## **R.H. DEVELOPMENT** P.O. BOX 391029, SOLON, OHIO 44139-1029

Jim Lynn PUCO Docketing Division 180 E. Broad St. Columbus, OH 43215-3793 October 17, 2012

Re: Case No. 12-1641-EL-CSS

Dear Mr. Lynn,

Confirming my conversation with you on October 10, 2012, I wish to withdraw my complaint against the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co., on behalf of my company, RH Development, LLC. I am withdrawing due to the practical matter of the costs involved to pursue this further.

In fact, I strongly believe that CEI, after having been allowed by PUCO to renege on their promise of a lifetime reduced rate for electric heat, has additionally been allowed to charge for the second meter in each of my vacant units, even though no power is being run through them. These meters were required by CEI to segregate the power used for the "reduced rate".

Although technically, CEI is only doing what PUCO has permitted, I'm quite comfortable in stating that they never brought this convenient nuance to PUCO's attention, either. I therefore strongly suggest that PUCO change this by disallowing any charges for second meters used initially for the prior "dual rate" electric heat incentives in any future rate reviews.

Sincerely,

K

Ronald L. Hirsch Managing Partner RH Development, LLC <u>r.hirsch@mac.com</u> 216-469-1585

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV 2012 OCT 19 PM 2:27 PUCO

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file iocument delivered in the regular course of business [12] Technician \_\_\_\_\_\_ Date Processed \_\_\_\_\_\_