BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

Gwendolyn Tandy, :

:

Complainant

:

v. : Case No. 12-2103-GA-CSS

The East Ohio Gas Company: d/b/a Dominion East Ohio,:

:

Respondent :

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

before Ms. Greta See, Hearing Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-C, Columbus, Ohio, called at 11:30 a.m. on Thursday, February 28, 2013.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201

(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

Fax - (614) 224-5724

- - -

```
2
 1
      APPEARANCES:
             Whitt Sturtevant, LLP
 2
             By Mr. Gregory L. Williams
             The KeyBank Building
 3
             88 East Broad Street, Suite 1590
 4
             Columbus, Ohio 43215
 5
                  On behalf of The East Ohio Gas Company
                  d/b/a Dominion East Ohio.
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Thursday Morning Session, February 28, 2013.

- - -

EXAMINER SEE: Scheduled for hearing today is Case No. 12-2103-GA-CSS -- I'm sorry, wait a second. What did I say?

(Record read.)

EXAMINER SEE: Being entitled Gwendolyn
Tandy versus East Ohio Gas Company doing business as
Dominion East Ohio.

On behalf of Dominion East Ohio.

MR. WILLIAMS: On behalf of Dominion East Ohio, Gregory Williams of Whitt Sturtevant, KeyBank Building, 88 East Broad Street, Suite 1590, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

EXAMINER SEE: And I would note for the record that Ms. Tandy is not present. She contacted me, left a message on my voice mail this morning at approximately 8:35 a.m. and indicated that she had an emergency and would need to reschedule without further details.

I would note for the record that this is the second time that this hearing has been scheduled and that Ms. Tandy failed to appear.

Mr. Williams.

2.1

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, your Honor. At this time I would like to make a motion to preserve Dominion's rights on the record. We would like to move the Commission to dismiss this complaint with prejudice. Dominion understands and agrees that complainants should be allowed their day in court; however, this is Ms. Tandy's third opportunity, in fact, to prosecute this complaint before the Commission. I'd like to have —

2.0

2.1

EXAMINER SEE: Refresh my memory,

Mr. Williams. You say this is the third opportunity?

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, ma'am. On

November 27th, the Bench issued an entry for this complaint, along with a companion complaint against Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company. Both complaints were to be held on the same day; CEI was to go first in the morning.

Ms. Tandy was an hour late for her CEI hearing which pushed back the start date -- or, start time for DEO. She didn't inform either the Commission or Dominion that she had limited availability that day and so could not attend the afternoon session which was her complaint against DEO, and so that was Ms. Tandy's first opportunity to prosecute this case.

EXAMINER SEE: And you're talking about that time in addition to this instance and the previously-scheduled hearing where she did not even --

MR. WILLIAMS: Right.

2.1

EXAMINER SEE: -- appear.

MR. WILLIAMS: Right.

EXAMINER SEE: Okay.

MR. WILLIAMS: And I would like the record to reflect that at each point in the process, Dominion's witness, Ms. Roxie Edwards, was prepared and present for at least the first hearing which was scheduled for January 15th, and the second hearing which was scheduled for February 6th. She traveled from Cleveland to Columbus, prepared to give her testimony, but because of Ms. Tandy's failure to appear the first time on January 15th -- or, rather, failure to prosecute because she had limited availability, and because of Ms. Tandy's failure to appear on February 6th, Ms. Roxie Edwards was not able to present her testimony.

I would also like the record to reflect that Ms. Edwards was prepared today to give her testimony today. She was halfway on her way from Cleveland to Columbus, but was advised by the

Commission that because Ms. Tandy would not be present, her presence was also not necessary and so she turned around and went back home.

2.1

EXAMINER SEE: Just for the record,
Mr. Williams, you are correct in that yes, I
contacted Ms. Edwards, this morning, as soon as I
learned that Ms. Tandy would not be here and we would
not be going forward with the hearing on the merits.

MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. And then with regard to the motion to dismiss with prejudice, the Supreme Court has held that it is within a tribunal's discretion to dismiss a case with prejudice for failure to prosecute. It is reviewed at -- an abuse of discretion standard. It is permissible, nevertheless, and the Supreme Court has held, and I can give you the case number if you'd like it, that it is not an abuse of discretion for a tribunal to dismiss an action with prejudice for lack of prosecution when the plaintiff voluntarily fails to appear at hearing without explanation and his location is unknown.

EXAMINER SEE: Okay. And I assume that you're going to give me a copy of that, Mr. Williams.

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, ma'am.

EXAMINER SEE: Along with a motion? Do

```
7
     you have a written motion you want to --
 1
 2
                  MR. WILLIAMS: It was an oral motion.
                                                          Ιf
 3
     it will please the Bench, I can make it a written
 4
     motion and submit it after the hearing.
                  EXAMINER SEE: How quickly could you
 5
     submit that?
 6
 7
                  MR. WILLIAMS: Forty-eight hours. Is
 8
     that sufficient?
9
                  EXAMINER SEE: Monday? Is that --
                  MR. WILLIAMS: I would -- end of the day
10
     tomorrow; Friday. But if Monday is better for the
11
12
     Bench, that'd be better for me.
                  EXAMINER SEE: Monday is acceptable for
13
     the Bench or any time sooner if your schedule allows.
14
                  If there is nothing further, the hearing
15
16
     is adjourned.
17
                  (Thereupon, the proceedings concluded at
18
     11:39 a.m.)
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Thursday, February 28, 2013, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Carolyn M. Burke, Registered Professional Reporter, and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

My commission expires July 17, 2013.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/14/2013 10:39:15 AM

in

Case No(s). 12-2103-GA-CSS

Summary: Transcript in the matter of Gwendolyn Tandy vs The East Ohio Gas Company hearing held on 02/28/13 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Burke, Carolyn M. Mrs.