### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of the : Application of The Dayton :

Power and Light Company : Case No. 12-1832-EL-ESS

for Establishing New
Reliability Targets.:

- - -

### PROCEEDINGS

before Mr. Bryce McKenney, Attorney Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10 a.m. on Thursday, August 8, 2013.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

\_ \_ \_

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	The Dayton Power and Light Company By Mr. Randall V. Griffin 1065 Woodman Drive	
	Dayton, Ohio 45432	
4	On behalf of the Applicant.	
5	Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General	
6	By Mr. William L. Wright, Section Chief	
7	and Mr. Thomas W. McNamee, Principal Attorney	
8	Public Utilities Section	
9	180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215	
10	On behalf of the Staff of the PUCO.	
11	Bruce E. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Joseph P. Serio,	
12	Assistant Consumers' Counsel	
13	10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485	
14	On behalf of the Residential Customers of The Dayton Power and Light Company.	E
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

					3	
1		INDEX				
2						
3	Witr	ness		Page		
4	Robe	0				
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Griffin 8 Examination by Attorney Examiner McKenney 9					
6						
7	Joir	nt Exhibit	Identified	Admitted		
8	1	Stipulation and Recommendatio	n 5	11		
9						
10	Comp	pany Exhibit	Identified	Admitted		
11	1	Application	6	10		
12	2	Reply Comments	6	10		
13	3	Testimony of Robert J. Adams	7	10		
14						
15	OCC	Exhibit	Identified	Admitted		
16	1	Comments by OCC	11	13		
17	2	Reply Comments by OCC	12	13		
18	3	8-8-13 Letter from Mr. Serio of the OCC	12			
19		of the occ	12			
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

Thursday Morning Session,
August 8, 2013.

- - -

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: Let's go on the record. At this time the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio calls for hearing at this time and place Case No. 12-1832-EL-ESS, being in the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Establishing New Reliability Targets.

My name is Bryce McKenney, and I am the Attorney Examiner assigned by the Commission to hear this case.

At this time we will take appearances of the parties beginning with the company.

MR. GRIFFIN: Yes. My name is Randall V. Griffin. I am counsel for The Dayton Power and Light Company, and I have given my address and phone number to the court reporter.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: Thank you. Staff.

MR. McNAMEE: On behalf of the staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, Mike DeWine, Attorney General of the State of Ohio, I am Thomas W. McNamee, Assistant Attorney General. The address is 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

1 ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: Thank you, 2 Mr. McNamee. 3 Mr. Serio. 4 MR. SERIO: Thank you, your Honor. behalf of the residential utility customers of Dayton 5 6 Power and Light, Bruce J. Weston, Consumers' Counsel, 7 by Joseph P. Serio. 8 ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: Thank you. It is my understanding there is a stipulation in this 9 10 case; is that correct? MR. GRIFFIN: Yes, there is, your Honor. 11 12 ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: All right. 13 Mr. Griffin, I will allow you to proceed. 14 MR. GRIFFIN: Thank you. I think I would request that we first mark for identification the 15 16 stipulation and recommendation, and if the staff does 17 not oppose this, I have this marked as Joint Exhibit 18 No. 1. MR. McNAMEE: That's fine. 19 20 ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: It will be 2.1 marked Joint Exhibit 1. 22 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MR. GRIFFIN: And as per the stipulation, 23 24 there are certain pieces of things filed in this case 25 that we were going to move into evidence, and the

first of which is the application that Dayton filed, and I would request this be marked as Company Exhibit 1 or, if you would prefer, DP&L 1.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: Company would be good.

2.0

2.1

MR. GRIFFIN: Company Exhibit 1.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: It will be marked Company Exhibit 1.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. GRIFFIN: The second exhibit will be reply comments we filed. OCC had filed comments in this case; perhaps staff did. I have to look at them.

It's actually staff had filed some comments and we replied to those comments and I would request that these reply comments be marked as Company Exhibit 2.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: It will be so marked as Company Exhibit 2.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. GRIFFIN: And then last but not least we have prefiled testimony in this case by Mr. Robert J. Adams who is present today and will take the stand. I would request that his prefiled testimony be marked as Company Exhibit 3.

1 ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: It will be 2 marked Company Exhibit 3. (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 3 4 MR. GRIFFIN: That closes the evidentiary 5 presentation that the company was planning to make, although I will put Mr. Adams on the stand. Is it 6 your preference I move everything into the evidence 7 8 at this point or wait until Mr. Adams takes the 9 stand? 10 ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: After the witness, please. 11 12 MR. GRIFFIN: Okay. I would then call to the stand Mr. Adams. 13 14 (Witness sworn.) ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: Please state 15 16 your name and address for the record. 17 THE WITNESS: My name is Robert J. Adams. 18 My business address is 1065 Woodman Drive, Dayton, Ohio 45432. 19 20 2.1

2223

24

25

### ROBERT J. ADAMS

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

### DIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. Griffin:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

- Q. Thank you, Mr. Adams. And could you also state your title.
  - A. I'm a rate analyst.
- Q. Thank you. And are you the same

  Mr. Adams that prepared or caused to be prepared

  prefiled testimony in this case consisting of four

  pages?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have any changes or corrections or modifications to make to that testimony?
  - A. No, I don't.
- Q. And if I asked you today the same questions that were there, would you state under oath the same answers?
  - A. Yes, I would.
- Q. Does your testimony briefly describe the key provisions of the stipulation?
  - A. Yes, it does.
- Q. And does it then discuss the criteria the Commission uses for evaluating stipulations?

A. Yes, it does.

2.1

- Q. And could you state what your final conclusion and recommendation was.
- A. I recommend that the Commission approve the stipulation and recommendation in its entirety without modification.

MR. GRIFFIN: Thank you. That concludes my direct examination of Mr. Adams and he is available for cross.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: Thank you.

Mr. Serio, you have an opportunity to cross-examine. Do you wish to?

MR. SERIO: I have no questions, your Honor.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: All right.

# EXAMINATION

By Attorney Examiner McKenney:

Q. Thank you, Mr. Adams. I just have two quick questions for clarification of the record, very basic. I am sure you will have no problem answering them. These standards are you indicate more stringent than the previous standards; is that correct?

A. Correct.

- Q. All right. And they would, therefore, benefit customers, correct?
  - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. Can you explain just the impact of reliability of having more -- more stringent standards than were previously there, how it benefits customers?
- A. DP&L's current reliability standards in regard to SAIFI is 1.07. The stipulation and recommendation recommends a SAIFI standard of .88.

  And as far as CAIDI is concerned, that DP&L's current standard is 125 minutes -- 125.51 minutes and the proposed standard is 125.04 minutes, both of which are under our current standards, those standards that are within the stipulation.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: All right.

Thank you, Mr. Adams. I have nothing further. You are excused from the stand.

MR. GRIFFIN: Your Honor, at this time I would move into evidence Company Exhibits 1, 2, and 3.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: Company Exhibits 1, 2, and 3 will be admitted into the record.

(EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. SERIO: And we filed reply comments

so marked.

23

24

25

```
on December 20, 2012. I would like to mark that as
 1
 2
     OCC Exhibit 2.
 3
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: It will be
 4
      so marked.
 5
                  (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
 6
                  MR. SERIO: And then this morning OCC
 7
     docketed a letter on August 8 indicating that we
     would not be litigating this proceeding, and I would
 8
 9
      like to have that marked as OCC Exhibit 3.
10
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: It will be
     marked.
11
12
                  (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
13
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: Mr. Serio,
14
     do you have a copy for the court reporter?
                  MR. SERIO: I have copies of all three
15
16
     documents for the court reporter. I did not bring
17
     copies for all the parties since all the other copies
18
     have been prefiled, and I did pass out a copy of the
19
     letter to the parties this morning.
20
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: All right.
2.1
     Are you seeking to move for admission of those?
22
                  MR. SERIO: I would like to move OCC
     Exhibits 1, 2, and 3 into the record.
23
24
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: OCC 1 and 2
25
     will be admitted into the record.
```

## (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: I have some

questions for you regarding OCC Exhibit 3.

Mr. Serio, you indicate in this letter that you will not be litigating this case, but it looks like on page 2 of your letter OCC's position is that the CAIDI standard is not consistent with the PUCO's rules and guidelines; is that correct?

2.1

MR. SERIO: Yes, your Honor.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: Do you have a witness you wish to call in this case?

MR. SERIO: No, your Honor.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: Do you need time for a witness, or do you plan to call one in the future?

MR. SERIO: Well, your Honor, it is our understanding there is nothing in the stipulation to reopen the company's reliability standards so unless the Commission were to at some point in the future reopen the record, we would not be calling a witness in the reliability case.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: Do you plan to file briefs in this case?

MR. SERIO: No, your Honor. We believe the letter indicates that although we don't support

we are not litigating the case.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: Mr. Serio, this letter is just a letter that was filed in the docket indicating your notice. However, I don't believe it needs to be entered into -- admitted into evidence so OCC Exhibit 3 will not be admitted into the record.

MR. SERIO: Thank you, your Honor.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: Is there

anything further from the parties?

MR. McNAMEE: Nothing.

MR. GRIFFIN: No, your Honor.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER McKENNEY: Thank you.

At this time we are adjourned.

(Thereupon, the hearing was adjourned at

16 | 10:10 a.m.)

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Thursday, August 8, 2013, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Karen Sue Gibson, Registered Merit Reporter. (KSG-5738) 

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

8/23/2013 11:42:47 AM

in

Case No(s). 12-1832-EL-ESS

Summary: Transcript in the matter of The Dayton Power and Light Company hearing held on 08/08/13 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs.