BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

)

)

In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company to Update its Energy Efficiency Rider.

Case No. 13-0956-EL-RDR

MOTION TO INTERVENE BY THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") moves to intervene¹ in this case where the Dayton Power and Light Company ("DP&L") has proposed to decrease the rate it charges customers for their electric service. OCC is filing on behalf of the residential utility customers of DP&L. The reasons the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission" or "PUCO") should grant OCC's Motion are further set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

Respectfully submitted,

BRUCE J. WESTON OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

<u>/s/ Kyle L. Kern</u> Kyle L. Kern, Counsel of Record Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 Telephone: (614) 466-9585 (Kern Direct) kern@occ.state.oh.us

¹ See R.C. Chapter 4911, R.C. 4903.221 and Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company to Update its Energy Efficiency Rider.

Case No. 13-0956-EL-RDR

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

In this proceeding, DP&L seeks PUCO approval to collect from customers charges related to its energy efficiency and demand response programs set forth in Section 4928.66 of the Ohio Revised Code. For residential customers, the amount DP&L currently collects in its Energy Efficiency Rider ("EER") is \$0.0052079/kWh. The proposed EER residential rate for the period July 1, 2013, through June 30, 2014, decreases to \$0.0045543/kWH.² DP&L contends the decrease in the proposed residential rate is a result of "lower projected costs and a lower reconciliation rate from the time of the last true-up."³ OCC has authority under law to represent the interests of DP&L's residential utility customers, pursuant to R.C. Chapter 4911.

R.C. 4903.221 provides, in part, that any person "who may be adversely affected" by a PUCO proceeding is entitled to seek intervention in that proceeding. The interests of Ohio's residential customers may be "adversely affected" by this case, especially if the customers were unrepresented in a proceeding where customers have been overcharged for their electric service. Thus, this element of the intervention standard in R.C. 4903.221 is satisfied.

² Application (April 30, 2013) at 2.

³ Application (April 30, 2013) at 3.

R.C. 4903.221(B) requires the Commission to consider the following criteria in ruling on motions to intervene:

- (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor's interest;
- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;
- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceeding; and
- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

First, the nature and extent of OCC's interest is representing the residential customers of DP&L in this case involving the rate they will be charged for their electric service. This interest is different than that of any other party and especially different than that of the utility whose advocacy includes the financial interest of stockholders.

Second, OCC's advocacy for residential customers will include advancing the position that rates should be no more than what is reasonable and lawful under Ohio law, for service that is adequate under Ohio law. OCC's position is therefore directly related to the merits of this case that is pending before the PUCO, the authority with regulatory control of public utilities' rates and service quality in Ohio.

Third, OCC's intervention will not unduly prolong or delay the proceedings. OCC, with its longstanding expertise and experience in PUCO proceedings, will duly allow for the efficient processing of the case with consideration of the public interest.

Fourth, OCC's intervention will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues. OCC will obtain and develop information that the PUCO should consider for equitably and lawfully deciding the case in the public interest.

OCC also satisfies the intervention criteria in the Ohio Administrative Code (which are subordinate to the criteria that OCC satisfies in the Ohio Revised Code). To intervene, a party should have a "real and substantial interest" according to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(A)(2). As the advocate for residential utility customers, OCC has a very real and substantial interest in this case involving the rate DP&L charges its residential customers for electric service.

In addition, OCC meets the criteria of Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(1)-(4). These criteria mirror the statutory criteria in R.C. 4903.221(B) that OCC already has addressed and that OCC satisfies.

Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(5) states that the Commission shall consider the "extent to which the person's interest is represented by existing parties." While OCC does not concede the lawfulness of this criterion, OCC satisfies this criterion in that it uniquely has been designated as the state representative of the interests of Ohio's residential utility customers. That interest is different from, and not represented by, any other entity in Ohio.

Moreover, the Supreme Court of Ohio confirmed OCC's right to intervene in PUCO proceedings, in deciding two appeals in which OCC claimed the PUCO erred by denying its interventions. The Court found that the PUCO abused its discretion in denying OCC's interventions and that OCC should have been granted intervention in both proceedings.⁴

⁴ See Ohio Consumers' Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm., 111 Ohio St.3d 384, 2006-Ohio-5853, ¶¶13-20 (2006).

OCC meets the criteria set forth in R.C. 4903.221, Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11,

and the precedent established by the Supreme Court of Ohio for intervention. On behalf

of Ohio residential customers, the Commission should grant OCC's Motion to Intervene.

Respectfully submitted,

BRUCE J. WESTON OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

<u>/s/ Kyle L. Kern</u> Kyle L. Kern, Counsel of Record Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 Telephone: (614) 466-9585 (Kern Direct) kern@occ.state.oh.us

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of this Motion to Intervene was served on the persons

stated below via electronic transmission, this 5th day of September 2013.

<u>/s/ Kyle L. Kern</u> Kyle L. Kern Assistant Consumers' Counsel

SERVICE LIST

William Wright Attorney General's Office Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 E. Broad St., 6th Fl. Columbus, OH 43215 <u>William.wright@puc.state.oh.us</u> Judi L. Sobecki The Dayton Power and Light Company 1065 Woodman Dr. Dayton, OH 45432 Judi.sobecki@dplinc.com This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

9/5/2013 3:05:02 PM

in

Case No(s). 13-0956-EL-RDR

Summary: Motion Motion to Intervene by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel electronically filed by Patti Mallarnee on behalf of Kern, Kyle Mrs.