## BEFORE

## THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for an Increase in Electric Distribution Rates.	)	Case No. 12-1682-EL-AIR
In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for Tariff Approval.	) )	Case No. 12-1683-EL-ATA
In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for Approval to Change Accounting Methods.	)	Case No. 12-1684-EL-AAM

Pre-filed Testimony of David M. Lipthratt Accounting and Electricity Division Utilities Department

Staff Exhibit \_\_\_

March 20, 2013

- 1 1. Q. Please state your name and business address.
- A. My name is David M. Lipthratt. My address is 180 East Broad Street,
- 3 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793.

4

- 5 2. Q. By whom are you employed?
- A. I am employed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO).

7

- 8 3. Q. What is your current position with the PUCO and what are your duties?
- 9 A. I am an Administrator in the Accounting and Electricity Division within
- the Utilities Department. My duties include organizing and directing cost
- 11 recovery proceedings, alternative regulation or other Commission
- investigations or audits of utility companies' compliance with minimum
- service standards, codes of conduct, and accounting procedures and
- 14 practices.

15

- 4. Q. Would you briefly state your educational background?
- 17 A. I earned a Bachelor of Arts Degree that included a Major in Political
- Science and a Minor in History from the University of Georgia in 2003. In
- 19 2006 I earned a Masters in Public Administration Degree with a focus on
- 20 public budgeting and finance and policy analysis from the University of
- Georgia. In addition, I earned a post-baccalaureate Certificate of
- Accounting Concentration at Columbus State Community College in 2009.

I am a Certified Public Accountant (Ohio License # CPA.48876). I have also attended various seminars and rate-case training programs sponsored by this Commission, professional trade organizations, and the utility industry community.

- 6 5. Q. Please outline your work experience.
  - A. After earning my Master's Degree from the University of Georgia, I joined the Ohio Office of Budget and Management where I served from June of 2006 to June of 2008 as a Budget/Management Analyst 2 assigned to various health and human services related agencies.

In June of 2008, I accepted a position with the Ohio Department of Commerce where I served as Fiscal Officer 2 until July 2011. During my tenure at the Department of Commerce, I served as the financial officer for the Division of State Fire Marshal where I was responsible for accounting and budgetary functions, financial reporting, financial systems and records ensuring compliance with applicable laws, policies and regulations.

In July 2011, I accepted my current position as a Public Utilities Administrator 1 with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO" or the "Commission").

1 6. Q. Have you previously provided testimony before the PU	ĺ	6.	Q.	Have you	previously	provided	testimony	before	the PU	CO
--	---	----	----	----------	------------	----------	-----------	--------	--------	----

A. Yes. I have provided testimony in Case No. 12-426-EL-SSO.

3

2

- 4 7. Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
- A. The purpose of my testimony is to address issues relating to the Facilities

  Relocation Mass Transportation Rider ("Rider FRT").

7

15

16

17

18

19

- 8 8. Q. Please describe your understanding of the Rider FRT as proposed by
   9 Duke Energy Ohio ("DEO" or "Company").
- A. My understanding of the Company's proposal is that as part of this proceeding, the Company is requesting a new tariff for relocating its facilities, Rider FRT, which focuses on recovery of the costs of relocations due to mass transportation projects initiated by governmental subdivisions.

The Company proposes the design of Rider FRT as such "to give the governmental subdivision the option of paying the Company directly for the cost of relocation or, alternatively, to charge only those customers residing within its governmental boundaries for the cost of the project."<sup>1</sup> Furthermore, "the charge under either option would be sufficient to pay

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Direct testimony of William don Wathen Jr. at 12, lines 3-5.

1			for the cost of relocating the facilities, plus a carrying charge at the
2			weighted-average cost of capital established in these proceedings.'2
3			
4	9.	Q.	Does Staff support the establishment of Rider FRT?
5		A.	No. The Staff does not support the Company's proposal to create Rider
6			FRT. It is Staff's position that Rider FRT, as designed, is not well defined
7			and too open-ended.
8			
9	10.	Q.	Are there other reasons the Staff does not support the proposal to create
10			Rider FRT?
11		A.	Yes. Staff does not support Rider FRT for a number of reasons.
12			First, public mass transportation includes various transport services
13			available to the general public including vanpools, buses, trolleybuses,
14			trains and trams, rapid transit, ferries, and their variations. Staff believes
15			that the Company's proposal fails to identify what type of public mass
16			transportation project would be eligible under Rider FRT.
17			Secondly, the Company's proposal does not distinguish between projects
18			that should be funded solely by the governmental subdivision and
19			projects funded solely by the utility in accordance with home rule charter

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *Id.* at lines 12-14.

Thirdly, the Company's proposal does not address the fact that many transportation projects provide various economic, social, and environmental benefits that are realized directly and indirectly. Additionally many mass transportation projects are built in phases and eventually over time connect one geographic area or city to another city or cities. It is unclear if the design of Rider FRT would ensure that the appropriate customers are being charged for the project in accordance with the principles of cost causation and recovery.

Additionally, the Company's proposal to have two options for funding mass transportation projects presents confusion. It is not clear as to what point in time, in conjunction with the governmental subdivision's planning and construction stages, the utility will seek Commission approval to utilize the tariff. Additionally, it is not clear how potential cost overruns would be reviewed and/or approved by the Commission.

Finally, it is not clear if granting mass transportation projects to be funded through the option 2 of Company's proposal, or in other words, through a charge on customer's bills, would result in unintended liability and/or legal issues. For instance, under the Company's proposal it is unclear who would bear the assessment of future remediation liability.

1 11. Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

5

A. Yes. However, I reserve the right to submit supplemental testimony as described herein, as new information subsequently becomes available or in response to positions taken by other parties.

## PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Testimony of David M. Lipthratt, submitted on behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, was served via electronic mail, upon the parties listed below, this 20<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2013.

/s/Thomas G. Lindgren

**Thomas G. Lindgren** Assistant Attorney General

## **Parties of Record:**

M. Howard Petricoff Vorys Sater Seymour & Pease LLP 52 E. Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008

Deb J. Bingham Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 W. Broad Street, 18<sup>th</sup> Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

Cathryn N. Loucas The Ohio Environmental Council 1207 Grandview Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43212

Douglas E. Hart 441 Vine Street, Suite 4192 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 Andrew J Sonderman Kegler Brown Hill & Ritter 65 East State Street Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215

Patti Mallamee Office of the Consumers' Counsel 10 W. Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215

Lisa A DeMarcus-Eyckmans Duke Energy Ohio 139 E. Fourth Street, 1212 Main Cincinnati, Ohio 45201

Todd M. Williams Williams Allwein & moser, LLC Two Maritime Plaza, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Toledo, Ohio 43604 Colleen L. Mooney OPAE 231 West Lima Street Findlay, Ohio 45840

Teresa Orahood Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291

Elizabeth Watts
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.
155 East Broad Street, Suite 2100
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Amy Spiller
Duke Energy Ohio
139 E. Fourth Street
1303-Main, P.O. Box 961
Cincinnati, Ohio 45201-0960

Michael L. Kurtz Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 E. Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Carys Cochern Duke Energy 155 East Broad Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

Ohio Manufacturers' Association 33 N. High Street Columbus, Ohio 43215

Jennifer L. Lause Direct Energy 21 E. State Street, 19<sup>th</sup> Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

Gina L. Brigner Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 W. Broad Street, 18<sup>th</sup> Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

Kimberly W. Bojko Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP 280 North High Street, Suite 1300 Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dianne Kuhnell Duke Energy Business Services 139 E. Fourth Street EA025 P.O. Box 960 Cincinnati, Ohio 45201

Larry Sauer Terry Etter Ohio C onsumers' Counsel 10 W. Broad Street, 18<sup>th</sup> Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

Thomas O'Brien Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291

Andrew J. Sonderman Kegler Brown Hill & Ritter 65 East State Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215 This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

3/20/2013 12:17:34 PM

in

Case No(s). 12-1682-EL-AIR, 12-1683-EL-ATA, 12-1684-EL-AAM

Summary: Testimony electronically filed by Mrs. Tonnetta Y Scott on behalf of PUCO