BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Commission's Review)	Case No. 13-1530-EL-UNC
of Customer Rate Impacts from Ohio)	
Power Company's Transition to Market)	
Based Rates.)	

ENTRY

The attorney examiner finds:

- (1) By Opinion and Order issued August 8, 2012, the Commission modified and approved an application for an electric security plan (ESP) filed by Ohio Power Company (AEP-Ohio) in *In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan,* Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO (ESP Case), et al. The Opinion and Order as approved, directed the attorney examiners to establish a new docket within 90 days from the order and issue an entry establishing a procedural schedule to allow Staff and any interested party to consider means to mitigate any potential adverse rate impacts from the shift to market based rates.
- (2) On January 30, 2013, the Commission issued its entry on rehearing in the ESP Case.
- (3) On March 27, 2013, the Commission issued its second entry on rehearing in the ESP Case.
- (4) On June 27, 2013, the attorney examiner established the instant docket for the Commission's review of customer rate impacts from Ohio Power Company's transition to market based rates. The June 27, 2013, entry also established the following comment period:
 - (a) July 29, 2013 Deadline to file initial comments.
 - (b) August 19, 2013 Deadline to file reply comments.

13-1530-EL-UNC -2-

(5) On July 26, 2013, Staff filed a motion for the extension of the deadlines for filing comments. By entry issued July 26, 2013, the attorney examiner established the following comment period:

- (a) August 12, 2013 Deadline to file initial comments.
- (b) September 3, 2013 Deadline to file reply comments.
- (6) On July 29, 2013, AEP Ohio filed correspondence in the docket. In its correspondence, AEP Ohio requests that the reply comment deadline be extended to September 6, 2013. In support of its request, AEP Ohio explains that it has major litigation deadlines involving the Supreme Court of Ohio and has no way of estimating the scope and breadth of the initial comments that may be filed.
- (7) The attorney examiner finds that AEP Ohio's request should be granted. Accordingly, the comment period shall be as follows:
 - (a) August 12, 2013 Deadline to file initial comments.
 - (b) September 6, 2013 Deadline to file reply comments.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That AEP Ohio's request for the extension of the reply comment cycle should be granted. It is, further,

ORDERED, That the procedural schedule set forth in finding (7) be adopted. It is, further,

13-1530-EL-UNC -3-

ORDERED, That a copy of this entry be served upon all parties and other interested persons of record in this case and all parties of record in Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO, et al.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

s/Jonathan Tauber

By: Jonathan J. Tauber Attorney Examiner

JRJ/sc

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/9/2013 2:23:56 PM

in

Case No(s). 13-1530-EL-UNC

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry grants AEP Ohio's request for an extension of the reply comment cycle and sets a procedural schedule indicated in finding (7). - electronically filed by Sandra Coffey on behalf of Jonathan Tauber, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio