FILE

5

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
2013 APR 12 PM 2:47
PUCO

PUCO EXHIBIT FILING

Date of Hearing: April 1, 2013

Case No. 12-426-EL-SSO, et al. - Volume X

PUCO Case Captions:

In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of its Market Rate Offer. Case No. 12-426-EL-SSO

In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Revised Tariffs. Case No. 12-427-EL-ATA

In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority. Case No. 12-428-EL-AAM

In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Waiver of Certain Commission Rules. Case No. 12-429-EL-WVR

In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company to Establish Tariff Riders. Case No. 12-672-EL-RDR

List of exhibits being filed:

OCC Exhibit 29

Edgemont Exhibit 1

City of Dayton Exhibit 2

Reporter's Signature: Maria DiPaolo Jones

Submitted by Armstrong & Okey, Inc.: _____

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of its Electric Security Plan	:	Case No. 12-426- EL-SSO
In the Matter of the Application of the Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Revised Tariffs	:	Case No. 12-427-EL-ATA
In the Matter of the Application of the Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority	:	Case No. 12-428-EL-AAM
In the Matter of the Application of the Dayton Power and Light Company for the Waiver of Certain Commission Rules	:	Case No. 12-429-EL-WVR
In the Matter of the Application of the Dayton Power and Light Company to Establish Tariff Riders:	:	Case No. 12-672-EL-RDR

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

before Mr. Gregory A. Price and Mr. Bryce A. McKenney, Hearing Examiners, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-C, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:00 a.m. on Monday, April 1, 2013.

VOLUME X - PUBLIC

- - -

OCC 29

Revised Attachment DJD-6: Comparison of Return on Equity of Ohio's Major Electric Utilities (2004 - 2011)

UTILITIES	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	8-year Average
DP&L	14.05%	19.90%	17.93%	20.04%	20.82%	20.91%	19.74%	18.71%	19.72%
CSP	NA*	16.18%	20.83%	19.63%	23.19%	18.19%	14.57%	15.62%	18.32%
OP**	11.45%	9.76%	10.82%	9.78%	12.46%	12.07%	15.12%	14.25%	12.04%
Duke	3.66%	-7.76%	-6.79%	4.35%	4.08%	4.09%	15.26%	13.39%	3.80%
CEI	5.51%	5.80%	-0.86%	18.39%	18.68%	17.95%	11.89%	12.64%	12.07%
Ohio Edison	16.04%	16.24%	10.53%	14.75%	11.11%	9.38%	12.47%	13.41%	12.56%
Toledo Edison	9.43%	8.45%	4.95%	15.52%	18.87%	14.10%	7.91%	9.60%	11.34%
Average	10.02%	9.80%	8.20%	14.64%	15.60%	13.81%	13.85%	13.95%	12.84%
DP&L % Higher than Average ROE	40.17%	103.15%	118.62%	36.91%	33.45%	51.38%	42.51%	34.16%	53.65%

*: Merged with OP at the end of 2011.
**: ROE of the merged OP

Source: FERC Form 1 of respective utilities.

Edgemont Ex. 1

BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Its Electric Security Plan	:	Case No. 12-426-EL-SSO
In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Revised Tariffs	:	Case No. 12-427-EL-ATA
In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority	:	Case No. 12-428-EL-AAM
In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for the Waiver of Certain Commission Rules	:	Case No. 12-429-EL-WVR
In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company to Establish Tariff Riders	:	Case No. 12-672-EL-RDR

EDGEMONT NEIGHBORHOOD COALITION
EXHIBIT NUMBER 1

The Edgemont Neighborhood Coalition and Dayton Power & Light stipulate as follows:

1) In 2012 there were 33,478 residential customers in the DP&L service territory disconnected for nonpayment.
2) The average disconnection amount for each DP&L customer disconnected was $375 in 2007, $321 in 2008, $317 in 2009, $347 in 2010, $435 in 2011, and $469 in 2012.
3) In 2012 there were 78,502 residential customers participating in commission ordered payment plans in order to avoid disconnection in the DP&L service territory.
4) In 2012 there were 35,715 residential customers on the PIPP or PIPP plus program in the DP&L territory.
5) In 2012 there were 5023 PIPP or PIPP plus customers disconnected for nonpayment in the DP&L service territory.

Respectfully submitted,

Ellis Jacobs
FOR THE EDGEMONT NEIGHBORHOOD COALITION

Jeffrey Sharkey
FOR THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT

Power and Light. In fact, Dayton's economic development efforts are directly related to increasing demand for electric services within the region. To this end, Dayton Power and Light has been very supportive of Dayton's economic development initiatives during this most recent period of decline.

However, any increase in electric service rates would serve to discourage economic development in Dayton. I believe that Dayton Power and Light recognizes this and has consistently addressed this issue in the past in its proceedings before the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. The financial contributions that Dayton Power and Light has provided to Dayton in the past have been integral in allowing Dayton to continue to pursue economic development initiatives within the City amidst an environment of increasing electric service costs. Without this previous support from Dayton Power and Light, it is doubtful that Dayton could continue to pursue its present economic development agenda in this demanding economic climate. In order to be able to attract businesses to Dayton that will employ our residents, increase the quality of life here, and deliver necessary municipal services to our residents, it is imperative that Dayton continue to rigorously pursue its economic development agenda.

Q. Can you summarize the City of Dayton's position?

A. The City wants to make sure that Dayton Power and Light is a viable entity, while expressing the concerns, such as those mentioned above, regarding the City's population and economic development. The City does oppose Commission approval of the proposed ESP.

Q. Does that conclude your testimony?

A. Yes it does.