

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of)
Guttman Energy, Inc. for Certification as) Case No. 13-1809-GA-CRS
a Competitive Retail Power Marketer.)

In the Matter of the Application of)
Guttman Energy, Inc. for Certification as) Case No. 13-1810-EL-CRS
a Competitive Retail Natural Gas)
Marketer.)

ENTRY

The attorney examiner finds:

- (1) On August 20, 2013, Guttman Energy, Inc. (Guttman or company) filed applications for certification as a competitive retail power marketer (Case No. 13-1810-EL-CRS [13-1810]) and retail natural gas marketer (Case No. 13-1809-GA-CRS [13-1809]), respectively. On the same day, Guttman filed motions for a protective order, pursuant to Rule 4901-1-24(D), Ohio Administrative Code (O.A.C.), requesting that exhibits C-3 (financial statements), C-4 (financial arrangements), and C-5 (forecasted financial statements) of its applications, which were filed under seal in 13-1809 and 13-1810 on August 20, 2013, be kept under seal.
- (2) In support of its motions for a protective order, Guttman explains that exhibits C-3, C-4, and C-5, in each case, contain competitively sensitive and highly proprietary business financial information that is not generally known or available to the general public. Therefore, Guttman requests that the information found in exhibits C-3, C-4, and C-5 be treated as confidential.
- (3) Section 4905.07, Revised Code, provides that all facts and information in the possession of the Commission shall be public, except as provided in Section 149.43, Revised Code, and as consistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code. Section 149.43, Revised Code, specifies that the term "public records" excludes information which, under state or federal law, may not be released. The Ohio Supreme Court

has clarified that the “state or federal law” exemption is intended to cover trade secrets. *State ex rel. Besser v. Ohio State*, 89 Ohio St. 3d 396, 399, 732 N.E. 2d 373 (2000).

- (4) Similarly, Rule 4901-1-24, O.A.C., allows an attorney examiner to issue an order to protect the confidentiality of information contained in a filed document, “to the extent that state or federal law prohibits release of the information, including where the information is deemed . . . to constitute a trade secret under Ohio law, and where non-disclosure of the information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code.”
- (5) Ohio law defines a trade secret as “information . . . that satisfies both of the following: (a) It derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use. (b) It is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.” Section 1333.61(D), Revised Code.
- (6) The attorney examiner has examined the information covered by the motions for protective orders filed by Guttman, as well as the assertions set forth in the supportive memoranda. Applying the requirements that the information have independent economic value and be the subject of reasonable efforts to maintain its secrecy pursuant to Section 1333.61(D), Revised Code, as well as the six-factor test set forth by the Ohio Supreme Court,¹ the attorney examiner finds that the information contained in exhibits C-3, C-4, and C-5 of Guttman’s applications constitutes trade secret information. Release of these documents is, therefore, prohibited under state law. The attorney examiner also finds that nondisclosure of this information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code. Accordingly, the attorney examiner finds that Guttman’s motions for a protective order are reasonable

¹ See *State ex-rel. The Plain Dealer v. Ohio Dept. of Ins.*, 80 Ohio St.3d 513, 524-525, 687 N.E.2d 661 (1997).

with regard to exhibits C-3, C-4, and C-5, which were filed under seal in 13-1809 and 13-1810 on August 20, 2013; therefore, the motions should be granted.

- (7) Rule 4901-1-24(D)(4), O.A.C., provides for protective orders relating to electric and gas marketers' certification renewal applications to expire after 24 months. The attorney examiner finds that the 24-month provision in Rule 4901-1-24(D)(4), O.A.C., is intended to synchronize the expiration of protective orders related to electric and gas marketers' certification applications with the expiration of their certification and that the expiration dates should allow adequate time for consideration of any motion for extension. Therefore, confidential treatment shall be afforded to exhibits C-3, C-4, and C-5 for a period ending 24 months from the effective date of the certificates issued to Guttman, or until September 20, 2015, in 13-1809 and 13-1810. Until that date, the docketing division should maintain, under seal, exhibits C-3, C-4, and C-5, which were filed under seal in 13-1809 and 13-1810 on August 20, 2013.
- (8) Rule 4901-1-24(F), O.A.C., requires a party wishing to extend a protective order to file an appropriate motion at least 45 days in advance of the expiration date. If Guttman wishes to extend this confidential treatment, it should file an appropriate motion at least 45 days in advance of the expiration date. If no such motion to extend confidential treatment is filed, the Commission may release this information without prior notice to Guttman.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That the motions for a protective order filed by Guttman be granted with regard to the information contained in exhibits C-3, C-4, and C-5 of Guttman's applications. It is, further,

ORDERED, That the Commission's docketing division maintain, under seal, the unredacted exhibits C-3, C-4, and C-5, which were filed under seal in 13-1809 and 13-1810 on August 20, 2013, for a period of 24 months, ending on September 20, 2015. It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this entry be served upon each party of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

s/Daniel Fullin

By: Daniel E. Fullin
Attorney Examiner

JRJ/sc

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

11/20/2013 1:37:42 PM

in

Case No(s). 13-1809-GA-CRS, 13-1810-EL-CRS

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry grants motions for protective order. - electronically filed by Sandra Coffey on behalf of Daniel Fullin, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio