| OCC | <b>EXHIBIT</b> | $\Gamma N\Omega$ |  |
|-----|----------------|------------------|--|
| occ | CANIDI         | I IVO.           |  |

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

| In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for an Increase in Electric Distribution Rates. | ) | Case No. 12-1682-EL-AIR |
|---|---|-------------------------|
| In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for Tariff Approval.                            | ) | Case No. 12-1683-EL-ATA |
| In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for Approval to Change Accounting Methods.      | ) | Case No. 12-1684-EL-AAM |

#### (PUBLIC VERSION)

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JAMES E. GOULD

On Behalf of The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 (614) 466-8574

February 19, 2013

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

|       |        | Page   |
|-------|--------|--|
| I.    | INTRO  | DUCTION  |
| II.   | PURPO  | OSE OF TESTIMONY   |
| III.  | PROPE  | ERTY TAX EXPENSE   |
| IV.   | NON-J  | URISDICTIONAL EXPENSES9  |
| V.    | UNCL   | AIMED FUNDS  |
| VI.   | CONC   | LUSION14   |
|       |        |  |
|       |        |  |
|       |        | SCHEDULES  |
| JEG-C | -3.8a  | Personal Property Tax Adjustment   |
| JEG-C | -3.8b  | Real Property Tax Adjustment   |
| JEG-C | 2-3.8c | Total Property Tax Adjustment  |
| JEG-C | -3.14a | Non-Jurisdictional Expense Adjustment  |
| JEG-B | -6.1a  | Unclaimed Funds Adjustment   |
|       |        | A THE A CAN AND VEC  |
|       |        | ATTACHMENTS  |
| JEG-1 |        | Duke Response to OCC Interrogatory No. 15  |
| JEG-2 |        | Staff Data Request 14-001 Attachment, Balance Sheet Detail For Schedule B-6, page 3 of 3 |
| JEG-3 |        | Duke Response to OCC-01-008, Attachment (a)  |
| JEG-4 |        | Duke Response to OCC-01-008, Attachment (b)  |

| 1  | I.          | INTRODUCTION  |
|----|-------------|---|
| 2  |             |   |
| 3  | <i>Q1</i> . | PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, ADDRESS AND POSITION.                                     |
| 4  | <i>A1</i> . | My name is James E. Gould. My business address is 10 West Broad Street, Suite     |
| 5  |             | 1800, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485. I am employed by the Office of the Ohio          |
| 6  |             | Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") as a Senior Regulatory Analyst.                        |
| 7  |             |   |
| 8  | Q2.         | WHAT IS YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND?  |
| 9  | <i>A2</i> . | I earned a Master of Business Administration degree from Ashland University in    |
| 10 |             | 2002. I also obtained a Bachelor of Arts degree from Ohio State University in     |
| 11 |             | 2004 and a Bachelor of Science degree from Franklin University in 1994.           |
| 12 |             |   |
| 13 | <i>Q3</i> . | PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR WORK EXPERIENCE.  |
| 14 | <i>A3</i> . | I was first employed by the OCC from April of 2010 to February 2011 as a Senior   |
| 15 |             | Regulatory Analyst. After a break in service, I was re-employed in December of    |
| 16 |             | 2012, again as a Senior Regulatory Analyst. Prior to my employment with the       |
| 17 |             | OCC, I worked for the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO" or              |
| 18 |             | "Commission") from January 1987 until April 2008. During my tenure with the       |
| 19 |             | Staff of the Commission ("Staff"), I held various positions of increasing         |
| 20 |             | responsibilities including Utility Examiner, Utility Specialist II, and Utility   |
| 21 |             | Specialist III. During my time at the PUCO, I served as the Commission's rate     |
| 22 |             | case manager. In that position I coordinated the filing and processing of utility |
| 23 |             | company requests for rate increases. I also reviewed utility company filings for  |

| 1                               |             | increases in rates for compliance with Commission regulations. Additionally, I  |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|
| 2                               |             | investigated and processed utility company requests seeking Commission  |
| 3                               |             | authority to increase rates. My current duties as an OCC Senior Regulatory  |
| 4                               |             | Analyst include investigation and analysis of utility applications for increases in   |
| 5                               |             | rates. I also participate on special projects and investigations of utility filings in  |
| 6                               |             | the electric, gas, and water industries.  |
| 7                               |             |   |
| 8                               | <i>Q4</i> . | HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED IN UTILITY REGULATORY   |
| 9                               |             | PROCEEDINGS?  |
| 10                              | A4.         | No.   |
|                                 |             |   |
| 11                              |             |   |
| <ul><li>11</li><li>12</li></ul> | <i>Q5</i> . | WHAT HAVE YOU RELIED UPON IN THE PREPARATION OF YOUR  |
|                                 | Q5.         | WHAT HAVE YOU RELIED UPON IN THE PREPARATION OF YOUR TESTIMONY?   |
| 12                              | Q5.         |   |
| 12<br>13                        |             | TESTIMONY?  |
| 12<br>13<br>14                  |             | TESTIMONY?  I reviewed relevant portions of Duke Energy Ohio's ("Duke") Application,  |
| 12<br>13<br>14<br>15            |             | TESTIMONY?  I reviewed relevant portions of Duke Energy Ohio's ("Duke") Application,  Standard Filing Requirements and associated workpapers, Duke's Testimony, the   |
| 12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16      |             | TESTIMONY?  I reviewed relevant portions of Duke Energy Ohio's ("Duke") Application,  Standard Filing Requirements and associated workpapers, Duke's Testimony, the  PUCO Staff Report of Investigation ("Staff Report") and associated workpapers,   |
| 12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16      |             | TESTIMONY?  I reviewed relevant portions of Duke Energy Ohio's ("Duke") Application,  Standard Filing Requirements and associated workpapers, Duke's Testimony, the  PUCO Staff Report of Investigation ("Staff Report") and associated workpapers,  and Duke's responses to discovery requests propounded by OCC and requests by |

Direct Testimony of James Gould
On Behalf of the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
PUCO Case No 12-1682-EL-AIR, et al.

| 1  | 11.         | PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY   |
|----|-------------|--|
| 2  |             |  |
| 3  | <i>Q6</i> . | WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS  |
| 4  |             | PROCEEDING?  |
| 5  | A6.         | My testimony supports OCC objections 19, 21, and 4 to the Staff Report, and                |
| 6  |             | addresses the issues raised by those objections as they relate to the determination        |
| 7  |             | of operating income and rate base. Specifically, I will address OCC's objections           |
| 8  |             | related to property tax expense, non-jurisdictional operating expenses, and                |
| 9  |             | unclaimed funds as they relate to the determination of rate base.                          |
| 10 |             |  |
| 11 | III.        | PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE   |
| 12 |             |  |
| 13 | <i>Q7</i> . | DID DUKE INCLUDE PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE IN ITS APPLICATION?                                  |
| 14 | <i>A7</i> . | Yes.   |
| 15 |             |  |
| 16 | <i>Q8</i> . | HOW DID DUKE CALCULATE PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE IN ITS   |
| 17 |             | APPLICATION?   |
| 18 | <i>A8</i> . | In test year adjusted operating expenses, Duke included a total of \$70,542,069 for        |
| 19 |             | property tax expense. <sup>1</sup> Duke calculated this annualized level of property tax   |
| 20 |             | expense by applying estimated assessment valuation percentages of 38.427% and              |
| 21 |             | 11.838% to date certain plant balances as of March 31, 2012. <sup>2</sup> Duke derived the |
|    |             |  |

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Duke Workpaper WPC-3.8a.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Duke Workpapers WPC-3.8a and WPC-3.8b.

Direct Testimony of James Gould On Behalf of the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel PUCO Case No 12-1682-EL-AIR, et al.

| 1  |             | estimated assessment valuation percentages by dividing its assessed property tax         |
|----|-------------|--|
| 2  |             | values (taken from Duke's Ohio Department of Taxation, 2011 Valuation Notice)            |
| 3  |             | by the book value of certain plant balances as of December 31, 2010, as shown on         |
| 4  |             | Duke's 2010 FERC Form 1. <sup>3</sup> Duke then multiplied the plant balances subject to |
| 5  |             | taxation by Duke's current average personal property tax rate of \$89.058 per            |
| 6  |             | thousand dollars of valuation to arrive at the estimated total property tax expense      |
| 7  |             | of \$70,542,069. <sup>4</sup>  |
| 8  |             |  |
| 9  | <i>Q9</i> . | DID THE STAFF MAKE ANY ADJUSTMENTS TO PROPERTY TAX                                       |
| 10 |             | EXPENSE?   |
| 11 | A9.         | Yes.   |
| 12 |             |  |
| 13 | Q10.        | WHAT ADJUSTMENTS DID THE STAFF MAKE TO DUKE'S PROPERTY                                   |
| 14 |             | TAX EXPENSE CALCULATION?   |
| 15 | A10.        | The Staff's adjustment to Duke's estimate of property tax expense is shown on            |
| 16 |             | Schedule C-3.8 of the Staff Report. That schedule shows that the Staff estimated         |
| 17 |             | total property tax expense of \$71,284,157 – a \$4,690,357 increase to test year         |
| 18 |             | property tax expense. The Staff used the same methodology as that used by Duke           |
| 19 |             | in its estimate of total property tax expense. However, the Staff's adjustment           |

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Calculations on Duke Workpaper WPC-3.8b.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Duke Workpaper WPC-3.8a.

Direct Testimony of James Gould
On Behalf of the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
PUCO Case No 12-1682-EL-AIR, et al.

| 1  |              | includes "the latest rates and valuation percentages" applied to certain plant      |
|----|--------------|---|
| 2  |              | balances as of March 31, 2012.  |
| 3  |              |   |
| 4  |              | The Staff's use of updated plant assessment values and the latest known             |
| 5  |              | distribution, general, and common plant balances as of December 31, 2011, taken     |
| 6  |              | from Duke's 2011 FERC Form 1, resulted in the Staff's calculation of higher         |
| 7  |              | assessment valuation percentages than Duke's calculations. When applied to          |
| 8  |              | adjusted date certain plant balances, the Staff's calculations resulted in a larger |
| 9  |              | estimate of property tax expense. In addition, the Staff's property tax expense     |
| 10 |              | adjustment, as shown on Staff Report Schedule C-3.8, blends both personal           |
| 11 |              | property plant and real property plant together and then applies the higher Duke-   |
| 12 |              | calculated personal property average tax rate of \$89.058 per thousand dollars of   |
| 13 |              | valuation to both property categories. As a result, the Staff arrived at an         |
| 14 |              | overstated annualized level of property tax expense. Thus, the Staff is proposing   |
| 15 |              | a level of property tax expense that is too high for including in the rates that    |
| 16 |              | customers would pay.  |
| 17 |              |   |
| 18 | <i>Q11</i> . | DO YOU AGREE WITH THE STAFF'S PROPERTY TAX CALCULATIONS?                            |
| 19 | A11.         | No.   |
| 20 |              |   |

<sup>5</sup> Staff Report at 12.

-

Direct Testimony of James Gould On Behalf of the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel PUCO Case No 12-1682-EL-AIR, et al.

# 1 Q12. HOW DOES YOUR CALCULATION OF PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE

#### **DIFFER FROM THE STAFF'S CALCULATION?**

A12. My calculation of total property tax expense differs significantly from the Staff's calculation. First, my calculation includes a separate calculation for both personal property tax expense and real property tax expense. Those calculations are shown on Schedules JEG-C-3.8a and JEG-C-3.8b, respectively. Performing a separate calculation for the property categories allows the proper assignment of Duke's personal property average tax rate of \$89.058<sup>6</sup> to personal property taxable plant values and Duke's real property average tax rate of \$56.428<sup>7</sup> per \$1,000 to the real property taxable plant values. By performing separate calculations, I was able to apply the correct tax rate to the correct property category, resulting in a more accurate estimate of Duke's property tax expense than that calculated by the Staff.

Second, the Staff's adjustment includes a calculation of an estimated assessment valuation percentage of 38.855% that it derived by dividing the assessed value of distribution plant by the book value of distribution plant, as of December 31, 2011. The only plant category that the Staff used to develop this assessment valuation percentage was distribution plant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Duke Workpaper WPC-3.8c.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Duke response to Staff-DR-25-001, Attachment (a).

#### Direct Testimony of James Gould On Behalf of the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel PUCO Case No 12-1682-EL-AIR, et al.

| 1  | Additionally, the Staff calculated an assessment valuation percentage of 12.965%,          |
|----|--|
| 2  | which was calculated by dividing the assessed value of both general and common             |
| 3  | plant by the book value of general and common plant as of December 31, 2011.               |
| 4  | The Staff in its calculation of property tax expense applied the higher assessment         |
| 5  | valuation percentage of 38.855% to common plant to arrive at that plant's taxable          |
| 6  | value. I disagree with the Staff's assignment of its calculated distribution               |
| 7  | assessment valuation percentage of 38.855% to common plant. This calculation               |
| 8  | overstates the taxable value of common plant and therefore results in an                   |
| 9  | inappropriately elevated annualized level of property tax expense that would be            |
| 10 | unreasonable for setting the rates that customers would pay.                               |
| 11 |  |
| 12 | Third, during my investigation of property tax in this case, I requested that Duke         |
| 13 | provide the assessment valuation percentage for real property broken down into             |
| 14 | the individual plant categories of Distribution, General, and Common. Included             |
| 15 | in Schedule JEG-C-3.8b <sup>8</sup> are Duke's calculated assessment valuation percentages |
| 16 | provided in response to my request. Those real property assessment valuation               |
| 17 | percentages of 5.725% and 14.703% are much lower than the personal property                |
| 18 | assessment valuation percentage of 38.855%, which the Staff used to calculate              |
| 19 | real property taxable plant value. In my calculation of real property tax expense,         |
| 20 | shown on Schedule JEG-C-3.8b, I applied the Duke calculated valuation                      |
| 21 | percentages of 5.725% and 14.703% to distribution and general and common real              |

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 8}$  Duke response to OCC-INT-18-188, Attachment page 1 of 1.

| 1  |      | plant balances, respectively. Thus, my calculation of real property tax expense       |
|----|------|---|
| 2  |      | includes the assessment valuation percentages Duke calculated specifically for        |
| 3  |      | real property. This calculation of real property expense, as shown on Schedule        |
| 4  |      | JEG-C-3.8b, produces a more accurate estimate of this expense than that               |
| 5  |      | calculated by the Staff.  |
| 6  |      |   |
| 7  | Q13. | ARE THERE ADDITIONAL DIFFERENCES IN YOUR CALCULATION OF                               |
| 8  |      | PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE FROM THE STAFF'S CALCULATION?                                    |
| 9  | A13. | Yes.  |
| 10 |      |   |
| 11 | Q14. | WHAT ARE THOSE ADDITIONAL DIFFERENCES?  |
| 12 | A14. | In my calculation of property tax expense, I calculated a taxable property            |
| 13 |      | valuation balance for four different plant categories – (1) Distribution, (2)         |
| 14 |      | General, (3) Common, and (4) Material & Supplies. The taxable value of each           |
| 15 |      | plant category was calculated by multiplying the appropriate assessment rate          |
| 16 |      | taken from the most current Ohio Department of Taxation, 2012 Valuation Notice        |
| 17 |      | to the true value for each of the four plant categories. Those calculations are       |
| 18 |      | shown on Schedule JEG-C-3.8a.   |
| 19 |      |   |
| 20 |      | The true value for each plant category was taken from Duke's 2012 Ohio Property       |
| 21 |      | Annual Tax Report, filed with and approved by the Ohio Department of Taxation         |
| 22 |      | I then divided the taxable value of each of the four plant categories by that plant's |
| 23 |      | ending balance as shown on Duke's 2012 Ohio Property Annual Tax Report, to            |

| 1  |      | derive my "Taxable Value to Plant Value" percentages. Those calculated                |
|----|------|---|
| 2  |      | percentages were then applied to the Staff-adjusted distribution plant balances.      |
| 3  |      | My calculation of the Staff-adjusted distribution plant balances includes the         |
| 4  |      | removal of real property plant balances shown on Schedule JEG-C-3.8b. I               |
| 5  |      | excluded real property plant balances from my calculation of personal property        |
| 6  |      | tax expense so as not to include the taxable value of real property twice in my       |
| 7  |      | calculation of total personal and real property tax expense. I then applied Duke's    |
| 8  |      | personal property tax rate of \$89.058 per \$1,000 to the adjusted plant allocated to |
| 9  |      | "Distribution Taxable Value," listed on Schedule JEG-C-3.8a, to arrive at my          |
| 10 |      | estimate of the Duke's personal property tax expense.                                 |
| 11 |      |   |
| 12 |      | My estimate of total personal and real property tax expense is shown on Schedule      |
| 13 |      | JEG-C-3.8c. That schedule shows an adjusted total property tax expense of             |
| 14 |      | \$66,034,635, which is lower than the expense proposed by Duke and the PUCO           |
| 15 |      | Staff, meaning that the rates paid by customers would be lower using my               |
| 16 |      | proposal. I provided this amount to OCC Witness Soliman, as reflected on OCC          |
| 17 |      | Schedule C-3.8 of his testimony.  |
| 18 |      |   |
| 19 | IV.  | NON-JURISDICTIONAL EXPENSES   |
| 20 |      |   |
| 21 | Q15. | DID THE STAFF MAKE AN ADJUSTMENT TO NON-JURISDICTIONAL                                |
| 22 |      | EXPENSES?   |

| 1  | A15. | Yes. Through its Schedule C-3.14, the Staff excluded \$618,056 of expenses as     |
|----|------|---|
| 2  |      | "non-jurisdictional expenses." This is the same level of expense excluded by      |
| 3  |      | Duke for non-jurisdictional expense in its application on Schedule C-3.14.        |
| 4  |      | Included in the expenses disallowed by the Staff were costs for industry          |
| 5  |      | association dues, advertising, and other expenses the Staff deemed inappropriate  |
| 6  |      | for determining electric distribution rates that Duke's customers will pay.       |
| 7  |      |   |
| 8  | Q16. | DO YOU AGREE WITH THE STAFF'S ADJUSTMENT?   |
| 9  | A16. | I agree with the exclusion of \$618,056 identified by the Staff. But I also       |
| 10 |      | recommend the exclusion of an additional \$122,732 in expenses from test year     |
| 11 |      | operating expenses, for a total exclusion of \$740,788. The additional expenses I |
| 12 |      | recommend be removed from the test year are expenses for corporate community      |
| 13 |      | relations and governmental affairs-federal. My total non-jurisdictional expenses  |
| 14 |      | adjustment of \$740,788 is shown on Schedule JEG-C-3.14a. I provided this         |
| 15 |      | adjustment to OCC Witness Soliman, as shown on OCC Schedule C-3.14.               |
| 16 |      |   |
| 17 | Q17. | WHY HAVE YOU EXCLUDED CORPORATE COMMUNITY RELATIONS                               |
| 18 |      | AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS-FEDERAL EXPENSES FROM TEST                               |
| 19 |      | YEAR OPERATING EXPENSE?   |
| 20 | A17. | I excluded corporate community relations expense from test year operating         |
| 21 |      | expenses because Duke incurred these costs for the administration and             |

Direct Testimony of James Gould On Behalf of the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel PUCO Case No 12-1682-EL-AIR, et al.

| 1  | management of various Duke-sponsored charity programs and activities. <sup>9</sup> A           |
|----|--|
| 2  | review of these expenses shows that they include the salary expense for the Duke               |
| 3  | Foundation Manager who oversees the operation of the Duke Foundation,                          |
| 4  | including its programs and processes that support Duke philanthropy. 10 Based on               |
| 5  | a Supreme Court decision, <sup>11</sup> the Commission has held that charitable                |
| 6  | contributions are not a cost to the utility for the rendition of public utility                |
| 7  | service. <sup>12</sup> Costs associated with charitable donations should not be included in    |
| 8  | operating expenses and, therefore, should not be paid to the utility by customers.             |
| 9  |  |
| 10 | Duke's Governmental Affairs-Federal Expenses do not provide a direct and                       |
| 11 | primary benefit to customers. Additionally, these expenditures should be                       |
| 12 | excluded because they support lobbying-related activities. Indeed, a review of                 |
| 13 | these expenditures shows that some of them were used to support the efforts of                 |
| 14 | federal and state governmental affairs to effectively manage, on behalf of the                 |
| 15 | corporation, the political strategy surrounding political action committee,                    |
| 16 | corporate and other political contributions to elected officials. <sup>13</sup> The Commission |

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Duke response to OCC-INT-01-008, Attachment (b) (see Attachment JEG-4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Duke response to OCC-INT-01-008, Attachment (a) (see Attachment JEG-3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Cleveland v. Public Utilities Commission, 63 Ohio St. 2d 62, 406 N.E.2d 1370 (1980).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> In the Matter of the Application of the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company for Authority to Amend and Increase Certain of its Filed Schedules Fixing Rates and Charges for Electric Service, Case No. 78-677-EL-AIR, Order on Rehearing at 7 (Jan. 21, 1981).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Duke response to OCC-INT-01-008, Attachments (a) and (b) (see Attachments JEG-3 and JEG-4).

Direct Testimony of James Gould On Behalf of the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel PUCO Case No 12-1682-EL-AIR, et al.

| 1  |      | has consistently excluded lobbying-related expenses in numerous proceedings,    |
|----|------|---|
| 2  |      | and should protect customers from paying those expenses to Duke. <sup>14</sup>  |
| 3  |      |   |
| 4  | V.   | UNCLAIMED FUNDS   |
| 5  |      |   |
| 6  | Q18. | DID THE STAFF INCLUDE UNCLAIMED FUNDS AS AN OFFSET TO                           |
| 7  |      | RATE BASE ON SCHEDULE B-6, OTHER RATE BASE ITEMS?                               |
| 8  | A18. | No. The Staff did not reduce rate base by the balance of unclaimed funds as of  |
| 9  |      | date certain despite the Commission's previous determination that these funds   |
| 10 |      | should be excluded from rate base to ensure that Duke's investors do not earn a |
| 11 |      | return on non-investor supplied funds. 15                                       |
| 12 |      |   |
| 13 | Q19. | WHAT DO YOU PROPOSE WITH REGARD TO THE UNCLAIMED FUNDS                          |
| 14 |      | BALANCE?  |
| 15 | A19. | I propose that the unclaimed funds balance be used to reduce rate base. This    |
| 16 |      | adjustment should have been included on Schedule B-6, Other Rate Base Items of  |
| 17 |      | the Staff Report.   |
| 18 |      |   |
|    |      |   |

<sup>14</sup> Ohio Bell Telephone Company, Case No. 79-1184-TP-AIR, (Entry on Rehearing); Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company, Case No. 80-476-TP-AIR, Opinion and Order (May 19, 1981); Ohio Bell Telephone Company, Case No. 81-436-TP-AIR, Opinion and Order at 21 (April 21, 1982).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Columbus Southern Power Company, Case No. 91-418-EL-AIR, Opinion and Order at 44-45 (May 12, 1992).

Direct Testimony of James Gould On Behalf of the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel PUCO Case No 12-1682-EL-AIR, et al.

## Q20. WHY ARE YOU PROPOSING SUCH AN ADJUSTMENT?

A20. Rate base represents the investment (i.e., plant and other assets) upon which a utility's investors are allowed the opportunity to earn a return. <sup>16</sup> If the funds for the investment are provided to the utility from sources other than investors, then rate base should be reduced to recognize those other sources. For example, the rational for excluding customer deposits from rate base is to ensure that Duke's investors do not earn a return on non-investor supplied funds and to ensure that Duke's customers do not pay a return on non-investor supplied funds. <sup>17</sup> Since unclaimed funds are not supplied by investors, they should also be excluded from rate base.

11

12

13

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

# Q21. HOW DID YOU ARRIVE AT YOUR ADJUSTMENT TO RATE BASE FOR

### UNCLAIMED FUNDS?

14 A21. Through discovery, Duke responded that it had an unclaimed fund balance at date
15 certain of \$207,252 (see Attachment JEG-1). In that same discovery response,
16 Duke stated that it did not track unclaimed funds by electric and gas operations.
17 As such, I have calculated an allocation factor developed from balances shown in
18 Duke's account titled "Special Customer Deposits," which was provided in
19 response to the Staff's Data Request-14-001 (see Attachment JEG-2). I then
20 applied this calculated allocation factor of 67.0053% to Duke's total unclaimed

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> See. Staff Report at 4 for the Staff's explanation of rate base.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> See, Duke Witness Laub testimony at 8 (July 20, 2012) for an explanation that customer service deposits "provide the Company with a source of capital."

| 1  |      | funds balance of \$207,252 to arrive at my exclusion of \$138,870 to Duke's rate   |
|----|------|--|
| 2  |      | base. This adjustment to reduce Duke's rate base is set forth on my Schedule       |
| 3  |      | JEG-B-6.1a, which was provided to OCC Witness Soliman and is reflected on          |
| 4  |      | Schedule OCC-B-6.  |
| 5  |      |  |
| 6  | VI.  | CONCLUSION   |
| 7  |      |  |
| 8  | Q22. | WHAT ARE YOUR RECOMMENDATIONS TO DUKE'S OPERATING                                  |
| 9  |      | INCOME AND RATE BASE?  |
| 10 | A22. | I recommend that the Commission adjust test year operating expense to include an   |
| 11 |      | annualized level of \$66,034,635 for real and personal property tax expense.       |
| 12 |      | Accepting this recommendation would result in a \$559,165 reduction to Duke's      |
| 13 |      | test year operating expense. I also recommend the exclusion of \$618,056 to non-   |
| 14 |      | jurisdictional operating expense shown on Staff's Schedule C-3.14 and an           |
| 15 |      | additional exclusion of \$122,732, for a total exclusion of \$740,788. Finally, I  |
| 16 |      | propose the unclaimed funds balance at date certain of \$138,870 be used to reduce |
| 17 |      | Duke's rate base.  |
| 18 |      |  |
| 19 | Q23. | DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY AT THIS TIME?                                    |
| 20 | A23. | Yes. However, I reserve the right to incorporate new information that may          |
| 21 |      | subsequently become available. I also reserve the right to supplement my           |
| 22 |      | testimony in the event that Duke, the PUCO Staff or other parties submit new or    |
| 23 |      | corrected information, if additional information is provided through discovery     |

- and/or if the PUCO Staff provides testimony and/or changes any of its positions
- 2 made in the Staff Report.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing *Direct Testimony of James E. Gould* (*Public Version*) was served via electronic transmission to the persons listed below on this 19th day of February 2013.

/s/ Terry L. Etter

Terry L. Etter Assistant Consumers' Counsel

#### **PARTIES SERVED**

Amy.Spiller@duke-energy.com
Rocco.DAscenzo@duke-energy.com
Jeanne.Kingery@duke-energy.com
Elizabeth.Watts@duke-energy.com
trent@theoec.org
cathy@theoec.org
tobrien@bricker.com
dboehm@BKLlawfirm.com
mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com
jkyler@BKLlawfirm.com
bojko@carpenterlipps.com
odonnell@carpenterlipps.com
mhpetricoff@vorys.com

John.jones@puc.state.oh.us
Thomas.lindgren@puc.state.oh.us
Ryan.orourke@puc.state.oh.us
dhart@douglasehart.com
cmooney2@columbus.rr.com
whitt@whitt-sturtevant.com
campbell@whitt-sturtevant.com
vparisi@igsenergy.com
mswhite@igsenergy.com
callwein@wamenergylaw.com
tsiwo@bricker.com
mwarnock@bricker.com
asonderman@keglerbrown.com

AEs: <u>Katie.stenman@puc.state.oh.us</u> <u>Christine.Pirik@puc.state.oh.us</u>

Duke Energy Ohio Case No. 12-1682-EL-AIR OCC First Set of Interrogatories Date Received: July 31, 2012

OCC-INT-01-015

#### **REQUEST:**

If the Company has any unclaimed funds as of the date certain in this case, please provide the dollar amount of the unclaimed funds balance as of the date certain.

#### **RESPONSE:**

Total unclaimed funds for Duke Energy Ohio are \$207,251.90. This includes unclaimed funds for customer deposits of \$158,182.16 and for vendor and payroll checks of \$49,069.74. The company does not track these by gas and electric.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE: Peggy Laub

Case No. 12-1682-EL-AIR OCC-INT-01-005 Attachment Page 181 of 243

Duke Energy Ohio Case No. 12-1682-EL-AIR Staff Fourteenth Set Data Requests Date Received: August 3, 2012

STAFF-DR-14-001

## **REQUEST:**

Please provide the balance sheet as of date certain reflecting all items listed on Schedule B-6.

**RESPONSE:** 

See Staff-DR-14-001 Attachment.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE: Peggy Laub

PUCO Case No. 12-1682-EL-AIR Staff-DR-14-001 Attachment Page1 of 3

DUKE ENERGY OHIO
Balance Sheet Detail for Schedule B-6

| Electric      | B-6            |                                       |  |                                       |                                       |  |                                       |   |  |                                       |   |  |                                       |   |  |                                       |   |  |                                       |   |  |                                       |   |  |                                |
|---------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|
| Gas           | <del>8-6</del> | 290 110                               | 49 651                                   | 236.784                               | 558,653                               | 97,391                                   | 333,257                               | 516,112                                 | 138,655                                  | 241.636                               | 644,119                                 | 127,981                                  | 49.881                                | 869,620                                 | 150.384                                  | 126,798                               | 1,087,385                               | 112,736                                  | 168.069                               | 1.426,038                               | 127,191                                  | 110,688                               | 624.669                                 | 174,013                                  | 69,515                         |
| March<br>2012 | YTD (1)        | 290.110                               | 49.651                                   | 236,784                               | 558,653                               | 97,391                                   | 333,257                               | 516,112                                 | 138,655                                  | 241,636                               | 644,119                                 | 127,981                                  | 49,881                                | 869,620                                 | 150,384                                  | 126,798                               | 1,087,385                               | 112,736                                  | 168,069                               | 1,426,038                               | 127,191                                  | 110,688                               | 624,669                                 | 174,013                                  | 396,588                        |
|               | Assets .       | 0182023 - AMRP 2001 Plast Carry Costs | 0182025 - AMRP 2001 Services Carry Costs | 0182031 - AMRP 2002 Steel Carry Costs | 0182033 - AMRP 2002 Plast Carry Costs | 0182035 - AMRP 2002 Services Carry Costs | 0182041 - AMRP 2003 Steel Carry Costs | 0182043 - AMRP 2003 Plastic Carry Costs | 0182045 - AMRP 2003 Services Carry Costs | 0182051 - AMRP 2004 Steel Carry Costs | 0182053 - AMRP 2004 Plastic Carry Costs | 0182055 - AMRP 2004 Services Carry Costs | 0182061 - AMRP 2005 Steel Carry Costs | 0182062 - AMRP 2005 Plastic Carry Costs | 0182063 - AMRP 2005 Services Carry Costs | 0182071 - AMRP 2006 Steel Carry Costs | 0182072 - AMRP 2006 Plastic Carry Costs | 0182073 - AMRP 2006 Services Carry Costs | 0182081 - AMRP 2007 Steel Carry Costs | 0182082 - AMRP 2007 Plastic Carry Costs | 0182083 - AMRP 2007 Services Carry Costs | 0182091 - AMRP 2008 Steel Carry Costs | 0182092 - AMRP 2008 Plastic Carry Costs | 0182093 - AMRP 2008 Services Carry Costs | 0182363 - 2008 SmartGrid PISCC |

| Page2 of 3                            |   |  |  |  |  |                                |                                |  |           |                                       |   |   |  |         |                                       |   |   |  |   |   |  |           |                 |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|---------|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|--|-----------|-----------------|
| 27.586                                | 952 597                                 | 100,300                                | 150,719  | 158,311                                  | 14 547                                 | 271 583                        | 501,352                        | t00'100  | 1,179,360 | 19.736                                | 1 017 059                               | 476 439                                 | 200,000                                | 453,061 | 20,453                                | 675,454                                 | 482.817                                 | 156.027                                | 386                                     | 19 684                                  | 828                                    | 797 365   | 15,796,710      |
| 27,586                                | 952.597                                 | 075097                                 | 61 / nc1   | 158,311                                  | 14.547                                 | 1 668 482                      | 2 949 914                      | 1000 to 100 to 1 | 586,000,0 | 19,736                                | 1.017.059                               | 476 438                                 | 4E9 0E4                                | 453,001 | 20,453                                | 675,454                                 | 482.817                                 | 156,027                                | 386                                     | 19.684                                  | 838                                    | 2.399.049 | 25,362,150      |
| 0182101 - AMRP 2009 Steel Carry Costs | 0182102 - AMRP 2009 Plastic Carry Costs | 0182103 - AMRP 2009 Services Camy Cost | COOPERATION COOPERATION COOPERATION CONTRACTOR CONTRACT | U 18Z 104 - AMKP 2009 Risers Carry Costs | 0182094 - AMRP 2008 Risers Carry Costs | 0182368 - 2009 SmartGrid PISCC | 0182372 - 2010 SmartGrid PISCC | 0182378 - 2011 SmartGrid PISCC   |           | U182116 - AMRP 2010 Steel Carry Costs | 0182117 - AMRP 2010 Plastic Carry Costs | 0182118 - AMRP 2010 Service Carry Costs | 0182119 - AMRP 2010 Risers Carry Costs |         | 018Z1Z0 - AMHP 2011 Steel Carry Costs | 0182121 - AMRP 2011 Plastic Carry Costs | 0182122 - AMRP 2011 Service Carry Costs | 0182123 - AMRP 2011 Risers Carry Costs | 0182125 - AMRP 2012 Plastic Carry Costs | 0182126 - AMRP 2012 Service Carry Costs | 0182127 - AMRP 2012 Risers Carry Costs |           | Sub-Total PISCC |

PUCO Case No. 12-1682-EL-AIR Staff-DR-14-001 Attachment Page3 of 3

| 9                      |  |             |  |                                       |                                     |  |                   |  |                   |
|------------------------|--|-------------|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------|--|-------------------|
|                        | 29,647,357<br>461,385<br>4,841,119<br>7,834  | 34,957,695  | 17,166,658   |                                       | 2,047,402                           | 361,545,558<br>2,049,316   | 363,594,874       | 1,506,175<br>46,447,399<br>888,479<br>28,050   | 48,870,103        |
|                        | 20,548,696<br>269,855<br>12,124,517<br>115,171   | 33,058,239  | 8,453,180  | 3,597,473                             | 2,851,685                           | 264,015,333<br>7,220,122   | 271,235,455       | (5,791,368)<br>48,822,778<br>909,251<br>(107,855)  | 43,832,806        |
| 19 119                 | 127,420,035<br>1,882,996<br>44,367,290<br>421,283  | 174,110,723 | 25,619,838   | 3,597,473                             | 5,568,493                           | 1,296,543,545<br>25,429,890  | 1,321,973,435     | (2,148,270)<br>246,844,582<br>6,707,427<br>(40,008)  | 251,363,731       |
| 0190000 - Adit: Assets | 0190001 - Adit: Prepaid: Federal Taxes<br>0190002 - Adit: Prepaid: State Taxes<br>0190008 - Deferred Federal Tax Asset - Current<br>0190009 - Deferred SIT - Current | Total       | Liabilities and Other Gradits<br>0235140 - Special Customer Deposits | 0252050 - Gas Contributions Post 1992 | 0255000 - Accum Def Inv Tax Credits | 0282100 - Adit: PpandE: Federal Taxes<br>0282101 - Adit: PpandE: State Taxes | Total Account 282 | 0283011 - Current Portion - Dit<br>0283100 - Adit: Other: Federal Taxes<br>0283101 - Adit: Other: State Taxes<br>0283012- Current Portion - DSIT | Total Account 283 |

(1) Balance per Supplemental D(15) Test Year Trial Balance

Duke Energy Ohio Case No. 12-1682-EL-AIR OCC First Set of Interrogatories Date Received: July 31, 2012

OCC-INT-01-008

#### **REQUEST:**

If the response to any or all subparts of the previous Interrogatory is affirmative, what is:

- a. The test year unadjusted and adjusted expense, by account, and by each type of activity listed in (a) through (d) of the previous Interrogatory?
- b. The names, titles and job description of each employee for which associated expenses are provided in response to part (a) of the Interrogatory?
- c. A description of the activities and responsibilities of each employee provided in response to part (b) of the Interrogatory?

#### **RESPONSE:**

- a. See OCC-INT-01-008 Attachment (a).
- b. Objection. Over broad and irrelevant. This information is not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence as to employee names. Without waiving said objection, see OCC-INT-01-008 Attachment (b).
- c. See response to item b.

#### PERSON RESPONSIBLE:

- a. Peggy A. Laub
- b. Peter Kostiw

# DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT

|        | •                              |                 | Unadio              | sted Test Year | Amount       | Allocation |                     | Distribution | Adjusted<br>In | Eliminated<br>in | Adjusted<br>Distribution |
|--------|--------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------|------------|---------------------|--------------|----------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| Center | Center Description             | Account         | Labor               | Non-Labor      | Total        | Code       | Allocation          | Amount       | Sch C-3.4      | Sch C-3.14       | Case                     |
| 8756   | Fed Gov & Reg Steff            | 920000          | 43,739              | 11,183         | 54,922       | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 47,957       | (10,824)       | (8,043)          | 29,090                   |
| 0,00   | ( ac do) a nogonal             | 921100          |                     | 12,085         | 12,085       | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 10.553       | (10,024)       |                  |                          |
|        |                                | 921200          | ő                   | 1,471          | 1,471        | DLAB       | 87.319%             |              |                | (823)            | 9,730                    |
|        |                                | 923000          | ő                   | 322            | 322          | DLAB       |                     | 1,284        |                | (3)              | 1,281                    |
|        |                                | 926600          | Ö                   |                |              |            | 87.319%             | 281          |                | (281)            | 0                        |
|        |                                | 920000          | U                   | 13,331         | 13,331       | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 11,640       |                | (2,076)          | 9,564                    |
| 8771   | FERC                           | 920000          | 13,525              | 3,421          | 16,948       | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 14,797       | (224)          | (3,245)          | 11,328                   |
|        |                                | 921100          | 0                   | 1,133          | 1,133        | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 989          |                | (313)            | 676                      |
|        |                                | 921200          | 0                   | 75             | 75           | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 65           |                | (10)             | 55                       |
|        |                                | 923000          | 0                   | 105,998        | 105,996      | DALL       | 87.319%             | 92,555       |                | (79,981)         | 12,574                   |
|        |                                | 926600          | 0                   | 3,668          | 3,668        | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 3,203        |                | (712)            | 2,491                    |
| 8988   | Federal Regulatory Policy      | 920000          | 33,689              | 8,827          | 42,316       | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 36,950       | 1,228          | (9,012)          | 29,186                   |
|        |                                | 921100          | ٥                   | 3,496          | 3,496        | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 3,053        |                | (106)            | 2,947                    |
|        |                                | 921200          | 0                   | 1,095          | 1,095        | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 956          |                | (21)             | 935                      |
|        |                                | 923000          | 0                   | 11,277         | 11,277       | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 9,847        |                |                  | 9,847                    |
|        |                                | 926600          | 0                   | 9,139          | 9,139        | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 7,980        |                | (1,952)          | 6,028                    |
|        |                                | 930210          | o                   | 203,156        | 203,156      | DLAB       | 67.319%             | 177,394      |                | `` oʻ            | 177,394                  |
| \$409  | Government & Reg. Affairs - KY | 921100          | 0                   | 1,522          | 1,522        | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 1,329        |                | (1,329)          | ٥                        |
|        |                                | 921200          | 0                   | 1,308          | 1,308        | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 1,142        |                | (1,142)          | ō                        |
| 9903   | Governmental Affaire - Federal | 920000          | 15,279              | 18,736         | 34.015       | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 29,702       | (2,083)        | 0                | 27.619                   |
|        |                                | 921100          | 0                   | 6,975          | 6,975        | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 6,091        | (-,,           | ō                | 6.091                    |
|        |                                | 921200          | 0                   | 46,946         | 46,946       | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 40,993       |                | ō                | 40,993                   |
|        |                                | 921400          | 0                   | 4              | 4            | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 3            |                | ŏ                | 3                        |
|        |                                | 923000          | 0                   | 3,078          | 3.078        | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 2,668        |                | ŏ                | 2.688                    |
|        |                                | 926600          | O.                  | 7,673          | 7,673        | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 6,700        |                | ŏ                | 6,700                    |
| S397   | BR&D - Community Relations     | 588100          | 0                   | o              | 0            | DALL       | 100.000%            | 0            | 3,499          | ٥                | 3,499                    |
|        |                                | 903000          | ō                   | ō              | ō            | DALL       | 100.000%            | ŏ            | 308            | ŏ                | 308                      |
|        |                                | 910000          | 206,830             | 319,910        | 528,740      | DALL       | 100.000%            | 526,740      | 52,581         | ő                | 579,321                  |
|        |                                | 920000          | 0                   | 0.0,0,0        | 0            | DALL       | 100.000%            | 020,740      | 2,545          | ă                | •                        |
|        |                                | 921200          | ŏ                   | 4              | 4            | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 3            | 2,540          | Ö                | 2,545<br>3               |
|        |                                | 923000          | ő                   | 5,526          | 5,526        | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 4,825        |                | o o              | -                        |
|        |                                | 926600          | ŏ                   | 56,063         | 56,083       | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 48,971       |                | 0                | 4,825                    |
|        | *                              | 931001          | ő                   | 50,063         | 50,063<br>57 | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 46,971<br>50 |                | 0                | 48,971<br>50             |
| S406   | Government & Reg Affairs - OH  | 903000          | 0                   | 23             | 23           | DALL       | 100.000%            | 23           | 174            | ^                | 407                      |
| 3400   | COASTILIBUTOR LEGISTRA - OLI   | 920000          | 84,768              | 22.604         | 107,372      | DLAB       |                     |              |                | 0                | 197                      |
|        |                                | 921100          | 04,/ <b>08</b><br>0 | 92,402         |              |            | 87.319%             | 93,756       | (17,839)       | 0                | 75,917                   |
|        |                                | 921200          | 0                   |                | 92,402       | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 80,685       |                | 0                | 80,685                   |
|        |                                |                 |                     | 23,969         | 23,969       | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 20,929       |                | ٥                | 20,929                   |
|        |                                | 923000          | 0                   | 91,571         | 91,571       | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 79,959       |                | 0                | 79,959                   |
|        |                                | 926600          | a                   | 35,158         | 35,158       | DLAB       | 67.319 <del>%</del> | 30,700       |                | ٥                | 30,700                   |
|        |                                | 930200          | 0                   | 7,596          | 7,596        | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 6,633        |                | 0                | 6,633                    |
| 9421   | Corporate Community Relations  | 920000          | 21,375              | 9,080          | 30,455       | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 26,593       | (749)          | 0                | 25,844                   |
|        |                                | 921100          | 0                   | 4,435          | 4,435        | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 3,873        |                | 0                | 3,873                    |
|        |                                | 921200          | 0                   | 3,801          | 3,801        | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 3,319        |                | 0                | 3,319                    |
|        |                                | 923000          | 0                   | 614            | 614          | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 536          |                | 0                | 536                      |
|        |                                | 92 <b>6</b> 600 | 0                   | 5,802          | 5,802        | DLAB       | 87.319%             | 5,066        |                | 0                | 5,068                    |
|        |                                |                 | 419,205             | 1,154,352      | 1,573,557    |            | •                   | 1,440,813    | 28,616         | (109,049)        | 1,360,380                |

Duke Energy Ohio Case No. 12-1682-EL-AIR OCC First Set of Interrogatories Date Received: July 31, 2012

OCC-INT-01-008

#### **REQUEST:**

If the response to any or all subparts of the previous Interrogatory is affirmative, what is:

- a. The test year unadjusted and adjusted expense, by account, and by each type of activity listed in (a) through (d) of the previous Interrogatory?
- b. The names, titles and job description of each employee for which associated expenses are provided in response to part (a) of the Interrogatory?
- c. A description of the activities and responsibilities of each employee provided in response to part (b) of the Interrogatory?

#### RESPONSE:

- a. See OCC-INT-01-008 Attachment (a).
- b. Objection. Over broad and irrelevant. This information is not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence as to employee names. Without waiving said objection, see OCC-INT-01-008 Attachment (b).
- c. See response to item b.

#### PERSON RESPONSIBLE:

- a. Peggy A. Laub
- b. Peter Kostiw

| 1 3 3    | 8   | Tele                                       | Description Responsible for developing, condinsating, and achoreming feedings with a feeting of the condinsating.  |
|----------|-----|--|--|
| 9756     | 110 | VP Factorial Regulatory Policy             | and risks released to federal regulation of the electric and gas distribution business, with a specific focus on transmission and generation instances. Servers as Duke Energy's lead regulation policy instances for Energy in the Energy's lead regulation policy instances of Carrier and Professional Profes |
| 8756     | 110 | VP Faderal Policy & Govnt Alfairs          | Has primary responsibility for representing the organization in legislatives and regulationy matters with federal, state and total governments by providing policy direction, coordinating operating unit<br>government effects in likelyhes and bibbytes for the presentation's interests.  |
| 8        | 110 | VP EH&B Policy                             | Has primery responsibility for developing the organization's positions on environmental policy issues regarding the impact of the organization's operations on water, as and land quality. Responsible for establishing organization-wide policies and programs that contract convisions as a successful policies.   |
| 8771     | 110 | Sr Counsel                                 | Provides coursed to gas and electric management on panelling proposed FERC proceedings on services, pricing, rates, later-or-pay providence, and sarifts, which require a broad biorough understanding of the regulated energy inclusive. The consequence of the regulated energy inclusive, the delense or proceedings on services, pricing, rates, later-or-pay providence, and sarifices are consequenced in the payment of the regulated proceedings. The delense or proceedings and services or proceedings, the delense or proceedings and services or proceedings and services are services and or proceedings and a services or proceedings and services or proceedings or proceedings and a services or proceedings and a services or proceedings or proceedings and a services or proceedings and a services or proceedings and a services or proceedings or proceedings and a services or proceedings are services or proceedings and a services or proceedings are services or proceedings and a services or proceedings are services or proceedings and a services or proceedings are services or proceedings and a services or proceedings are services or proceedings and a services or proceedings are services or proceedings and a services or proceedings are services or proceedings and a services or proceedings are servi |
| 877.1    | 110 | Sr Coursel                                 | Provides coursel to gas and electric management on pending proposed FERC proceedings on services, pricing, rakes, take-or-pay provisions, and teaths, which require a broad thorough sunderstanding of the requisited energy inclusive.  Responsible for regulated energy inclusive.  Responsible for regulated energy inclusives on proceedings on the cases as assigned and numerous rulematings, interventions in third party rake cases and other rulesed mailers. Support applications, intermedings, pass and electric supply mailers and appealase cases requiring specialized proceedings and a formula understanding of the regulatory concerns facing the company in order to negotiate the best possible terms and rakes for the sale or transportation of gas and Reviews, analyzes, drafts, integrats, and negotiates contracts and tariff fillings on behalf of the pipolines.  Analyzes and hierprats FERC regulations and orders to ensure corporate company on take-on-pay mailers, and appetate littles for the cases, lamif fillings, marketing contracts, cartificate statedes.  Provides coursed on maillant with respect to rate cases, lamif fillings, marketing contracts, cartificate statedes.   |
| 9988     | 110 | Fed Energy Policy Dir-Weeh DC              | Serves as the critical point of insertace with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), acting as Dute's primary leason to FERC Commissioners and Staff, as well as taking a lead role in policy advocacy and representing Dute's inferrance to the policy advocacy and representing Dute's inferrance to the product of the policy advocacy and representing Dute's inferrance to the policy advocacy.  |
| 98<br>98 | 110 | Dir, Fed Reg Policy integrale & Bus<br>Mgr | Responsible for the development of Dutar's policy positions reternant to regional transmission organizations (RTCs) and stand alone transmission companies (Transmiss). This role coordinates closely positions are companies (Transmission and all professional public publ |
| 8        | 110 | Mon-Reg Gen Poliny Devimpt Dir             | Serves as the point of contact for any tecteral regulatory policy questions, concerns, or issues involving Duke's commercial businesses and will be responsible to heep the commercial business teachers of any leasues that may artis.  |
| 900      | 110 | Franchised E&G Policy Dvimpt Dir           | Serves as the point of contact for Duku's regulated generation and nuclear organizations, as well as being responsible for the development of policy positions addressing transmission issues  |
| 8        | 110 | Federal Policy Sr Analysi                  | Reports entire Federal Environmental and Energy department and Amction. Provides considency in processes, research functions for inquiries, as well as documentation of activity within the group.   |
| 8        | 0¢. | Exec Asst I                                | Performs his secretarial, administrative, and broad support activities of a highly responsible and confidential nature for an executive. This position serves in a critical support rate for several networks and their support staffs. This position requires staged the effectiveness of although their support staffs. This position requires supportable beats with high level governmental and requires for the conclusive and executives will not be conclusion. This position requires proceedingly of the Executive Assistant to protectively retempt his time and support the vert effectiveness of the executives and executive will be be executive and beginning of the conclusion and individual preferencies, coordinates, researches, delegates, complies, and organizes any work nocessary to meet those resets, incurribants controlled and definition in the performance of that duties. This position requires and opposition to the executive and organizes any work nocessary to meet those resets, incurribants controlled and interpersonal staffs, and interpersonal staffs.   |
| 80%      | 110 | Dir, State Erv & Energy Pub Aff            | Responsible for leading the nesemble and analysis of proposed regulatory and legislative policies and development of the company's position and withen response as it pertains to environmental analysis select.   |
| 9000     | 110 | Govt & Reg Affairs Dir-Kentucky            | Follines and conditiones internal efforts to assure accurate and thresp definery of key information is response to regulatory and/or legislative challenges. Assist in developing and delivering   |

Ě

Plants, prepares and relays information concerning the organization to the press and the widow community to gain understanding and acceptance for the organization. Develops and maintaining fines of communication, with media contacts and other examinate audience groups. Acts as a lary contributor in a complex environment. Requires depits and breach of experience in own independently with guidance on only the most complex lastes. Also, the properties. Respondently with guidance on only the most complex lastes. Also, the properties and properties. Respondently with guidance on only the most complex lastes, and properties and properties and properties and properties. Also, government and regulatory suitorities, shewholders and engineers that elements the organization's demonstration with news or breast and engineers and engineers and engineers that elements the organization of confirmation and most specific programs to reach defined audiences and most specific program objectives. Develops and maintains a through the community and soliciting beathack from residents. New properties the organization at lens of communication with madia contacts and other external audience groups. Acts as a lety-contacturation to communication with madia contacts and other external audience groups. Acts as a lety-contacturation in a complex environment. Exercises transference for an experience in communication interactions to the second of the communication of professes transference in communications that the second of the communications in the communication of policies and programs for activates the extraories that contact interactions in the communications for the mode of the communications for the complex ending that the communications with news or tack mode contacts, and experience and employees. Duble second of the communications with news or tack mode contacts, alterwide and employees public second or contacts and employees. The community is alterwide the second contacts in promote good will by disseminating information to the community and solicities from residents. May represent the organization at Assignment to this level requires an incumbent to perform moderately complex assignments that require involving and consistent and included the perform moderately of processes and confidenced department/definition events. Is experienced in all areas of the assigned work unit. This position has an in-depth increasing of consess or structure consesses and confidenced department/definition events. Is experienced in all areas of the assigned work unit. This position has an in-depth increased of experienced moderately by consessed processes and included by the second processes and included and includes. Handles the tensor consessed and approach the second processes and included by the second and approach the complete and appear and requires and included the second and approach the second and approach the second and approach the second and approach the second and application and approach the second and approach the second and application and approach the second and approach the second and application and application and the second and application and application and application and application and application and applications and appear and applications and applications and appl Develops and maintains a favorable relationship with the surrounding community. Prepares and coordinates community contact the community and eathering feedback from relations. May represent the organization at community patherings or focuss. Acts as a key contributor in a complex environment. Requires depail and breadth of expedience in own discipline, interprets international business issues and recommends best practices. Solves complex problems, takes a broad perspective to identify international least of proplects. repossible for the developing, testing and deployment of phillenthropic programs and programs that support and encourage employee engagement in the communities where we her and work. The Foundation ensures the relationship with our service provider for the On-line Giving System, and interfaces with they lustness groups (i.e., Logal and Gideal Sourchg) to ensure successful management of our Performs full secretarial, schemistrative, and broad support schelders of a highly responsible and confidential estern for an executive. This position serves in a critical support role to the secretive or their support role to the secretive or their support respectively of thicks are diverse and involve does coordination with senior executives and their support staffs. This position requires significant insertion on a regular brain interface on a regular brain of their support services of their support services of their secretives with high level governments and regulatory officials or officials of other companies, as well as differs and executives within the corporation. Augusts the efforts of Federal and State Governmental Affairs to affectively manage, on behalf of the corporation, the political strategy serrounding PAC, corporate and other political contributions to elected officials. Manage all expects of the DUREPAC and the corporate granerous advectory program in collection with Senier Management and Federal and State Government Affairs Staff. Office to ensure successful emostens of processes and system updates.
This position is responsible for the company-wide United Way Campaign and relotionship management, Arts & Science Council Campaign and relotionship management, retires affairs management, and year-The Duke Evergy Foundation Manager oversess the operations of the Duke Evergy Foundation, including its progress and processes that support Duke Evergy's philanthropy. This role reassages a group responsible for the developing, testing and deployment of philanthropic progress and progress approx and encourage employee engagement in the constantis This role assists the strategy development and communication of legislative farms with Ohio regulatory and government officials. Also provides analysis and thispration for various DE Ohio strategy development from on legislative and administrative regulation objectives. This role serves as a key interface with many DE Ohio stakeholders. round employees voluntaenten including the employees Global Service Diverts program.
This position is responsible for administering, evaluating and implementing the Duize Every Volunteer Grant Programs, managing the volunteers in extion and matching gift tibes of the Challee Contribution This position is responsible for administering, evaluating and implementing the Duke Brergy Volunteer Grant Programs and managing the volunteers in-action site.
This position is responsible for the analysis, processes and administration for the On-line Garden System and other philanthropic programs. Interface with Online Garden's Vendor and Vendor Manag Wars, propers and relays information concerning the organization to the press and the wider constructly to gain understanding and exceed the of communication with makes remaind the material of the contraction of the contra Admy Spec State Gov & Reg Affairs Dir Government & Community Rai Dir Government & Community Rel Govt & Reg Affairs Director -- OH Community Bisations Coordinator onity & Retiree Affaria Mar DE Foundation Sr Coordinator DE Foundation Coordinator Managar, PAC & Grassroots District Manager Exac Assistant 1 문 운 5 Ë 문 ŧ 3 3 3 93 55 ä ä 8307 8408 860 9397 8408 8387 ដ្ឋ 7 ş ž ş 8968 3

#### CONFIDENTIAL

#### DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. Case No. 12-1862-EL-AIR Personal Property Tax Calculation Schedule JEG-C-3.8a

|     |                                 |               |            |            |         |                |    |                 |     |             |     | Adjusted        |      |               |
|-----|---------------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|---------|----------------|----|-----------------|-----|-------------|-----|-----------------|------|---------------|
|     |                                 | Plant Balance |            | Assessment | Taxable | Taxable Value  |    | Plant Allocated |     | Real        |     | Plant Allocated |      | Distribution  |
|     |                                 | 12/31/2011    | True Value | Rate       | Value   | to Plant Value | _  | to Distribution | _   | Plant       | _   | to Distribution | _    | Taxable Value |
|     |                                 | (a)           | (b)        | (c)        | (d)     | (e)            |    | (f)             |     | (g)         |     | (h)             |      | (i)           |
| (1) | Distribution                    | \$            |            | 85.00%     | \$      | 38.93%         | \$ | 1,878,034,210   | \$  | 47,538,735  | \$  | 1,830,495,475   | \$   | 712,648,498   |
| (2) | General                         |               |            | 24.00%     |         | 10.22%         |    | 84,323,093      |     | 22,409,594  |     | 61,913,499      |      | 6,324,464     |
| (3) | Common                          |               |            | 24.00%     |         | 5.65%          |    | 106,419,826     |     | 47,483,099  |     | 58,936,727      |      | 3,326,978     |
| (4) | Plant Material & Supplies       |               |            | 24.00%     |         | 23.31%         | -  | 46,947,409      | _   |             | _   | 46,947,409      | _    | 10,943,441    |
| (5) | Total                           | \$            |            |            | \$      |                | \$ | 2,115,724,538   | \$_ | 117,431,428 | \$_ | 1,998,293,110   | \$   | 733,243,382   |
| (6) | Tax Rate Per \$1,000 (k)        |               |            |            |         |                |    |                 |     |             |     |                 | _    | 89.058        |
| (7) | Personal Property Tax (5) x (6) |               |            |            |         |                |    |                 |     |             |     |                 | \$ _ | 65,301,189    |

OCC-POD-16-048 Attachment, OCC-INT-16-182 Attachment (a), and OCC-INT-16-182 Attachment (b)

<sup>(</sup>b) OCC-POD-16-048 Attachment, OCC-INT-16-182 Attachment (a), and OCC-INT-16-182 Attachment (b)

<sup>(</sup>c) OCC-POD-03-013, 2012 Valuation Notice

<sup>(</sup>d) Column (b) x Column (c) Column (d) ÷ Column (a)

<sup>(</sup>e)

PUCO Staff's Schedules B-2 & B-5.1 (f)

<sup>(</sup>g) Staff's Schedule B-2.1

<sup>(</sup>h) Column (F) - Column (G)

Column (E) \* Column (h) (i)

<sup>(</sup>j) ODT Plant Balance as of 12/31/11 = (\$171,390,676 x 82.02%), ODT True Value = (\$40,314,748 x 82.02%)

Applicant's Schedule WPC-3.8c

## Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. Case No. 12-1862-EL-AIR Real Property Tax Calculation Schedule JEG-C-3.8b

|      |   | _   | Distribution  | General    | Common      | Total      |
|------|---|-----|---------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| (1)  | Land & Land Rights (a)                    | \$  | 13,109,977 \$ | 949,213 \$ | 2,121,647   |            |
| (2)  | Rights of Way (a)                         |     | 26,110,943    |            | 37,969      |            |
| (3)  | Structures and Improvements (a)           | _   | 8,317,815     | 23,341,187 | 124,713,921 |            |
| (4)  | Total (1)+(2)+(3)                         |     | 47,538,735    | 24,290,400 | 126,873,537 |            |
| (5)  | Allocation to Electric Percentage (a)     | _   | 100.000%      | 100.000%   | 83.500%     |            |
| (6)  | Plant Allocated to Electric (4) x (5)     |     | 47,538,735    | 24,290,400 | 105,939,403 |            |
| (7)  | Allocation to Distribution Percentage (a) | _   | 100.000%      | 92.257%    | 44.821%     |            |
| (8)  | Plant Allocated to Distribution (6) x (7) |     | 47,538,735    | 22,409,594 | 47,483,100  |            |
| (9)  | Assessment Value Percentage (b)           | _   | 5.725%        | 14.703%    | 14.703%     |            |
| (10) | Assessed Value (8) x (9)                  |     | 2,721,593     | 3,294,883  | 6,981,440   |            |
| (11) | Tax Rate Per \$1,000 (c)                  |     | 56.428        | 56.428     | 56.428      |            |
| (12) | Real Estate Taxes (10) x (11)             | \$_ | 153,574 \$    | 185,924 \$ | 393,949     | \$ 733,446 |

<sup>(</sup>a) PUCO Staff's Schedule B-2.1 and B-7

<sup>(</sup>b) OCC-INT-18-188 Attachment

<sup>(</sup>c) PUCO Staff's Data Rquest-25-001

# DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. Case No. 12-1682-EL-AIR Property Tax Expense Calculation Schedule JEG-C-3.8c

| (1) | Annual Personal Property Taxes (a)                     | \$  | 65,301,189 |
|-----|--|-----|------------|
| (2) | Annual Real Estate Taxes (b)                           | _   | 733,446    |
| (3) | Total Annual Property Taxes (1) + (2)                  |     | 66,034,635 |
| (4) | Less: Test Year Property Tax Expense (c)               | _   | 66,593,800 |
| (5) | OCC Annualization Adjustment to Property Tax (3) - (4) | \$_ | (559,165)  |

- (a) OCC Schedule WPC-3.8b
- (b) OCC Schedule WPC-3.8c
- (c) Applicant's Schedule C-2.1

### Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. Case No. 12-1682-EL-AIR Eliminate Non-Jurisdictional Exp. Schedule JEG-C-3.14a

|      | Center | Center Description                 | Account      | Account Description                        | Dollar<br>Amount | Additional<br>Dollar<br>Amount | Total<br>Dollar<br>Amount |
|------|--------|------------------------------------|--------------|--|------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
|      |        |                                    |              |  | (a)              | (b)                            | (c)=(a)+(b)               |
| (1)  |        |                                    | 588100       | Misc. Distrigution ExpOther                | \$ (1,760)       |                                | \$ (1,760)                |
| (2)  |        |                                    | 910000       | Misc. Cust. Serv/Inform Exp.               | (48,640)         |                                | (48,640)                  |
| (3)  |        |                                    | 913001       | Advertising Expense                        | (347,962)        |                                | (347,962)                 |
| (4)  |        |                                    | 920000       | A&G Salaries                               | (20,300)         |                                | (20,300)                  |
| (5)  |        |                                    | 921100       | Employee Expenses                          | (64,528)         |                                | (64,528)                  |
| (6)  |        |                                    | 921200       | Office Expenses                            | (8,817)          |                                | (8,817)                   |
| (7)  |        |                                    | 923000       | Outside Services Employed                  | (93,796)         |                                | (93,796)                  |
| (8)  |        |                                    | 926000       | Empl. Pensions and Benefits                | (851)            |                                | (851)                     |
| (9)  |        |                                    | 926600       | Employee Benefits-Transferred              | (4,741)          |                                | (4,741)                   |
| (10) |        |                                    | 930150       | Miscellaneous Advertising Exp.             | (20,112)         |                                | (20,112)                  |
| (11) |        |                                    | 931001       | Rents-A&G                                  | (6,549)          |                                | (6,549)                   |
| (12) |        | Expense to be Eliminated (618,056) |              |  |                  |                                | (618,056)                 |
| (12) | 0003   | Cavarana antal Affaira Fadaral     | 020000       | A 9. C Colorias                            |                  | (27.610)                       | (27.610)                  |
| (13) | 9903   | Governmental Affairs-Federal       | 920000       | A & G Salaries                             |                  | (27,619)                       | (27,619)                  |
| (14) | 9903   | Governmental Affairs-Federal       | 921100       | Employee Expenses                          |                  | (6,091)                        | (6,091)                   |
| (15) | 9903   | Governmental Affairs-Federal       | 921200       | Office Expenses                            |                  | (40,993)                       | (40,993)                  |
| (16) | 9903   | Governmental Affairs-Federal       | 921400       | Computer Services Expenses                 |                  | (3)                            | (3)                       |
| (17) | 9903   | Governmental Affairs-Federal       | 923000       | Outside Services Employed                  |                  | (2,688)                        | (2,688)                   |
| (18) | 9903   | Governmental Affairs-Federal       | 926600       | Employee Benefits-Transferred              |                  | (6,700)                        | (6,700)                   |
| (19) |        | Expense to be Eliminated           |              |  |                  | (84,094)                       | (84,094)                  |
| (20) | 9421   | Corporate Community Relations      | 920000       | A & G Salaries                             |                  | (25,844)                       | (25,844)                  |
| (21) | 9421   | Corporate Community Relations      | 921100       | Employee Expenses                          |                  | (3,873)                        | (3,873)                   |
| (22) | 9421   | Corporate Community Relations      | 921200       | Office Expenses                            |                  | (3,319)                        | (3,319)                   |
| (23) | 9421   | Corporate Community Relations      | 923000       | Outside Services Employed                  |                  | (536)                          | (536)                     |
| (24) | 9421   | Corporate Community Relations      | 926600       | Employee Benefits-Transferred              |                  | (5,066)                        | (5,066)                   |
| (25) |        |                                    | Expense to b | pe Eliminated                              |                  | (38,638)                       | (38,638)                  |
| (26) |        |                                    | Tota         | al Expense to be Eliminated (12)+(19)+(25) |                  |                                | \$ <u>(740,788)</u>       |

<sup>(</sup>a) Company's Schedule WPC-3.14a

<sup>(</sup>b) OCC-INT-01-008 Attachment (a)

## DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. Case No. 12-1682-EL-AIR Unclaimed Funds Schedule JEG-B-6.1a

|      | Total   |                 |                       |           |              |          |                           |           |  |
|------|---------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------|--------------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|--|
| Line | Account | Account         | Company<br>Adjustment |           | Allocation   |          | Jurisdictional Adjustment |           |  |
| No.  | No.     | Title           |                       |           | Code Percent |          |                           |           |  |
| (1)  | 235     | Unclaimed Funds | \$                    | (138,870) | DALL         | 100.000% | \$_                       | (138,870) |  |

(a) Derived from OCC-INT-01-015 and Staff-DR-14-001 (\$207,252\*67.0053%)

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

2/19/2013 2:17:29 PM

in

Case No(s). 12-1682-EL-AIR, 12-1683-EL-ATA, 12-1684-EL-AAM

Summary: Testimony Direct Testimony of James E. Gould (Public Version) on Behalf of the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel electronically filed by Patti Mallarnee on behalf of Etter, Terry L Mr.