BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for an increase in its Electric Distribution Rates.

: Case No. 12-1682-EL-AIR

In the Matter of the Application of Duke energy Ohio, Inc., for Tariff Approval.

Case No. 12-1683-EL-ATA

In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for Approval to Change Accounting Methods. Case No. 12-1684-EL-AAM

PREFILED TESTIMONY OF

S. NICOLE CROCKER

UTILITIES DEPARTMENT ACCOUNTING AND ELECTRICITY DIVISION PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

STAFF EX. ____

March 20, 2013

- 1 1. Q. Please state your name and business address.
- A. My name is S. Nicole Crocker. My business address is 180 East Broad Street,
- 3 Columbus, Ohio 43215.

4

- 5 2. Q. By whom are you employed?
- A. I am employed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO).

7

- 8 3. Q. What is your current position with the PUCO?
- 9 A. My current position is Utility Specialist 1 in the Accounting and Electricity Division
- of the Utilities Department.

11

- 12 4. Q. Would you briefly state your professional and educational background?
- 13 A. I earned a Master of Business Administration from The Ohio State University in
- 14 2004, and a Bachelor of Arts from Wittenberg University in 1993. I have worked for
- 15 the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio for over nine years, serving in my current
- position since 2010. I served as a Commissioner Aide from 1997 2003. I have
- 17 attended various seminars and rate case training programs sponsored by the
- 18 Commission.

- 20 5. Q. Have you testified before the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio?
- A. Yes, I testified in Water and Sewer LLC, Case No. 11-4509-ST-AIR.

- 1 6. Q. What's the purpose of your testimony?
- 2 A. The purpose of my testimony is to respond to objections concerning property tax
- 3 schedule C-3.8 and related work papers in the Staff Report of Investigation, raised
- 4 by Duke Energy Ohio (Company) and the Office of Consumers' Counsel (OCC),
- 5 and objections concerning property valuation, raised by OCC, Ohio Partners for
- 6 Affordable Energy (OPAE), Cincinnati Bell Companies, and Cincinnati Health
- 7 Council.

8

- 9 7. Q. The Company objects to Staff's failure to include any provision for real property
- taxes (Objection 7). Could you please address this?
- 11 A. Originally, Staff adopted the Company's property tax filing C-3.8 and applied
- 12 updated data where appropriate. Personal and real property are included in these
- schedules. This resulted in all real property being assessed at the higher personal
- property tax rate. See response to question eight for further clarification.

- 16 8. Q. The Office of Consumer's Counsel (Objections 1 and 19) objects to the fact that Staff
- did not perform a separate property tax expense calculation for both personal and
- real property which resulted in overstated property valuations. Do you agree?
- 19 A. Yes. Staff should have performed separate calculations for personal property tax
- 20 expense and real property tax expense. Attached are Revised Schedules C-3.8
- 21 Property Tax Expense, C-3.8a Personal Property Tax Expense and C-3.8b Real

Property Tax Expense. These schedules incorporate the latest available valuation data from the Ohio Department of Taxation, data from the Company on actual taxes paid, information filed in the latest FERC Form 1, as well as Staff adjusted plant data from Schedule B-2.1. In the Staff Report, personal and real property were combined and assessed at the personal property tax rate of 8.9058 percent. Real property should be taxed at the lower rate of 5.6428 percent. The revised schedules adjust tax-to-book value ratios and apply the appropriate tax rates to personal and real property separately.

- 9. Q. The Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy (OPAE Objection 1), Cincinnati Bell
 Companies, and Cincinnati Health Council object to the Staff's property tax
 valuation. Could you please address this?
- Staff is aware that the Company and the Ohio Department of Taxation reached a A. settlement regarding electric personal property tax values that resulted in a decrease of property valuation. The Company provided Staff property tax certificates issued on June 1, 2012. These certificates include property values listed alongside lower revised "per final order" values (Public Utility Property Tax Amended Preliminary Assessment, Staff DR 25-001 Attachment (b)). However, Staff received updated certificates dated October 4, 2012 (Public Utility Property Tax Amended Preliminary Assessment Staff DR 25-001 Attachment (b) 2nd Supplemental Attachment). The updated certificates reflect an increase in property values since the settlement. Staff confirmed the increase by reviewing an updated Valuation

1	Notice from the Ohio Department of Taxation dated October 3, 2012. The Company
2	indicates that this is the latest property valuation available and Staff relied on these
3	updated figures to create the attached revised property tax schedules. Therefore,
4	Staff believes the property values used to create the revised schedules are correct.

- 6 11. Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- A. Yes. However, I reserve the right to submit supplemental testimony as described herein, as new information subsequently becomes available or in response to positions taken by other parties.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Testimony of S. Nicole Crocker submitted on behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, was served via electronic mail, upon the parties listed below, this 20th day of March, 2013.

<u>/s/Thomas G. Lindgren</u>

Thomas G. Lindgren Assistant Attorney General

Parties of Record:

M. Howard Petricoff Vorys Sater Seymour & Pease LLP 52 E. Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008

Deb J. Bingham Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 W. Broad Street, 18th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

Cathryn N. Loucas The Ohio Environmental Council 1207 Grandview Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43212

Douglas E. Hart 441 Vine Street, Suite 4192 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 Andrew J Sonderman Kegler Brown Hill & Ritter 65 East State Street Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215

Patti Mallamee Office of the Consumers' Counsel 10 W. Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215

Lisa A DeMarcus-Eyckmans Duke Energy Ohio 139 E. Fourth Street, 1212 Main Cincinnati, Ohio 45201

Todd M. Williams Williams Allwein & moser, LLC Two Maritime Plaza, 3rd Floor Toledo, Ohio 43604 Colleen L. Mooney OPAE 231 West Lima Street Findlay, Ohio 45840

Teresa Orahood Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291

Elizabeth Watts Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. 155 East Broad Street, Suite 2100 Columbus, Ohio 43215

Amy Spiller Duke Energy Ohio 139 E. Fourth Street 1303-Main, P.O. Box 961 Cincinnati, Ohio 45201-0960

Michael L. Kurtz Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 E. Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Carys Cochern Duke Energy 155 East Broad Street, 21st Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

Ohio Manufacturers' Association 33 N. High Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 Jennifer L. Lause Direct Energy 21 E. State Street, 19th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

Gina L. Brigner Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 W. Broad Street, 18th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

Kimberly W. Bojko Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP 280 North High Street, Suite 1300 Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dianne Kuhnell Duke Energy Business Services 139 E. Fourth Street EA025 P.O. Box 960 Cincinnati, Ohio 45201

Larry Sauer Terry Etter Ohio C onsumers' Counsel 10 W. Broad Street, 18th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

Thomas O'Brien Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291

Andrew J. Sonderman Kegler Brown Hill & Ritter 65 East State Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215

SCHEDULE C-3.8 REVISED

Property Tax Expense Calculation DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. Case No. 12-1682-EL-AIR

,	\Box	
	Personal	
,	Property	
	Tax	
,	(a)	

2 Real Estate Tax (b)

4 Less: Test Year Expense (c)

67,646,159

628,941

68,275,100

66,593,800

1,681,300

(a) (b)

Staff's Schedule WPC-3.8a Staff's Schedule C-3.8b

<u>o</u> Applicant's Schedule C-2.1

CROCKER REVISED WORKPAPER SCHEDULE WPC-3.8a

(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	3		
(7) Personal Property Tax (4) x (5)	Tax Rate Per \$1000 (h)	Total	Materials and Supplies	Common	General	Distribution		
4) × (5)		\$ <u>2,109,946,811</u> \$ <u>1,047,460,447</u>	77,684,616	47,176,826 (j)	110,744,243	\$ 1,874,341,126 \$	(a)	Original Cost Personal Property 12/31/2011
		1,047,460,447	75,451,392	33,662,815 (k)	58,119,968	880,226,272	(b)	True Value
		↔	24.00%	24.00%	24.00%	85.00% \$	(c)	Assessment Rate
		788,328,533	18,108,334	8,079,075	13,948,792	748,192,331	(d)	Taxable Value
		€	23.31%	17.13%	12.60%	39.92% \$	(e)	Tax Value to Book Value Ratio
		\$ <u>2,117,193,435</u> \$ <u>118,900,325</u> \$ <u>1,998,293,110</u>	46,947,409	106,419,826	85,791,990	39.92% \$ 1,878,034,210 \$	Э	Total Plant Allocated to Distribution
		118,900,325 \$		47,483,099	23,878,491	47,538,735	(g)	Real Plant
		1,998,293,110	46,947,409	58,936,727	61,913,499	1,830,495,475	(h)	Adjusted Plant Allocated to Distribution
\$ 67,646,159	89.058 (I)	759,574,197	10,943,441	10,095,861	7,801,101	730,733,794	(1)	Distribution Taxable Value

Ohio Dept of Taxation 2012 Annual Report for Electric Company, amended 8/23/12 (OCC POD-16-048) and for Common Company (OCC INT-16-182 Attch b)
Updated Supplemental (C) (10) 2012 Valuation Notice (DR 25) and Ohio Dept of Taxation 2012 Annual Report amended 8/23/12 (OCC POD-16-048)
Updated Supplemental (C) (10) 2012 Valuation Notice (DR 25)
Column (b) x Column (c)
Column (d) + Column (a)

Staff's Schedule B-2 and Applicant B-5.1 Staff's Schedule B-2.1

Column (f) - Column (g) Column (e) x Column (h)

Allocation 83.50% for Electric, derived Company SFR; (\$171,390,676 subtract Real Property \$114,891,483) ODT Plant balance as of 12/31/11; (\$40,314,748 x 83.50%)

Schedule WPC-3.8c

Page 1 of 2 CROCKER WORKPAPER SCHEDULE WPC-3.8b

		1	Distribution	T	General	1	Common	ı	Total
(1)	Land & Land Rights (a)	€	13,109,977	\$	949,213	↔	2,121,647		
(2)	Rights of Way (a)		26,110,943		0		37,969		
(3)	Structures and Improvements (a)	1	8,317,815	1	24,933,367	1	124,713,921		
<u>4</u>	Total (1) + (2) + (3)		47,538,735		25,882,580		126,873,537		
(5)	Allocation to Electric Percentage (a)	ı	100.00%	ī	100.00%	ī	83.50%		
6)	Plant Allocated to Electric (4) \times (5)		47,538,735		25,882,580		105,939,403		
(7)	Allocation to Distribution Percentage (b)	ī	100.00%	1	92.26%	1	44.82%		
(8)	Plant Allocated to Distribution (6) \times (7)		47,538,735		23,878,492		47,483,100		
(9)	Assessment Value Percentage (c)	1	5.243%	ı	12.126%	ı	12.126%		
(10)	Assessed Value (8) x (9)		2,492,313		2,895,601		5,757,991		
(11)	Tax Rate Per \$1,000 (d)	1	56.428	I	56.428	ï	56.428		
(12)	Real Property Taxes (10) x (11)	\$	140,636	↔	163,393	↔	324,912	↔	628,941

- Staff's Schedule B-2.1 Staff's Schedule B-7
- Staff's WPC-3.8b page 2
- @ @ @ @ Staff Data Request 25 x \$1000

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. ELECTRIC

Page 2 of 2 CROCKER WORKPAPER SCHEDULE WPC-3.8b

CASE NO. 12-1682-EL-AIR
CALCULATION OF REAL PROPERTY TAXES BASED ON PLANT AT MARCH 31, 2012, Staff Adjusted

Taxable Property Assessed Value (1) Real Property (a)	Distribution	Common & General 18,523,800	Total 21,016,093
(1) Real Property (a)	2,492,293	18,523,800	21,016,093
(2) Gross Plant in Service (b)	47,538,735	152,756,117	200,294,852
(3) Estimated Valuation Percent: (1) / (2)	5.243%	12.126%	

⁽a) Derived from DR-25-001 Attachment (a)(b) Derived from Staff B-2.1

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/20/2013 1:11:03 PM

in

Case No(s). 12-1682-EL-AIR, 12-1683-EL-ATA, 12-1684-EL-AAM

Summary: Testimony electronically filed by Mrs. Tonnetta Y Scott on behalf of PUCO