

**Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** Snitchler, Todd  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 25, 2013 11:47 PM  
**To:** PUCO Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: I oppose Duke Energy's rate hike

---

From: GreenpeaceOn Behalf OfKathleen Smaluk-Nix  
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 11:45:46 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Snitchler, Todd  
Subject: I oppose Duke Energy's rate hike

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV  
2013 SEP 26 AM 8:38  
PUCO

Sep 25, 2013

Todd Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

Now that the city of Cincinnati has taken a stand against Duke Energy's dirty business and chosen an energy provider using less coal, Duke is asking for a rate hike on working Ohioans and small business owners to compensate for their failure to modernize. Duke Energy shouldn't be allowed to use rate hikes to punish customers who choose cleaner, cheaper options for their electricity supply.

Duke Energy should quit investing in coal and instead invest in the renewable technologies that they claim to support--and that are required under Ohio's Renewable Portfolio Standard. In Ohio, we already pay the hidden cost of coal with our health and our environment. But if companies like Duke want to ask more from our pocketbooks too, it should be for an Ohio that's powered by local wind & solar that will protect our health and employ thousands of Ohioans.

I oppose this rate hike.

Case number: 12-1682-EL-AIR

Sincerely,

Kathleen Smaluk-Nix  
4151 Southern Pkwy  
Louisville, KY 40214-1647  
(502) 367-7079

This is to certify that the images appearing are an  
accurate and complete reproduction of a case file  
document delivered in the regular course of business  
Technician TN Date Processed SEP 26 2013