FILE

Hunter, Donielle

From: ContactThePUCO
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 8:45 AM
To: Docketing
Subject: Docketing

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
Investigation and Audit Division

Memorandum

Date: 2/14/2013

Re: Richard Mcvay
8198 Daleview Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45247

Docketing Case No.:

12-1682-EL-AIR

Notes:

Please docket the following in the case number above. Thank you.
****
***

WEB ID: 72711 AT:02-13-2013 at 09:07 PM
COMMENT DESCRIPTION:
Summary: 1.) Why should costs for utilities construction work associated with an infrastructure project be buried in a utility rate increase? Transparency would suggest all costs should be clearly listed for the project. 2.) Why should these costs be passed on to other Duke customers outside of the geographic boundaries of the governmental entity involved? 3.) This sets the dangerous precedent of a private company charging rate payers for costs incurred on a public project, thus reducing the "published" costs of the project. 4.) Lastly, if costs will be increased based on projects in other geographic areas, rate payers are being "taxed" without representation. At a minimum, related costs of unpaid bills should be redistributed within the geography of the governmental entity - not to all Duke rate payers within Ohio.
****
****