



BRICKER & ECKLER LLP
100 South Third Street
Columbus, OH 43215-4291
MAIN: 614.227.2300
FAX: 614.227.2390

www.bricker.com
info@bricker.com

Sally W. Bloomfield
614.227.2368
sbloomfield@bricker.com

September 11, 2013

Via Electronic Filing

Ms. Betty McCauly
Administration/Docketing
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street, 11th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215-3793

**Re: Northwest Ohio Wind Energy, LLC
OPSB Case No. 13-197-EL-BGN**

Dear Ms. McCauly:

This letter serves as an *Erratum* to the letter filed by Northwest Ohio Wind Energy, LLC ("NOWE") on September 10, 2013 updating its response to OPSB Staff Data Request No. 13. Below is the complete narrative of the letter as submitted along with the highlighted portions that were inadvertently omitted.

This Amended Application Appendix T is the older study which has been refreshed. The new study notes that one new microwave beam path is now operational since the original study. Turbine locations have been adjusted following the micrositing and compliance with sound, shadow flicker and voluntary setbacks. It is worth noting Applicant requested Comsearch to study an imaginary turbine with 144 meters rotor diameter and 96 meters hub height. Those are the largest rotor diameter (Gamesa 2.0MW 114/93) and the tallest tower (GE 1.7MW 100/96) under consideration. This request was in order to stay consistent with other studies, i.e., sound, shadow flicker, visual, etc.

Comsearch concluded that T-12 seems to require a horizontal clearance of 63.17 meters (207.2 feet) in order to clear the potential interference with the nearby microwave beam paths. It is worth noting that T-12 is also among the turbines that would be most impacted by noise reduction operations and the loss of this location would not materially impact the overall viability of the Facility.

While more in-depth and detailed engineering studies using the appropriate dimensions of the to-be selected turbine model would be required to positively rule whether or not T-12 would interfere with the nearby microwave beam paths, Applicant is voluntarily allocating this location as the least desirable turbine location from the array of 60 locations presented in the

OPSB Case No. 13-197-EL-BGN

September 11, 2013

Page 2

Amended Application, but keeping it and as a potential location for the permanent hub-height meteorological tower.

In the same conclusions, T-11 seems to require a small adjustment of 37.4 meters (122.7 feet) to the north, and T-56 a mere adjustment of 2.18 meters (7.2 feet) to the southwest. These adjustments are not significant to other studies, are within the FAA de minimums distance for re-filing, and maintain the location in previously evaluated corridors. No figures require updates as the print scale will not reflect these small adjustments.

I apologize for any inconvenience this error may have caused.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Sally W. Bloomfield".

Sally W. Bloomfield

Cc: Chris Cunningham

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

9/11/2013 4:20:52 PM

in

Case No(s). 13-0197-EL-BGN

Summary: Correspondence of Northwest Ohio Wind Energy, LLC electronically filed by Teresa Orahod on behalf of Sally Bloomfield