## Case No. 13-0439-EL-REN Trek Thomas C Staff Interrogatories – Initial Set

Question 1: Section I.a of the application claims that the nameplate capacity of this facility is 18.10 kW, but based on the provided module count (87) and number of watts per module (260 watts) the capacity would be 31.32 kW. Please explain.

Answer 1: The number of panels (87) times the watts per module (260) is actually **22.62**, which should have been entered as the nameplate capacity of this facility.

Thank you.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

3/6/2013 7:07:52 PM

in

Case No(s). 13-0439-EL-REN

Summary: Reply in the matter of the incorrect nameplate capacity for Trek Thomas C electronically filed by Mr. Gary Lakritz on behalf of Trek Thomas C