

**Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** Snitchler, Todd  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 17, 2012 11:17 AM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: I oppose Duke Energy's rate hike

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV  
2012 OCT 17 AM 11:49  
PUCO

---

From: GreenpeaceOn Behalf Ofkate melges  
Sent: Wednesday, October 17, 2012 11:17:13 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Snitchler, Todd  
Subject: I oppose Duke Energy's rate hike

Oct 17, 2012

Todd Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

Now that the city of Cincinnati has taken a stand against Duke Energy's dirty business and chosen an energy provider using less coal, Duke is asking for a rate hike on working Ohioans and small business owners to compensate for their failure to modernize. Duke Energy shouldn't be allowed to use rate hikes to punish customers who choose cleaner, cheaper options for their electricity supply.


Duke Energy should quit investing in coal and instead invest in the renewable technologies that they claim to support--and that are required under Ohio's Renewable Portfolio Standard. In Ohio, we already pay the hidden cost of coal with our health and our environment. But if companies like Duke want to ask more from our pocketbooks too, it should be for an Ohio that's powered by local wind & solar that will protect our health and employ thousands of Ohioans.

I oppose this rate hike.

Case number: 12-1682-EL-AIR

Sincerely,

kate melges  
2330 Victory Pkwy  
Cincinnati, OH 45206-2839

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business  
Technician  Date Processed OCT 17 2012

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Snitchler, Todd  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 17, 2012 11:17 AM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: I oppose Duke Energy's rate hike

---

From: GreenpeaceOn Behalf OfLaura Stanton  
Sent: Wednesday, October 17, 2012 11:17:11 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Snitchler, Todd  
Subject: I oppose Duke Energy's rate hike

Oct 17, 2012

Todd Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

Now that the city of Cincinnati has taken a stand against Duke Energy's dirty business and chosen an energy provider using less coal, Duke is asking for a rate hike on working Ohioans and small business owners to compensate for their failure to modernize. Duke Energy shouldn't be allowed to use rate hikes to punish customers who choose cleaner, cheaper options for their electricity supply.

Duke Energy should quit investing in coal and instead invest in the renewable technologies that they claim to support--and that are required under Ohio's Renewable Portfolio Standard. In Ohio, we already pay the hidden cost of coal with our health and our environment. But if companies like Duke want to ask more from our pocketbooks too, it should be for an Ohio that's powered by local wind & solar that will protect our health and employ thousands of Ohioans.

I oppose this rate hike.

Case number: 12-1682-EL-AIR

Sincerely,

Laura Stanton  
600 Myrtle Ave  
Terrace Park, OH 45174-1134

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Snitchler, Todd  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 17, 2012 10:47 AM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: I oppose Duke Energy's rate hike

---

From: GreenpeaceOn Behalf OfSandy McClure  
Sent: Wednesday, October 17, 2012 10:47:10 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Snitchler, Todd  
Subject: I oppose Duke Energy's rate hike

Oct 17, 2012

Todd Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

Now that the city of Cincinnati has taken a stand against Duke Energy's dirty business and chosen an energy provider using less coal, Duke is asking for a rate hike on working Ohioans and small business owners to compensate for their failure to modernize. Duke Energy shouldn't be allowed to use rate hikes to punish customers who choose cleaner, cheaper options for their electricity supply.

Duke Energy should quit investing in coal and instead invest in the renewable technologies that they claim to support--and that are required under Ohio's Renewable Portfolio Standard. In Ohio, we already pay the hidden cost of coal with our health and our environment. But if companies like Duke want to ask more from our pocketbooks too, it should be for an Ohio that's powered by local wind & solar that will protect our health and employ thousands of Ohioans.

I oppose this rate hike.

Case number: 12-1682-EL-AIR

Sincerely,

Sandy McClure  
3815 Fox Run Dr Apt 1220  
Blue Ash, OH 45236-6004  
(513) 791-3488

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Snitchler, Todd  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 17, 2012 9:17 AM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: I oppose Duke Energy's rate hike

---

**From:** GreenpeaceOn Behalf OfDeBorah Edwards  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 17, 2012 9:17:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
**To:** Snitchler, Todd  
**Subject:** I oppose Duke Energy's rate hike

Oct 17, 2012

Todd Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

Now that the city of Cincinnati has taken a stand against Duke Energy's dirty business and chosen an energy provider using less coal, Duke is asking for a rate hike on working Ohioans and small business owners to compensate for their failure to modernize. Duke Energy shouldn't be allowed to use rate hikes to punish customers who choose cleaner, cheaper options for their electricity supply.

Duke Energy should quit investing in coal and instead invest in the renewable technologies that they claim to support--and that are required under Ohio's Renewable Portfolio Standard. In Ohio, we already pay the hidden cost of coal with our health and our environment. But if companies like Duke want to ask more from our pocketbooks too, it should be for an Ohio that's powered by local wind & solar that will protect our health and employ thousands of Ohioans.

I oppose this rate hike.

Case number: 12-1682-EL-AIR

Sincerely,

DeBorah Edwards  
2714 Lafeuille Cir  
Cincinnati, OH 45211-8270

**Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** ContactThePUCO  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 17, 2012 11:01 AM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** Docketing

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio  
Investigation and Audit Division

Memorandum

Date: 10/17/2012

Re: Robert Souder  
1440 Mayland Dr  
Cincinnati, OH 45230

Docketing Case No.:

12-1682-EL-AIR

Notes:

Please docket the following in the case number above. Thank you.

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

**COMMENT DESCRIPTION:**

This is in regards to the Street Car Project in Cincinnati. My wife and I agree that this project is pure nonsense. There is just so many other issues of importance than a Street Car traveling 3.5 miles. Walk and be more fit. Duke Engery and the City of Cincinnati need to realize that money trees don't grow in backyards. The way they enjoy spending on dumb stuff. Spend on the correct issues. The transport system in downtown Cincinnati is just fine. Buses-cars-cabs-horses-and the feet GOD gave man.

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*