FAX

1	
2	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF AMERICAN
3	
4	TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS, INCORPORATED AND THE
5	CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY FOR A
6	CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY AND
7	PUBLIC NEED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE CLEVELAND
8	CLINIC HOSPITAL SUBSTATION
9	Case No. 11-2754-EL-BSB
10	2012
11	Hearing before
12	Hearing before C 26 Katie Stenman
13	Hearing before Katie Stenman November 14, 2012
14	November 14, 2012
15	6:00 p.m.
16	5.00 p.m.
17	
18	Taken at:
19	
20	Court Reporter:
21	Katrina Dearborn
22	
23	
24	This is to certify that the images appearing are an This is to certify that the images appearing are an This is to certify that the images appearing are an This is to certify that the images appearing are an This is to certify that the images appearing are an This is to certify that the images appearing are an This is to certify that the images appearing are an This is to certify that the images appearing are an This is to certify that the images appearing are an This is to certify that the images appearing are an This is to certify that the images appearing are an This is to certify that the images appearing are an This is to certify that the images appearing are an This is to certify that the images appearing are an This is to certify the thin the images appearing are an This is to certify the thin the image and the certification of a case file This is the certification of the cert
	document delivered in the regular course 1/12/6/12
25	rechnician

ARBITRATOR: Let's get started.

The Ohio Power Siting Board is assigned for public hearing at this time and place, Case No. 11-2754-EL-BSB, which is captioned: In the Matter of the Application of American Transmission Systems Incorporated and the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company for a certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the construction of Cleveland Clinic Hospital Substation.

My name is Katie Stenman, and I'm an administrative law judge assigned by the Ohio Power Siting Board to preside over this hearing. In addition, Matt Butler is here from the Ohio Power Siting Board, if you have any questions. He also offered you the opportunity to sign up to testify when you came in.

In addition to Mr. Butler, there are also company personnel here this evening, if you have any questions about the proposed project.

1	And at this time we'll start by
2	taking appearances on behalf of any
3	parties that are present tonight.
4	MS. COPELAND: Darcy Copeland on
5	behalf of American Transition Systems
6	Incorporated.
7	MS. STENMAN: Thank you. Anyone
8	else to make an appearance tonight?
9	MR. REESE: Bob Reese.
10	ARBITRATOR: We'll take testimony.
11	I'm just looking for any attorneys that
12	are here to make an appearance on behalf
13	of the clients.
14	FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMEBER: Excuse
15	me. Can you ask the persons to speak up
16	a little bit, because they're not using
17	microphones. Everyone needs to speak
18	up.
19	ARBITRATOR: Sure. We'll have
20	everyone to speak up.
21	The American Transmission Systems
22	Incorporated and the Cleveland Electric
23	Illuminating Company filed this
24	application on June 16th of 2012 to
2 5	construct a substation to respond to

anticipated load growth and to provide redundancy for the electric system serving the Cleveland Clinic Main Campus.

The local public hearing scheduled in this case is only one aspect of the process. There is also an evidentiary hearing scheduled to commence on November 27th, 2012 at the Board offices in Columbus.

The purpose of this evening's public hearing is to receive comments from the public regarding the application in this case. It's not a question-and-answer session, but it is your opportunity to let the Board know what you think about the project. I would also note that the Board staff's report of investigation was filed on October 30th, 2012. It's a recommendation of the Board staff and does not necessarily reflect what the Board will ultimately do with this application.

Tonight's hearing is being transcribed by a court reporter. If you

plan to testify, please speak plainly and clearly so that the court reporter can accurately reflect your comments on the record. Also, if you prepared a written statement, please provide that after you're done speaking.

When you arrived, you were given an opportunity to sign up to testify.

After I'm finished with my introduction,

I will begin calling any witnesses forward that have signed up to testify.

Before you give your comments, I'll ask you to state your name and address, and I will also ask you to take an oath or affirmation that what you're about to say is the truth. Your testimony will be considered part of the official record in this case, and it will be reviewed by the Board before they make their final decision on the application.

Once you finished testifying,
you're free to leave, or if you choose
not to testify, you're free to leave at
any time.

The Board appreciates your

1	participation in tonight's hearing and
2	we want everyone who wishes to make a
3	public statement have the opportunity to
4	do so. Do we have anyone signed up to
5	testify?
6	MR. BUTLER: We do. I'll bring it
7	up.
8	MS. STENMAN: Robert Reeves.
9	Anywhere you would like to stand. Just
LO	make sure you speak up.
L1	
12	ROBERT REEVES,
L3	After having been first duly sworn, as
14	hereinafter certified, was examined and testified
15	as follows:
16	MS. STENMAN: Please state your
17	name and address for the record.
18	MR REEVES: Robert Reeves, 23723
19	Vimbledon Road, Shaker Heights, Ohio.
20	MS. STENMAN: Can you just grab a
21	microphone. I don't know if it will
22	reach over there.
23	I'm sorry, Mr. Reeves. You can go
24	ahead.
25	MR. REEVES: Not a problem. My

1.6

name is Bob Reeves. Before I retired in 2009 I was a member of the planning department, University Circle
Incorporated, for over 28 years with my last position being director of planning.

I'm very familiar with the site and its environment.

As I understand it, the siting of the substation was changed from being close to the intersection of Cedar Avenue and Stokes Boulevard to a position more to the rear of the site. That change in location on the site greatly reduces the sense of the intersection being an industrial place, which would have been the sense of the intersection otherwise. The project sponsors are to be commended for that change.

Today, this area is underutilized, uncared for and forlorn section of the City of Cleveland and of the greater institutional areas of University Circle and the Cleveland Clinic Foundation.

Cedar Avenue and Stokes Boulevard are daily carrying heavy traffic and people through a partially deserted landscape, a landscape that diminishes the laudable landscaping efforts of the University Circle institutions and the Cleveland Clinic Foundation elsewhere.

In the early 1890's, a nationallyknown Boston landscape architect, Ernest
Bowditch, was commissioned to design
Rockefeller Park in anticipation of the
1896 Centennial of Rockefeller Park.
Mr. Bowditch laid out a plan in 1894
entitled Parks and Parkways on the East
Side. This plan defined parks and
parkways from Gordon Park on Lake Erie
to Horseshoe Lake in Shaker Heights.

The plan included the planting of street trees on several connecting streets that were not in the parkland's boundary. East 107th, Cedar Avenue, and what is now Stokes Boulevard are three of those streets.

I have a detail of that drawing with a substation site highlighted.

To:16144660313

Several years ago, UCI built 1 commuter parking lots on Stokes 2 3 Boulevard between Wilbur Avenue and 4 Cedar Road. Street trees and fencing 5 were added along Stokes Boulevard as part of these projects. 6 7 I recommend that as this 8 substation is built out, that the 9 Cleveland Clinic and/or others, approach completion of the 1894 Parks and 10 Parkways Plan by planting street trees 11 adjacent to this project on both the 12 Cedar Road and Stokes Boulevard sides, 13 and consider doing the same around the 14 former BP station west of the substation 15 site. I believe this intersection will 16 17 benefit further, if appropriate 18 decorative fencing is installed where necessary on the substation site and on 19 20 the former BP station in place of the 21 current fencing. Thank you. 22 MS. STENMAN: Do you have a written statement you would like to leave for 23 the court reporter, Mr. Reeves? 24 25 MR. REEVES: I'm sorry?

1	MS. STENMAN: Do you want to leave
2	your written statement for the court
3	reporter?
4	Thank you.
5	Ryan Smith. Good evening.
6	RYAN SMITH,
7	After having been first duly sworn, as
8	hereinafter certified, was examined and testified
9	as follows:
10	MS. STENMAN: Thank you. Please
11	state your name and address for the
12	record.
13	MR. SMITH: My name is Brian Smith,
14	and I am the Director of Strategic
15	Project Development for the Cleveland
16	Clinic. Address, 9500 Euclid Avenue,
17	Cleveland, Ohio, 44195. I'm here in
18	support of this project with a little
19	bit of background that goes with it.
20	First and foremost, obviously the
21	Cleveland Clinic is dedicated to
22	providing patient health, and we look to
23	do that in an environmentally
24	responsible manner.
25	The substation at this location is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

something that we developed through

FirstEnergy. We do own the land right

now. In working for a solution for the

overall power needs of the area, we

teamed up with FirstEnergy. FirstEnergy

came back with this recommendation. And

that is what leads us here today.

The substation itself is on the side of Vel's on the Circle. It was acquired by the Cleveland Clinic some time ago. And when we started working with FirstEnergy, the Clinic, me, in particular, were very quick to point out that we wanted to make sure that we got the neighborhood involved. So we've -as Bob had mentioned, we've worked very carefully with University Circle Incorporated. We've also worked very carefully with Fairfax Renaissance Developing Corporation, who is represented here this evening with Vicky Johnson, the Director, and really to address any of the concerns that would come up.

So a couple of those concerns, to

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reiterate, are number one, that the actual footprint of the substation has been reduced. There has been a halfacre of land that's retained on Tiedeman Avenue, that, in working with the University Circle Incorporated, we basically have left that as undeveloped. We've also worked very carefully with University Circle on the actual routing of the ductwork that would occur so it would have the least amount of impact possible. Those are some of the items that we've done to make this a responsible project. We're really -- I just want to touch, also, on the origins of the project. You know, this comes about simply as a part of the overall economic growth of the entire area around University Circle.

And this substation is called the CH substation. That actually stands for Clinic Hospital. And it is a substation that directly benefits the Cleveland Clinic. And FirstEnergy can go through the engineering of it all, but actually,

this substation then allows FirstEnergy to take a number of buildings on the Cleveland Clinic campus that are currently hooked up to the streets, for lack of a better term, and put them on the substation. What that does is, it frees up a whole lot of available power for development in the surrounding So this is a substation that area. benefits more than just the Clinic. Cleveland Clinic, this year, in addition to being number one in Cardiology, is also number one in Urology and Kidneys, as well. We look forward to expanding that mission. And we just have to have a place to plug into. So we look forward -- look forward

to this hearing this evening. I'm available to answer any questions that anybody might have. And FirstEnergy is here also with all kinds of technical expertise. Thank you very much. And I have no written statement.

> ARBITRATOR: Thank you. Anthony Whitfield?

24

OCT-26-2012 10:57 From: DEARBORN REPORTING 2162984880

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	MR. WHITFIELD: Whitfield.
2	ARBITRATOR: Whitfield. Sorry
3	about that.
4	MR. WHITFIELD: That's all right.
5	ANTHONY WHITFIELD,
6	After having been first duly sworn, as
7	hereinafter certified, was examined and testified
8	as follows:
9	MS. STENMAN: Please state your
10	name and address for the record.
11	MR. WHITFIELD: Anthony Whitfield,
12	8111 Quincy Avenue, Suite 100,
13	Cleveland, 44104.
14	We're here on behalf of both the
15	primary and the alternate sites. In my
16	role as Director of Economic Development
17	for Fairfax, I work for Fairfax
18	Renaissance Development Corporation.
19	We've been working very closely with
20	Cleveland Clinic. The comments made by
21	Mr. Brian Smith I understand clearly
22	their need for power for future
23	development. I mean, it's part of our
24	economic viability.
25	We're very concerned about the

Vel's site. The amount of the footprint that was taken, as we saw in the plans of it last year, and we weren't too happy about them. And I'm happy to hear that that footprint is being reduced.

The greater concern is the site -site conditions. And I know there is
going to be some very large towers to
string wire across the -- we're very
concerned about that. And it's very
unsitely. That's a very beautiful site
that we think has greater development
potential. But it is what it is.

I want to move forward now to the alternate site, which we do object to in total. East 105th and Cedar is a prime development area for Fairfax. It sits squarely in our master plan. And so a site -- a development of this type would have a severe impact. It makes that site no longer -- it renders it undevelopable for any other concerns. It also means the neighboring properties have less economic value. It sits right in front of the Cleveland Clinic.

1	East 105th Street, assuming that
2	the opportunity to move forward comes
3	through, is going to be widened. It has
4	some impact. So what now it does is
5	create absolute chaos. We absolutely
б	object to it.
7	We have offered another site on
8	Quincy, north side of Quincy; Edward
9	Hill, the east end far east end of
10	Quincy. Approximately five acres, which
11	is perfect for this. Should the Board
12	want to and FirstEnergy want to
13	reconsider.
14	So on behalf of and personally on
15	behalf of the neighborhood, we're
16	hearing comments that we do object to
17	the ultimate site.
18	I also have, if I may, a letter
19	that I received this morning from a
20	resident, Ms. Kimberly Woods. If I may
21	read that.
22	MS. STENMAN: You can't read
23	someone else's statement into the
24	record. But if you want to give it to
25	us, we can include it as part of your

1	correspondence.
2	MR. WHITFIELD: Then I will submit
3	this letter to you.
4	MS. STENMAN: Thank you.
5	MR. SMITH: Thank you.
6	MS. STENMAN: Debbie Berry.
7	DEBBIE BERRY,
8	After having been first duly sworn, as
9	hereinafter certified, was examined and testified
10	as follows:
11	MS. STENMAN: Thank you. Please
12	state your name and address for the
13	record.
14	MS. BERRY: My name is Debbie
15	Berry. I'm Vice-President of the
16	Planning for Real Estate Development for
17	University Circle Incorporated. We are
18	at 10831 Magnolia Drive in Cleveland,
19	44106.
20	I'm testifying on behalf of
21	University Circle Incorporated. While
22	we were not originally in favor of this
23	site, we have worked diligently with the
24	Cleveland Clinic and FirstEnergy to
25	· improve the development on the site

itself, and we are now in support of this as the location.

We do understand the need for it, and we do understand the challenges with all of the sites that are presented, but we are in support of this in the new design that is going forward today with the development parcel on Cedar Avenue that can be used for future development.

We're the landowner immediately north on Cedar Avenue, and we intend to develop those properties, and we feel that with the new configuration that we've worked out together we will be able to go forward and do that.

Brian Smith had mentioned the ductwork. I guess that's our only ongoing concern. Again, FirstEnergy and the Cleveland Clinic have been wonderful in working with us to identify alternative routing of that. My understanding is that we're not approving those routes today as part of this, we're only approving the station

itself. And we just want to ensure, if 1 2 we go forward, that those routes don't 3 impact the development sites that are 4 immediately north of the site that we're 5 looking at for the substation today. I would also agree with Anthony that we are not in support of the 8 alternative site at all. There is a lot 9 of negative impacts along 105th Street 10 at that location. And so we would like 11 to see it move forward with the proposed 12 original site on Vel's property there at Stokes and Cedar. 13 14 Thank you very much for allowing me to talk. 15 16 MS. STENMAN: Thank you. 17 concludes the list of people we have signed up. Is there anyone else who 18 19 would like to give a statement? 20 Do you want to come on up? 21 DEBORAH WILSON, 22 After having been first duly sworn, as 23 hereinafter certified, was examined and testified as follows: 24

MS. STENMAN: Thank you.

Please

1	state your name and address for the
2	record.
3	MS. WILSON: My name is Deborah
4	Wilson. I live at 2240 East 87th Street
5	in Cleveland.
6	MS. STENMAN: Go ahead and make
7	your statement.
8	MS. WILSON: The issue that I have
9	with it is that we're talking about
10	plans that none of us have seen. I
11	mean, they're talking about ductwork
12	going under ground and all of that. And
13	we as residents I don't know about
14	anyone else haven't seen any of the
15	plans or designs as far as what the
16	plant is going to look like and how it
17	impacts the environment when it does go
18	up. I haven't heard anybody address any
19	of those issues.
20	I'm not in agreement with any of
21	the sites. But one of the things that
22	has gotten me, there is nothing we can
23	do about it. It's basically a done
24	deal. So a few people get up here that
25	don't actually live in the neighborhood
	<u> </u>

but get up here to make comments that 1 2 they agree with where it's going. just wanted to put on record that I'm 3 4 not a proponent of any of the sites. I'm like Anthony Whitfield, some other 5 alternative site. But I'm speaking of 6 as a resident of Fairfax. So I would like to see more designs or pictures of 8 how it's going to look or if it's going 9 underground or on top. And we haven't 10 gotten anything like that. So just to 11 stand up here with words, with nothing 12 to show us but a site plan, that this is 13 the alternative and this is a preferred, 14 I just wanted to go on record that I'm 15 not in favor of any of it. And I do 16 agree that the Clinic -- I do know that 17 it's a small city, that they do need a 18 aubstation. And we do have a lot of 19 developing going on in the area. And 20 that's my -- I work as a developer --21 22 work with a developer in the area. So to debeautify everything that we've 23 done, yard garden, side garden, gardens 24 and all of this stuff, to put something 25

1	up like that, to me just takes away from
2	the neighborhood. And it's a detriment
3	to our neighborhood. And that's all.
4	MS. STENMAN: Thank you.
5	Is there anyone else who would like
6	to give a statement? Anyone else?
7	All right. We usually keep those
8	hearings open for at least a half-hour,
9	so we'll go off the record for about ten
LO	minutes and we'll come back on just to
11	see if anyone else wants to make a
L2	statement at that time. All right.
13	We'll recess.
L 4	• •
L5	(Thereupon, a short recess was had.)
Lб	
17	MS. STENMAN: Let's go back on the
18	record.
1.9	Since we've had a few more people
30	sign up to testify, let's start taking
21	that testimony now with Paul Lewis.
22	PAUL LEWIS,
23	After having been first duly sworn, as
24	hereinafter certified, was examined and testified
25	as follows:

To:16144660313

MS. STENMAN; Please state your 2 name and address for the record, and go 3 ahead with your statement. MR. LEWIS: When I look at this map 4 that was handed out to us as to where 5 these sites are proposed, I think, 6 personally, both of them are ludicrous, 7 given the overall area. 105th and Cedar 8 9 Avenue is prime. I know it's as a result of the Clinic. I also know 10 11 what's happening on Fayetteville Hill 12 Road. If you would move to your south on 13 East 105th, we have an area that's known 14 as the triangle, you know what I'm 15 talking about. It would not take a 16 17 great deal to move in that direction. don't know how these two sites were 18 19 arrived at, but to encumber, visually, 20 traffic wise, and just overall, these two locations for this type of facility 21 doesn't make a lot of sense, doesn't 22 23 bring anything to the community. 24 Doesn't bring anything to the 25 neighborhood, I feel. And I think a

much better site could be a site if the 1 whole idea was moved closer to the 2 railroad tracks, not in the way of any 3 other development that's taking place. 4 I don't know how these sites were 5 arrived at, but I know that given plans 6 7 for East 105th Street and Cedar Avenue, 8 that alternate site would definitely 9 encumber some other things that are supposed to take place. And that's what 10 11 I have to say. 12 MS. STENMAN: Thank you, sir. Vel Scott. 13 14 VEL SCOTT, After having been first duly sworn, as 15 16 hereinafter certified, was examined and testified as follows: 17 18 MS. STENMAN: Thank you. Please 19 state your name and address for the 20 record. 21 MS. SCOTT: My name is Vel Scott. 22 My address is 10807 Colonial Court, 23 Cleveland, 44106. I can't say that I'm in favor of 24 25 either site. The proposed -- the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

preferred site I would be more in favor of than the alternate site, because the alternate site hits right in the middle of the neighborhood. But one thing I would like to say before that is, I would like to see more information dispensed in the neighborhood. us that this effects, we need to be notified. I just happened to see this article in the paper a couple of months ago, otherwise I would not have known about it. There was no literature. asked people on the streets, Franklin, Norman, they hadn't heard about it either. So we would like to be more informed as to what's going on as opposed to having to read it in the paper and then last minute find out that there is going to be a hearing and that it affects us.

I think it ultimately can effect
the health of the people in the
neighborhood and I think it's something
that, I'm like Paul, I don't know who
selected these sites or how it came

24

1	about but I don't think that many of us
2	had any input into this or even
3	knowledge of it. So I would like to be
4	more aware of what's going on in the
5	community before these decisions are
6	made and, at least, we can come out and
7	say we're for or we're against it. So
8	that's my main concern. And if you do
9	use the proposed site, then I certainly
10	would hope that it be named Vel's
11	subsite.
12	MS. STENMAN: Thank you very much.
13	Mr. Render.
14	R.L. RENDER,
15	After having been first duly sworn, as
16	hereinafter certified, was examined and testified
17	as follows:
18	MS: STENMAN: Please state your
19	name and address for the record.
20	MR. RENDER: R.L. Render, 2238 East
21	100th Street, and I also have a house at
22	28180 East 128th Street and Buckeye. I
23	grew up on 105th and Cedar, then we
24	moved to 100th street. I worship there
25	at Antioch Baptist Church. Have family

1,2

Still there. Mother worked at Cleveland Clinic for 35 years. I am absolutely, categorically against either one of the sites. I share with Paul and Vel, first of all. You know, they say, what's done in the dark some day will come to light. And if it don't come out in the wash, it's going to come out in the rinse. This is in the front, because that community is my community. And I talked to Anthony Whitfield at length today.

First of all, this meeting should have been held in the neighborhood, not down here at City Hall at 6:00 at night. It could have been held at Antioch Baptist Church, or it could be FRDC. That's where it should have been held.

Now you sit down here at City Hall and plan something that's going to happen in our neighborhood, it should have been brought to our neighborhood, and everybody should have been notified.

I remember we did an event for

Mamie Mitchell. We walked 2000 flyers

from 105th to 79th. The same thing

should have been done for this because

of the severity and the profound impact

it's going to have on this community.

Now if this was Beachwood, there is no way in the world you would have even proposed this. If Beachwood was where Fairfax is, or Pepper Pike, it wouldn't have been proposed. You damn sure would have put it somewhere else. You wouldn't have put it in their residential neighborhood because they wouldn't have stood for it.

I am sick and tired as a community activist, I also work for the Cleveland Public Library -- and there is a wonderful master plan on the table for Fairfax to bring that neighborhood back. We've seen it on it's up days and we've seen it on the down slot. It is on the mend.

This is offensive. To think that you can just put something like this in

1	a predominantly black neighborhood, and
2	that's where they're going to stick this
3	stuff this crap. I feel like old
4	folks, the line is smooth, but your
5	hooks is rusty. I also feel I'll die
6	and go to hell before I let you put this
7	in our neighborhood.
8	MS. STENMAN: Is there anyone else
9	who would like to give a statement at
10	this time?
11	MS. JONES: I will.
12	MS. STENMAN: Come forward, please.
13	CAROL JONES,
14	After having been first duly sworn, as
15	hereinafter certified, was examined and testified
16	as follows:
17	
	MS. STENMAN: Please state your
18	ms. STENMAN: Please state your name and address for the record.
18 19	
	name and address for the record.
19	name and address for the record. MS. JONES: My name is Carol
19 20	name and address for the record. MS. JONES: My name is Carol Jones. My address is 4186 East 84th
19 20 21	name and address for the record. MS. JONES: My name is Carol Jones. My address is 4186 East 84th Street. And I honestly, I feel so
19 20 21 22	name and address for the record. MS. JONES: My name is Carol Jones. My address is 4186 East 84th Street. And I honestly, I feel so ignorant at this time concerning this

with them. But to stand here as a
resident of Fairfax and not know
anything of what is going on in our
neighborhood is really disrespect. I
have had involvement with Cleveland
Clinic. And I say this, that I was not
informed. I have a son that has a
scizure disorder, and as I read this
right here and see how it would effect
our neighborhood, naturally it becomes
more emotional because of my son. And I
know how I personally dealt with the
Cleveland Clinic and not keeping me
informed of anything that's going on
with his health, so much more so of not
informing me of what's going on in my
neighborhood, again, is a slap in the
face to the residents. So I stand here
and I have to agree with the gentlemen
that just spoke. It is a disrespect to
our neighborhood, and it would not
happen anywhere else. That's all I have
to say.
MS. STENMAN: Mamie Mitchell.
MAMIE MITCHELL,

After having been first duly sworn, as 1 hereinafter certified, was examined and testified as follows: 3 MS. MITCHELL: I swear that I will give you the truth. MS. STENMAN: Thank you. б MS. MITCHELL: It's my honor to be 7 here, and this is a very interesting 8 discussion. I think we all agree that 9 Cleveland Clinic probably needs more 10 electric power in order to provide the 11 services to the area and to the -- all 12 of the different clients and things like 13 that. The issues which I hear today is, 14 what is the appropriate site. 15 There is some that say the old 16 Vel's site in Cleveland is the right 17 one. The other one some are saying is 18 the alternative site. But maybe there 19 is a third site. I heard the mention of 20 21 a site on Quincy Avenue. And the

23 available. The issue is also that
24 everyone is waiting on the opportu

22

everyone is waiting on the opportunity

question of that is a site that's also

corridor to see where exactly that

particular street will cut across and will that affect the 105 site, would that affect the other site on Arthur basically on 107. So basically, we may be a bit premature. And I know that no one probably wants to wait on opportunity corridor, that maybe your best way is if there is a third site that would make the community more supportive -- it's hard to have a divided community. We know what needs to be done, but the issue is how it's going to be done and when it's going to be done and when it's going to be done and what is the more appropriate site.

alternative, I think the Vel site would be the best site of those two, basically, because the other site is -- there is a neighborhood in there. And I mean, there is neighbors all around. But this, the other site, persons are all living on the -- on streets and really, really close, whereas, the Vel's site is kind of like a blind site,

2

3

5

G

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

basically. Not a lot of immediate persons that reside there.

Now, basically, I was looking at -today I wanted to make sure, where are the other substations, or some of the others ones. I have several in ward six and I don't think this is because it's an African American community. Because I have African Americans, you receive everything in the Larchmere areas. There are two substations there. One is on Mount Overlook, or around 119th there is a substation. People live up and down the street on it. Basically, most likely would be smaller than the proposed site, and also, if we go on Larchmere, across the famous Larchmere Academy Tavern there's also a substation.

So I would hope that the Cleveland Clinic, or CEI, or no one would target minority communities. I think if you're going to sufferer pain, everyone needs to bear that particular burden. And that is why I took the time today -- no

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

one informed me of the other
substations. They're all down 93rd
Street. There are quite a few in
various neighborhoods, basically, but
the neighborhoods must buy into it if
everyone is to be happy.

So for me, I would like to also know where the opportunity corridor is going to come. I know there is a meeting set up soon for opportunity corridor. I think this is a decision that I would support what the community supports, what the majority of the community supports, basically. I would weigh down on the site that we do need this advancement because we have -- how can I say it -- we have a world-class facility. And who knows? Who knows who is going to need that electricity. Young lady just said her son, you know, basically needs help. We have a lot of senior citizens. So that's -- it's a balancing act on what will electric power be used for? Is it to make certain that the Clinic -- that nothing

1	goes out. Nothing fails. Be bad to
2	have citizens in there getting
3	operations and all of a sudden there's a
4	power outage. And those things do
5	happen. And the Clinic wants its own
6	separate power generator so it doesn't
7	have to affect the neighborhood. So
8	basically, if someone's at home getting
9	dialysis, or getting their, you know,
10	blood transfusions, or any kind there
11	is a lot of activities done at home. So
12	I also feel for those people who may be
13	in jeopardy simply because the Cleveland
1.4	Clinic on a hot day and we knew about
15	those hot days when everything blacks
16	out.
17	. So it is something for everyone to
18	think about, and I'm still thinking
19	about whole program and project. Thank
20	you very much.
21	ARBITRATOR: Thank you. Anyone
22	else?
23	MS. BUTLER: Yes.
24	MS. STENMAN: Come on up.
25	CAROLYN BUTLER,

1	After having been first duly sworn, as
2	hereinafter certified, was examined and testified
3	as follows:
4	MS. BUTLER: My name is Carol
5	Butler, and I'm a resident of Fairfax
6	area.
7	Since I've been living in Fairfax
8	area, it has grown, things have
9	developed. We ended up with a Juvenile
10	System there with a \$300,000 conference
11	desk, and our community is in poverty.
12	And I feel like also this, I agree with
13	the rest of them that has just spoken.
14	And I'm on their side 100 percent,
15	because while these things are happening
16	in our community, we're not even being
17	notified. And I feel like, if anything
18	goes on in our community and anyone
1.9	wants to put a project in our community,
30	we should be notified as being
21	residence. And I end there.
22	MS. STENMAN: Thank you. Anyone
23	else?
24	NORMAN WALLACE,
25	After having been first duly sworn, as

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hereinafter certified, was examined and testified as follows:

MS. STENMAN: Please state your name and address.

MR. WALLACE: Norman Wallace. I live at 2618 East 111th, Apartment 1.

I can't look on anything that Cleveland Clinic wants to do in our neighborhood favorably. Cleveland Clinic is a business, and it's a business is to make money. They just are a hospital to make money. They use people to make money, period, just like Chrysler. Chrysler doesn't sell cars. Chrysler makes car to make money. Cleveland Clinic heals people to make money. I can't look upon a entity like that favorably because they had to be made to put an emergency room in their hospital in our neighborhood. They don't care anything about our neighborhood. They took an old hospital out of the neighborhood where people getting sick all of the time and they'll send them somewhere else.

1	Like I said, I can't look upon an
2	entity like that in our neighborhood.
3	They don't care anything about us. All
4	they care about is making money. They
5	don't care anything about our
6	neighborhood.
7	MS. STENMAN: Thank you. Anyone
8	else?
9	This is the last opportunity you're
10	going to have tonight.
11	All right. Seeing no one else, I
12	would like to thank everyone for coming
13	this evening.
14	MS. SMITH: I have something to
15	say.
16	MS. STENMAN: All right. Please
17	come forward.
1.8	REBECCA SMITH,
19	After having been first duly sworn, as
20	hereinafter certified, was examined and testified
21	as follows:
22	MS. STENMAN: Please state you name
23	and address?
24	MS. SMITH: My name is Rebecca
25	Smith. I stay at 8025 Cedar, Apartment

5.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. STENMAN: Okay. Go ahead.

MS. SMITH: I feel that any time you're doing something like that involves electricity, you're saying there are different sites that are available, but just looking from a medical approach, fallout from radiation lasts for miles. If you look at things like where we worship and live, their results will last for years and years after that. So even if you do place it somewhere else, all the places are still within the community, so that effects are not only temporary, but long lasting. And I think that the people who are installing that know that. And the lives of people have to be taken into consideration whenever you do something like that in a community. So that's pretty much something that I wanted to bring into play, not that it's not -- it's not unknown information. I'm pretty sure it's known to those who are building a plant in our community.

1	So I feel that that should be spoken.
2	MS. STENMAN: Thank you. Anyone
3	else?
4	All right. Hearing no one else,
5	this concludes our hearing. The
6	transcript of this hearing will be
7	submitted for the Board's consideration
8	and, hopefully, the company will stick
9	around for a little while to answer any
10	questions after this hearing. And I
11	hope you all have a good evening. Thank
12	you.
13	- -
14	(Hearing concluded at 6:45 p.m.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 The State of Ohio, SS: CERTIFICATE County of Cuyahoga. 2) 3 I, Katrina L. Dearborn, Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the within-4 named witnesses were first duly sworn to testify 5 the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the cause aforesaid; that the testimony then given by him/her was by me reduced to stenotypy in the presence of said witnesses, afterwards transcribed on a computer, and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of 8 the testimony so given by him/her as aforesaid. 9 I do further certify that this arbitration was taken at the time and place in the foregoing caption specified and was completed without 10 adjournment. 11 I do further certify that I am not a relative, employee of, or attorney for any of the 12 parties in the above-captioned action; I am not a 13 relative or employee of an attorney for any of the parties in the above-captioned action; I am not financially interested in the action; I am 14 not, nor is the court reporting firm with which I am affiliated, under a contract as defined in 15 Civil Rule 28(D); nor am I otherwise interested in the event of this action. 16 IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my 17 hand and affixed my seal of office at Cleveland, Ohio on this 21st day of November, 2012. 18 19 20 21 22 Katrina L. Dearborn, Notary Public 23 In and for the State of Ohio. my commission expires 11-02-15. 24