

FILE

Hunter, Donielle

12-1682-EL-AIR

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Thursday, December 13, 2012 6:22 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: I oppose Duke Energy's rate hike

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
2012 DEC 17 AM 8:09
PUCO

From: GreenpeaceOn Behalf OfNaomi Hochstadt
Sent: Thursday, December 13, 2012 6:21:43 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: I oppose Duke Energy's rate hike

Dec 13, 2012

Todd Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

Now that the city of Cincinnati has taken a stand against Duke Energy's dirty business and chosen an energy provider using less coal, Duke is asking for a rate hike on working Ohioans and small business owners to compensate for their failure to modernize. Duke Energy shouldn't be allowed to use rate hikes to punish customers who choose cleaner, cheaper options for their electricity supply.

Duke Energy should quit investing in coal and instead invest in the renewable technologies that they claim to support--and that are required under Ohio's Renewable Portfolio Standard. In Ohio, we already pay the hidden cost of coal with our health and our environment. But if companies like Duke want to ask more from our pocketbooks too, it should be for an Ohio that's powered by local wind & solar that will protect our health and employ thousands of Ohioans.

I oppose this rate hike.

Case number: 12-1682-EL-AIR

Sincerely,

Naomi Hochstadt
1985 Corner School Dr
Orlando, FL 32820-1915
(954) 560-5300

This is to certify that the images appearing are an
accurate and complete reproduction of a case file
document delivered in the regular course of business
Technician _____ Date Processed **NOV 17 2012**