## Charlene Rundo

1922 Mears Avenue - Cíncinnati, Ohio 45230 - Phone: 513-232-1794

March 13, 2013

PUCO
Case Numbers: 12-1682-EL-AIR \& 12-1685-GA-AIR
Attention: Docketing Division
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Dear PUCO:
I oppose the proposed distribution rate increases and additional riders for Duke Energy (Duke). I recently reviewed Duke's rate increase proposal. In essence, it says that Duke's competitors (the generation portion of my gas and electric bills) have become more efficient, but Duke (the distribution portion) has become less efficient:
... Duke Energy Ohio customers are paying about 45 percent less for natural gas than they were in 2006 and 18 percent less than in 2011. ...
... this proposed rate increase will reimburse the company for its significant incremental investment in the gas distribution system and the higher costs to operate and maintain the system that delivers gas to customers. [underline mine]

Should inefficiency be rewarded? My understanding of capitalism is that company shareholders should bear the costs of a company's mistakes, not its customers. I would perceive a rate increase to be a hardship for the many (customers) to benefit the few (shareholders)!

Please note the enclosed copy of my Duke Energy expenses for 2012. Overall, the distribution portion of my bills already exceeds the fuel portion!

Sincerely,


Charlene Rundo
Enclosure: spreadsheet analysis of 2012 Duke bills.
cc: FTC
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## Duke Energy Bills 2012

1922 Mears
Gas

| MONTH | CCFS | Distribution | GAS COST | GAS TAX | TOTAL BLLL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 151 | $\$ 47.66$ | $\$ 82.90$ | $\$ 5.39$ | $\$ 130.56$ |
| February | 136 | $\$ 39.50$ | $\$ 74.66$ | $\$ 4.85$ | $\$ 114.16$ |
| March | 89 | $\$ 37.14$ | $\$ 48.86$ | $\$ 3.18$ | $\$ 86.00$ |
| April | 26 | $\$ 33.96$ | $\$ 14.27$ | $\$ 0.93$ | $\$ 48.23$ |
| May | 25 | $\$ 34.88$ | $\$ 12.48$ | 0.81 | $\$ 47.36$ |
| June | 25 | $\$ 34.88$ | $\$ 12.48$ | $\$ 0.81$ | $\$ 47.36$ |
| July | 23 | $\$ 35.81$ | $\$ 11.48$ | $\$ 0.75$ | $\$ 47.29$ |
| August* | 21 | $\$ 35.71$ | $\$ 10.48$ | $\$ .68$ | $\$ 46.19$ |
| September | 25 | $\$ 35.91$ | $\$ 12.48$ | $\$ 0.81$ | $\$ 48.33$ |
| October | 23 | $\$ 36.38$ | $\$ 11.48$ | $\$ 0.75$ | $\$ 47.86$ |
| November | 67 | $\$ 39.69$ | $\$ 33.43$ | $\$ 2.17$ | $\$ 73.12$ |
| December | 124 | $\$ 44.12$ | $\$ 61.88$ | $\$ 4.02$ | $\$ 106.00$ |
| ToTALS | 735 | $\$ 455.64$ | $\$ 386.88$ | $\$ 25.15$ | $\$ 842.46$ |

${ }^{*}$ Note that in August, Duke's distribution cost was 77\% of my gas bill.
Distribution: $\$ 455.64 / \$ 842.46=54.08 \%$ of total bill

## Electric

| MoNTH | KWHS | ELEC. DIST. | DISTRIBUTION | ELEC. GEN. | TOTAL BiLL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 231 | - | $\$ 32.09$ | $\$ 25.38$ | $\$ 57.47$ |
| February | 395 | $\$ 5.50$ | $\$ 14.77$ | $\$ 26.57$ | $\$ 46.84$ |
| March | 407 | $\$ 5.50$ | $\$ 15.18$ | $\$ 27.38$ | $\$ 48.06$ |
| April | 380 | $\$ 5.50$ | $\$ 14.27$ | $\$ 25.88$ | $\$ 47.75$ |
| May | 374 | $\$ 5.50$ | $\$ 14.08$ | $\$ 25.58$ | $\$ 45.16$ |
| June | 445 | - | $\$ 34.88$ | $\$ 24.88$ | $\$ 49.96$ |
| July | 977 | - | $\$ 47.99$ | $\$ 54.61$ | $\$ 102.60$ |
| August | 682 | - | $\$ 35.93$ | $\$ 38.12$ | $\$ 74.05$ |
| September | 531 | - | $\$ 29.77$ | $\$ 29.68$ | $\$ 59.45$ |
| October | 283 | - | $\$ 20.06$ | $\$ 15.82$ | $\$ 35.88$ |
| November | 384 | - | $\$ 24.33$ | $\$ 21.47$ | $\$ 45.80$ |
| December | 455 | - | $\$ 27.32$ | $\$ 25.43$ | $\$ 52.75$ |
| Totals | $\mathbf{5 , 5 4 4}$ | $\$ 22.00$ | $\$ 310.67$ | $\$ 340.80$ | $\$ 665.77$ |

Distribution: $\$ 22.00+\$ 310.67=\$ 332.67 / \$ 665.77=49.96 \%$ of total bill

## Total Distribution to Duke Energy

$\$ 455.64+\$ 332.67=\$ 788.31$

## Total to Duke Energy

$\$ 842.46+\$ 665.77=\$ 1,508.23$

## Overall \% Distribution: 52.26\%

