

Troupe, Tanowa

①

From: ContactThePUCO
Sent: Friday, March 01, 2013 6:04 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: Docketing

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
Investigation and Audit Division

Memorandum

Date: 3/1/2013

Re: Alan Carney
325 E Xenia Dr
Fairborn, OH 45324

PUCO

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
2013 MAR -4 PM 3:00

Docketing Case No.:

12-426-EL-SSO

Notes:

Please docket the following in the case number above. Thank you.

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

Dayton Power and Light proposed rate increase and service rider charge

I wish to express my firm opposition to DP&L's request for any rate increase or service rider charge to local DP&L customers. DP&L has both a fixed monthly customer charge and a distribution charge based on monthly KWHr electric use to cover their distribution service costs regardless of the electric generation source chosen by the customer.

In a recent meeting in Dayton, Ohio an argument by a DP&L spokesperson stated that "the company" is loosing "customers" and revenue from customers "switching" from DP&L and need the proposed rate increase in order to "compete". This is false, DP&L customers CANNOT "switch" from DP&L, but only change their electric generation provider such as DPL Energy, First Energy, AEP, etc.

DP&L is now ONLY a local electric distribution service provider and NOT an electric generation provider such as DPL Energy, a separate corporation !!!! DP&L has a captive monopoly over 500,000 customers attached to their Dayton area electric distribution grid and does NOT have to "compete" with anyone !!!

This gets to the real issue of "the company" AES Corporation which spent over \$3.5 Billion in 2011 to TAKE-OVER the original DP&L, then split it up into DP&L and DPL Energy as totally separate subsidiaries of giant AES Corporation. AES Corp has provided NO USEFUL benefit to local DP&L customers except to cause DP&L to provide a more inferior level of maintenance distribution service to increase the AES profits and pay for the \$3.5 Billion buyout !!! The proposed DP&L revenue of \$600 Million will be largely used to benefit parent company AES and their stockholders and NOT local DP&L customers.

Again I urge the OHIO PUCO to reject the proposed DP&L rate or surcharge increase.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business
Technician fe Date Processed MAR 04 2013