

FILE

From: Jeff Bake  
 To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
 Subject: Proposed Smart Meter Opt-Out Case #12-2050-EL-ORD  
 Received: 8/2/2013 9:26:04 PM  
 Message:

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV  
 2013 AUG -6 PM 2:02  
 PUCO

To whome it may concern,

My Wife & I are very concerned about this issue, as are the majority of Ohioans. It is absolute balderdash for AEP to dictate to us a service fee for opting out, or in our case, completely denying one of these nasty meters on our home. It is absurd that a utility company can assess a redundant fee for their technician to get out of his/her vehicle to manually read the meter the old fashioned way! And what about the people who call their readings in every month? We will never allow one of these meters to be on our home, and we refuse to pay any fees for opting out.

It is imperative that this monopolizing madness is abolished before coming to fruition. Not only are excess fees being forced on the People, but now our 4th Amendment is also being violated by the gathering of consumer data/metrics of our energy consumption. Throw in the radio-frequency (RF)/microwave EMFs emitted by these nasty meters, and it is enough to make you sick or worse.... This shall not stand in the eyes of Ohioans and of the American People.

If you are unaware of any of the negative effects surrounding smart meters and the expert facts that back them up, then please educate yourself and everyone you know about the hazards. I have included a link below, with source experts that are more than credible, for your review.

Thank you for understanding that smart meters and Ohioans will NEVER mix.

Thank you,

Jeff & Colleen Bake

"Quebec-based magazine La Maison du 21e siecle asked physician David O. Carpenter, former founding dean of the University at Albany (NY)'s School of Public Health, to comment on a letter published in the Montreal daily Le Devoirlast May 24. This letter claimed wireless smart meters pose no risk to public health. Some fourty international experts contributed to the following rebuttal. "

<http://smartmeterdangers.org/index.php/position-statements/206-smart-meters-correcting-gross-misinformation>

This is to certify that the images appearing are an  
 accurate and complete reproduction of a case file  
 document delivered in the regular course of business  
 technician                      Date Processed **AUG 06 2013**

From: Chuck and Deb White  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Proposed Smart Meter Opt-Out Case #12-2050-EL-ORD  
Received: 8/3/2013 8:13:36 AM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO

Why do we have to pay for a device to be removed or installed or read that violates our health, our privacy and our rights.

Is it UL listed and did we give permission for an untested unit to installed on our home???

So the way I see it, if lawsuits are to be filed in the future due to residence's illness or damage to an Individual's property, the obstruction caused by this PUCO proposed restrictive ruling could be an issue.

Chuck White  
Knoxville, Ohio