

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Commission's Review)
of its Rules for Electric Transmission Cost) Case No. 13-954-EL-ORD
Recovery Riders Contained in Chapter)
4901:1-36, Ohio Administrative Code.)

In the Matter of the Commission's Review)
of its Rules for Corporate Separation for)
Electric Utilities and Affiliates Contained) Case No. 13-955-EL-ORD
in Chapter 4901:1-37, Ohio Administrative)
Code.)

In the Matter of the Commission's Review)
of its Rules for Reasonable Arrangements)
for Electric Utility Customers Contained in) Case No. 13-953-EL-ORD
Chapter 4901:1-38, Ohio Administrative)
Code.)

ENTRY

The attorney examiner finds:

- (1) Section 119.032, Revised Code, requires all state agencies to conduct a review, every five years, of their rules and to determine whether to continue their rules without change, amend their rules, or rescind their rules. At this time, the Commission is reviewing the rules contained in Chapters 4901:1-36, 4901:1-37, and 4901:1-38 of the Ohio Administrative Code.
- (2) Section 119.032(C), Revised Code, requires that the Commission determine:
 - (a) Whether the rules should be continued without amendment, be amended, or be rescinded, taking into consideration the purpose, scope, and intent of the statute under which the rules were adopted;
 - (b) Whether the rules need amendment or rescission to give more flexibility at the local level;

- (c) Whether the rules need amendment to eliminate unnecessary paperwork; and
 - (d) Whether the rules duplicate, overlap with, or conflict with other rules.
- (3) In addition, on January 10, 2011, the governor of the state of Ohio issued Executive Order 2011-01K, entitled "Establishing the Common Sense Initiative," which sets forth several factors to be considered in the promulgation of rules and the review of existing rules. Among other things, the Commission must review its rules to determine the impact that a rule has on small businesses; attempt to balance the critical objectives of regulation and the cost of compliance by the regulated parties; and amend or rescind rules that are unnecessary, ineffective, contradictory, redundant, inefficient, or needlessly burdensome, or that have had negative, unintended consequences, or unnecessarily impede business growth.
- (4) Additionally, in accordance with Section 121.82, Revised Code, in the course of developing draft rules, the Commission must evaluate the rules against the business impact analysis. If there will be an adverse impact on businesses, as defined in Section 107.52, Revised Code, the agency is to incorporate features into the draft rules to eliminate or adequately reduce any adverse impact. Furthermore, the Commission is required, pursuant to Section 121.82, Revised Code, to provide the Common Sense Initiative (CSI) office the draft rules and the business impact analysis. The Commission is to consider any recommendations made by CSI with regard to the draft rules and provide CSI with a memorandum explaining either how CSI's recommendations were incorporated into the rules or why the recommendations were not incorporated into the rules.
- (5) The Commission has considered the current rule review procedures and revised them to incorporate the new CSI process. As part of our new procedure, prior to issuing Staff's proposed revisions to the rules for comment, it is appropriate to require Staff to hold a workshop with interested stakeholders. At the workshop Staff should elicit feedback on any proposed revisions to the rules which Staff may have and may permit stakeholders to propose their own revisions to the

rules for Staff's consideration. Interested stakeholders should come to the workshop prepared with necessary technical experts to provide appropriate feedback. An attorney examiner from the Commission's legal department will serve as a moderator for the workshop. After Staff has an opportunity to consider the feedback received at the workshop, proposed rule amendments will be issued for comments and reply comments by interested parties.

- (6) Accordingly, the Attorney Examiner finds that, at this time, a workshop should be held on May 7, 2013, at 10:00 a.m., at the offices of the Commission, 180 East Broad Street, Hearing Room 11-B, Columbus, Ohio. To allow broader access to the workshop, it will be webcast. Persons wishing to view the technical conference via the web should access the Commission's web site at www.puco.ohio.gov and click on Webcasts.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That a workshop be scheduled for May 7, 2013, as indicated in Finding (6). It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this entry be served upon all investor-owned electric utilities in the state of Ohio, all certified competitive retail electric service providers in the state of Ohio, and the Electric-Energy list-serve, as well as any other interested person of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

s/James Lynn

By: James M. Lynn
Attorney Examiner

JRJ/sc

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

4/22/2013 9:53:34 AM

in

Case No(s). 13-0954-EL-ORD, 13-0955-EL-ORD, 13-0953-EL-ORD

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry ordered a workshop scheduled for 05/07/2013 as indicated in Finding (6). - electronically filed by Sandra Coffey on behalf of James Lynn, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio