### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In Re:

Brian Kaltreider : Case No. 12-1163-TR-CVF

:

- - -

### PROCEEDINGS

Before Sarah Parrot, Attorney Examiner, held at the Offices of the Publics Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Hearing Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, commencing on Tuesday, March 5th, 2013, at 11:33 a.m.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4620
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

\_ \_ \_

		2
1	APPEARANCES	
2		
3	JOHN H. JONES, ESQUIRE	
4	OHIO ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE PUBLIC UTILITIES SECTION	
5	180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 (614) 728-5172	
6		
7	On behalf of the Staff of the Ohio Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.	
8	MITCHEL E. LUXENBURG, ESQUIRE	
9	LUXENBURG & LEVIN, LLC	
10	23875 Commerce Park, Suite 105 Beachwood, Ohio 44122	
11	(888) 493-0770	
12	On behalf of the Respondent, Brian Kaltreider.	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

			3
1	INDEX TO EXAMINATION		
2			
3	STATE'S WITNESSES	PAGE	
4			
5	SERGEANT TIMOTHY J. TIMBERLAKE		
6	Direct Examination by Mr. Jones	13	
7	Cross-Examination by Mr. Luxenburg	20	
8			
9	SERGEANT ALAN OGDEN		
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Jones	31	
11	Cross-Examination by Mr. Luxenburg	60	
12	Redirect Examination by Mr. Jones	94	
13	Recross-Examination by Mr. Luxenburg	99	
14			
15	RESPONDENT'S WITNESSES	PAGE	
16			
17	BRIAN KALTREIDER		
18	Direct Examination by Mr. Luxenburg	112	
19	Cross-Examination by Mr. Jones	140	
20	Redirect Examination by Mr. Luxenburg	171	
21	Recross-Examination by Mr. Jones	173	
22			
23			
24			
25			

			4
1	INDEX TO EXHIBITS		
2			
3	STAFF'S EXHIBITS	PAGE	
4	1 - Drive Vehicle Examination Report	36	
5	2A - Photograph of Front of Truck	14	
6	2B - Photograph of Side of Truck	14	
7	2C - Photograph of Side of Truck	14	
8	2D - Photograph of Cargo Inside Truck	14	
9	2E - Photograph of Cargo Inside Truck	14	
10	2F - Photograph of Cargo Inside Truck	14	
11	2G - Photograph of Cargo Inside Truck	14	
12	2H - Photograph of Cargo Inside Truck	14	
13	2I - Photograph of Cargo Inside Truck	14	
14	2J - Photograph of Rear License Plate	14	
15	2K - Photograph of Outside of Truck	14	
16	2L - Photograph of Outside of Truck	14	
17	2M - Photograph of Outside of Truck	14	
18	3 - Forfeiture Assessment	6	
19	4 - Forfeiture Assessment	6	
20	5 - Civil Forfeiture Violations Charge	7	
21	6 - Notice of Apparent Violation	8	
22	7 - Notice of Preliminary Determination	8	
23	8 - Copy of Certified Mail Receipt	110	
24			
25			

1 | - - -

2.0

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio is called for hearing at this time and place.

Case No. 12-1163-TR-CVF being in the matter of Brian Kaltreider, Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture.

My name is Sarah Parrot. I'm the
Attorney Examiner assigned by the Commission to
hear the case this morning.

And let's get started with appearances from the parties, and we'll start with the staff.

MR. JONES: Yes. Good morning, Your
Honor. On behalf of the Staff of the Public
Utilities Commission of Ohio, Ohio Attorney
General Mike DeWine, Assistant Attorney General
John Jones, 180 East Broad Street, Columbus,
Ohio.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: And on behalf of the Respondent?

MR. LUXENBURG: Your Honor, Mitch Luxenburg on behalf of Brian Kaltreider.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: All right.

25 Are there any preliminary matters that we need to

raise at this point before we get started with our first witness?

2.1

MR. JONES: Your Honor, just as a preliminary matter, the parties have agreed to stipulate to evidence to the forfeiture in the case.

And if you'd like, I could cover those exhibits now or I could wait till later at the time of the evidence.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Whatever your preference is, Mr. Jones.

MR. JONES: Well, I guess I could just do it now and get it out of the way.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Okay. That's fine.

MR. JONES: Your Honor, I have a packet up there on the bench. Staff Exhibits in that packet, the Staff Exhibits 3 and 4, you'll notice, are forfeiture assessment sheets that were done by staff.

You're probably asking, "Why are there two of them?"

Well, the one that was originally done was Staff Exhibit 3. That calculation using the civil forfeiture violations charge, which is

Staff Exhibit 5, that was used for this calculation, but, unfortunately, they made a mistake in using the numbers off this chart and improperly inserted the wrong number here for Staff Exhibit 3 for the no shipping papers and also for the placards violation.

2.0

2.1

They have them as a forfeiture assessment amount of \$900 for each one of those violations. The correct assessment for both of those violations, as reflected in Staff Exhibit 4, is in the amount of \$660 for each one of those violations.

And that's the difference between these two staff exhibits and these calculations that were done by staff.

The calculation that was done for the uniform permit, it was properly done and it's reflected in both exhibits, Staff Exhibit 3 and Staff Exhibit 4.

Staff Exhibit 5 is the chart that the staff uses to assess the nature and gravity of the points that are incorporated for staff Exhibits 3 and 4.

And Staff Exhibits 5 -- or excuse me -- 6 and 7 are the notices that went out to

Mr. Kaltreider, the Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture on August 24th, 2011, again, reflecting the violations being noted here by the staff of driver failing to retain previous seven-days log. There was no forfeiture assessed for that violation.

2.1

No shipping papers, there a \$900 violation was assessed by staff; and the same for the placards violation, \$900.

And then the failure to file uniform HazMat application, \$500 fine, forfeiture, there that was assessed.

These were amounts that were taken in from Staff Exhibit 3 at the time using that for the basis for sending out these notices as to the amounts that staff was indicating were the calculations for those violations.

And, again, that was reflected subsequently on March 19th, 2012 in staff Exhibit 7, which was the Notice of Preliminary Determination that was sent to Mr. Kaltreider's attorney, Mitchell Luxenburg, on March 19th, 2012.

Again, those same amounts that were reflected in Staff Exhibit 6 are repeated in

Staff Exhibit 7.

2.1

But for purposes of clarifying the record, again, these amounts that were reflected in Staff Exhibit 6 and 7 should be consistent with Staff Exhibit 4.

Staff Exhibit 4 is the controlling document out of all these exhibits I just covered with you as to the proper calculation that staff would recommend to the Commission, if they were to find violations in this case.

 $\label{eq:attorney} \mbox{ ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Thank you,} \\ \mbox{Mr. Jones.}$ 

Mr. Luxenburg, just to be sure I understand your position with respect to the staff's calculation of the forfeiture and the amounts that were derived as reflected on Staff Exhibit 4, it's my understanding, then, as Mr. Jones has represented, that you have no objection to how the forfeiture amounts were calculated on that exhibit, and that should the Commission find that either one or any, perhaps all, of these violations were properly assessed by the staff in this case, those would be the amounts, the ones reflected on Staff Exhibit 4.

MR. LUXENBURG: Correct, Your Honor.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Very good.

MR. LUXENBURG: There's one other preliminary matter.

2.0

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Okay. Very good.

MR. LUXENBURG: This would be a continuing objection to whether or not the hearing today is appropriate and whether or not the Commission has authority to move forward.

Specifically, Rule 4901:2-7-07 of the
Ohio Administrative Code requires that the
Commission serve by certified mail a Notice of
Intent to Assess Forfeiture to Respondent within
90 days after the discovery of a violation but no
more than one year following the violation.

That Notice of Intent was never served by certified mail upon my client. In fact, that Notice of Intent was never served, period.

It was brought to the Commission's attention in the first conference call. To this date, I do not believe the Commission will be able to prove that they served my client, which would be a precursor to being able to proceed on this.

Unless The Court is inclined to dismiss

```
it now, we will have that as a continuing
1
2
     objection, unless The Court has some proof -- the
3
     Commission has proof that it did, in fact, serve
4
     by certified mail.
5
               Absent that evidence, I would request
     that the case be dismissed.
6
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: So you're
7
     moving for a dismissal?
8
9
               MR. LUXENBURG: Correct.
10
              ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Do you have a
11
     response, Mr. Jones?
12
               MR. JONES: Your Honor, this is the
13
     first time I've heard that argument.
14
               I would, at some point, ask for a little
15
     recess to go look to see how this was sent. I
16
     haven't checked to see what the process that was
17
     used by the staff for service, and I'd like to
18
     have that opportunity to at least have a recess
```

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Okay. Very good. We'll take this up at a later point.

Are there any other preliminary matters?

MR. LUXENBURG: That would be it, Your

Honor.

to check that.

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: All right.

```
Staff, you may call your first witness.
```

2.1

MR. LUXENBURG: Briefly, Your Honor, on that issue, you will request that and make a ruling on that specific motion later?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: The Bench is not authorized to grant a dismissal of a case.

That's an issue that has to be taken to the Commission.

MR. LUXENBURG: Okay.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: So I'm not authorized to issue such a ruling today anyway.

We will take it under advisement. I will give staff the opportunity to check and see whether they have the notice in the records and we'll deal with that issue so the Commission can have a full understanding of the issue and whether or not, from staff's understanding, that the notice was circulated as it's required to be under the rules.

We'll take up that aspect of it after we get through our witnesses.

MR. LUXENBURG: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

24 ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: All right.

25 Mr. Jones?

13 1 MR. JONES: Yes. Staff calls Sergeant 2 Timberlake to the stand. 3 (Thereupon Sergeant Timothy J. 4 Timberlake was sworn by Attorney Examiner Parrot.) 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION 6 7 BY MR. JONES: Q. Could you please state your name for the 8 9 record, please? 10 A. It's Timothy J. Timberlake. Q. And where are you employed? 11 12 Α. I'm a sergeant with the Ohio State 13 Highway Patrol assigned to the Warren Criminal Patrol Team. 14 15 Q. And how long have you been so 16 employed? 17 A. I've been with the Highway Patrol for 22 18 years. 19 Q. And what are your duties and 2.0 responsibilities? 2.1 To enforce all traffic laws and criminal 22 laws within the State of Ohio, investigate crashes outside of municipalities. 23 24 Q. Did you have an occasion to make a 25 traffic stop on May 17th, 2011 involving a driver

by the name of Stephen Fox?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. And what was that occasion?
- A. I observed Mr. Fox traveling eastbound on Interstate 80. When I observed the U-Haul vehicle that he was driving, he failed to signal a lane change when he was changing lanes and he also failed to drive within the marked lanes while he was traveling.
- Q. Okay. And approximately what time of day was this?
  - A. Around five in the morning.

MR. JONES: Your Honor, can I approach the witness?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: You may.

Q. Sergeant Timberlake, I gave you a packet of exhibits there. I just want to draw your attention to the pictures that are in the packet beginning at Staff Exhibit 2A, 2B on through 2M.

Is that the vehicle that -- does that appear to be the vehicle that you stopped on that date and time for the improper lane change?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And what did you do to identify the occupants of the vehicle?

- A. When I approached the vehicle, I asked the occupants in the vehicle for -- I asked the driver for the driver's license and the rental agreement for the vehicle. He produced a driver's license from New Mexico that showed him to be Stephen Fox.
- Q. Okay. And who else was in the vehicle?

2.0

2.1

Jones?

- A. There was a female subject in the vehicle also that Mr. Fox had stated was his babysitter and that she was just along with him for the ride.
- Q. Okay. And what else did you learn, then, from your stop?

What other information did you learn about the cargo and so forth?

A. Mr. Fox told me that he -
MR. LUXENBURG: Objection, hearsay.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Response, Mr.

MR. JONES: This is from his investigation, Your Honor, at the scene as to the information he obtained from doing a stop of the improper lane change of the driver, Mr. Fox.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: The objection

is overruled.

2.1

A. Mr. Fox had told me that he was hauling fireworks from a show in Indiana, I believe, to Pennsylvania.

He had told me that the fireworks were not his, that they belonged to someone else that he had met at the show and that he was --

MR. LUXENBURG: Objection, hearsay.

This is all statements of a third party being offered for the truth of the matter asserted.

MR. JONES: Your Honor, I would say that this is an exception to the Hearsay Rule as to 801(d)(2)(D) where, you know, this is an admission by a party opponent.

Under D it says, "A statement by the party's agent or servant concerning a matter within the scope of the agency or employment, made during the existence of the relationship."

That fits this scenario where Mr. Fox was paid by Mr. Kaltreider to haul this load of fireworks. All his expenses were paid. He was paid on top of the expenses.

MR. LUXENBURG: Objection. He's testifying now, Your Honor.

MR. JONES: I'm just saying the basis

for why this is an agency relationship.

2.0

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Thank you, Mr. Jones.

The objection is overruled. Please answer the question.

A. He basically told me that he met this gentleman at the fireworks show in Indiana and was hauling the fireworks to Pennsylvania for him.

He initially told me that the person he was hauling them for had rented the truck, was paying the fuel, was paying the meals and everything for him to get there, but he told me that he was doing it as a favor to the person that he had just met two days before.

He had told me that there was approximately \$7,000 worth of fireworks on the vehicle, and that's when I contacted Sergeant Ogden, the Commercial Vehicle Unit, because there was no placards or anything on the vehicle, no shipping papers with the vehicle.

Q. Okay. So based on your training and experience, you had gathered information to make you of the opinion that you needed to call another officer who was in the area of doing the

inspections of the -- that would be enforcement of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations, issues?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

25

Based on what I had, I believed that he needed to have placards and logbooks and shipping paper for the vehicle.

I contacted Sergeant Ogden because that's the area he deals with and would be more apt to know exactly what he needed.

Q. Okay. Let me back up for a second. I want to cover this.

First of all, what was -- what's your area of jurisdiction?

- A. At that time I was assigned to the Canfield Patrol Post which covered Mahoning County.
- Q. Okay. And what equipment were you issued for that -- for your duties?
- A. My uniform, my patrol car, the equipment in my patrol car, the computer, all the shotguns and everything that goes along with it.
  - Q. So you had a marked cruiser?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. You had the uniform as you have in court

here today?

2.0

2.1

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Have you went through any training?
- A. Yes. I attended the Ohio State Highway Patrol Training Academy here in Columbus for six months.

After that training, I went through 90 days of riding along with another officer, field training.

- Q. Okay. And so the information -- what information, then, did you rely on, then, for thinking that you had to call Sergeant Ogden or call another officer to do the inspection?
- A. I'm not -- I'm not -- I can't do motor vehicle inspections. I'm not certified to do the inspections.

Just based on my training and everything that had been presented to me, I believed that the vehicle needed — that he was in violation because he didn't have the placards or the shipping papers or logbooks.

I was unsure, so that's the reason I called Sergeant Ogden.

Q. Okay. And how long was it, then,

approximately afterwards that he then arrived?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

- A. I would say less than a half hour after I called him.
- Q. Okay, okay. And what did you do after he arrived?
- A. We escorted the vehicle off the roadway to the next exit at the truck stop, we were near an exit, to get off the road for officer safety.

 $\label{eq:At that truck stop I issued Mr. Fox a} % \begin{center} \begin{center} At that truck stop I issued Mr. Fox a written warning for the violation. \end{center}$ 

Sergeant Ogden completed the inspection on the vehicle.

MR. JONES: Your Honor, that's all the questions I have of this witness.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Thank you.

Mr. Luxenburg?

# CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MR. LUXENBURG:

Q. Sergeant Timberlake, my name is Mitch Luxenburg. We met previously. I represent Mr. Kaltreider. I have just a few quick questions for you.

You said that based upon your training you believed that it needed placards and papers.

Then later on you said you're not trained and you

weren't sure and that's why you called your colleague.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

I'm not -- I'm not certified to do the Α. inspections and it's not something I deal with.

I was given basic information on what is needed in a commercial vehicle, and that's the reason I conferred with Sergeant Ogden, because that's his area of expertise. That's not my area of expertise.

- Q. So would it be fair to say you're not qualified to make a determination on whether or not placards and permits were necessary?
  - Α. (No answer given.)
- Q. You said you're not trained, so, therefore, you're not qualified?
- No. I have training in -- I have basic training in what is needed. I don't have -- I'm not certified to do an inspection.

Based on my experience and my training, I know that a truck driver needs to have a logbook if he's traveling over a certain amount of miles; that he needs to have shipping papers for his load; if it's a hazardous material load, then he needs to have a placard on the vehicle. I do know that from my training and experience.

- Q. You said a truck driver, correct?
- 2 A. Right.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. Is Mr. Fox a truck driver?
- A. At this instance, yes, he was.
- Q. What do you mean "at this instance"?
- A. Because this vehicle he was being —
  this vehicle was in commerce. He's hauling
  hazardous material in the vehicle. He was
  required to have the proper documentation and the
  proper license to haul the hazardous material.
- Q. Does he have a commercial driver's license?
- A. He has a Class C with hazardous material specifications, endorsements, on his driver's license.
- Q. So Mr. Fox has the necessary endorsements to haul this type of material, correct?
- A. On his license, yes.
- Q. Okay. If just a regular person with a personal driver's license was driving this truck, you would still call them a truck driver even though they didn't have the CDL?
- A. With 2,000 pounds of explosives on the vehicle, yes, sir, I would.

- Q. Is that the determination when you become a truck driver, how much is in the back of the truck?
  - A. (No answer given.)
- Q. I mean, the distinction between a truck driver and not, is it not having a commercial driver's license not necessarily what you're taking?
  - A. (No answer given.)
- Q. If I were to go out and rent a U-Haul that's empty, I wouldn't be a truck driver?
- A. No, sir, if you're hauling your personal items in it, correct.
- Q. If I'm hauling my personal items, I'm not a truck driver?
  - A. Right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

2.1

22

- Q. If I put 2,000 pounds of furniture in the back, am I a truck driver?
- A. If you're being paid to haul that load, yes, you are.
  - Q. That's not what I asked. If I'm not being paid to haul it, if I put 2,000 pounds of furniture in my truck, am I now a truck driver?
- A. I guess it would depend on who the furniture belonged to and, like I said, if you

were being paid to haul it or not.

Q. Right.

2.0

2.1

- A. Just driving the truck in itself in a U-Haul truck this size, no.
- Q. Okay. So to clarify, earlier you said because he was hauling stuff and had 2,000 pounds of explosives...

Your opinion that he's a truck driver is because he has a CDL with a HazMat permit that he can haul it and that he had fireworks on the back of his truck, that's what qualified him as a. --

- A. I don't believe he had a permit to haul it.
- Q. I'm sorry. But he has an endorsement on his license that would allow him to haul hazardous material, does he not?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. So he has a HazMat endorsement on his license, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. So if I personally go and I buy 2,000 pounds of fireworks and I haul it in a U-Haul, my own personal U-Haul, not my own personal but I rent a U-Haul, I put fireworks in the back and I drive it...

- A. You drive it or you pay someone else?
- Q. I drive it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Am I a truck driver?

Am I required to pull these permits and get these placards?

- A. I don't know. That's when I would call Sergeant Ogden and ask him because I'm unsure.
- Q. So I need to know, you're either qualified to answer these questions or you're not.

MR. JONES: Your Honor, I'm going to have to object. I mean, the extent of his testimony is what it is as to why the reason he made the stop and the reason why he called Sergeant Ogden.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: I'm not sure that was a question that you just objected to,  ${\sf Mr.\ Jones.}$ 

I guess, if you could pose a question, then we'll go from there, I think.

### BY MR. LUXENBURG:

Q. Well, I'm asking specific questions about what qualifies it as needing a placard or not.

You're saying you have the training but

not that far of training, so you're answering some and you're not answering other questions regarding what are the requirements for somebody to have a placard and a HazMat permit. You either have the qualifications and training to answer that or you don't.

A. No, I don't.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

MR. JONES: I'm going to have to object. This is asked and answered several times.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: And I still didn't hear a question in there.

Can we put a question to the witness?

BY MR. LUXENBURG:

- Q. Do you have the qualifications to state to a reasonable degree of certainty in the police enforcement field as to whether the -- the criteria of when a HazMat placard or permit is required?
  - A. No, I don't.
  - Q. Was Mr. Kaltreider with the vehicle?
  - A. No, he was not with the vehicle.
    - Q. Did you ever speak to Mr. Kaltreider?
- A. I did not, no.
- Q. Never called him on the phone the next day?

- A. I did not, no.
- Q. So the extent of your involvement was you witnessed some sort of traffic infraction, you pulled over Mr. Fox for that?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Asked him what was on the truck?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

2.1

- Q. He makes some statements to you about who the owner of it was?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And in terms of compensation, you're saying Mr. Fox told you that he was being -- that the gas was being paid for and his meals?
  - A. That's what he told me, yes.
- Q. It wasn't just that the fuel was being paid for?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Or just the truck?
- 19 A. No.
  - Q. And so, in your opinion, the distinction between a truck driver and not a truck driver is whether or not they're compensated?
- A. No. I -- that's why I would call

  Sergeant Ogden and say, "This is what I have. I

  have 2,000 pounds of explosives on a vehicle and

the guy's telling me this."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

That's when Sergeant Ogden made a decision to come out and go further with the stuff.

- Q. When you say "explosives"?
- A. Fireworks.
- Q. Fireworks. There's various degrees of explosives, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. These were just fireworks that you could buy at any store on the roadside?
  - A. As far as I know, yes.
- Q. In fact, you don't know what was in the truck, do you?
  - A. I know it had fireworks in it.
  - Q. You know it had fireworks, but you don't know what types, kinds, what type of permit, if any, you needed for those?
  - A. Right, no.
    - Q. That's correct?
    - A. Yes, that's correct.
  - Q. Once you got up to the next rest stop and you parked the truck and Sergeant Ogden took over, did you stay with him while he did the inspection or you left?

- A. I think I was there for a short period of time. I don't remember exactly how long I stayed and when I left.
  - Q. You did not stay for the entire time?
  - A. I don't believe so, no.
- Q. So you don't know what happened to the truck?

At that moment you didn't know what had happened to the truck, correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

2.0

2.1

- Q. Other than what you know about

  Mr. Kaltreider that you learned from Mr. Fox, do

  you know anything else about Mr. Kaltreider?
  - A. No, sir.
    - Q. What he does for a living?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- Q. What type of driver's license he has?
- 18 A. No, sir.
  - Q. Did you write up any report as a result of that incident?
  - A. The only thing I did was issue Mr. Fox a written warning for a violation.
- Q. And does that look like a regular ticket but it just says "warning" or is it something different?

- A. It's a smaller, yellow piece of paper.
- Q. Did you have any contact with Mr. Fox at all after that evening?
  - A. After?
- Q. After you departed the scene, have you had any more contact with Mr. Fox?
  - A. No.
- Q. And have never had any contact with Mr. Kaltreider?
- A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. And so worst case scenario, the compensation was gas for the truck, which wouldn't really be compensation, it would just be covering the expense, correct?
  - A. That's what he told me, yes.
- Q. Right. So worst case scenario, he's telling you he's paying for the gas, the truck, and bought him some meals?
  - A. That's what he told me, yes.
- Q. In your professional opinion, you believe that that's a person who has been hired to transport, that's a hired truck driver?
- A. At that time I wasn't sure that Mr. Fox was being honest with me the way he was speaking with me.

```
Q. But assuming, since you've represented
1
2
     what the facts are, that those are the facts, do
3
     you believe that he's a hired truck driver?
          A. I believe he's being compensated if he's
4
5
     being fed.
          Q. So the compensation of food you think is
6
     enough to classify him as a truck driver?
7
               That I don't know. That's where I would
8
     defer to the Commercial Unit.
9
10
               MR. LUXENBURG: Thank you, Sergeant
     Timberlake.
11
12
              ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Any redirect,
13
     Mr. Jones?
14
              MR. JONES: No.
15
              ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Thank you
     very much. You're excused.
16
17
               You may call your next witness.
18
               MR. JONES: It would be Sergeant Ogden.
19
               (Thereupon Sergeant Alan Ogden was sworn
20
     by Attorney Examiner Parrot.)
2.1
                         DIRECT EXAMINATION
22
          BY MR. JONES:
23
          Q. Would you please state your name for the
24
     record, please?
25
          A. Alan Ogden.
```

Q. And where are you employed?

2.1

- A. I work out at the Warren District Headquarters Commercial Enforcement Office.
- Q. And what are your job duties and responsibilities?
- A. I'm a commercial enforcement supervisor for the Warren District.
- Q. And how long have you been employed there?
- A. At Warren, I've been up there for 13 years. I've been with patrol for 20.
  - Q. And what training certifications do you have?
  - A. For the mixup part of it, the commercial part?
    - Q. For the commercial part.
  - A. We attend a two-week school dealing with the vehicle and the driver; a week of general HazMat; a week of cargo tank, bulk other; and then we have refreshers throughout.

Yearly we meet yearly for updates and then we have our monthly roll-call meetings at our facility.

Q. So your job duties and responsibilities include enforcement of the Federal Motor Carrier

Safety Regulations and also the HazMat regulations?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. And you have had review of those guidelines, those regulations, as it concerns your job for enforcing those provisions?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. Okay. And what is the scope of your jurisdiction?
  - A. As far as?
    - Q. What's the scope of your jurisdiction?
- A. As far as my job, the whole State of Ohio?
- Q. Yes. Your post and your area of supervision.
- A. We have -- right now we currently have 10 counties, so that's where I work out of. The Warren District encompasses 10 counties.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. And where the stop occurred is one of those counties. Mahoning County is one of those counties.
- Q. Okay. All right. And what equipment is issued to you to perform your duties?
  - A. I'm a regular trooper first as far as

the clothing, the uniform, the gun, the car.

In addition to that, we're issued equipment for the commercial part of it; i.e. creepers, wheels, shocks, computers, printers, bolt seals, wire seals. The list just goes on and on.

- Q. Okay. And then you are here in a uniform today. This is the uniform you wear on a regular basis for your job?
- A. One of two. We have a black TD uniform when we're doing actual inspections.

Yes, this is what I wear mainly.

- Q. And you have a marked cruiser?
- A. That is correct, yes.
- Q. What's issued with your cruiser, any equipment?
  - A. Everything that I just listed above.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. Everything is packed in my Chevy
- 20 Tahoe.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. So it's quite full.
- Q. And when you do an inspection, do you generate any documentation?
- 25 A. Yes, I do.

Q. And would you describe that?

2.1

- A. It's in the form of a report that's given to the driver and one that is uploaded to saver which then is retrieved and pulled down as far as any fines that would be assessed by the Public Utilities Commission.
- Q. All right. So kind of give us a general description of a typical inspection and what information you would collect and what you would do with that information.
- A. The information I would collect would be much like what Sergeant Timberlake was describing; your driver's license, registration.

I'll go one step further and look for their medical card, shipping papers if they have any, registration for the truck, logbooks.

Depending on which kind of inspection, if I'm doing a Level 1, 2, or 3, regardless, I'm going to get all that information as far as the truck's concerned, the driver's concerned, the vehicle's concerned, and then conduct the inspection.

Like I said, if it's a 1, 2, or 3, I'm going to do a walk-around.

If it's a 1, those are generally done --

I usually only do those at the scale facilities, which you then measure the brakes, check the load and the whole thing.

2.1

For this particular incident, it was a full inspection basically because it does not have -- it has brakes, but the brakes that were on it were hydraulic.

We did check everything out once we got to the Petro, so that's why we did a Level 1 at the Petro Station.

Q. Okay. I have before you a packet there of exhibits, Staff Exhibits. I'm going to start there with Staff Exhibit 1.

Would you please first identify that document for the record, please?

- A. This is a copy of the report that was generated on the 17th of May, 2011.
- Q. Okay. And so on May 17th, 2011, did you have occasion to be called to an area where there was a stop of a U-Haul vehicle and the call was made by Sergeant Timberlake?
- A. That's correct. I was working a six A shift. I was en route to the office, and the office is about 45 minutes, when I heard Sergeant Timberlake request a Commercial Enforcement Unit.

I was already en route that way and I just contacted him over the radio and advised him I would be en route to his location. I drove immediately to where he was at.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And once you arrived, what did you do?
- A. I began just inquiring of what was going on and the situation and who and what he had.

  Basically I started my inspection.
- Q. Okay. And walk us -- why don't you walk us through your inspection as to what you did?
- A. Part of what we're doing here is to make sure we establish that, first of all, I have jurisdiction to even inspect the truck.

Again, much like Tim was describing, there was a female who -- when she was in the passenger's side, she was the babysitter. The driver was -- Mr. Fox, he was the driver. At which time I began inquiring to the fact -- the ownership.

I know that Tim has testified to as far as what he was able to obtain, but my part is to make sure that, first of all, I have jurisdiction to inspect this truck.

At which time I began speaking to

Mr. Fox about the load, where it originated from and where it was going to, as that's required on our reports. That's part of the documentation for the report.

2.1

At which time he advised me that he was at a show in Bowling Green, Indiana at the Waynes World, and he was en route to Orangeville, Pennsylvania with some fireworks.

I began to question him about the ownership of the fireworks. I asked him if he owns the fireworks, at which time he stated he did not own the fireworks, that the fireworks were owned by a Brian Kaltreider and he was transporting them for him as a favor.

I asked him how does he know

Mr. Kaltreider? Does he work for Kaltreider? Is

he part of -- an employee?

At which point he stated, no, he was not an employee. He had just met him that previous weekend. The stop was on a Tuesday. He had just met him prior to, just a few days prior to.

I asked him if he -- what's his responsibility as far as payment for the U-Haul or gas? What was his responsibility for transporting this load?

He advised me that Mr. Kaltreider had paid for the U-Haul, the gasoline, and that he gave Stephen -- or Brian gave Stephen \$200 in cash for which he was transporting the load.

2.1

He did state to me that he has -- that Mr. Fox, he occasionally does transport fireworks as part of his business. However, that particular load on this particular day was not, which could be a reason why he has a Class C with hazardous materials on it.

The fireworks we're going to -- and this is something that was continually stressed to me, was that it was going to a church. It was going to Pennsylvania for a fireworks show at a church, which the church was nonprofit.

He kept stressing the fact that the church was a nonprofit organization, the church is a nonprofit organization. Mr. Kaltreider was going to put on a show for this church which was nonprofit. He kept going over it as if it really had any bearings on the whole situation.

At which time I began inspecting the truck. You know, from what he was telling me, from what I could see in front of me, I believed completely that I had jurisdiction to inspect

this truck and I rightfully did so.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Did you learn the value of the cargo?
- A. \$7,000 for the fireworks. \$7,000 for the fireworks.
- Q. And so you also learned that this was an interstate transport?
- A. Correct. It originated in Bowling

  Green, Indiana and was going to end up in

  Orangeville, PA. That's on my inspection report

  as well.
- Q. Okay. So you gathered all this information from your inspection. You conducted, from looking at Staff Exhibit 1, a full inspection; is that correct?
  - A. That is correct, uh-huh.
- Q. And can you describe what a full inspection is?
- A. Part of this was conducted on the roadside, but part of it is done in the safe haven of the truck stop, the Petro truck stop.
- One of the things we stress to our guys continually is we do not under no circumstances want them doing a Level 1 Inspection along the roadside.
- A Level 1 inspection, you're checking

the brakes, you're checking brake lines, you're checking hoses, you're checking underneath of the truck, which requires the truck to be chalked and then we would use a creeper to go underneath it. That's why part of it was done on the roadside and part of it was done at the Petro Station.

Load securement is verified to make sure that the load was properly secured, and to check for HazMat, the presence of HazMat.

Q. Okay, okay.

2.1

So just to look at the information in the report that you generated -- well, when did you generate this report?

- A. That day.
- Q. That day?
- A. I began at, according to this, 5:34 and finished at 7:32.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. So at 7:32 the report was printed out. A copy was given to Stephen Fox, the driver of the truck.
  - Q. Okay. All right.
- A. And I usually -- what I do at the end of my day, prior to securing it at the end of the day, I upload all the reports that were generated

that day. I upload them at the end of the shift.

- Q. What do you mean you upload them?
- A. Upload them to the computer, upload them to the server.
  - Q. To the commission?
- A. That is correct, or the safer [phonetical], right.
  - Q. Right, okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

And there's a report number here on the first page at the top. What -- where's that come from?

- A. That's the next sequential number for that particular computer that we're using.
  - O. So whenever --
  - A. It's automatically generated.
- Q. Okay. So then you have a block of information then that talks about the driver information and also then to the left you have other information. Where did you get the information to the left of the driver information?
  - A. Which page are you on?
  - Q. I'm on Page 1.
    - A. Okay.

- Q. And there's another person identified and then an address left of the driver information, top of the report.
- Oh. Who the shipper was, that's correct.
  - Q. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- A. Right.
- Q. So where -- where did you get this information?
- A. Stephen Fox was on the phone and gave me 10 the phone, which at that time I spoke to 11 12 Mr. Kaltreider on the phone to get that 13 information.
- 14 Q. Okay. So you did speak with
- Mr. Kaltreider? 15
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. That --
- 18 Α. I didn't call him. Stephen had called him.
- 20 Mr. Fox? Q.
- 2.1 That's correct, the driver. Α.
- 22 Okay. And he verified the load? Q.
- 23 He gave me the address and we discussed Α. 24 what the load was.
- 25 Q. Okay, okay.

And so you took down the information he gave you as to his address and his telephone and so forth?

- A. Correct, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. All right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

24

25

And then the next block there is, I guess, where the inspection occurred.

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And then you got the other -- the other information there is the origin destination; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. And the cargo?
  - A. What he was hauling.
  - Q. You have down as HazMat, right?
- A. That's correct.
  - Q. And the vehicle identification, you marked all that down as to identifying the vehicle itself; is that correct?
    - A. Yes. This is verified --

MR. LUXENBURG: We'll stipulate to the report. I don't know if that's what you're doing. I have no problem with the report.

I don't mean to cut you off if you want to go somewhere with it.

We'll stipulate to the report, and any further jurisdictional questions are not being raised. Whether or not he had the authority to make the stop and do the inspection is not an issue.

MR. JONES: Okay. So the violations and everything that's noted there?

MR. LUXENBURG: The report can speak for itself. We're not challenging the authenticity of the report or what it says.

MR. JONES: Okay.

BY MR. JONES:

2.1

- Q. I just want to point out, though, from the inspection notes, the information that you recorded that for inspection notes, would you repeat that information for the record?
  - A. Down at the bottom here?
  - Q. Yeah.
- A. It says, "Inspection notes." I put on here that Waynes World Fireworks, that was just for my -- where it was coming from.

And then also that Brian Kaltreider paid for U-Haul rental, fuel, and gave the driver \$200 cash.

I also put down here the truck gross

vehicle weight rating that's taken from the side of the truck, and also that the truck was weighed by the portable scale team and at that time weighed 16,000 pounds at the stop.

Q. Okay.

2.0

2.1

- A. And that weight was done also at Petro's truck stop.
- Q. Okay. So then that would make the weight of the cargo itself approximately 2,000 pounds?
- A. Approximately 2,000 pounds, that's correct.
- Q. All right. And what's the -- what's the -- is there any significance as to the gross vehicle weight of the vehicle with its cargo going interstate for purposes of --
- A. It determines -- under the definition of what a commercial vehicle is, it does, yes, it does.
  - Q. Okay. Can you elaborate on that?
- A. For interstate commerce, any vehicle over 10,001 pounds and intrastate is 26,001 pounds as far as our jurisdiction and what we can inspect for.

Now, granted, also there may be a

difference here as far as the hazardous material. You know, if you have 1,000 pounds of a certain product, or depending on the tables that's in our Hazardous Material Regulations book, if they're placarded, too.

I mean, you could have -- essentially some of these vehicles that are transporting hazardous material are not necessarily 10,000 pounds, but they have 1,000 pounds or 2,000 pounds of, say, oxygen cylinders, at which time we're going to be able to inspect those as well.

- Q. Okay. Well, I just want to also have you look at the second page of the report, of the examination report.
  - A. Uh-huh.

2.1

- Q. And there's a box of information provided there near the top that provides hazardous class. Do you see that information provided in the box?
  - A. 1.4. I believe it's 1.4G to be exact.
  - Q. Where'd you get that from?
- A. The material that was loaded in the truck here, if you'd refer to the photos.
  - Q. Okay, yeah, let's go to the photos.

If you would, could you -- you took 1 2 photos --3

- That's correct, yes. Α.
- Ο. -- of the vehicle and the cargo?
- Α. Uh-huh, right.
- And if we start with Staff Exhibit 2A, 0. please, what's that depict?
- 2A, that's the front of the truck. And Α. then just basically I take photos of the sides of the truck and then the rear of the truck.
  - Okay. So 2B is the side of the truck? 0.
- 12 Α. Right.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

- 13 Q. And 2C is?
- 14 Just another picture of the side of the 15 truck.
  - Ο. The side?
- 17 Uh-huh. Α.
  - And I guess staff Exhibit 2D? Q.
- 19 This is the beginning of the pictures of 20 the load themselves. These boxes titled "Big
- 2.1 Bombs" are the ones that were labeled 1.4G.
- 22 These are little -- almost like a cube with 23 multiple tubes within them which would you light.
- 24 We've all seen them probably. They just 25 shoot up in the air. That's basically what the

big bombs were.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. And then --
- A. They were -- they're like -- they're wrapped. To tell you how much is in there, I'm not really sure as far as number of actual exploding devices, but they were wrapped.
  - Q. They were wrapped?
- A. Uh-huh. Almost like a tissue paper type material.
- Q. Okay. And Staff Exhibit 2E is a little bit closer up of those boxes and the markings?
  - A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. Okay. And I see that there's an orange triangle or diamond on the box where it says

  1.4G. What's that symbol supposed to indicate?
- A. That's the -- that's the label that denotes what class it is. It's a 1.4G.

If you look down below, it also has the Unit Number 0336, which goes to the number that's on top in the orange label.

- Q. Okay. Staff Exhibit 2F?
- A. Again, just another picture of the box.
- 24 Q. 2G?
- 25 A. Same.

This is just to show -- 2H would be just to show you how full this load really was, this trailer or box trailer or truck was.

- Q. Okay. 2I?
- A. Same.
- Q. 2J?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

A. The rear with the picture of the license plate. That's one of the things that we want to take a picture of, would be the license plate of the vehicle.

Again, 2K would be the right side.

L is the same.

M is the same. Again, just a picture of the four sides.

- Q. Okay. So these pictures were taken by a camera that was issued to you --
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. -- to perform your duties?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. Are these pictures that start at staff Exhibit 2A and go all the way through to staff Exhibit 2M, are these accurate representations of what you saw when you conducted the inspection?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. And you had these photographs developed

by your post?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

A. Actually, I don't -- I didn't have them developed.

I just -- again, we upload those to our photograph -- our lab in Columbus.

- O. Oh.
- A. Then at the request they could be, but they're stored.
  - Q. They're digital?
  - A. They're all digital, yes, sir.
  - Q. I see.
- A. Correct.
  - Q. I see. Now, if you would -- there's a HazMat Regulations book up there. I'd like to have you refer to the code section that has fireworks as a hazardous material.
  - A. I don't have my glasses on, so bear with me.

Okay, page 121.

The question is what again?

Q. Yeah. Where do you find in the HazMat Regulations that the fireworks 1.4G that you had for cargo in this case would be a classification under the HazMat Regulations?

MR. LUXENBURG: Objection as to the

foundation.

2.1

He didn't state that he referred to this book when he determined the violations, et cetera. I don't know that there's been any foundation laid for referring to this text.

He either knows the information or he doesn't. He didn't say he referred to it. He didn't say it supplemented him in any way, shape, or form.

Q. Well, you had marked that this -- the cargo was what type of cargo?

MR. LUXENBURG: There's an objection on the table. I don't know if he wants to respond to it.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Are you trying to ask some foundational questions, Mr. Jones?

MR. JONES: Well, I'm asking a question that already -- I think the foundation has been laid, Your Honor, in the sense that he's already testified, you know, from the markings of the box, knowing what the contents of the boxes are, being fireworks, that his report indicates that this is a hazardous material cargo.

Now I'm just asking him to tie it to

what regulation does this fit for purposes of identifying that provision.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Your objection's overruled.

2.0

2.1

Please answer the question, please.

A. For the sake of the inspection, we operate on two different rule books. One would be the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations, which deals with logbooks, the general truck and so forth. Then we work out of the HazMat, Hazardous Material, rule book.

For the sake of the hazardous material we're dealing with here, we deferred to the Hazardous Material rule book.

Under that we look for the table of the hazardous materials and then we'll look the material up, go work through the table itself, refer to the charts.

As far as when -- this -- some of the stuff that we do as far as when we'll refer to the book as far as when they need placarded, which amount, Table 1 or Table 2 depending, and then determine the requirements of the placarding, what placard is needed.

This is what we -- we work out of this

book --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

Q. Okay.

A. -- for the HazMat.

This is what we work out of for the non HazMat.

- Q. Okay. And did you identify that provision, then, for the fireworks?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And I don't think you said anything.

10 What was the section?

- A. This table here, the 172, deals with the actual table itself, so we would look under the table at 172.101 and begin to work across looking for the material, the UN number, the packing group, the label codes, special provisions and so forth.
  - Q. Okay.

MR. LUXENBURG: Are you moving to admit that as an exhibit, because we have not been provided a copy?

MR. JONES: That's the Hazardous

Material Regulations itself. It's a published recognized regulatory rule book.

MR. LUXENBURG: Do you have copies for

25 me?

55 1 ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: It's not 2 necessary to move to admit it. 3 MR. JONES: You wouldn't admit the rule 4 book in a proceeding. BY MR. JONES: 5 Q. And also, if you would, for the placard 6 7 violation piece, where would you find that as well there in the HazMat Regulations? 8 9 A. We're looking at 172.504. That's where 10 you'll find the Tables 1 or 2. And what table is it under? 11 0. 12 Α. It's a Table 2. 13 Q. Okay. And what's it say there? 14 Placards required for 1,000 or more, 15 1,001 or more, for that particular material, 16 1.4. 17 So you have 1.4, which is the class, 18 right? 19 That's correct, yes. 20 And the amount of the weight here was Q. 2.1 over 1,000 pounds? 22 A. That's correct, yes. And so because this load met that 23 Ο. 24 description, that's why the placard was required 25 for this load; is that correct?

- A. That is correct, yes.
- Q. Now, do the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations provide any exceptions for occasional transportation of personal property by individuals?
  - A. Exemptions?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Exceptions. I'm sorry.
- A. Yes. If you're transporting personal items such as household goods, if I'm moving my family, I'm not going to be subject to the rules under this section or these rule books.
- Q. And can you find that in the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations, that provision that lays out what the exceptions are?
  - A. The exact section, I can't --
- Q. If I were to refer you to 390.3(f), do you find that they have exceptions there to regulations?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And what exceptions are provided for occasional transportation of personal property?
- A. School buses as defined in 390.5;

  federal transportation by the Federal Government,

  a state, any political subdivision of a state,

federal -- what else do you want? I mean, which ones do you want?

2.1

- Q. The one that talks about the occasional transportation of personal property.
- A. By an individual not for compensation or -- not for compensation nor in the furtherance of a commercial enterprise.
  - Q. Commercial enterprise, okay.
- So why doesn't that exception apply in this case?
- A. Because the fact is, No. 1, he doesn't own the materials. The materials do not belong to him.
- He's being compensated. That's from his own mouth, he's being compensated. He was given \$200 in cash by the owner of the fireworks to haul the fireworks. He's being compensated.
- So if you read that right there, he's -- he is being compensated.
- Q. Okay. And how about the fact that he's going to a church, is that a commercial enterprise?
- A. Right. He's being -- I believe he's being paid by the church to display the fireworks.

But regardless of what he does with the fireworks, the fact is there's \$7,000 worth of fireworks being driven across the state in a vehicle by an individual who's being compensated to haul them.

2.1

What he does with them is -- he could be taking them back to his house or he could be taking them to his business that Mr. Kaltreider owns, a fireworks business, for all I know. I don't -- I mean, he could be doing that. I don't know.

For this particular move, he told me he was taking it to a church for a fireworks display.

With all that put together, yes, you're right.

MR. JONES: Can I have a second, Your Honor?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Uh-huh.

(Short discussion off the record.)

BY MR. JONES:

Q. Sergeant Ogden, the Staff Exhibit 1, this is a copy of the report that you would have issued that day?

A. That is correct. Because it has "Staff

Exhibit 1" at the bottom, mine would not have had that on that. This is a copy.

- Q. There's no signatures on that one?
- A. That is correct, yes.

2.1

- Q. The one that you would have filled out that day, would you have gotten signatures?
- A. Yes, I did. Mine would be under my name. Stephen would have signed under his name, that's correct.
- Q. And so that would have then been issued -- a copy of this report would have been issued to Mr. Fox at the scene?
- A. It would have been given to him, yes, that's correct.
- Q. And you would keep all these records and these are records that you have to keep in the ordinary course of business to keep for your records for your duties?
- A. As far as reports, we don't make copies of reports. They're stored on the computers themselves.
  - Q. You keep them electronically?
- A. That's correct. They're kept electronically, that's correct.
  - Q. So they're business records you keep in

60 your business? 1 2 Α. That is correct. 3 Okay. And you also keep an electronic Q. 4 file of the pictures as well? A. That's stored in Columbus. I don't have 5 access to those. 6 7 Q. Okay. Once they're uploaded, they're stored in 8 9 Columbus and only certain people have access to retrieve those. 10 11 Q. Okay. 12 MR. JONES: That's all the questions, I 13 have, Your Honor. ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: 14 15 Mr. Luxenburg? 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. LUXENBURG: 18 Q. Sergeant Ogden, you have never met 19 Mr. Kaltreider before today, correct? 20 Α. That is correct. 2.1 He was not at the scene? Q. 22 No, he was not. Α. Q. He was not a driver? 23

No, he was not.

Q. Not a passenger?

Α.

24

- A. No.
- Q. Wasn't in the vehicle?
- A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. Your only dealings were with Mr. Fox, correct?
- A. Yes, that's correct, and the female that was in the car.
  - Q. Did you speak to the female at all?
  - A. We spoke to her and also her parents.
  - Q. And her parents?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. Did you find Mr. Fox to be truthful?
- A. There's still some things to this day, I
  mean, if you want my opinion, I'm still not
  comfortable with, but it is what it is.
  - Do I think he was 100 percent truthful with me, no.
  - Q. What parts do you think he wasn't truthful about?
  - A. How it is that you just meet somebody and you give them \$7,000 to haul fireworks you've never met.
- If he's never -- if Brian wasn't with

  him, they never met, why would you just do that?
  - I don't understand why you would give

somebody \$7,000 worth of fireworks to transport across, you know, the country.

- Q. But that doesn't factor into whether or not there was a violation here, correct?
  - A. No, it doesn't.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. So there's things that you thought smelled a little bit sketchy maybe about Mr. Fox, but it didn't affect your decision on whether or not a violation occurred?
  - A. That's correct.
  - O. Correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And you had referenced earlier if you're hauling your own personal stuff, occasionally you would not need any special permits or anything?
- A. Right. I mean, if I'm hauling my own stuff, it's my stuff.
- Q. Let's define "stuff," though. If you're hauling --
  - A. It could be anything.
- Q. If you're hauling your own fireworks, this quantity of fireworks, you need a permit or you don't?
- A. It's my stuff and I'm taking it home,
  why would you -- see, that's another thing; why

would I need \$7,000 worth of fireworks for 4th of July?

2.1

- Q. But that doesn't -- but that doesn't matter to whether or not there was a violation, does it?
  - A. What, the fireworks or if it's my stuff?
- Q. If it's -- the distinction is whether or not it's your stuff. But if it's \$7,000 or \$4,000, it doesn't matter if it's your own stuff, correct?
- A. It does matter if it's your own stuff or not.
- Q. Okay. This is -- I'm sorry. Let's go this route.

If I go to Phantom Fireworks, which I saw many on the way down, as well as many of your colleagues on the way down, be careful driving back, I stop off at Phantom Fireworks and I buy 2,000 pounds worth of fireworks off the shop, not fancy explosives, just bottle rockets, aerial displays, whatever, and buy 2,000 pounds and I spend \$7,000, and I put it in the back of my Suburban, do I need a special permit for that?

- A. A special permit, no.
- Q. Do I need a HazMat permit? Do I need to

placard my vehicle or anything?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

25

A. See, that's the thing. With the amount of weight that you have there, you should.

As far as it -- what's the purpose? Are you in commerce or not in commerce?

- Q. It's my own personal fireworks and I'm going to have one heck of a 4th of July party.
  - A. But that's not the case here.
- Q. I'm asking you, if those were the facts, it's personal fireworks...
- A. If it was -- if it was personal, I probably would not have done the inspection, if that was the case.

If it was his stuff, if it was his stuff, he was hauling it, he's on his way, I would have let him go.

- Q. Okay. Now, it's not personal stuff, hauling for somebody else, it's less than 1,000 pounds.
  - A. Right.
- Q. Do I need a HazMat?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. So in this particular case --
- A. Not -- it depends on what you have.
  - Q. In this particular case, the relevant

- facts were, for you, the class of fireworks, it
  was 1.4 and above?
  - A. Right.
  - Q. And it was more than 1,000 pounds?
- 5 A. Right.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

2.1

- Q. Those are the relevant facts why you say in this particular case you need the HazMat placard --
  - A. Right.
  - Q. -- and --
    - A. Endorsement.
- 12 Q. -- endorsement?
  13 These different papers, correct?
- 14 A. Right.
  - Q. In this particular case, Mr. Fox has a Class C, a CDL, a commercial driver's license, correct?
- A. With a HazMat endorsement.
- Q. And he has the endorsement to carry this amount of product, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And you believe that Mr. Fox was paid to transport these fireworks?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. These are the relevant facts to your

determination on whether or not there's a violation?

A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

- O. Correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Nothing else really matters. It's the amount, weight?
- A. He could have been hauling Little Tikes toys in the back of that truck. It's -- the fact is that he's hauling -- it's a -- he's in commerce. These Little Tikes, he's going to make money with these Little Tikes.

They're going to the church. The church has bought these Little Tikes from

- 15 Mr. Kaltreider. He's still in commerce.
- 16 Q. Okay. So --
  - A. He's still subject and he's being compensated, so it doesn't matter really even if he's empty.
  - Q. I understand the rules apply, but in this particular case, the HazMat placard and permit are necessary --
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. -- because it's not Little Tikes, it's fireworks?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Right. So if I have 2,000 pounds of Little Tikes that cost me \$7,000, I don't need a HazMat application for that?
  - A. No, you do not.
- Q. So, again, the relevant facts here are we have a commercial driver that's being paid?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Hauling a certain type of fireworks in a certain amount?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. If there were less than 1,000 pounds, would be need the HazMat?
- A. The -- no, he would not need the placard.
  - Q. He wouldn't need any of this?
- 17 A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

18

19

20

- Q. We wouldn't be here today if it was less than 1,000 pounds?
  - A. Right.
- Q. Did you have to call any of your supervisors for assistance on this?
- A. No. The only person I called was the portable scale team to come down.
  - Q. That was it?

- A. That's it.
- Q. You never emptied the truck?
- 3 A. No.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. In fact, you weren't allowed to empty the truck, correct?
  - A. What do you mean "allowed"?
- Q. Well, because of the hazardous material...
- A. We could have off-loaded it. We could have found a place to off-load it.
- Q. So if Mr. Kaltreider had gone to Indiana with the U-Haul and bought 2,000 pounds of fireworks and spent \$7,000, and he personally drove that U-Haul from Indiana to Pennsylvania, he would not have needed a permit?
- A. But I believe that he also sells fireworks.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. So if he's doing that, yes, he still needs the --
  - Q. But you don't know whether or not he sells fireworks.
- A. Well, I mean, I'm just saying that's the discussion we had that day was that --
  - Q. You suspect that he sells fireworks, but

nobody told you that?

2.1

- A. Nobody told us directly. I mean, I didn't talk to him directly about whether or not he --
  - Q. But you actually had him on the phone -COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry.

MR. JONES: Objection, Your Honor. He's not giving the witness an opportunity to answer.

A. -- about whether or not he does or does not have a business.

That night on the phone it was 5:00 in the morning. We just basically discussed, told him, what was going on, what we had, what he needed to get legal, his information, and that was pretty much the gist of what we talked about. That's the conversation we had.

- Q. Right. So you never asked him, "What do you plan to do with these fireworks"?
  - A. No.
- Q. But if he's not in the business of selling fireworks, and he rents a U-Haul and drives from Indiana to Pennsylvania, and they're his fireworks, then he would not be required -- we wouldn't be here today, correct?
  - A. I mean, we could go on and on about

what-ifs. The facts were he did.

2.1

- Q. If these were the facts --
- A. But they're not the facts.

MR. LUXENBURG: I'm going to have to object, Your Honor, to the relevance of this.

He keeps on making up new facts, but that's not the facts we're dealing with in this proceeding.

MR. LUXENBURG: He is an expert on the law. You have asked that he testify to such.

So I am allowed to ask an expert witness on whether or not under certain situations things would be required.

- A. I believe we've already answered this.

  I mean, you've asked this question before and

  I've already answered that question.
- Q. Let's do it one more time just to make sure.

MR. JONES: I object. This is asked and answered. We've been around the block ten times here. He's badgering the witness.

 $\label{eq:attorney} \mbox{ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: I'd like to} \\ \mbox{hear the question.}$ 

Q. If Mr. Kaltreider went to Indiana and he purchased this amount of fireworks and

transported it himself to his home in Pennsylvania, we wouldn't be here today if it were him, correct?

2.0

2.1

- A. I would still ask him what he's doing with these fireworks.
- Q. Okay. And you had that opportunity on the telephone, correct?
  - A. But I got the information I needed.

It's just like if I stop a guy and he's hauling for USX or whatever, it could be any company, Company Joe out there, my questioning about where he's going, coming to, and what he's hauling is coming from the driver.

I'm not -- I don't have the opportunity, nor will I take the time, to call every owner of every company of every driver that I stop in a year's time. There's no way to do that.

They know where they're coming from.

They know what they're being used for. Most drivers out there knows what's in the back of the truck. 99.9 percent of the time they know what's in the truck. They know what they're hauling.

I go off of what the driver's say on a daily basis without calling the company owners, without even company supervisors.

These drivers need to know what's in the back of those trucks, okay? They need to know if they're hauling HazMat or not. They need to know that. They must know that stuff.

If I stop a driver and he says, "I don't know what's in the back," and it's loaded with HazMat, he needs to know there's HazMat in there.

I'm going off the word of drivers every day, drivers alone every day.

- Q. Now, if he's the driver and he's being compensated to transport something, isn't he the carrier?
  - A. Not -- no.
  - Q. He's a carrier for hire?
- A. No.

2.1

How is he -- how is he the carrier?

It's just like me working for -- if I

work for you and your company and it's Big E

Trucking, and I'm working for you, I'm the driver and you're the carrier.

- Q. And the definition of a "carrier," though, is somebody who provides --
- A. Who's operating under that authority.

  Who's he operating under? Who's he hauling it for?

- Q. And it would have to be a transportation company.
  - A. Not necessarily.
- Q. Wouldn't Mr. Fox not be a private carrier?

He was not working for his company. He was being compensated to transport stuff for somebody else.

A. He --

2.1

- Q. He is a private for-hire carrier.
- A. He did not pay for the truck. That was paid for by Mr. Kaltreider. He did not pay for the gasoline. That was paid for by Mr. Kaltreider. He did not own the product that was in the truck. Mr. Kaltreider paid for that.

The only thing that he was given was \$200 cash to move the load.

Q. How do you figure Mr. Kaltreider, by the definition in the statutes that you used to cite me with, is a carrier?

What is the definition of a carrier?

- A. He owns everything. He's doing everything. The driver is under the authority of Mr. Kaltreider. He's telling him what to do.
  - Q. Show me the definition in the statutes

that state that that person exercising authority and control or any of those factors decide who is the carrier.

A. As far as the definition of what a carrier is?

2.1

- Q. Well, you have to be a carrier for any of these rules to apply, so please tell me which sections that you cited relate to Mr. Kaltreider being the carrier.
- A. I'm really -- I mean, seriously, I can hardly read this book without my glasses.
- Q. Would you like to borrow my reading glasses?

MR. JONES: I've got reading glasses here, too. Would that help?

A. Let me see if I can find it in here.

Under the definition of a commercial motor vehicle just talks about a vehicle that's being used to transport on a highway in interstate commerce to transport passenger property if the vehicle, one, is over 10,000 pounds, designed to carrie passengers.

Let me see.

Or designed or used in transportation of 15 passengers, including the driver.

As far as what the definition of a --

- Q. Sergeant, I'm not going to disagree with you.
- A. Just if you look under the, "Employer means any person engaged in a business affecting interstate commerce who owns or leases a commercial motor vehicle in connection with that business, or assigns employees to operate it, but such terms does not include the United States or any State or political subdivision."

Right there if you look at the definition of "employers," he's an employer.

Mr. Fox is the employee. Thus, the definition of carrier and driver.

Q. You've just -- you've just basically commingled five different statutes. You're talking about an employee.

In this particular case, he rented a U-Haul, he doesn't own or lease it, correct?

- A. Right, he leased it.
- Q. No, he rents -- he rented it.
- A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

25

Q. And what is the definition of a "carrier"?

Not the definition of an "employer," but

what is the definition of a "carrier"?

2.1

Because if he's not a carrier, there is no liability under any of the statutes you quoted.

So look at the statutes that you cited him for that you determined on the spot that he was responsible for and tell me how

Mr. Kaltreider is a carrier under any of the applicable sections, not the ones that are convenient but the applicable sections.

A. First of all, we're going to determine whether, in fact, this is a commercial vehicle, which we can determine that it is a commercial vehicle under these laws. I just read the definition. The fact is that its over 10,000 pounds or more, okay, so we can determine the fact is is this a commercial vehicle.

All right. If we're going to go on to look at what it is that they do, the difference an employee, an employer, what do they do? How does it affect the transportation of these goods? Is it a farmer?

We're going down through all these definitions. We can read all the definitions here that we have.

Q. Well, let's go with 4901:2-6-14(a) which states, "A carrier means any carrier subject to rules adopted under 4919.76 of the Revised Code, motor transportation company as defined in 4921.02 of the Revised Code, contract carrier by motor vehicle as defined in 4923.02, or private motor carrier under 4923.20 which transports the following through commerce."

2.1

If you look at each of those sections, 4919.76, relevant section 4921.02, 4923.02, 4923.20, not a single definition would apply to Mr. Kaltreider.

They are all motor transportation company, private motor carrier additional, transporting of not-for-hire carriers.

These would all apply to Mr. Fox.

Mr. Fox could have been cited for all of this,
but you cannot point to any definition of the
sections that you cited, not other sections that
may apply to other things, but no section here
would apply to a motor vehicle carrier under the
sections that you quoted, that you cited him for.

A. We can also look at the fact that you can rent anything. I could rent a commercial tractor trailer from Aims Leasing Logistics.

Q. But you don't like hypotheticals or your attorney doesn't.

2.0

2.1

A. I'm just saying -- we're talking about what -- where I'm going at is why I'm doing what I'm doing with him.

He can rent this truck and it could be part of his fleet for 30 days before he even has to mark that. This truck could have been leased from Aims Leasing and they're driving this truck because their truck broke down and they're just running it for one. As far as markings are concerned, he can lease that truck.

Did I look at the lease agreement on the time that he's leasing it?

I don't know how long he leased it for. He could have leased it for 29 days. He could have leased it for 25 days. I don't know.

- Q. But wouldn't you have to know that before you could find him in violation?
- A. Well, I mean, as far as if I'm going through -- yes, you can as far as looking at when he -- if he -- as far as markings.

This gentleman told me, with what I'm looking at, as far as coming to and from one place to another within just days, okay?

I'm talking more like the big trucks that you see that they've got garbage in there. You know they've been in there for more than two days. They've had it for a couple months. They've had it and they just never bothered to mark it.

2.1

He could have -- he could have -- Mr.

Kaltreider could have been leasing this thing on a long-term agreement. He could have been.

Q. But in order to get to the violations that you're getting to, not only can you not point to anything in the statute that says he's a carrier, you also have to jump through a lot of speculation and conjecture.

And I agree with you, things don't smell right.

MR. JONES: Objection, Your Honor, to the characterization.

Q. But you know as a police officer -MR. JONES: Objection.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Do you have a question pending there, Mr. Luxenburg?

Q. You have actually no definition that you can point to and no specific facts beyond speculation and conjecture that Mr. Kaltreider is

the carrier?

2.0

2.1

A. Again, I'm just going to go with the fact is I'm talking with the driver who tells me what he's doing out here on a daily basis. He's hauling material or goods for certain people.

That's who I work for.

We can sit here and testify to the fact that many of times our guys don't do that and we're violating wrong carriers because guys aren't asking the questions.

They're going off Aims Leasing. They're violating Aims Leasing for violations that have nothing to do with Aims Leasing.

Aims Leasing does not -- is not the carrier in this situation. It was Joe Blow who's renting or leasing this truck because his truck's down for two days.

That information comes from the driver himself and/or shipping papers and/or logbook.

In this case we had none of that. We had no shipping papers. We had no logbook to go from.

So based on everything that I've just told you, a driver can be driving a big truck in which he's not -- it's not their company truck.

It's another company.

2.1

But if our guys write down the DOT number off the side of that truck, we're violating Aims Leasing. Aims Leasing has nothing to do with it. Aims Leasing's just leasing the truck or renting the truck to Joe Blow who's transporting a load.

Now I have to find out based on everything I have -- the registration is going to come back Aims Leasing. Everything in that book that -- his -- everything in there's going to come back -- insurance is going to come back Aims Leasing, everything.

Nothing in that truck will show to me that that truck is owned by Joe Blow. It's Aims Leasing.

My responsibility now is to inquire of the driver, "Driver, who do you work for?"

And, "I work for Joe Blow. Here's the shipping paper that has Joe Blow's name at the top. Here's Joe Blow's stuff."

"What about, do you have the leasing agreement with you?"

"Well, no, I don't," or, "yes, I do."

Regardless, here's that. That's another

piece of information we can use. His logbook has on here.

2.1

Unfortunately, for this particular stop,
I had none of that to go on. No shipping papers,
there was no logbook.

The only thing I had to go on that day was what the driver told me of what he was doing and who he was working for and hauling for, period.

- Q. And to be fair to you, sometimes you're going to get it wrong because you don't have all the information available, correct?
  - A. I'm wrong every day.
  - Q. You do the best you can?
- A. I do the best with what we've been given.

- Q. And in order to draw the conclusions that you drew, particularly that he's a carrier, you did have to go beyond some of the evidence and speculate a little bit in order to find these violations?
- A. I think as far as we're going here, speculation, whatever you want to call it, I

knew -- I went with the fact is who he told me he
works for, who's paying him to haul this load,
who owns it, where it's going to. That's what I
went with.

2.1

We can -- we can -- as far as markings and stuff like that, that has no relevance here because of the time frame.

But, again, I'm going back to these other trucks. We have to ask questions.

- Q. I just want to talk about this particular one, though.
  - A. Right. We have to ask questions.

And when I'm with a driver on a small, straight truck for two hours, I think that we can all probably draw the conclusion there were many questions asked that night.

There was many questions about why was this 18-year-old girl with this guy.

Why was -- none of it made sense as to why you would let somebody give you \$7,000 worth of -- there were a lot of questions asked that night.

Do I remember every question and answer that was given that night over two years ago?

No, I don't. I can't recall every single

question that was asked.

2.1

The ones that I felt were pertinent were, No. 1, who do you work for? Where's the load going to? Where's the load coming from? Is he paying you? Are you receiving anything from him?

That's it. That's where we -- that's where we went from.

- Q. You did speak to Mr. Kaltreider that night. Do you remember speaking to him?
- A. Again, I remember speaking to him briefly. I remember us discussing the fact of what we had.

I can't recall if I even asked -- I think I'm maybe getting two phones -- because we called the mother of the kid -- whether or not he knew who was with him.

Then just getting the basic information, that was pretty much it, and what he needed to do to get legal.

- Q. If Mr. Kaltreider were a carrier, he would have also needed to have a HazMat placard and permit, correct?
- A. Why would he need a HazMat placard and permit?

Q. Well, if he --

2.0

2.1

A. He would need -- he would need the registration requiring -- I mean his -- which he didn't have the HazMat registration.

But as far as he, the owner, why would he need -- he's not operating the vehicle. He doesn't -- he's not required to have a HazMat endorsement on his driver's license.

- Q. No. Would he have needed shipping papers or a placard?
  - A. Because he's at home where he was at?
- Q. Well --
  - A. I'm not -- I'm not sure where you're going.
  - Q. But he was cited for needing these things. Now you're saying he didn't need them.
  - A. Under these laws, the inspection report, he's the carrier.
  - Q. Okay. So as the carrier, he needed these things?
  - A. Right. The truck driver needed to have this. There should have been training. There should have been other things that he needed as well. Whether or not he had that, I'm not sure as far as the training part of it.

O. It --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- A. As far as this part of it, he didn't have it.
- Q. It's the carrier's responsibility to get the placard and shipping paper or the driver?
- A. It's the carrier's responsibility to make sure it's there, to make sure everything's proper. They were under his control.
- Q. And the carrier's responsibility for placards --
- A. Training, that's what I'm talking about. There should have been training. I don't know if he received the training or not. I don't know.
  - Q. Who received the training?
- A. Mr. Fox. If he received the proper training, I don't know if he has or he has not. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. I want to go back to the specific violations that you cited him for.
  - A. Okay.
  - Q. 177.817A, right?
- A. Okay. He did not have shipping papers in the truck.
- Q. Who was required to have those, the carrier?

- A. He's responsible to have them in the truck.
- 3 Q. Who is?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

25

- A. The driver.
  - Q. The driver's responsible?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. So the driver not having those would be the driver's failure?
- A. But it's not a driver violation, though.

  It's a -- it's not a driver violation.
  - Q. Well, what is it?
- 12 A. It goes on the vehicle.

Again, we're going back to training here. It's like, "I know what I should do or don't do." I don't know if he received that training or not.

- Q. So it says, "A person may not accept a hazardous material for transportation --
  - A. Right.
- Q. -- or transport a hazardous material by highway unless that person has received the shipping papers prepared in accordance with Part 172 of this subchapter."
- 24 A. Right.
  - Q. So that would be the driver who has to

get that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- A. Well, that's something that's provided to the driver. He should have that.
  - Q. By whom?
- A. The -- whoever he's getting the material from, the shipper.
- Q. Well, actually, it says here the carrier, that the violation you charged him with is being the carrier.
- A. Right. Because it's not like it's Sunoco Oil or I'm being paid to transport a product from Sunoco Gasoline. It's not that.

He owns -- he -- it's his own stuff.

Do you see what I'm saying?

It's not -- it's the carrier's responsibility to make sure he has all that stuff in the truck with him.

- Q. So if Mr. Kaltreider were to go and pick this stuff up?
  - A. He would have the shipping papers.
  - O. He would need to have it?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Even though it's his product?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Because he's the carrier?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And 177.823A, "No placards and/or markings when required," you believe that

  Mr. Kaltreider is a carrier and, therefore, he would need to have that?
- A. The truck would need it. He would not need it himself, but the truck would need to be placard.
- Q. And if the truck didn't have it, it's the liability of the carrier?
  - A. Right, that's correct.
- Q. So again -- and then, "Failure to file Uniform HazMat application" also --
- A. Carrier.
  - Q. -- carrier responsibility?
- 16 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. So if Mr. Kaltreider was driving this vehicle, because you believe he's a carrier -- and you believe he's a carrier because you're not sure what he's doing with the product when he gets to Pennsylvania, you think he might be selling it, correct?
  - A. He needs -- that's correct.
- Q. You're speculating that he was selling these fireworks?

- A. Well, I'm not speculating. I'm going, again, off what I was told. He was taking these to a church to do a display for the church.
- Q. Okay. Do you think that's commerce, then?
  - A. Yes, absolutely.

2.1

- Q. Okay. So that makes him a carrier.

  And so what did you do with the truck once you parked it?
- A. We parked it at the Petro. He was put out of service for his logbook, placarding, and for the shipping papers.
  - Q. What is that supposed --
- A. He was put out of service for only those three things.
- Q. And you didn't tell Mr. Kaltreider he could come pick it up and take it because he owned it?
- A. I explained to him what he needed to do to get legal on the phone. I again told Mr. Fox what he needed to do to get legal in order to leave there.
- One, he's out of service for 10 hours for his logbook. Two, he'd have to pick up a shipping paper for what he had in the truck.

Three, you have to get the load properly placarded.

2.1

And that's one reason we took him to the Petro, because everything he needed to do was at that facility and that area.

- Q. And did you mark the vehicle and make sure he couldn't leave until he had a service decal?
- A. Yes. It was placed on the truck. An orange decal was placed on the truck, not on the driver but on the truck.
- Q. And how did that truck leave that facility?
  - A. How did he?

I left and went to the office.

- Q. So you're pre- --
- A. As I do every time I put somebody out of service.
- Q. And you're presuming, then, that they took care of the paperwork so that they could pick up the truck and go?
  - A. That's exactly right.

They're also explained if they leave without being legal after being placed out of service, which is a whole other problem for the

driver if he does that, so he was explained that.

2.1

I felt that everything that he was -- everything was explained to him clearly. He knew what he needed to do to get legal.

After I gave him the paperwork, I left.

I'm assuming he made it home.

- Q. You don't know whether or not they actually got legal before they left?
- A. As far as -- I didn't wait around, no, I did not. No, I did not wait around.
- Q. It's possible for them to hop in and take off?
- A. Oh, they do it they probably do it all the time. Not necessarily this driver. I'm just speaking the many of individuals I've placed out of service over the years, I'm sure that there's some that have done it because I know I've caught people doing it from other inspectors.
- Q. But, again, looking at the definition of "carrier" in the statutes, can you point to any specific section that he's been cited for that would qualify Mr. Kaltreider as a carrier?
- A. I'm just going to go, again, with what I just testified. The fact is that, you know, I'm

going off his word, as I do others, and I have done it in the past. I believe that he was the carrier.

Q. Taking --

2.1

- A. I believe Mr. Fox was the driver.
- Q. Taking Mr. Fox for his word, everything holding true for argument's sake, can you point to any section which would still make
  Mr. Kaltreider the carrier?
  - A. Not from where I'm at right now, no.
- Q. How were you able to do it that day when you cited him, then?
- A. Again, I'm going to go to the fact is -- I don't know what you want to tell me.

MR. JONES: I'm going to object, Your Honor. You know, I think we've been around the block 20 times here. He's just harassing the witness at this point.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: I think we've got the best answer that we're going to get on this one, so...

- Q. When you said there was \$7,000 worth of fireworks, did you see any receipt for that --
- A. No.
  - Q. -- or that was told to you by

```
94
     Mr. Fox?
1
2
          A. Yes.
3
          Q. Mr. Fox told you?
4
          A. Yes.
          Q. Did you issue any citation to Mr. Fox
5
     directly?
6
7
          A. Just this inspection report.
8
          Q. No monetary fine was assessed against
9
     him?
          A. We don't -- our reports are generated.
10
     Everything is forwarded down. The fines come
11
12
     from the Public Utilities Commission.
13
              MR. LUXENBURG: Okay. Nothing
     further.
14
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Any redirect?
15
16
              MR. JONES: Yes, Your Honor.
17
                      REDIRECT EXAMINATION
          BY MR. JONES:
18
          Q. Sergeant Ogden, would you refer to the
19
20
     Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations 390.5
2.1
     and find and read the definition for "Motor
22
     Carrier"?
          A. The commercial -- the definition of what
23
24
     again?
```

Q. "Motor Carrier" under 390.5.

MR. LUXENBURG: I would object just to relevance. That's not the applicable definition to the statutes which have been cited.

A. "Means a for-hire motor carrier or a private motor carrier."

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: The objection is overruled.

Please continue.

2.0

2.1

- A. "Means a for-hire motor carrier or a private motor carrier. The term includes a motor carrier's agents, officers and representatives as well as employees responsible for hiring, supervising, training, assigning, or dispatching of drivers and employees concerned with the installation, inspection, and maintenance of motor vehicle equipment and/or accessories. For purposes of Subchapter B, the definition includes the terms employer and exempt motor carrier."
- Q. Okay. Would you further read in that section "Private Motor Carrier" definition?
- A. "Means a person who provides transportation of property or passengers, by commercial motor vehicle, and is not a for-hire motor carrier."
  - Q. Okay. So do these definitions apply in

- this case for Mr. Kaltreider?
- 2 A. Yes, both of them.
  - Q. Both of them?
  - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. And it's your opinion, based on the information you got from the scene of the inspection, that there was an agency relationship between Mr. Fox and Mr. Kaltreider?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And, yet, you did have a conversation with Mr. Kaltreider after you spoke with Mr. Fox when you were at the site of the inspection?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you told Mr. Kaltreider the situation that you had with Mr. Fox?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. He was aware of the load, the stop?
- 18 A. Right.
  - Q. What was going on; is that correct?
- A. That's correct. And what he would need to do to get legal.
- Q. And what he, Mr. Kaltreider, would need to do to get legal?
- A. What he, the driver, his carrier of the load, would need to do.

- Q. What his driver would need to do?
- 2 A. Yes.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

Q. Because the truck's under Mr.

4 Kaltreider's control, right?

It's his -- it's all under his name and
everything; is that correct?

MR. LUXENBURG: Objection. He's testifying for the witness.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Overruled.

- A. Yes.
- Q. So based on your inspection, based on your training, you're asking the Commission to find the violations here of failure to retain the previous seven day's log of duty status, no shipping papers, no placards, and failure to register as a HazMat registration?

MR. LUXENBURG: Objection, Your Honor.

Q. Is that what you're asking?

MR. LUXENBURG: He said -- he already testified that the violations came from the Public Utilities Commission. He's not asking for anything.

MR. JONES: Your Honor, he's the one that wrote out the report here. I don't understand the objection.

98 1 MR. LUXENBURG: He's already 2 testified. 3 MR. JONES: He can recommend to the 4 Commission based on his report. ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: I'll allow 5 6 the witness to answer the question to the best of 7 his ability. 8 A. What's the question again? 9 Q. What's your recommendations to the 10 Commission as to the violations that you noted in 11 your inspection report for failure to register 12 the HazMat registration, the placards, the 13 shipping papers, and the logbook violations that 14 you've noted here against Mr. Kaltreider? 15 MR. LUXENBURG: Objection, asked and 16 answered. 17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Overruled. 18 MR. LUXENBURG: Thank you. 19 Α. Find him quilty. 2.0 What's -- is that what you want to know? 2.1 Ο. What's your recommendation to the 22 commission, yeah? 23 That he be found guilty of these charges 24 and of these violations that I've listed on my

25

report.

```
1
           Q. Okay. And that's all based on your
2
     inspection and your experience and all that?
              That's correct.
3
           Α.
4
              Okay. And this is all based on your
           Q.
5
     opinion, right?
               This is your opinion that these are
6
7
     violations; is that correct?
8
              That's correct.
           Α.
9
               MR. JONES: Your Honor, that's all I
10
     have.
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT:
11
12
     Mr. Luxenburg?
13
               MR. LUXENBURG: Brief recross.
                       RECROSS-EXAMINATION
14
15
          BY MR. LUXENBURG:
16
              This is also based upon a lot of
     speculation on your part, correct?
17
               MR. JONES: Objection. There's no
18
     foundation for that.
19
2.0
               MR. LUXENBURG: Absolutely there is.
2.1
               MR. JONES: There's none, Your Honor.
22
              ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Overruled.
23
           A. The question again?
24
              You've also had to put in a little bit
     of speculation on this, correct?
25
```

```
100
               MR. JONES: And I object again.
1
2
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Overruled.
3
              MR. JONES: Continuing objection.
4
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Noted.
              As far as speculation, I'm just going on
5
     what the driver told me.
6
7
               MR. LUXENBURG: Nothing further.
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Okay. Thank
8
9
     you very much.
10
               Thank you very much, Sergeant. You are
11
     excused.
12
               Let's go off the record.
13
               (Discussion off the record.)
14
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: At this point
15
     we're going to take a break for 10 minutes and we
16
     will reconvene at that point.
17
               Thank you.
18
               MR. JONES: Thank you.
19
               (Discussion off the record.)
2.0
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Earlier at
2.1
     the outset of the proceeding, Mr. Luxenburg had
22
     moved for a dismissal on the basis that the
23
     Notice of Intent to Assess Forfeiture was not
24
     issued pursuant to Commission Rules.
25
               Mr. Jones, you had asked, I believe, for
```

some time to do a little digging and look into that issue.

2.1

You've now been given time to do that.

I think at this point it would be appropriate to respond to his motion.

MR. JONES: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate that.

Your Honor, I could not access the old rule. The rule -- there was a rule change.

10 ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: I'm aware of that.

MR. JONES: Okay. And the new rule went into effect as of September 5th, 2011.

In that rule it says -- this is 4901:2-7-07, Notice of Intent to Assess Forfeiture.

A, it says, "Within ninety days of discovery of a violation, but no later than one year following the violation, the staff may serve a Notice of Intent to Assess Forfeiture for that violation upon one or more respondents."

Then it goes on to say what the notice shall contain.

And that -- that's -- that was effective
September 5th, 2011.

Of course, this inspection occurred in May, May 17th, 2011.

2.1

Let me walk you through what was attempted here by the Commission to get certified mail service on Mr. Kaltreider here.

I can mark this as an exhibit.

I have that certified mail receipt shows that it was sent out on July 21st, 2011.

And the first attempt, then, to get certified service was July 23rd, as indicated by the post office, and there was no claim for it then.

And then the second attempt was made on July 28th, 2011. Again, the -- it went unclaimed.

So there were two attempts by the post office to complete the certified mail service to Mr. Kaltreider at the address provided in the inspection report and provided to Sergeant Ogden, and that would be the Pennsylvania address of 463 Harrison Road, Orangeville, Pennsylvania 17859. That's where the attempted certified mail service, the multiple service attempts, were made to serve him by certified mail within the 90-day time frame.

So then it was then returned back to the Commission and listed or stamped "Unclaimed."

2.0

2.1

I also want to state for the record that under the Commission's Rules or under Chapter 4901:2-7 that covers forfeitures and compliance orders, there it provides under the definitions, let's see, for service, which would be 4901:2-7-03 -- okay, this is the effective date as well, September 5th, 2011.

It says that, unless otherwise provided by this chapter, "Service upon a respondent shall be made by ordinary or certified United States mail, by courier service, or by personal service."

It says, "Service is effective upon receipt by any person, except that service by ordinary or certified United States mail is effective upon mailing and service by facsimile transmission or electronic mail is complete upon transmission."

I do note that service then was subsequently made to Mr. Kaltreider at another address in Pennsylvania on August 24th, 2011, and

that's a 4982 Campwoods Road, Glenville,
Pennsylvania 17329, which is a different address
than what was provided to us from the inspection.

2.1

And that's where service, then, was obtained, and service on that date, on August 24th, was by regular mail service.

Your Honor, you know, in this situation, you know, every attempt was made.

Diligence, we tried to get

Mr. Kaltreider served as to what the rule
requires.

We went through that process with the United States mail service trying to provide that certified mail receipt. It came back unclaimed.

The rule was subsequently changed that now just regular ordinary mail service is permissible to complete that service. Service was subsequently completed.

We think there's, you know, substantial compliance here with that rule as far as the attempts being made and diligence of the Commission to follow through and locate
Mr. Kaltreider to provide that notification.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT:

Mr. Luxenburg, did you have anything to add at

this point to your motion?

2.0

2.1

MR. LUXENBURG: Yeah. I don't think substantial compliance applies here.

The rule is strict. The rules that have been provided to Mr. Kaltreider as part of his case were not the new rules. It would be the old rules that applied. I don't think the new rules were retroactive.

I believe that although certified mail was attempted, it was attempted at the wrong address.

And they also have Mr. Kaltreider's phone number, so they could have called him and didn't.

So, again, we would renew our motion that substantial compliance does not apply here.

They did fail to serve by certified mail the Notice of Intent to Forfeiture within the applicable time period. They admit as much.

Therefore, we renew the motion.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: So your issue it that it was sent to the wrong address?

I just want to be sure I have an understanding of what the basis is.

MR. LUXENBURG: No. Specifically the

```
issue is that he wasn't served as required by the rule.
```

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: That's what I -- I'm trying to pin that down.

What do you mean by that?

That's a broad statement.

What's your specific -- the basis for your motion here?

Is that it was sent to the incorrect address?

MR. LUXENBURG: No. He never received it.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Well, I don't think that's an issue under the rules.

The rules say that the service is complete upon the Commission sending out the Notice, so...

MR. LUXENBURG: But it says here in the rules provided, in the Notice provided to
Mr. Kaltreider, that the Ohio Administrative Code requires that the Commission serve by certified mail a Notice of Intent to respondent within 90 days after the date of a violation but no more than one year following."

"Serve" means --

```
1
              ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: But the
2
     Commission's service rule then goes on to say
3
     that service by certified mail is effective upon
4
     mailing.
5
              MR. LUXENBURG: That's after the
6
     change.
7
              ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: No. That's
8
     even prior -- that's in the version that was
9
     effective in October of 2007.
10
              MR. LUXENBURG: Well, then my issue
11
     would be that they served it at the wrong address
12
     and he never received a Notice --
13
              ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Okay. That's
     why I want to be clear what it is you're
14
15
     arquing.
16
              MR. LUXENBURG: He never received a
17
     Notice of Intent to Assess Forfeiture, period.
18
              ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Okay. You're
19
     saying that it was sent to the wrong address.
2.0
               Is that your issue?
2.1
              MR. LUXENBURG: I suppose that would be
22
23
              ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Is that what
24
     you're claiming?
25
              MR. LUXENBURG: That's not what I'm
```

```
108
      claiming. That's a fact, but, yes, they did send
 1
 2
     it --
 3
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: But you're
     arguing that the rules require that he actually
 4
     receive it?
 5
               MR. LUXENBURG: At some point in time,
 6
 7
     he should receive it by regular -- I mean, the
     new rule says by regular or certified mail.
 8
 9
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: And you're
10
     relying on Rule 7?
11
               MR. LUXENBURG: Correct.
12
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Is that what
13
     you're pointing to?
14
               MR. LUXENBURG: Correct.
15
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: And what
16
      language specifically?
17
               MR. LUXENBURG: "OAC requires that the
18
     Commission serve by certified mail a Notice of
19
      Intent to Assess Forfeiture to a respondent."
20
               If they mail it to the wrong address, I
2.1
      can't believe that that would count even under
22
     the continuing rule that you're referencing.
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: But I'm
23
24
      asking you for specific language within these
25
     rules that you're relying on.
```

MR. LUXENBURG: 4901:2-7-07, "OAC 1 2 requires that the Commission serve by certified 3 mail a Notice of Intent to Assess Forfeiture to a 4 respondent within 90 days after the discovery of a violation but no more than one year following." 5 ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: But where in 6 there is it talking about the respondent 7 8 receiving the notice? 9 MR. LUXENBURG: I would argue that 10 "serve" means that they are actually served with 11 a copy. 12 While I understand that PUCO seems to 13 govern their own rules... 14 ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Well, I'm 15 reading this rule in conjunction with the service 16 rule, so... 17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Anything 18 else, Mr. Jones, on this issue? 19 As I noted before, any time there's a 20 motion to dismiss, it's the Commission's practice 2.1 to -- The Court will defer on a ruling and the 22 Commission will ultimately issue a ruling. I just want to make sure that we have --23 24 that the record is clear as to what the basis for

25

the motion is.

```
In light of the fact that we don't have
1
2
     a written motion that was filed prior to the
3
     hearing, I'm taking a little bit of time here to
4
     try to flush out your arguments so that the
5
     Commission has a full picture of what the issue
6
     is.
7
               MR. JONES: I apologize, Your Honor, I
     don't have a copy of the old rules to be able to
8
9
     pursue this.
10
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: I do,
11
     Mr. Jones.
12
               If there's anything else, though, that
13
     you feel you wish to add at this point.
14
               MR. JONES: I have nothing else to
15
     add.
16
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: I think we're
17
     -- I think I have an understanding of what the
18
     basis for the motion is, so that's -- that's
19
     good.
20
               MR. JONES: I do want to admit this into
2.1
     evidence.
22
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: So that would
23
     be Staff Exhibit No.?
24
               MR. JONES: Staff Exhibit 8, maybe.
25
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Yes.
```

And just so the record is clear, that's -- I'll let you describe for the record what that is exactly, Mr. Jones.

2.1

MR. JONES: Yes. Your Honor, this is a certified mail notification to the Commission. The date shows the mailing going out from the Commission and the attempts made by the postal service to attempt to serve by certified mail to recipient for July 23rd, 2011 and July 28th, 2011, and that it was returned unclaimed unable to forward.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Okay. And have you provided copies to the Respondent and the court reporter, please?

MR. JONES: I have copies.

Just to clarify, it's the bottom one, not the top one. They scroll off two at a time. It's the bottom one on that page there that's relevant to this case.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Mr. Jones, did you have any further witnesses to call today?

MR. JONES: No, Your Honor.

And I would like to move for the admission of Staff Exhibits, Staff Exhibit 1, then 2A through M, Staff Exhibit 3, 4, 5, 6, 7,

```
112
      and 8.
 1
 2
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Are there any
 3
     objections to the admission of any of the Staff's
 4
     exhibits, Mr. Luxenburg?
 5
               MR. LUXENBURG: No, Your Honor.
 6
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: At this time,
 7
     Staff Exhibits 1 through 8 shall be admitted.
               Anything further, Staff?
 8
 9
               MR. JONES: Nothing, Your Honor.
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT:
10
11
     Mr. Luxenburg?
12
               MR. LUXENBURG: One moment, Your
13
     Honor.
14
               (Short discussion off the record.)
15
               MR. LUXENBURG: At this time, we'll call
16
     Brian Kaltreider to the stand.
17
               (Thereupon Brian Kaltreider was sworn by
18
     Attorney Examiner Parrot.)
19
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Please be
20
     seated.
2.1
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
22
          BY MR. LUXENBURG:
23
           Q. Would you please state your full name
24
     for the court reporter?
25
           A. Brian Lee Kaltreider.
```

- Q. Mr. Kaltreider, how old are you?
- 2 A. 38.
  - Q. And what is your current address?
- A. It's 4982 Campwoods Road, Glenville,

5 PA.

1

3

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. Can you describe the area in which you live?
  - A. Farms.
  - O. It's farms?
- 10 A. Yeah, it's rural farmland.
- Q. Tell me a little bit more about the community you live in.
  - A. It's a small community. There's a church at the bottom of the hill. We live up on the top of the hill with a neighboring farm.

    Then there's a farm down at the bottom.

They consist of about 100 acres each on the farm, and probably a total of 10 or 12 houses total in the community.

- Q. How long have you lived there for?
- A. Pretty much all my life.
  - Q. And you're married?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Kids or no kids?
- A. My wife has two and I have one.

- Q. And you are a close-knit community?
- A. Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

2.1

22

- Q. For the court reporter, I just need all responses to be verbal, a yes or no.
  - A. Yes, yes. Sorry.
  - Q. What is your occupation?
  - A. I build houses.
- Q. Tell me a little bit more. What do you mean you build houses?
- A. Basically -- and I don't do the foundation work, but I subcontract the foundation work.
  - All the woodwork from the deck material up to the roof, the finished roof, and then the finished woodwork inside. I also specialize in doing home theater rooms.
    - Q. And what else do you do?
- 18 A. I also drive race cars for ARCA Racing
  19 Series.
  - Q. So you are an amateur or a professional race car driver?
    - A. It's actually considered professional.
    - Q. And that would be NASCAR, correct?
- A. Yes. Our cars are funded out of Jack
  Rousch's.

- Q. And there's a racetrack near your house?
  - A. Yes, Pocono Raceway.

2.1

- Q. And that's where you do a lot of your racing?
- A. Uh-huh, yeah, twice a year when the series comes into town.
- Q. You also hold or held special permits or licenses as it relates to fireworks, correct?
- A. Yes. I had a 1.3 Federal ATF license to display fireworks for shows.
- Q. So you could display fireworks for shows, and you also had a special permit to transport fireworks?
- A. Yes. That license is given to you once you're deemed as a responsible person.

That license basically allows you to go to a show and help set the show up. You can actually touch the 1.3 fireworks.

- Q. Before I find out a little bit more about the 1.3 fireworks, tell me, you have or have not ever done professional fireworks' shows, though?
- A. No.
- Q. You have not?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. So you have a license for it, but it's never been your business?
  - A. No.
  - Q. And you've never done it for-hire?
  - A. No.
    - Q. For pay?
- A. No.
  - Q. What do you mean "1.3"?
- A. 1.3 is the classification that the Federal Government uses for import. It classifies the firework as a high explosive, and the net weight of the explosive compound can be over 500 grams per unit.
- Q. Before you tell me a little bit more about that, what was involved with getting this special permit through the ATF?
- A. They do background checks on you. They send you out to five databases with fingerprints.

They come down and do a sit-down.

Basically they'll go through and make sure that you're a sane, competent person and they're giving you a license to handle explosives.

Q. And you need to learn about explosives?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

- Q. And what exactly do you study or learn in that process?
- A. The classifications of how the weight is determined by the product so you know what products you're using when you're at a show.

The classification on insurance requirements. You can't use consumer-grade products when you're actually putting on a show for public display. They're not classified as safe fireworks. It has to be a 1.3 firework.

- Q. So 1.3 is commercial.
- What was the other word you used?
- 14 A. A 1.4.
- 15 | O. An what is 1.4?
  - A. That is a commercial -- or a consumer grade.
    - Q. Consumer grade.
  - And 1.4 is the grade of fireworks that we're talking about in your case, correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
  - Q. And you can't mix even in shipping 1.3 and 1.4?
- 24 A. No, no.
- 25 Q. That's correct?

A. Yes.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

2.1

Q. Tell me, what does that mean, 1.3 versus

You referenced 500 grams. What does that mean?

- A. 500 grams is the max that a 1.4 gram firework can contain in a case. So a case of fireworks can only contain 500 grams of explosive powder in a case to be considered a consumer-grade product.
- Q. So if you look at the exhibits in front of you, Staff Exhibit 2E in the photographs, that was a picture of the fireworks that were in the back of the U-Haul truck that was pulled over, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And that says "1.4G" on the side, correct?
- 19 A. Uh-huh.
  - Q. So that would be -- a 1.4 would be a consumer-grade firework?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. Which means you had no 1.3 grade fireworks in this truck, correct?
- 25 A. No.

- Q. Tell me now, 1.4G means there cannot be more than 500 grams of explosives per unit or per box?
  - A. Per box.

2.1

- Q. So that entire box cannot be more than 500 grams?
  - A. No, no.

The stamping on the box indicates the kilograms of the total package. The net weight is the net weight of the actual product.

The base -- they -- actually, when they build them over in China or whoever's doing the manufacturing, there's a tip factor on the calculation for the firework.

Depending upon the thrust to put the firework up in the air, they have to have the base weigh 10 times what the actual tubes that are in the cake weigh.

So if there's 25 tubes, then the thrust is a pound, they would have to have 10 pounds in that cake to hold that cake steady upright to be certified by the CPSC, which is the Consumer Product Safety Council.

Q. And all this information you have is your own personal knowledge that you've learned

in handling these fireworks, correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

25

- Q. And, in fact, if you didn't know what you were doing, you would be putting yourself in great personal harm this way, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And the same would be true with your professional race car driving?
  - A. Yes.
- 10 Q. You have specialized training for that?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And is it safe to say that safety is a priority to you?
    - A. Yes.
    - Q. When you got the ATF license for purchasing these explosives -- or I should say -- oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry.

When you got the license for acquiring and being able to do these displays, you said you also got the permit for transporting these?

- A. Yeah, that comes along with it.
- Q. So you are familiar with the rules that govern --
  - A. The DOT, the Federal DOT.

- Q. -- the Federal DOT and the transportation of these fireworks?
  - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. You have the permit to buy 1.3, but you don't need a permit for 1.4; is that correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. So I could go in without the license and I could buy this much in fireworks?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. You heard the officer earlier testify that the requirement for getting a HazMat permit is when there is more than a 1,000 pounds being carried of fireworks, you heard that, correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Is that a correct statement?
  - A. The 1,000 pounds is correct, yes.
- Q. The 1,000 pounds is correct, but explain what that means with 1,000 pounds.
- A. That is classified as the explosive content powder that the load is being carried, not the total net weight of the shipping.
- So if you have a product -- say you have a firework that is the size of this book and it weighs 35 pounds, it can only have 500 grams of explosive powder in it to be a 1.4 product. It

```
cannot have any more than 500 grams of explosive powder in it or it will not be classified as 1.4.
```

- Q. But that's actually per case, is it not?
- A. Per case, yes.
- Q. So each case that was in the back of this truck had no more than 500 grams of explosive material?
  - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Do you happen to know how many grams there are in a pound?
- A. Not off the top of my head.

MR. LUXENBURG: So I would like to represent to the court it's about 455 grams per pound, so that means there is less than one pound --

MR. JONES: I'm going to object to counsel testifying, Your Honor, for the record.

MR. LUXENBURG: Really, John?

MR. JONES: Yeah, I do.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Let's just work under the assumption that that is...

MR. LUXENBURG: Thank you, Your Honor.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Proceed,

25 please.

## BY MR. LUXENBURG:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. So if it's about a pound of explosive per box, how many boxes would you need before you would have to pull the HazMat placard?
  - A. You'd need almost a 40-foot container.
  - Q. You'd need, I'm sorry, what?
  - A. A 40-foot container, shipping container.
- Q. Okay. And I take it that is not the size box --
- A. No. That was a 24-foot box truck.
- Q. Do you know about how many boxes you had in this unit?
  - A. I don't. There's about 27 boxes, 25, 27 boxes on the end face on Exhibit 2D.

You can take calculation off the case, which is about two foot by three foot by two foot, so you could get five to six rows of 27 boxes in that container.

- Q. So maybe 125 or 150 boxes?
- A. Yes.
- Q. At about a pound of explosives per, that would be about 125 to 150 per box -- for the entire shipment of explosives?
- A. Correct.
  - Q. So you don't believe that under any

- circumstance a HazMat placard would be required for this shipping?
  - A. No, it was not.
- Q. Let's talk a little bit about this actual shipment and how it came to be.

You stated that you've never put on firework displays professionally; is that correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And, in fact, you could not put on a professional fireworks display with the grade of product that you purchased?
  - A. No.
  - O. That's correct?
- 15 A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

- Q. Where did you order these fireworks from?
  - A. They came from Waynes World.
  - 0. In?
- 20 A. Indiana.
- Q. And I want you to walk me through how
  this relationship with Mr. Fox developed starting
  with where you were when you received a call that
  the product was ready to be picked up.
- So, tell me, where were you at the time

- that you were notified by Waynes World.
- A. I was in Missouri in Reynolds County
  with my wife. We were visiting property that I
  had bought there.

Wayne had called and said that the product was in. I said, "Well, we're in Missouri." I said, "We'll stop by on the way through."

- Q. So you know Wayne?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. You've purchased from him before?
- 12 A. Yes.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. So you leave Missouri and drive up to Waynes World in Indiana?
- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. That was a yes?
- A. Right, yes.
  - Q. And what happens when you get to Waynes World in Indiana?
  - A. There's anywhere from 15 to 20 people there. His facility has a house on it and they entertain there. They have ponds where people camp. They have four rental lodges that people can camp and rent. They make big bonfires.

I actually knew Stephen before that, but

that was over a phone conversation just talking. That's when I personally had met him, when we got up there.

- Q. Well, let's backtrack. You said you had made his acquaintance before. What do you mean by that?
  - A. Just talking to him on the phone.
- Q. How did those conversations come about?
- A. Just fireworks. He was wanting to know about doing displays and wanted to know if I would want to help.

I said that I would travel and if we were out -- Pennsylvania is a long distance, but with the Racing Series we actually travel all over the country.

He said, "If you're ever out that way and would want to do a show..."

That's how we initially got connected, because I have a license to do shows.

- Q. And he learned about you from Waynes World?
  - A. Uh-huh, correct.
  - Q. But you never did any of those shows?
  - A. No.

2.1

- Q. So you get to Waynes World and Wayne is entertaining and Stephen Fox happens to be one of the people there that he's entertaining?
  - A. Uh-huh, yep.
- Q. And that was the first time you personally face-to-face had met him?
  - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Were you camping out there as well or were you just passing through?
- A. No, we were just passing through. We had to get home.

We had -- on the way through from Reynolds County, we stopped at Terre Haute, Indiana and rented the U-Haul truck to go to Waynes.

My wife was going to drive our vehicle back. I was going to drive the U-Haul trailer. That was our plan.

We got there. We loaded the fireworks up. We sat around the campfire with everybody and just started talking.

Everybody started talking about the racing, because Wayne let it out of the bag. Everybody started asking me questions about it, where I race and what I do.

- Q. Hold on a second. Let's go a little bit slower.
  - By this point, you had already loaded up the truck?
  - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. So it was loaded, you're at Waynes, you're around the bonfire with your wife and these other people?
- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. The truck's already ready to go?
- 11 A. Correct.
- Q. And people find out that you're a NASCAR driver?
- 14 A. Correct. Well, ARCA RE/MAX Series.
- 15 Q. I'm sorry?
- 16 A. ARCA RE/MAX Series.
- Q. Okay. They find out you're a driver,
  this interests them, they all start talking about
  that, correct?
- 20 A. Yes, correct.
- 21 Q. And Stephen was in on that
- 22 conversation?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So what happened, then, with relationship to that conversation?

A. Well, he was with his nannie. His nannie was going into college. She was up with them visiting Waynes.

2.0

2.1

They had said about going up and doing that before -- she wanted to see the country, and that's why her parents had allowed her to go with Stephen before she started college.

They had called, verified everything, and then they had said that they would like to come up to the Pocono Raceway and do the drive program.

I said that, because I've taught there,
I said I could try to if I could get a space
opened up for them; not for free but to get them
in because they bookout in advance almost a year
ahead.

If there's open space, I said more than likely you would be able to do the ride program. I couldn't guarantee a drive.

- Q. So Stephen had interest in going from Indiana up to the Poconos because he was interested in the race car?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And you possibly had a connection that you could have helped him maybe get in but he

would have had to pay for it?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And so you offered for him to come up --
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. -- to Pennsylvania where you live?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. And then what happened?
  - A. Then we decided that he was going to drive the truck. We went and left, me and my wife.
  - Q. Why did you have him drive the truck as opposed to what your original plan was?
  - A. Because he had a ve- -- he needed to come up. He was going to come up. His truck was broke down. He drove his truck. He had to get his truck repaired. It was going to take a week. He drove the truck up. Then I had to drive him back down to get his truck.
    - Q. You're jumping ahead a bit now.
  - A. Okay.
  - Q. So he wanted to come up there. He didn't have a vehicle. He was going to take the U-Haul and then you and your wife could continue driving on?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. So that night you and your wife left or you ended up staying?
  - A. No, we left.

2.1

- Q. And he followed you?
- A. No, no. He said that he had some other things to take care of. He had to get the truck squared away. Then whenever he was done with that, he was going to leave and come up.
- Q. And what was the arrangement in terms of him driving? Were you paying him?
- A. All I did was give him \$200 cash to pay for the gas to come up.
- Q. So all you were doing was covering the expense of the gas?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And if not, then you were just going to drive the truck back?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And, again, based upon your knowledge and understanding of your training, there was nothing that you needed to do legally that would permit you to drive the truck?
- A. No. It wasn't a 1.3 load. It was a 1.4 load. It was under the explosive content weight.

  He had the license and everything in

```
place to be, you know, a responsible person.
```

- Q. So you move on and then he leaves a couple days after you?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

- Q. And then you get a phone call?
- A. I got a phone call at 5:00 in the morning.
  - Q. And who was that phone call from?
  - A. The officer.
- 10 Q. And what was the nature of that conversation?
- A. I was sleeping, obviously, at 5:00 in the morning.

The way the phone call started was, "We are seizing your truck. Stephen spilled the beans. We know you're in business and in commerce."

I said, "What are you talking about?"

I said, "I'm not in business."

I said, "That's a consumer retail

21 purchase."

I said, "Those were retail purchased fireworks."

And that was where the conversation was.

25 He disagreed with me and was arguing with me on

the phone.

2.1

He said that Stephen had told him that it was for my business. I said, "I don't have a business for fireworks."

- Q. Did you have any plans of displaying fireworks at a church?
- A. We have -- the church was down there and they had an outing going on, but it's with the whole community. It's a real small church. It's not a big church. It's basically our little town is all that it is.

In fact, the church is out of business now, so it's now closed.

- Q. But this was fireworks that you were going to do not for profit and not for hire?
  - A. No. This was actually at my house.
  - Q. At your house?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. So --
- A. Because when we actually display the fireworks, everybody comes out and sits around their house and makes fires and they watch the fireworks.
- Q. So -- but, again, it's not your business?

A. No.

2.1

- Q. And so you -- what was the conclusion of the conversation with the officer?
  - A. He said, "We'll see about that."

And then I had got a phone call back from Stephen explaining about the load and that he had been parked. He couldn't move the load.

But I was told I could come out and get the load because it were mine. If I would have been driving it, everything would have been fine.

- Q. Who told you that?
- A. I don't recollect exactly the person who told me that.
  - Q. And so what did you end up doing?
- A. I drove out -- I left -- actually, when I got the first phone call from the officer, I had got in the truck and was already on my way out.
- Q. And when you arrived at the truck, was it marked off or anything?
- A. No. The truck was just sitting there. There was nobody around it, no officers.

And by DOT regulations, when they pull a HazMat vehicle over, they actually have to sequester it and somebody has to stay with that

vehicle, and there was nobody around it.

2.0

2.1

- Q. And so at that point, you guys just left with the truck?
- A. Yes. I drove the truck back. Stephen drove my personal vehicle back.
- Q. And then when you got to Pennsylvania, you unloaded the product?
- A. No, we actually didn't. We just sat around and was like, "What just happened?"

We were talking about what we were going to do for the following day, whether or not we were going to go out to the Poconos.

The babysitter, the parents were extremely upset with the phone call that they got from the officer and they wanted her to come home right away, so they did not go to the Pocono track.

We loaded my truck back up with their belongings and drove them back down to Indiana.

- Q. So you came to Ohio to get the truck, went back home, and then turned around and went right back to Indiana?
- A. The next day after we went to sleep and woke up that morning.
  - Q. Did you pay Mr. Fox any compensation for

driving this product?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- A. No, I did not.
  - Q. The truck that you got, you said that was a U-Haul?
  - A. That was a U-Haul rental one-way. We were given four days to have it back.
    - Q. Did you rent or lease that?
    - A. Rent.
  - Q. At no time you registered the U-Haul in your name?
    - A. I had rented it under my --
- Q. You rented it, but you didn't register the vehicle in your name?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. You didn't get any plates under your name for the vehicle?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. And it was just a four-day rental?
- A. Yeah, four-day rental to get it back to Pennsylvania, one-way rental.
- Q. When the officer called you, did he ask you any questions about what you intended to do or what your business was?
- A. He was trying to make me say that I had a business.

```
137
1
               I constantly told him over the phone
2
     that I have no business. I said, "It was a
3
     retail purchase."
4
               I said, "They were not in commerce."
               I said, "It doesn't fall under the
5
     stipulations for commerce."
6
7
               He argued with me on that fact. I mean,
     that's part of his job.
8
9
               That's why I got in my truck and drove
10
     out, because I couldn't do anything over the
     phone other than be there. When I got there,
11
12
     there was nobody there.
13
           Q. And you didn't resell these fireworks?
              No.
14
          Α.
15
           Q.
              Have you ever resold fireworks?
16
           Α.
              No.
17
           Q. Did the officer ask you any questions
18
     about the content of the truck or the grade of
19
     fireworks or anything like that?
20
           A. He just asked me what the load was. I
     told him it was fireworks. That was basically
2.1
22
     it.
23
           Q. And, again, at no point in time did you
24
     pay Mr. Fox?
```

A. No.

I had bought -- I had rented the truck with the intent of driving it back myself.

Then at the fire we decided that Steve was going to drive up with his babysitter and they were going to go up and do the Pocono race school.

I said, "Well, I'll give you the \$200 to go up and pay for gas in the truck," because it's not his business to pay for that.

- Q. And so as far as you know, you don't regularly transport fireworks?
  - A. No.

2.1

- Q. And as far as you know, Stephen doesn't regularly transport fireworks?
- A. I don't know. I know he does shows down in New Mexico.
  - Q. And do you possess a commercial driver's license?
    - A. No, I do not.
    - Q. Have you ever?
    - A. No.

When I got my license, we were educated by the Federal DOT and they had told us that as long as we operate under the guidelines of the explosive content, we did not have to have a

HazMat endorsement, but if we were to go over that or be in commerce, as the officer stated, then we would need that.

- Q. And you were not in commerce?
- A. No.
  - Q. And you were not over the amount?
- A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. And you didn't hire Stephen?
- A. No.
- 10 Q. He doesn't work for you?
- 11 A. No.
  - Q. You've had no really relationship with him since?
- 14 A. No.
  - Q. From your experience and training, would you call yourself a carrier?
- 17 A. No.

I have friends that are carriers and that didn't -- as the officer stated, to lease the truck for commercial purposes, you have to have a commercial license, you have to have commercial insurance, or the leasing company will not rent you the truck with their DOT number for commercial purposes.

Q. And none of those things happened

140 here? 1 2 A. No. 3 MR. LUXENBURG: Nothing further at this 4 time. ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Mr. Jones? 5 MR. JONES: Yeah. Thank you, Your 6 7 Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 9 BY MR. JONES: 10 Q. Let's see. Mr. Kaltreider, when did you get your ATF permit for Class 1.3? 11 12 A. That would have been about three years 13 ago. 14 Q. Why did you decide to get them, the 15 permit? 16 A. It's one of those things that you need 17 to have to be classified and be more legal in 18 what you're doing. You have to go through training courses for safety. It's just the smart 19 20 thing to do. 2.1 Q. So what were you doing that you wanted 22 to do this? 23 A. Just to be able to be legal shooting the

24

25

fireworks.

There's thousands of people that have

the 1.3 Federal ATF license that do not shoot and just shoot for fun. Clubs are all over the country.

- Q. So how long's the permit good for you?
- A. You can get three types of permits. You can get it by coupon, which allows you a one-time use. That's normally once and done per year.

You can get a one-year permit or you can get a three-year permit.

Q. And you got what?

2.1

- A. I had a three-year permit.
- Q. Do you still have your permit today?
- A. Yes. It expires in May.
- Q. And so have you ever set off fireworks at the church in your community?
  - A. Not at the church, no.
  - Q. Never at the church?
  - A. Never at the church.

My property sits up on top of the hill and then it rolls down and then the church sits at the bottom and then it kind of gently rolls up and goes up to -- all of the community is just a little valley. There's a farm over here and a farm over here. Then the community sits at the intersection at the bottom where the little

- church is. The church isn't any bigger than this room here.
- Q. So the church is adjacent to your property?
- A. No, it's not adjacent. It's separated probably by 40 acres.
- Q. Let me understand. Prior to meeting Mr. Fox for the very first time in person on the date that he drove these fireworks across a couple states, you said you had talked to him a few times?
  - A. Uh-huh.
  - Q. How many times would that be?
  - A. Maybe three to four.
- Q. Three or four times?
- 16 A. Yeah, yes.
- Q. And this was about fireworks?
- A. Uh-huh.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

2.1

22

- 19 O. About shows?
  - A. Over at his place. He had asked if I would ever -- every single conversation wasn't about that. It started out that was how we got introduced.
- Nelson had said that I was a good guy
  and I'm real responsible with what I do; I would

be a good guy if I ever get out that way and he's doing a show, so he put us in contact with each other.

The problem was is that Nelson was under the impression that I'm traveling the full circuit, which I don't because I don't have a full sponsorship. I only get little bits of sponsorship here and there and I'm only able to do both Poconos.

In 2006, I actually did six races, but we had Adobe Software out of California as a sponsor.

- Q. So you had talked to Mr. Fox approximately three times prior to meeting him?
  - A. Uh-huh.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Through someone else another one of your friends introduced you or told you to make contact with him because of product?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. So he -- he -- this place that the show -- there was a show going on in Indianapolis?
- A. At Nelson's they get together and they shoot fireworks. It's like a club. You know, they make model rockets and then they shoot the

rockets. They shoot the fireworks. They get samples.

Nelson is a direct importer, so the Chinese, when they come up with a new product, they'll send him a bunch of samples.

He gets his friends and they come around and then they shoot the samples and decide if they want to order them for the following year.

- Q. And you're coming from Missouri?
- A. Uh-huh.

2.1

- Q. Because you had a race in Missouri?
- A. No. Me and my wife were visiting our land in Missouri. I have two lots that are an acre and a half apiece in Reynolds County.
  - Q. So -- okay.

And then when you stopped and met
Mr. Fox on your way back, how long were you there
in Indiana for that stop?

- A. It was -- we got there in the morning.

  It was about probably 11:30 when we left. We were there almost 19 hours, 18, 19 hours, I would say.
- Q. So you got there in the morning and left at night?
  - A. Uh-huh.

Q. And so there were a lot of people there that were there to see the show?

A. Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

25

There was also other people there that pick up their orders and, you know, they kind of combine all that stuff at one time.

- Q. And when did you know you were going to get an order there?
- A. I don't remember the exact days he had called when we were down in Missouri. We were actually staying there for the weekend. I don't know the exact day. I couldn't tell you.

He had called us and said that the order was in. I said, "Well, we're down in Missouri, so we can come up through that way."

- Q. He said the order was coming into the show?
- A. No. It was in. He's an importer, so we buy the fireworks from him. He's the importer, so when they come in --
  - Q. Fox is the importer?
  - A. No, no. Waynes World.
  - Q. Waynes World?
- 24 A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. So you get a call -- you're in

Missouri and you get the call from Waynes World?

- A. Correct.
- Q. They say they got this order --
- A. Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. -- that you had been interested in?
- A. Well, I had purchased it. I had already put my order in.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. Normally it doesn't come in all at one time. It comes -- it's hard to tell with the shipping how the Chinese do it.

Whatever they have in their warehouse, whatever they get done is what they put in the container. You don't know until the container arrives at port and he gets his bill-of-lading what was on the container.

Then when he gets it back, he goes through and he sorts out and processes his orders and fills his orders as needed, but everything I had ordered was there.

- Q. So you were expecting this order to come in while you were in Missouri?
- A. No.
  - Q. You weren't expecting it?

A. No, not at all.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. And so you're in Missouri looking at property?
  - A. No. I already -- it's my property.
  - Q. Oh, you have property in Missouri?
  - A. Right. We were visiting.
  - Q. Visiting there with your family?
  - A. Yes, me and my wife.
- Q. You get a call from Waynes World who says the order that you made is in?
- A. Uh-huh. And I had told him that we were in Missouri. I said that we can stop on the way in.
- Q. You told the guy at Waynes World you're going to be stopping there and picking up?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And now when was the show supposed to take place at Waynes World?
- A. His show -- they just do -- they shoot fireworks. I mean, that's a constant thing.
- 21 It's not like --
  - Q. So this is kind of a spontaneous --
- A. No. It's -- the fireworks -- people
  that like fireworks, they do it constantly. The
  clubs are always shooting every week. That's why

it's such a huge business.

2.1

When I got my license, it was an exciting thing, but then it wears off.

Some of the guys, they're just into it. They could shoot them every single day.

It's like people wanting to play video games. They get addicted to it. They like to shoot the fireworks.

I don't -- I can't speak for them on that part. Yes, he shoots fireworks there all the time.

- Q. Okay. And Mr. Fox just happened to be there?
- A. He had business with Nelson. That's why he was up there. I don't know what his business was.
- Q. You didn't know he was going to be there?
- A. No.
  - Q. You didn't know he was going to be there until you got there, right?
- A. Yeah. I didn't know anybody was there.

  He didn't say who was there or what. There was about 15, 20 people there.
  - Q. But you -- before you got there, you

stopped and got a U-Haul?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.1

- 2 A. At Terre Haute, Indiana.
  - Q. How far is that from --
    - A. About 45 minutes.
    - Q. -- the place where the fireworks are?
  - A. Yes. Missouri is here. Terre Haute, Indiana is here. Bowling Green is here. My house is here. So it's all in the path to go home.
    - Q. And -- all right.

So you stop and you get the U-Haul. You sign the contract. You get the rental agreement. You're responsible for the truck, right, nobody else, just you?

- A. Correct.
- Q. You didn't put down you and Steve Fox or anybody else, just you, right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. You're responsible for the fuel in the truck, right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Anything that happens to the truck,
  you're responsible, right, not Mr. Fox or anybody
  else?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. And so then you pick up this truck, your family follows you?
- A. Well, my wife. She drives. We pick the truck up. We drive to Waynes World. I'm in the U-Haul and she's driving our personal vehicle.
- Q. Okay. So you get to Waynes World and you're there for 19 hours?
  - A. Uh-huh.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. All right. Did you sleep some of that time?
  - A. I did not. And I can explain.
  - Q. You just drove in from Missouri -MR. LUXENBURG: Objection, relevance.
  - A. Let me explain.
- ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Objection is overruled.
  - A. Right now I can relate to this hearing.
  - Q. I'm sorry?
- A. I've been up for 36 hours. We just started building a house. I had to get the deck on the house because the people have goods underneath that can't get this snowstorm. I've been up for 36 hours.
- I left my house in Pennsylvania at 12:00
  last night and then got here. It was about 9:30,

10:00, I believe, I had called you and said I was here. I still have not slept.

2.1

I don't know why I don't get tired. If
I do, I pull off at the rest stop and then I take
a little power nap and I can go back again.

I don't know how to explain it.

Another time we were going to race down in Talladega. I was actually up for four hours -- or four days. We drove down to Talladega.

At that point we tested and then we qualified. I qualified 20th down there. That was all in the span of not having slept for five days once it was all said and done.

Is it healthy, no, but it's -- I've done it before. I've done it a lot.

I personally like driving at night because the roads are less crowded and it's less stressful.

- Q. The person who put you in contact with Mr. Fox, and this is before you discovered that he was there at Waynes World, was this Nelson character you were referring to?
  - A. Yes, Wayne Nelson.
  - Q. Wayne Nelson?
  - A. Uh-huh.

- Q. Wayne Nelson, he's the owner of the fireworks?
  - A. He's the owner of Waynes World.
  - Q. Waynes World, okay.

2.1

And what -- he put you in contact with him because you guys have a shared interest in fireworks?

A. Yes, yep. They do that all throughout the shows. PGI has national conventions.

It's like any kind of group get-together. You kind of network.

I didn't even -- right when I got my license, the guy, John Kemp, Kemp is from Celebration Fireworks, he did some shows up at the Mohican Sun up by the Pocono racetrack.

He taught me -- basically I went under his license as a responsible person. I was loading shells. He was teaching me how to do it.

Then when I went to go get my license and go through my testing, I had the ground basis and knowledge of how to load the shells, what safety is, and basically how you do things to keep everybody safe.

That was one of the things that that gets passed on. When you're in that business,

you really take ahold.

2.1

Like any business, in my construction business, it's very hard to find employees that are responsible in any way, shape, or form.

It's like any business that people pass names out and around and try to get good help.

If you can get a connection where you have a safe person, especially in the explosive business, you want to try to tie them together.

- Q. So he thought you would be a good associate?
  - A. Yeah.

But it's not really that feasible because I don't travel the circuit. Even if I did, we only go out west to Texas. We don't travel the west coast. We don't race in Phoenix. We don't race in California. It really didn't fit that profile that he was thinking.

He's thinking NASCAR, which I'm not NASCAR. I'm ARCA RE/MAX. I — we run the same cars as what NASCAR does.

When somebody sees that car, they just stereotype it into "Oh, it's a NASCAR."

Q. So you guys weren't a fit, then, correct?

1 A. No.

2.1

- Q. Is that what you're describing?
- A. Yeah. It became a nice conversation piece to talk and, you know, conversate over the phone about these kinds of things, new product, new things that came out with them, new effects and stuff like that, or the way you shoot the cakes or the way you set them up to get the effect up in the sky to have a couple multi-layers when you shoot, that kind of stuff.
- Q. And so you're there -- the 19 hours you're there at Waynes World, was Mr. Fox there the whole time?
- A. Uh-huh. I don't know when he had got there. He was there when I arrived.
- Q. And then someone points him out to you as to, "That's Mr. Fox"?
- A. Well, I was introduced to everybody.

  We're all sitting around the campfire. Everybody gets introduced. It's not like you're sitting around a table and don't know everybody's name.

  Everybody got introduced to each other.
- Q. So, of course, he didn't know you were going to show up there, right?

A. No.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 2 Q. You didn't know he was going to be there?
- 4 A. No.
- Q. Your direction is heading back to Pennsylvania, right?
  - A. Uh-huh.
    - Q. His is, what, back to New Mexico?
  - A. Yeah. They were out and about. Like I said, he was showing his nannie the country before she went to college. That was the reason why her parents had let her go.
  - Q. Where was she going to go to college at?
  - A. I don't know that.
- Q. And so then you get your order, right?
- 17 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. You load the U-Haul, pack the U-Haul,
- 19 right, all the way --
- 20 A. Yeah.
- 21 0. -- with all the boxes?
- A. Yeah, we did that as soon as we arrived.
- Q. And then this is when you asked Mr. Fox about driving the U-Haul?

A. We didn't -- it wasn't a straight out, "Do you want to drive it," just like that.

2.1

The conversation started around coming up, talking about the race car.

I said about how I used to work at the Pocono Raceway. The Stockcar Racing Experience, I worked there for seven years.

He said, "Yeah, that would be really cool to be able to drive one of them."

I said, "I can probably get you in."

I said, "I can't guarantee you'll be able to drive, but I am pretty sure I can get you in a ride," because they always have openings for the rides.

He said, "You can do that?"
I said, "Yeah, I can try."

She called her parents. He called his wife. Everything was okay and he said, "I'll come up."

He didn't have his truck so he was going to drive the U-Haul. Me and my wife left that night.

He got his stuff taken care of with the truck, whatever repairs. I don't know exactly what the repairs were that he had to get done to

his truck. He got that arranged. Then two days later, he was actually on his way up.

I had no basis -- there was no set time for him to leave or be there. You know, there was no guideline for him to be at my house at a specific time or anything.

- Q. So when you found Mr. Fox there at Waynes World, his truck was already broke down?
  - A. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

- Q. And it was in the shop?
- A. Yeah.
- Q. And he's stuck there because he's waiting on his truck to be repaired, as far as you know?
  - A. As far as I know, yeah.
- Q. And then you have this conversation with him, he's interested and, "Well, you know, I can drive your way," and you got this conversation about Poconos, the racetrack?
  - A. (Nods head in the affirmative.)
- Q. So exactly what was the plan, then, with Mr. Fox understanding about, "Well, okay, I'm going to go drive the truck?"

What -- what exactly was the arrangement?

I mean, obviously he would, then, what, show up at your place with the truck at some point in time?

2.1

- A. Yeah. Once he got there with the truck, once he got his business taken care of getting his truck fixed, he was driving up with the U-Haul trailer, and then we were going to go to the Pocono school when he got there and see about getting him in.
- Q. Why did he have to wait to drive the truck until his truck got fixed?
- A. He had to make the arrangements. I don't know. It wasn't my truck. It wasn't my business. He was arranging something, getting a part, shipping a part, getting a part from a junkyard or something. I don't know. He had to fix that and get that taken care of.

Rather than them just sitting around and not having anything to do, he's like, "We'll come up."

When we turned around and went back down, his truck was still not fixed.

- Q. So you left there, you left Waynes World, with your wife and kids?
  - A. No. It was just me and my wife.

- And drove back home? 1 0. 2 Α. Uh-huh.

  - And how many hours is that? Q.
  - Α. It's about 11, 12 hours.
    - Q. 11, 12 hours?
  - Yeah. Α.

3

4

5

6

7

- Q. What time did you leave there?
- It was 11:00, 10:30, 11:00. 8 Α.
  - Ο. At night?
- 10 Α. Uh-huh.
- MR. LUXENBURG: I'll give it one more 11
- 12 try. Objection, relevance. I mean, it's like...
- 13 MR. JONES: Your Honor, I'm just trying
- to build in -- they're the ones putting on all 14
- 15 that evidence for this story, the questions for
- 16 cross-examination.
- 17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: I'll give you
- 18 a little leeway.
- 19 MR. LUXENBURG: That's not even a
- 20 response. That's not even a response.
- 2.1 ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Make sure
- 22 we're not just being repetitive. I guess just
- try to keep it moving, Mr. Jones. 23
- 24 MR. JONES: I'm trying to fill in the
- 25 holes.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: I'm not sure we're doing that, though.

BY MR. JONES:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. All right. So 11, 12 hours, you went ahead and drove on?
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And then -- so what you left behind, though, is you left behind the rental truck full of fireworks that you purchased?
  - A. (Nods head in the affirmative.)
  - Q. You're responsible for the U-Haul?
- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. You signed the contract?
- 14 A. Yeah, yes.
  - Q. It's \$7,000 worth of fireworks, right?
- 16 A. Uh-huh, yes.
- 17 Q. You've never met this Mr. Fox before?
- 18 A. No.

I was out in Youngstown, Ohio and I blew my motor in a race car. They're \$80,000. A guy came up and gave me a motor on a shake of a hand.

I hold people at their word. What my handshake is with somebody, I hold to it.

I was told by Nelson that he was an upstanding person and I believed him.

- Q. So you're willing to take that risk?
- 2 A. Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. So you go on. Then you didn't know when he was going to come, right?
  - A. He said within two or three days, is what he told me.
    - Q. Okay. So you gave him \$200?
  - A. I gave him \$200 to pay for the gas in the truck.

That's what the officer was told when he called me at 5:00 in the morning. Then he tried to twist the story and it was --

- Q. Well, hold on. I don't have a question pending there.
- MR. LUXENBURG: Oh, sure you do.
- MR. JONES: I didn't have a question pending.
- 18 ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: All right,
- 19 all right, all right.
- MR. LUXENBURG: I mean, the double standard is unbelievable.
- 22 ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: All right.
- Both of you, let's keep this moving.
- MR. LUXENBURG: Unbelievable.
- BY MR. JONES:

Q. Mr. Kaltreider, so are you saying that Mr. Fox is being untruthful when he told the officer that you paid for the fuel and \$200 cash to him?

2.1

A. Stephen Fox did not tell him that.

Stephen Fox was in the background while the officer was on the phone with me and he was -
Stephen is not a quiet person. He's very irate and he's -- that's why he got on the phone and called me.

He was on the phone while the officer had him in the back of the car. He was making all kinds of phone calls.

He's not a quiet person. Stephen said over the phone, "I did not say that."

That was just the officer trying to figure out the details, that was his job, but there was nothing for him to figure out. We weren't lying about nothing. It was what it was.

- Q. But you never disputed that with the officer when you were talking to him, right?
- A. No, no. I told him that I gave him \$200 for the fuel.
  - Q. And now the truck rental, how long were

you -- you had the truck for four days? You were supposed to have it four days?

- A. Four days we had to take it back. It was a one-way rental.
- Q. One-way rental meaning you had to return it back to the place where you got it from?
- A. Pennsylvania. When I got to
  Pennsylvania, it went back to the U-Haul place in
  Pennsylvania.
- Q. And then -- so then after you got the call that the truck had been stopped and it was being inspected and what was going on, everything was being described to you, right, of what was going on at the scene of the inspection; is that correct?

A. Yes.

2.1

Well, the first initial phone call, the officer had called me and woke me up. And through that conversation, I was getting my clothes on and getting ready to go out.

Then while I was on my way out, I had got the second phone call from Stephen. Then he had said that they were parking it. They said he couldn't drive it.

I know I had talked to somebody else. I

don't know if it was Stephen that had said about telling me the details about what had happened with the truck. I can't say for sure who that person was.

- Q. So you're not disputing, are you,
  Mr. Kaltreider, that the gross vehicle weight, as
  the inspection report reports, is 14,050 pounds?
  You're not disputing that fact, are you?
  - A. I was not there.

2.1

- O. You have no reason --
- A. I have no factual basis. I was physically not present there. I didn't operate the scales. I hold faith in the officer he knows what he's doing.

Yes, I'm not disputing that, no.

- Q. Okay. And with the cargo with the scales, the whole weight, the gross weight, which included not only the truck but the cargo with the truck, being 16,000 pounds, you're not disputing that? You don't have reason to dispute that either, do you?
- A. No. Like I said, I was not there. I did not see the scale weights or anything like that.
  - Q. Okay. And you're not denying the fact

that this is -- you're going interstate here, you're going from Indiana to Pennsylvania, you're going through Ohio, you're going multi-state trip, right?

A. Uh-huh, yes.

2.0

2.1

- Q. And you heard the officer read from the HazMat Regulations that the firework explosives that was the cargo that you purchased, your product in that cargo, is listed as a Class 1.4G, a hazardous material that's a class that's listed as a class that's to be regulated, right?
  - A. Correct, as a consumer-grade product.
- Q. And you're not denying the fact that there was no logbook, you didn't have a logbook for the driver?
- A. No. He wasn't a commercial driver, he wasn't under that sanction, so he did not need to have a logbook.
- Q. And that you didn't have placards for that truck for the driver?
- A. No, because the explosive weight was under the guidelines of DOT.
- Q. And you've never got a registered -- had it -- for transporting hazardous materials, it wasn't registered for that purpose --

- 1 A. No.
- Q. -- for that trip?
  - A. No.

2.1

- Q. And is it your position that Mr. Fox was being untruthful when he said the fireworks were to be displayed at the church and that's why he was --
- A. Stephen had heard us talking about what we were doing. Those fireworks, we had purchased them for my birthday, our anniversary, which is February 14th. We also get them for New Year's. We do the Fourth of July. Then we show that we do them when the church has the spring gathering.
- Q. But that was Mr. Fox's understanding as to what he told -- what he learned from you, right?
- A. Yeah. I mean, that's just sitting around a campfire and the impartial talk that you pick up and not knowing the whole details.

Like I said, like you had said, made the statement, we had just met, so he doesn't know, you know, how we shoot the fireworks and how much we do there at the house.

I mean, Fourth of July, Memorial and

Labor Day, birthdays, we're setting them off.

It's -- we're not in a community that we have to worry about it. We have hundreds of acres of farmland that's open and tillable. The neighbors like it.

- Q. But the church and it being a nonprofit really stuck out to him.
- A. I don't know. I don't really understand why that was a bell-ringer for him.
  - Q. Okay. Where are the fireworks now?
  - A. Most of them are consumed.

    It's been, what, two years now?
  - Q. And what's left, where are they at?
  - A. In storage.

2.1

- Q. Storage where?
- A. Up at the house.
- Q. How -- do you know how much the boxes and the contents of the boxes weighed in total?
- A. You can look on there and some of them are 12 kilograms, some of them are 13 kilograms. The net weight, gross weight, there's a lot you have got to take into account. You have the cardboard. You have the one-inch plywood base that the tubes are put in. Then you also have the clay plugs which are two-and-a-half inches at

the base of a three-inch tube.

- Q. Did Mr. Fox give you a copy of the inspection report?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

- Q. Okay.
- A. He didn't give me a copy. He showed me the original.
- Q. Do you know that HazMat is regulated by gross weight?
- A. No, I was not aware of that. That's not what I was taught.
  - Q. Do you dispute that?
  - A. Yes. The bill-of-ladings that we were given and we were taught by show the explosive weight and the net weight of the product. They regulate it under the explosive weight is what we were told by DOT from the Federal. That's why that has that separated out in the bill-of-lading.
- MR. JONES: If I could just have a second, Your Honor.
- 22 (Discussion off the record.)
- MR. JONES: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?
- 25 ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: You may.

MR. JONES: I'm going to show counsel here first.

MR. LUXENBURG: For a change. Thank you.

## BY MR. JONES:

2.1

- Q. Mr. Kaltreider, I'd like to refer your attention here. If I could just have you read out this section here, 172.504(c) and (1), please.
- A. "Exception for less than 454 kilograms, 1,001 pounds. Except for bulk packagings and hazardous materials subject to 172.505, when hazardous materials covered by Table 2 of this section are transported by highway or rail, placards are not required on, (1) a transport vehicle or freight container which contains less than 454 kilograms or 1,001 pounds aggregate gross weight of hazardous materials covered by Table 2 of Paragraph E of this section."
- Q. Okay. So that's referring to aggregate. And that's gross weight, correct?
- A. No. Aggregate is the actual explosive content. Aggregate is -- what you are carrying is -- the radioactive or the hazardous material is your aggregate.

Q. Would you read that definition for 1 2 "Gross Weight" in the same book? 3 Α. Right here? 4 And would you read from what section that's in the book there? 5 6 A. It's 171. 7 171.8, and then to the definition of 8 "Gross Weight," yes, please. 9 "171.8, Definitions and Abbreviations. 10 Gross weight or gross mass means the weight of a packaging plus the weight of its contents." 11 12 Q. Okay. Thank you. 13 Mr. Kaltreider, I'm sorry. I left one piece out here. 14 You read from the first -- the first 15 16 thing you read out of the book here, out of this 17 172.504, here it continues for the charts that 18 was referred to what you read to. 19 Chart 2, I just want to refer you to 20 Chart 2. Do you see the 1.4 explosive there? 2.1 Α. Uh-huh. 22 In Table 2? 23 Q. Yes.

The 1.4 explosive?

24

25

Α.

Q. Yes.

171 1 Α. Uh-huh. 2 So that's there in Chart 2; is that 3 correct? 4 A. Uh-huh. 5 Q. Okay. Thank you. MR. JONES: That's all the questions I 6 have, Your Honor. 7 8 ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Any redirect? 9 MR. LUXENBURG: Briefly, if I could look at that book for one more second. 10 11 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. LUXENBURG: 13 Q. Brian, you said you saw the original signed report that was given? 14 15

- A. Yeah. Stephen had showed me that when he got to the house.
  - Q. So you saw a copy of the original?
  - I saw the original that he had. Α.
- 19 And was that copy signed by the officer 20 or Mr. Fox?
  - A. Neither one.

16

17

18

2.1

- Neither one had signed? Q.
- 23 Α. That's what Stephen didn't understand.
- 24 Similar to the copy you saw today, it Ο. 25 was unsigned?

A. Correct.

2.1

Q. I want to refer you back -
MR. LUXENBURG: May I approach, Your

Honor?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: You may.

- Q. -- back to the section. I believe that you were asked to read Section 172.504,
  Subsection C. I just want to confirm that was the section.
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Just go ahead and read that first...
- A. "Exception for less than 454 kilograms, 1,001 pounds. Except for bulk packagings and hazardous materials," --
  - Q. Keep going.
- A. -- "subject to Section 172.505, when hazardous materials covered by Table 2 of this section are transported by highway or rail, placards are not required."
- Q. Then it references here, "A transport vehicle or freight container which contains less than 454 kilograms, 1,001."

So this section refers only to categories of under 1,001 pounds, correct?

A. Of aggregate explosives.

```
Q. And you heard the officer testify that
1
2
     the aggregate weight was actually 2,000,
3
     correct?
4
          A. Correct, right.
5
               MR. LUXENBURG: So, therefore, this
6
     section would not apply.
7
               Nothing further, Your Honor.
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Recross?
8
9
               MR. JONES: Your Honor, may I approach
10
     one more time?
11
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: You may.
12
                       RECROSS-EXAMINATION
13
          BY MR. JONES:
          Q. Mr. Kaltreider, that provision that you
14
15
     read for your counsel and for me as well, this
     provision is saying that -- is it not saying
16
17
     that -- or is it saying that for anything less
18
     than 1,001 pounds, placards are not required,
19
     meaning that anything over 1,001 pounds a placard
20
     would be required?
2.1
               MR. LUXENBURG: Objection. It's a
22
     document that it can speak for itself.
23
               MR. JONES: His understanding, Your
```

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Overruled.

24

25

Honor.

You asked questions regarding it, so...

2.1

- A. It's making a statement of the aggregate explosive, whether or not it's 454 kilograms or 1,001 pounds, is what it's referring to.
- Q. So if it's less than -- does it say that if it's less than 1,001 pounds or 454 kilograms that a placard would not be required?
- A. Correct. That's the aggregate explosive. That would be -- if we had one, the shipping papers, it will have that and it actually has the aggregate net explosive content weight so that when the DOT officers have that checked, they can have that right there and know where they fall. It's already sectioned down for them.
- Q. As far as that regulation's requirement, if you were to have over the 1,001 pounds, placarding wouldn't be required?
  - A. Of aggregate explosives.
  - Q. Aggregate explosives, yes.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And further, Mr. Kaltreider, here the gross weight difference as measured between what was the measurement taken for the truck and then taken with the truck and the load shows that

the gross weight of the load cargo was approximately 2,000 pounds?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

A. If that's what you're determining.

Just to let you know, though, 2,000 pounds of explosive material would demolish this building. It would be more than what they used at the bombing out in the -- in -- with the -- with the fertilizer.

- Q. But that would be including the weight involved with the packaging, the contents, the boxing?
  - A. That's the 2,000 pounds total.
  - Q. Makes it up total?
    - A. Yeah, yeah.
- 17 Q. Okay.

MR. JONES: That's all I have, Your Honor.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: I have just a couple questions for Mr. Kaltreider.

With respect to the \$200 that you gave to Mr. Fox, I just want to be sure I understand your testimony. It's that you told him specifically that that was for fuel, for cost of

fuel.

2.1

MR. KALTREIDER: Yep.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: I think we heard testimony from the sergeant, perhaps, that Mr. Fox had told him that that was to cover things like meals, et cetera?

MR. KALTREIDER: Correct. Both -- both statements were made, that he was given \$200 for the fuel.

That is the only fact that the officers had to show that the load was in commerce, that he was receiving payment.

We were just telling him exactly what we did.

You know, if there's no money transferring hands, there is no -- this doesn't even exist because then commerce doesn't even come into play.

The \$200 is the whole basis that this is even an in commerce question. It was a straight up, "Here's the \$200 for the fuel."

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Well, that -that'll will be an issue for the Commission to
decide in this case.

MR. KALTREIDER: Correct. Yes, ma'am.

```
177
1
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: So I quess
2
     that's why I want to be sure that I'm clear on
3
     what your testimony is with respect to the
4
     purpose of the $200.
5
              MR. KALTREIDER: Yes.
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: It wasn't for
6
7
     compensation, --
8
              MR. KALTREIDER: No.
9
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Whether that
10
     be in the form of just a flat-out payment of cash
11
     or --
12
              MR. KALTREIDER: No.
13
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: -- whether it
     be for meals --
14
15
               MR. KALTREIDER: No.
16
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: -- or other
17
     miscellaneous expenses on the road.
18
               MR. KALTREIDER: No.
19
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: It was
20
     specifically to be used for fuel?
2.1
               MR. KALTREIDER: It was specifically for
22
     fuel.
23
               ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Was the tank
24
     full in Indiana?
25
              MR. KALTREIDER: The tank was full in
```

Proceedings 178 Indiana. When I took it back, I had to put -- it 1

2 was like three-quarters of a tank I had to put 3 into it.

4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Would a full tank have made it out from Indiana to 5 6 Pennsylvania?

7 MR. KALTREIDER: No. That's why I gave 8 him \$200.

9 ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Thank you 10 very much.

MR. KALTREIDER: You're welcome. 11

12 ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: I appreciate 13 your time. You're excused.

14 Any other witnesses, Mr. Luxenburg?

15 MR. LUXENBURG: No, Your Honor.

16 ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Okay. No

17 exhibits to move?

MR. LUXENBURG: No exhibits. 18

19 ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: All right.

20 Okay.

2.1 MR. LUXENBURG: A briefing closing

22 statement.

23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Okay. Let's

24 go off the record.

25 (Discussion off the record.)

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: The parties

have agreed to file simultaneous briefs on April 23rd. Those do need to be filed with the Commission's Docketing Division and served on the other party to the case.

Is there anything else to come before us this afternoon?

MR. JONES: No, Your Honor.

MR. LUXENBURG: No, Your Honor.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT: Hearing nothing, we are adjourned.

Thereupon, at 3:17 on Tuesday, March 5th, 2013, the hearing was concluded.

2.1

180 1 CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF OHIO SS: 3 COUNTY OF LICKING 4 I, Michelle K. Douridas, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and 5 qualified, do hereby certify that this hearing 6 was by me reduced to stenotype; that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the 7 hearing; that the hearing was taken at the time and place in the caption specified and was completed without adjournment; and that I am in no way related to or employed by any attorney or 9 party hereto, or financially interested in the action, and I am not, nor is ATTORNEY EXAMINER PARROT reporting firm with which I am affiliated, 10 under a contract as defined in Civil Rule 28(D). 11 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set 12 my hand and affixed my seal of office at Columbus, Ohio, on this 18th day of March, 2013. 13 14 15 MICHELLE K. DOURIDAS, RPR NOTARY PUBLIC-STATE OF OHIO 16 17 My Commission Expires: July 16th, 2013. 18 19 20 21 22 2.3 24 25

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

3/19/2013 2:25:04 PM

in

Case No(s). 12-1163-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript in the matter of the Brian Kaltreider hearing scheduled on 03/05/13 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Mrs. Michelle Douridas