

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Sunday, April 07, 2013 11:08 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: I oppose Duke Energy's rate hike

From: GreenpeaceOn Behalf OfPatricia Poteat
Sent: Sunday, April 07, 2013 11:07:43 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: I oppose Duke Energy's rate hike

Apr 7, 2013

Todd Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

Now that the city of Cincinnati has taken a stand against Duke Energy's dirty business and chosen an energy provider using less coal, Duke is asking for a rate hike on working Ohioans and small business owners to compensate for their failure to modernize. Duke Energy shouldn't be allowed to use rate hikes to punish customers who choose cleaner, cheaper options for their electricity supply.

Duke Energy should quit investing in coal and instead invest in the renewable technologies that they claim to support--and that are required under Ohio's Renewable Portfolio Standard. In Ohio, we already pay the hidden cost of coal with our health and our environment. But if companies like Duke want to ask more from our pocketbooks too, it should be for an Ohio that's powered by local wind & solar that will protect our health and employ thousands of Ohioans.

I oppose this rate hike.

Case number: 12-1682-EL-AIR

Sincerely,

Patricia Poteat
121 Parker St
Indian Orchard, MA 01151-2209
(413) 626-0706

This is to certify that the images appearing are an
accurate and complete reproduction of a case file
document delivered in the regular course of business
technician mm Date Processed APR 08 2013

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
2013 APR -8 AM 9:33
PUCO

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Saturday, April 06, 2013 3:52 AM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Ruth Rogers
Sent: Saturday, April 06, 2013 3:52:09 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Apr 6, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ruth Rogers
5225 White Willow Dr
Fort Collins, CO 80528-6377