BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Annual Verification of the)	
Energy Efficiency and Peak Demand)	
Reductions Achieved by the Electric)	Case No. 12-665-EL-UNC
Distribution Utilities Pursuant to Section)	
4928.66, Revised Code)	

REPLY COMMENTS OF THE DAYTON POWER & LIGHT COMPANY ON THE REPORT OF OHIO INDEPENDENT EVALUATOR ON THE ANNUAL VERIFICATION OF THE ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND PEAK DEMAND REDUCTIONS ACHIEVED BY THE ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION UTILITIES

For its reply comments, The Dayton Power and Light Company ("DP&L" or "the Company") seeks only to make a correction to a misstatement of facts by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") in its comments filed on November 2, 2012. In its comments, the OCC wrote:

Evergreen pointed to Ohio's draft energy efficiency TRM prepared for the PUCO, which recommends an installation factor of 86% for CFLs purchased by customers or 81% for CFLs installed at customers' premises by an EDU.

But the EDUs were inconsistent in calculating energy savings from CFLs. Both Duke and AEP Ohio assumed that 100% of CFLs obtained by customers remained installed for the entire year. FirstEnergy used an adjustment factor of 89% and DP&L adjusted savings by 86%, but neither EDU differentiated between those purchased by customers and those installed by the EDUs. Thus, all the EDUs may have overstated savings derived from CFLs.¹

DP&L did not overstate savings derived from CFLs. No CFLs were installed by the utility on customers' premises as a part of DP&L's residential lighting program. Therefore the TRM value of 86% was the appropriate installation rate as the 81% installation rate referenced by the OCC does not apply to DP&L.

¹ Comments of the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel, pages 3-4.

Respectfully submitted:

Judy L. Sobecki (0067186) The Dayton Power and Light Company

1065 Woodman Drive Dayton, Ohio 45432

Telephone: (937) 259-7171 Facsimile: (937) 259-7178

Email: Judi.Sobecki@dplinc.com

Attorney for the Dayton Power and Light

Company

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

11/19/2012 3:24:58 PM

in

Case No(s). 12-0665-EL-UNC

Summary: Reply Comments of The Dayton Power and Light Company, electronically filed by Irda Hoxha Hinders on behalf of The Dayton Power and Light Company