway this morning?
    - A. Yes.
- 20 O. Other trucks?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Other cars?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Were there cars and trucks in between you and Mr. Vanmeter's vehicle?

- A. When I observed him, I would say no.
- Q. Do you have a recollection of that, or are you speculating that that's the case?
- A. Well, his truck sits high so he would be sitting above it -- a car that was passing him.

  Trucks I never would have saw him. I wouldn't have a nice clear sight to observe the violation.
- Q. Is it fair to say you have no recollection of this particular event?
  - A. Oh, I have vague recollection, yes.
- Q. Okay. Are you able to testify with certainty -- I mean, you said he would be and he -- you testified in a speculative manner. Do you remember this or are you speculating?
  - A. Do I remember the stop?
  - Q. Yes, sir.
  - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And do you remember the details of your observation that day?
- A. Yes. He wasn't wearing his seat belt. That's why I stopped him.
- Q. No, sir, that wasn't my question. My question is do you remember the details of your observation that day?
  - A. Yes. I observed he was not wearing his

seat belt.

2.1

- Q. We know you have guessed about the distances. Now, I want to talk about the traffic, so my question is do you have any specific recollection of traffic in between your vehicle and Mr. Vanmeter's vehicle?
- A. I would say, no, there was no traffic between them.
  - Q. You would say.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Thank you. Now, you were pulling out of your space and on to the highway traveling in the opposite direction of my client; is that correct?
- A. I don't -- no. I don't know which way.

  I was either sitting in the crossover facing traffic coming at me, or I was on the berm. I don't remember where I was.
- Q. Do you recall that you were driving eastbound and had to execute a U turn to come around and make the stop?
  - A. I don't remember that.
- Q. All right. Do you recall if your vehicle was in motion at the time of your observation?
  - A. No.
    - Q. You do not recall.

32 Α. 1 No. 2 MR. HACKERD: If I might have just a 3 moment, your Honor. 4 EXAMINER McKINNEY: You may. 5 MR. HACKERD: No further questions at this time. 6 7 Thank you, Officer. 8 EXAMINER McKINNEY: Ms. Johnson, would you like a minute to prepare for redirect? 9 10 MS. JOHNSON: Yes, please. EXAMINER McKINNEY: Let's go off the 11 12 record. (Discussion off the record.) 13 14 EXAMINER McKINNEY: Let's go back on the 15 record. Ready for redirect? 16 MS. JOHNSON: Okay. 17 18 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 19 By Ms. Johnson: 20 Officer, did you have an independent Q. 2.1 recollection of this traffic stop and violation? 22 Α. Yes. Okay. And so -- and you're testifying 23 Q. 24 today based on your independent recollection? 25 Α. Yes.

33 MS. JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you. That's 1 2 all, your Honor. 3 EXAMINER McKINNEY: Recross? 4 MR. HACKERD: No, nothing, your Honor, 5 thank you. 6 7 EXAMINATION By Examiner McKinney: 8 I just have a couple of questions, and 9 10 then we will excuse you from the stand. Did you say it's standard operating procedure to generate a 11 12 Driver/Vehicle Examination Report on an inspection? 13 Α. Yes. Are you trained to do that? 14 Ο. 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. Do you always do that? 17 Α. Yes. 18 EXAMINER McKINNEY: Inspector Kisner, I have nothing further. You are excused from the 19 20 stand. Thank you. 2.1 Ms. Johnson has moved for the admission 22 of Staff Exhibit 1. Mr. Hackerd, you've objected to the admission -- well, before I continue, 23 24 Ms. Johnson, did you have any further witnesses you 25 intended to call to the stand?

MS. JOHNSON: No, your Honor. That's all. Thank you.

2.1

EXAMINER McKINNEY: All right. And you have moved for the admission of Staff Exhibit 1 which is the Driver/Vehicle Examination Report.

Mr. Hackerd, you have objected to the admission of the Driver/Vehicle Examination Report. This would be the time to start your objection if you are continuing your objection.

MR. HACKERD: We do, your Honor. Thank
you. Staff Exhibit 1 was prepared by the Officer
Kisner who testified that he prepared it for the
purpose of preserving his recollection for testimony.
Therefore, this document is not a business report
exception. It is a document prepared in anticipation
of litigation and is not subject to the business
records exception.

Furthermore, it's cumulative since it is a repetition of his testimony, if you will, for whatever that testimony was worth, and it is also not the best evidence since he was available to testify in person.

EXAMINER McKINNEY: Ms. Johnson, do you care to respond?

MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I would like to

note this isn't a criminal proceeding. This is a civil proceeding and this document is prepared for a civil -- for purposes of the staff. And I would also like to note that every inspection that takes place the Officer has to prepare this document whether there is going to be a trial or not. So this isn't prepared in anticipation for litigation.

That's all, your Honor. Thank you.

EXAMINER McKINNEY: All right. Thank you. At this time I am going to overrule the objections of Mr. Hackerd. The best evidence objection is overruled as well as the hearsay. And Staff Exhibit 1 will be admitted into the record.

(EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

EXAMINER McKINNEY: Does staff have anything further for me?

MS. JOHNSON: No, your Honor. Thank you.

EXAMINER McKINNEY: Mr. Hackerd, are you prepared to move forward?

MR. HACKERD: I am, your Honor.

EXAMINER McKINNEY: Do you need a minute to prepare?

23 MR. HACKERD: I do not.

24 EXAMINER McKINNEY: You may call your

25 | first witness.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

MR. HACKERD: At this time we would move I suppose it would be for a directed verdict at this stage. In any event we would move for judgment. The -- there was no testimony with respect to this Officer being in uniform. There was no testimony with respect to this vehicle being a duly and appropriately marked police vehicle. Those are both requirements under the Ohio Revised Code.

2.0

2.1

I'm afraid I don't have the section at hand. However, there is a specific section that requires all traffic stops to be made in marked vehicles by officers in uniform and there was no testimony to that effect in this case. We would, therefore, move for directed verdict.

EXAMINER McKINNEY: Ms. Johnson, would you like to respond?

MR. HACKERD: And if you want the citation, I'll jump online and grab it.

MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, this stop was made while he was on duty. It was made during -- as an inspection purpose, and he testified as to both of those things.

EXAMINER McKINNEY: I agree.

Mr. Hackerd, your motion is denied. You may call your first witness.

A. Yes.

24

38 conditions at the time of that stop? 1 It was morning. 2 Α. Was there sunshine? 3 Q. 4 Α. No. Do you recall the weather conditions? 5 Q. 6 Α. Dry. Were there clouds? 7 Q. 8 Α. Yes. 9 Did those clouds obscure the sky? Ο. 10 Α. Yes. Where were you going that day? 11 Q. 12 Α. Heading towards Sandusky, west. I'm sorry? 13 Q. 14 West. Α. Okay. And can you tell me about the road 15 Q. 16 that we're talk about, how many lanes there were? 17 Α. Two heading westbound. Q. Two heading westbound? 18 To my knowledge, yeah. 19 Α. 20 All right. And the same number heading Q. 2.1 eastbound? 22 Α. Correct. All right. Was there anything between 23 Q.

24

25

the lanes?

Α.

No.

- Q. Do you recall seeing Officer Kisner that day?
  - A. I observed his car, yes.
  - Q. Where did you observe his car?
- A. He was turning out of the post, the actual Highway Patrol post.
  - Q. All right. Where was that Highway Patrol post located?
    - A. I'm not quite sure. I was on Route 80.
- Q. I just mean with respect to your lane of travel.
- 12 A. Oh, he was on the eastbound side of the highway.
  - Q. All right. So he was on the eastbound side of the highway, and you were on the westbound side of the highway.
  - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

20

2.1

22

- 18 Q. Do you recall which lane you were in on your side?
  - A. I was on the far right lane, curb lane.
  - Q. You testified that he was turning on to the eastbound lane of travel.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. All right. Was he in motion?
- 25 A. Yes.

Q. And he was coming from a post so he was at a stop or a slow speed and accelerating to highway speeds?

MS. JOHNSON: Objection, your Honor. That's leading.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

EXAMINER McKINNEY: Mr. Hackerd, please rephrase the question.

MR. HACKERD: Certainly.

- Q. You testified that his vehicle was in motion. What rate of speed was it traveling at initially?
- A. I just know he was turning on to the highway heading eastbound from the patrol post.
- Q. Okay. And my question is when you first observed him, you observed him in the middle of your turn is your testimony.
  - A. He was in motion, correct.
- Q. All right. Was he already in a lane of travel, or was he off of the highway when you first observed him?
  - A. He was entering on to the highway.
- Q. All right. So what was his initial speed?
- A. 2 miles an hour.
  - Q. Where was your vehicle with respect to

his vehicle when you first observed him? How much distance was there between you?

- A. I would say 2,000 feet.
- Q. And how long did it take you to traverse that 2,000 feet?
  - A. I can't answer that.
- Q. All right. At what rate of speed were you traveling?
  - A. I was doing 60 miles an hour.
- Q. All right. Were you wearing your seat belt at that time?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Did you wear your seat belt continuously throughout this incident?
- 15 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

2.1

22

- Q. All right. How many lanes of travel were there between your vehicle and Officer Kisner's vehicle?
- A. I recall two lanes westbound and two lanes eastbound.
  - Q. All right. Do you know -- so do you know how many lanes there were between you?
    - A. Four.
- Q. You looked at Officer Kisner as you drove past him; is that correct?

42 I didn't look directly at him. I looked 1 Α. 2 at the patrol car that was entering the highway. 3 MR. HACKERD: All right. Thank you, your Honor. No further questions at this time. 4 EXAMINER McKINNEY: Cross-examination. 5 Did you need a minute to prepare? 6 Let's go off the record. 7 (Discussion off the record.) 8 EXAMINER McKINNEY: Let's go back on the 9 10 record. 11 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION 13 By Ms. Johnson: 14 Mr. Vanmeter, during the time of the stop you were wearing a white shirt; isn't that correct? 15 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. And your seat belt was black. 18 A. Correct. 19 Okay. And at that time you were Q. 20 traveling westbound? 2.1 Α. Correct. 22 Q. And the color of your truck was white? Excuse me? 23 Α. 24 The color of your truck was white? Q. 25 Α. No. It was red.

- Q. It was red. Okay. And you were not carrying a load at that time -
  A. Correct.
  - Q. -- correct? Okay. And how long did you observe the Officer would you say?
    - A. Can you be more specific? As to --
  - Q. From when you first saw him until you passed the Officer.
- A. Okay. Are we talking miles? Minutes? I just want to clarify.
- 11 Q. Time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 12 A. Time.
- 13 Q. Yeah.
- 14 A. Probably several minutes.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- A. Several minutes, 5 maybe.
- Q. I'm sorry. Your answer was 5 minutes; is that correct?
- 19 A. Between 3 and 5 minutes.
- Q. So you were passing the Officer going westbound, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. And he was going eastbound --
- A. Correct.
- Q. -- as you testified; is that correct?

But yet you saw each other for 5 minutes when you were passing each other?

- A. No. Objection. You asked me when did I first notice the Officer.
  - Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

- A. Okay, as far as timewise before I passed him --
  - Q. Okay.
- A. -- leading up to. You said how many minutes before I observed him pulling out of the post.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. And that's when I answered between 3 and 5 minutes.
- Q. Okay. So, once again, can you just describe what you saw?
  - A. I witnessed him pulling out on to the eastbound lane --
  - Q. Okay.
- 20 A. -- of the highway.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. He was already in motion.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- A. He was already making his turn on to the highway --

- Q. Okay. So --
- A. -- eastbound.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.1

22

- Q. -- from that point in time to when you passed him was about 5 minutes.
  - A. I observed the post before I got there.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. Because you asked timewise, okay? When did you first observe the post and that particular --
- Q. I meant the Officer. When did you first observe the Officer specifically?
  - A. Pretty much before I passed the post.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. Do you remember what kind of vehicle Mr. Kisner was driving, the Officer?
  - A. It was a Patrol car.
- Q. It was a Patrol car. And when he approached you, do you remember what type of clothing he was wearing?
  - A. He was in his uniform.
- 19 Q. Okay. Thank you. And did he issue 20 this -- a report after you were pulled over?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. Okay. And what did that report say?

      MR. HACKERD: Objection.
- 24 EXAMINER McKINNEY: Grounds?
- MR. HACKERD: Hearsay. "What did that

```
46
     report say?"
1
2
                  EXAMINER McKINNEY: The -- Ms. Johnson,
3
     would you like to respond?
4
                  When you were given the report, were
             Q.
     you --
5
6
                  EXAMINER McKINNEY: Ms. Johnson, would
7
     you care to respond to the objection?
8
                  MS. JOHNSON: Oh, I would like to
9
     withdraw and rephrase my question.
10
                  EXAMINER McKINNEY: Granted. You may do
11
     so.
12
                 (By Ms. Johnson) When -- were you made
             Q.
13
     aware of what you were stopped for?
14
            Α.
                 Yes.
15
             Q.
                 Okay. How were you made aware of that?
16
                  MR. HACKERD: Objection. Well, I
17
     withdraw the objection. I apologize.
18
                  EXAMINER McKINNEY: You may answer the
19
     question.
20
                 It was verbal.
             Α.
2.1
                  MS. JOHNSON: Okay. No further
22
     questions, your Honor. Thank you.
23
                  EXAMINER McKINNEY: Thank you.
24
                  Redirect?
25
                  MR. HACKERD: Nothing.
```

## EXAMINATION

2 By Examiner McKinney:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. Mr. Vanmeter, I just have one quick question. You indicated that the Inspector was in a Patrol car. Do you know what type of vehicle that Patrol car was?
- A. Other than the fact it was pulling out of a post.
  - Q. What do you mean by Patrol car? Was it a marked vehicle? Is that what you mean by a Patrol car?
    - A. Yes.
  - EXAMINER McKINNEY: At this time I have nothing further for you so you may be excused from the stand. Thank you.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- EXAMINER McKINNEY: Mr. Hackerd, do you have anything further?
- MR. HACKERD: No further witnesses. The defense rests.
- EXAMINER McKINNEY: All right. Do we have any post-hearing motions from either of the parties? Staff?
- MS. JOHNSON: No, your Honor.
- 25 EXAMINER McKINNEY: Mr. Hackerd?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  HACKERD: I would renew my motion for directed verdict at this time.

EXAMINER McKINNEY: Your motion to dismiss this case will be taken under advisement.

The Commission will consider it when it considers the merits of the case.

Seeing nothing further, at this time we're adjourned.

(Thereupon, the hearing was adjourned at 10:56 a.m.)

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Thursday, November 7, 2013, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Karen Sue Gibson, Registered Merit Reporter. (KSG-5777) 

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

11/22/2013 11:49:25 AM

in

Case No(s). 13-1580-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript in the matter of Thomas L. Vanmeter hearing held on 11/07/13 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs.