BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Long-Term Forecast Report of Ohio Power Company and Related Matters.)))	Case No. 10-501-EL-FOR
In the Matter of the Long-Term Forecast Report of Columbus Southern Power Company and Related Matters.)))	Case No. 10-502-EL-FOR

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF OF INDUSTRIAL ENERGY USERS-OHIO

Samuel C. Randazzo
(Counsel of Record)
Frank P. Darr
Joseph E. Oliker
Matthew R. Pritchard
McNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC
21 East State Street, 17TH Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
Telephone: (614) 469-8000
Telecopier: (614) 469-4653
sam@mwncmh.com
fdarr@mwncmh.com
joliker@mwncmh.com
mpritchard@mwncmh.com

OCTOBER 3, 2012

ATTORNEYS FOR INDUSTRIAL ENERGY USERS-OHIO

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		P	AGE
l.	INTR	ODUCTION	1
11.	ARG	UMENT	4
	Α.	Need must be defined as the need for capacity and energy	6
	B.	An LTFR is limited to forecasting issues; thus, any finding of need relevant to the application of Section 4928.143(B)(2)(c), Revised Code, must be made in an ESP	7
	C.	Evidence must be submitted in an ESP	. 10
	D.	An EDU's need is all that matters	. 11
III.	CON	CLUSION	. 14

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Long-Term Forecast Report of Ohio Power Company and Related Matters.)))	Case No. 10-501-EL-FOR
In the Matter of the Long-Term Forecast Report of Columbus Southern Power Company and Related Matters.)	Case No. 10-502-EL-FOR

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF OF INDUSTRIAL ENERGY USERS-OHIO

I. INTRODUCTION

On November 21, 2011, Ohio Power Company ("OP" or "AEP-Ohio") and the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio's ("Commission") Staff ("Staff") filed a partial Stipulation and Recommendation ("Stipulation") stating, among other things (at paragraph 2), that:

Based on resource planning projections submitted by AEP Ohio pursuant to R C 4928.143(B)(2)(c), and the provisions of 4928.64(B)(2) that require AEP Ohio to obtain alternative energy resources including solar resources located in Ohio, the Commission should find that there is a need for the 49.9 MW solar facility known as Turning Point Solar Project ("Turning Point") during the LTFR planning period as described herein.

The Staff's April 25, 2012 Post-Hearing Brief (beginning at page 3) describes the legal theory that is the foundation for the above-quoted recommendation in the contested Stipulation:

[W]here an EDU is contemplating seeking a non-bypassable charge through an ESP under R.C. 4928.143(B)(2)(c), the EDU must have the need for that facility considered in a forecasting case. AEP has sought just this sort of review in this docket.

In sum, the case presents two sets of issues: does the forecast comply with R.C. 4935.04(F); and is the Turning Point Solar project needed within the meaning of R.C. 4928.143(B)(2)(c)?¹

Throughout this protracted proceeding, the parties urging the Commission to adopt the Stipulation have linked any "need" determination in this proceedings to a non-bypassable charge that may potentially be available under Section 4928.143(B)(2)(c), Revised Code. This is the linkage that has drawn fire from the Industrial Energy Users-Ohio ("IEU-Ohio") and FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. ("FES") because it is a linkage that is forbidden by Ohio law.

The <u>only</u> claimed need for Turning Point is based on wishful speculation that, absent Turning Point, there may be an inadequate number of solar renewable energy credits ("sRECs") to satisfy the compliance obligations established by Section 4928.64, Revised Code.²

The Staff's May 4, 2012 Reply Brief (beginning at page 3) states clearly that Turning Point's "...function is to produce Ohio Solar RECs." "Its function is not to produce Ohio solar RECs for just AEP." "The need for Ohio solar RECs (sRECs) is state-wide."

Thus, neither proponent of the Stipulation suggests that the Stipulation is based on evidence demonstrating the electric distribution utility's ("EDU") specific "need" that is

¹ Post Hearing Brief of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio at 3-4 (Apr. 25, 2012).

² The Stipulation appears to ignore the ability of the Commission to modify the compliance requirements of Section 4928.64, Revised Code, if renewable energy resources are not reasonably available in the marketplace.

³ Reply Brief of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio at 3 (May 4, 2012).

⁴ *Id*.

a condition for a potential non-bypassable charge under Section 4928.143(B)(2)(c), Revised Code.

And, the Stipulation's non-bypassable charge endgame is specifically precluded by Section 4928.64(E), Revised Code, which states:

All costs incurred by an electric distribution utility in complying with the requirements of this section shall be bypassable by any consumer that has exercised choice of supplier under section 4928.03, Revised Code.

Thus, the stated purpose of the above-quoted language from the Stipulation — the recommendation that need be found in these proceedings to build a bridge to a potential Section 4928.143(B)(2)(c), Revised Code, non-bypassable charge — is unlawful and unreasonable on its face.

Based on the uncontested facts and law, the Commission should have rejected the Stipulation at least with regard to the above-quoted language. Yet, it did not.

Instead, the Commission issued an Entry on September 5, 2012 ("Entry") stating that additional information is needed before a decision can be issued regarding the Stipulation.⁵ The Entry requested that parties address, through additional briefs: (1) whether need should be defined solely as the need for energy and capacity, or does need include compliance with the renewable portfolio standard requirements ("RPS Requirements"); (2) the proper legal standard that should be applied to the Commission's analysis of need; (3) what evidence is relevant to the Commission's determination of need; (4) whether the Commission should solely consider AEP-Ohio's

⁵ Entry at 2 (Sept. 5, 2012).

need for the project, or whether the Commission should look beyond AEP-Ohio's need to the need of the state or the need outside of the state.

While the Entry solicits additional briefs on broad legal questions that have potential generic implications, no additional briefing can change the fact that the Stipulation asks the Commission to make findings that are unlawful and unreasonable. Accordingly, and regardless of whatever may be accomplished through additional briefing, the Commission must adhere to the law and reject paragraph 2 of the Stipulation.

II. ARGUMENT

Before approving a settlement, contested or otherwise, the Commission must find that: (1) the settlement is a product of serious bargaining among capable, knowledgeable parties; (2) the settlement, as a package, benefits ratepayers and the public interest; and; (3) the settlement package does not violate any important regulatory principles or practices.⁶

Additionally, as a creature of statute, the Commission's authority is limited to that which has been granted by the General Assembly.⁷ A settlement cannot provide the Commission with authority to do what the Commission does not otherwise have authority to do or to disrespect procedural or substantive requirements established by the General Assembly or the Commission's rules.⁸

⁶ Consumers' Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm., 64 Ohio St.3d 123, 126 (1992). See, also, AK Steel Corp. v. Pub. Util. Comm., 95 Ohio St.3d 81, 82-83 (2002).

⁷ Monongahela Power Co. v. Pub. Util. Comm., 104 Ohio St.3d 571, 2004-Ohio-6896 at ¶26 (2004).

⁸ *Id*.

The General Assembly, through Sections 4928.143, 4928.64 and 4935.04, Revised Code, has provided the Commission with clear direction on how and when the Commission may determine, in an electric security plan ("ESP") proceeding, that there is a need for a new generating facility that can, provided other conditions are satisfied, potentially give rise to a non-bypassable charge for the life of the facility. Section 4928.143(B)(2)(c), Revised Code, says that "when" is in an ESP proceeding — not in a long-term forecast ("LTFR") proceeding (which seldom includes a hearing). As discussed above, Section 4928.64(E), Revised Code, specifically precludes reliance upon any claimed need for sRECs as a basis for establishing a non-bypassable charge for the life of the sREC producing facility. It does not matter whether the asserted sREC need is regional, statewide or more localized in its concoction.

Moreover, any determination of sREC need based upon a regional, statewide or more localized perspective cannot be made in an LTFR proceeding with a view towards an ESP proceeding because an LTFR proceeding is focused on forecasted load requirements and the availability of capacity and energy to serve such load. The Commission's role in an LTFR proceeding is limited to determining whether the forecast of these supply and demand conditions is accurate and reasonable. LTFR proceedings are not the place to review and determine any need for a specific generating facility and

⁹ Among other things, any ESP containing a non-bypassable charge for a new generation facility cannot be approved by the Commission if the ESP is not better in the aggregate than the Section 4928.142, Revised Code, form of a standard service offer ("SSO").

¹⁰ Post Hearing Brief of Industrial Energy Users-Ohio at 11-13 (Apr. 25, 2012); Reply Brief of Industrial Energy Users-Ohio at 3 (May 4, 2012).

this legal reality took on added significance when Ohio declared generation service to be a competitive service.¹¹

Finally, even if need for a specific facility could be lawfully determined in an LTFR proceeding for any purpose relevant to Section 4928.143(B)(2)(c), Revised Code, that need must be defined based on AEP-Ohio's specific circumstances. Accordingly, paragraph 2 of the Stipulation must be rejected.

A. Need must be defined as the need for capacity and energy.

The Commission's Entry requested additional briefing to determine whether need should be defined solely as the need for capacity and energy or whether it may include the need to comply with Section 4928.64, Revised Code, requirements (RPS Requirements). AEP-Ohio and Staff, through the Stipulation, testimony, and briefing, have incorrectly claimed that a finding of need may be based on the need to comply with RPS Requirements. AEP-Ohio and Staff have conflated two unrelated statutes. Indeed, the only relationship between Sections 4928.143 and 4928.64, Revised Code, is the common prohibition against non-bypassable recovery of renewable compliance costs.¹³

Although Section 4928.143(B)(2)(b) and (c), Revised Code, authorizes the Commission to approve a non-bypassable charge for a generating facility if certain requirements are satisfied, the Section specifically prohibits recovery of a non-bypassable charge for a facility designed to recover the cost of compliance with RPS

¹¹ Id.

¹² Post Hearing Brief of Industrial Energy Users-Ohio at 14-16 (Apr. 25, 2012); Reply Brief of Industrial Energy Users-Ohio at 9-10 (May 4, 2012).

¹³ See Sections 4928.143(B) and 4928.64(E), Revised Code.

Requirements. Specifically, Section 4928.143(B), Revised Code, states "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of Title XLIX of the Revised Code to the contrary except division (D) of this section, divisions (I), (J), and (K) of section 4928.20, division (E) of section 4928.64 the plan may provide for . . . a nonbypassable surcharge." Division (E) of Section 4928.64, Revised Code, provides that "[a]II costs incurred by an electric distribution utility in complying with the requirements of this section shall be bypassable by any consumer that has exercised choice of supplier." Thus, cost recovery for an alternative energy resource — such as Turning Point — is specifically excluded from the scope of the permissive ESP provisions contained in Section 4928.143(B), Revised Code.

Because the Stipulation asks the Commission to find that there is a need for Turning Point to satisfy the requirements of Sections 4928.64 and 4928.143(B)(2)(c), Revised Code, the Stipulation is unlawful and seeks relief that is beyond the Commission's authority.

B. An LTFR is limited to forecasting issues; thus, any finding of need relevant to the application of Section 4928.143(B)(2)(c), Revised Code, must be made in an ESP.

The Entry also requested additional briefing on the legal standard that should apply to the Commission's analysis of need. Section 4928.143(B)(2)(c), Revised Code, specifies when a finding of need must be made — it must be made in an ESP proceeding.¹⁶

7

¹⁴ Section 4928.143(B), Revised Code (emphasis added).

¹⁵ Section 4928.64(E), Revised Code (emphasis added).

¹⁶ Post Hearing Brief of Industrial Energy Users-Ohio at 11-13 (Apr. 25, 2012); Reply Brief of Industrial Energy Users-Ohio at 3 (May 4, 2012).

LTFR proceedings are "limited to issues relating to forecasting" and the Commission's role is to determine whether the LTFR is accurate, complete, and reasonable. The ultimate purpose of an LTFR is to determine whether the applicant's forecast of load requirements and resources is accurate and reasonable — it is specifically focused on the reasonableness of forecasting techniques and methodologies. The supplies of the supplies

If the LTFR results project an imbalance between supply and demand, the LTFR proceeding is not the place to determine whether a particular new generating facility should be built to fill the gap. As discussed further in Section C in the context of Section 4928.143(B)(2)(c), Revised Code, that is a matter for an ESP proceeding. If an EDU demonstrates, in an ESP proceeding, that there is a need for a new generating facility which was sourced through a competitive bid process, it may be eligible to obtain a life-of-facility non-bypassable charge, provided other conditions are met. The Commission has also concluded that sourcing new generation supply through the market is the first and best means of addressing any need that may be found in an ESP context.²⁰

Amended Substitute Senate Bill 3 ("SB 3") and Amended Substitute Senate Bill 221 ("SB 221") lend further support to the conclusion that any need determination for a specific generating facility is not part of the LTFR process. First, SB 3 declared

¹⁷ Section 4935.04(E)(1), Revised Code.

¹⁸ Section 4935.04(F), Revised Code.

¹⁹ Id.

²⁰ In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan, Case Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO, et al., Opinion and Order at 39 (Dec. 14, 2011).

generation service to be a competitive service, eliminating the Commission's authority to regulate generation service through traditional cost-based ratemaking. Moreover, SB 3 removed "electric generating facility" from the definition of "major utility facility" in the LTFR statute (Section 4935.04, Revised Code), further limiting the Commission's authority over generation service in an LTFR proceeding. "Major utility facility" is now defined as a transmission line. As a result of the General Assembly's actions, an EDU submitting an LTFR is no longer required to provide a description of an electric generating plant to be added or taken out of service during the LTFR period. Rather, the EDU must provide "A year-by-year, ten-year forecast of annual energy demand, peak load, reserves, and a general description of the resource planning projections to meet demand."²²

While SB 3 diminished the Commission's authority to regulate generation service, SB 221 provided the Commission with limited authority to authorize a non-bypassable charge for a new generation facility if certain conditions are satisfied. Rather than providing such authority through a modification of the LTFR statute (Section 4935.04, Revised Code), the General Assembly authorized the Commission to establish prices for default generation supply service (generation supply available to non-shopping customers through Sections 4928.141 to 4928.143, Revised Code). In exercising that authority, Section 4928.143(B)(2)(c), Revised Code (the Section referenced in the Stipulation), states, "no surcharge shall be authorized unless the commission first determines in the [ESP] proceeding that there is need for the

²¹ Section 4935.04(A)(1), Revised Code.

²² Section 4935.04(C)(1), Revised Code.

facility based on resource planning projections submitted by the electric distribution utility."²³ Accordingly, any need determination relevant for purposes of Section 4928.143(B)(2)(c), Revised Code, must be made in an ESP rather than an LTFR proceeding.

C. Evidence must be submitted in an ESP.

The changes made by SB 3 to the LTFR statute and the enactment of the ESP statute dictate the timing and manner in which evidence of need must be established. As stated above, a finding of need must be based on evidence submitted in an ESP proceeding.²⁴ If the LTFR contains reliable statistics regarding forecasted load and available capacity and energy, the Commission may consider those statistics in an ESP proceeding if the results of the LTFR are properly sponsored in the ESP proceeding and the LTFR spans the entire life of the facility (an unlikely span for an LTFR). Because AEP-Ohio concedes that it owns sufficient capacity and energy to meet forecasted load requirements²⁵ during the LTFR period, there is no basis upon which AEP-Ohio could establish that it needs to construct a new generating facility.

A finding of need relevant for purposes of Section 4928.143(B)(2)(c), Revised Code, must also recognize that an EDU has little or no responsibility for balancing generation supply with demand. Under Ohio law (Section 4928.12, Revised Code), regional transmission organizations such as PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. ("PJM") are

²³ Section 4928.143(B)(2)(c), Revised Code (emphasis added); see Post Hearing Brief of Industrial Energy Users-Ohio at 12 (Apr. 25, 2012).

²⁴ Post Hearing Brief of Industrial Energy Users-Ohio at 12 (Apr. 25, 2012); Reply Brief of Industrial Energy Users-Ohio at 3-8 (May 4, 2012).

²⁵ Tr. at 31.

responsible for maintaining separation between generation and transmission functions, improving reliability within Ohio, substantially increasing economical supply options for consumers, promoting positive performance to satisfy the electricity requirements of customers and maintaining real-time reliability. EDUs no longer control the operation of their owned generating facilities and most Ohio EDUs will not own generating facilities in the near future. PJM determines which resources (including demand response resources) shall operate to meet reliability objectives and does so pursuant to federal law.²⁶

D. An EDU's need is all that matters.

A finding of need (regardless of need type) must be based on the specific needs of an EDU — not a statewide or regional need. Sections 4928.143, 4928.64, and 4935.04, Revised Code, each confirm this conclusion.

A non-bypassable generation-related surcharge can only be authorized in an ESP, and an ESP is specific to an EDU applicant.²⁷ Likewise, while an LTFR is not an appropriate venue to determine whether a particular generating facility is needed, the data included in the LTFR are related to the forecasted load of an EDU and the ability of the EDU to procure supply to meet the load in its service territory.²⁸ Moreover, even under the Stipulation's flawed theory that need may be based on the need to comply with RPS Requirements, such a determination would have to be based on AEP-Ohio's

²⁶ Given PJM's scope of control regarding the operating or generating facilities, the output of a new generating plant funded by a non-bypassable charge paid by Ohio consumers could be used to help meet the needs of consumers in other states.

²⁷ See Generally Sections 4928.141 and 4928.143, Revised Code.

²⁸ See Generally Section 4935.04, Revised Code.

specific RPS Requirements because such requirements are calculated based on the EDU's kilowatt-hour sales.²⁹

The Entry's suggestion that it may be appropriate to base a finding of need on other states' RPS Requirements is completely unsupported by and in conflict with Ohio law. There is nothing in the Ohio Revised Code to suggest that Ohio customers can be required to pay for an EDU's cost of satisfying the RPS Requirements of other states. Moreover, Section 4928.143(B)(2)(c), Revised Code, provides that the capacity and energy of any new generating facility shall be dedicated to Ohio customers, as opposed to customers of other states. A finding of need based on the requirements of other states, regardless of the type of need, would be unlawful and unreasonable for any purpose relevant to Sections 4928.143(B)(2)(c) or 4935.04, Revised Code.

Finally, while it would be unlawful to make an LTFR need determination for a specific EDU based on a statewide perspective, no such statewide perspective can be applied in these proceedings. According to Mr. Bellamy's testimony, construction of 15 megawatts ("MW") of solar generation in Ohio per year will satisfy the in-state sREC requirements of the entire state through 2025.³⁰ Using historical data associated with the development of solar resources in Ohio from 2009 through 2011, Mr. Bellamy modeled four scenarios that he claimed represented potential solar development. Only

²⁹ See Generally Section 4928.64, Revised Code.

³⁰ According to Mr. Bellamy's testimony, there must be approximately 250 MW of solar constructed in Ohio by 2025. Since there was 40 MW of solar completed at the end of 2011, then there must be 210 MW developed over the next 14 years. Staff Ex. 1 at 5 (Figure 1); AEP-Ohio Ex. 1 at 11. Thus, the average development target is 15 MW per year. These projections ignore the Commission's ability to modify the RPS Requirements upon a showing that compliance requirements cannot be reasonably satisfied.

the first two scenarios are relevant because they did not include the impact of Turning Point's potential development.

Regardless of any modeling, Mr. Bellamy conceded that if solar resource development continues at the same pace as in 2010 and 2011, the entire state would over-comply with RPS Requirements by a large margin. Mr. Bellamy, however, claimed that history will not repeat itself unless a 10-12 MW facility is constructed each year. Thus, in his first scenario, Mr. Bellamy attempted to model the pace of solar development in Ohio in the event that a 10-12 MW facility is not constructed every year. Without such a project, Mr. Bellamy assumed that solar development would be limited to projects of smaller than 2 MWs, and that such development would never exceed 8 MWs per year.

Besides the fact that Mr. Bellamy's model contained incorrect calculations, the actual level of solar development in 2012 further undermines Mr. Bellamy's model.³³ In the first nine months of 2012, the Commission has issued certification determinations for over 17.94 MW of Ohio-based solar projects, all of which are 1 MW or smaller.³⁴

³¹ Staff Ex. 1 at 7.

³² IEU-Ohio's Briefs identified additional errors in Mr. Bellamy's calculations that demonstrate that, in fact, 11 MW of solar was certified by the Commission in 2011. Post Hearing Brief of Industrial Energy Users-Ohio at 8-9 (Apr. 25, 2012); Reply Brief of Industrial Energy Users-Ohio at 11 (May 4, 2012).

³³ Id.

³⁴ See Attachment A, containing a list of Ohio-based solar energy facilities certified by the Commission in 2012 (last updated on Sept. 21, 2012), sorted from the Commission's list of certified renewable energy facilities. Located at http://www.puco.ohio.gov/puco/index.cfm/industry-information/industry-topics/ohioe28099s-renewable-and-advanced-energy-portfolio-standard/ (last viewed on Oct. 3, 2012). The Commission should admit Attachment A into evidence or, at a minimum, take administrative notice of the 17.94 MW of certified solar facilities listed on Attachment A. The facilities, MW values, docket numbers, and actual certificates can be viewed on the Commission's website. Moreover, during the hearing, the Attorney Examiner took administrative notice of several pending applications for certification as a renewable energy facility. Tr. at 62-64. The existence of the certificates listed on Attachment A cannot be disputed.

The Commission's certification decisions in 2012 show that Mr. Bellamy's analysis of available sRECs arbitrarily eliminated a readily available source of sRECs. Moreover, the addition of larger solar projects — such as 10-12 MW projects, which Mr. Bellamy concedes are more cost-effective and likely to be built³⁵ — would contribute to solar development further exceeding the sREC compliance target. Even if a need for sREC supply could be the basis for a need determination relevant to an LTFR proceeding or an ESP proceeding, it appears that the voluntary actions of hundreds of consumers are combining to supply sRECs without the burden of non-bypassable charges.

III. CONCLUSION

In summary, the Commission's authority stems from but is also limited by statute. The Stipulation cannot expand the Commission's authority. And the Commission's authority in an LTFR proceeding is limited to determining whether the forecast of supply and demand is accurate and reasonable. A finding of need for a new generating facility, as relevant for purposes of Section 4928.143(B)(2)(c), Revised Code, must and should be made in an ESP proceeding. Moreover, such a finding cannot be extended to a renewable generating facility because Sections 4928.143(B) and 4928.64(E), Revised Code, prohibit the recovery of the cost of compliance with RPS Requirements through non-bypassable charges. Finally, the proponents of the Stipulation have failed to show that Turning Point is needed to satisfy the RPS Requirements.

Accordingly, for the reasons set forth herein and previously, and, regardless of the content of any additional briefs regarding the topics in the Entry, IEU-Ohio urges the Commission to reject the Stipulation's request that the Commission find that there is a

³⁵ Tr. at 118-119.

need for Turning Point for any purpose relevant to the application of Section 4928.143(B)(2)(c), Revised Code, or the establishment of any non-bypassable generation-related charge.

Respectfully submitted:

/s/ Joseph E. Oliker
Samuel C. Randazzo
(Counsel of Record)
Frank P. Darr
Joseph E. Oliker
Matthew R. Pritchard
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC
21 East State Street, 17TH Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
Telephone: (614) 469-8000
Telecopier: (614) 469-4653
sam@mwncmh.com
fdarr@mwncmh.com
joliker@mwncmh.com
mpritchard@mwncmh.com

ATTORNEYS FOR INDUSTRIAL ENERGY USERS-OHIO

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing *Supplemental Brief of Industrial Energy Users-Ohio*, was served upon the following parties of record this 3rd day of October 2012, *via* electronic transmission, hand-delivery or first class U.S. mail, postage prepaid.

Matthew J. Satterwhite Steven T. Nourse American Electric Power Service Corporation 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 mjsatterwhite@aep.com stnourse@aep.com

ON BEHALF OF COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY AND OHIO POWER COMPANY

Mark A. Hayden Attorney FirstEnergy Service Company 76 South Main Street Akron, OH 44308 haydenm@firstenergycorp.com

James F. Lang
Laura C. McBride
N. Trevor Alexander
CALFEE, HALTER & GRISWOLD LLP
1400 KeyBank Center
800 Superior Ave.
Cleveland, OH 44114
jlang@calfee.com
lmcbride@calfee.com
talexander@calfee.com

ON BEHALF OF FIRSTENERGY SERVICE COMPANY

Jack D'Aurora The Behal Law Group LLC 501 South High Street Columbus, OH 43215 jdaurora@behallaw.com

ON BEHALF OF UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO INNOVATION ENTERPRISES CORPORATION

<u>/s/ Joseph E. Oliker</u> Joseph E. Oliker

Thomas W. McNamee Assistant Attorney General William Wright, Chief Public Utilities Section 180 E. Broad Street, 6th Floor

Columbus, OH 43215-3793 thomas.mcnamee@puc.state.oh.us

ON BEHALF OF THE STAFF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

Greta See Sarah Parrott Attorney Examiners Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 E. Broad Street, 12th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3793 Greta.See@puc.state.oh.us Sarah.Parrot@puc.state.oh.us

ATTORNEY EXAMINER

ATTACHMENT A

1. First Case Filed, June 25, 2009. First Case Approved, August 31, 2009. Report reflects data through 9/20/2012 2. Co-Fired Projects, listed under Capacity (MW), have not been included in the megawatt capacity summary.

•	2
7	2
	7
•	Ű
6	Š
7	es
	ဇ္ဇ
_	ž
-	ed
•	ਰ
	Υ.
	ť
	먹
	Ş
ł	<u>a2</u>
,	Ō
<u>.</u>	Ħ
F	~
•	ဂ္ဂ
	S
	ŏ
	ŏ
	5
	c
	-
	8
	8
	\exists
	유
	<u> </u>
	3
	>
	2012 Cases - Sorted by Approval Date (Case Count: 1,208) (Total MW: 283.60)
	33
	9
	=

Name Of Renewable Generating Facility SoCore Solar - Walgreens (06787S - Cincinnati, OH) SoCore Solar - Walgreens (11269S - Cincinnati, OH) SoCore Solar - Walgreens (02875S - Cincinnati, OH) SoCore Solar - Walgreens (06449S - Cincinnati, OH) SoCore Solar - Walgreens (07435S - Norwood, OH) SoCore Solar - Walgreens (07877S - Cincinnati, OH) SoCore Solar - Walgreens (07900S - Mount Washington, OH) SoCore Solar - Walgreens (07900S - Liberty Township, OH)	State 0H 0H	Case No. 11-4727 11-4763 11-4722 11-4724 11-4734 11-4741 11-4742 11-4742	Approved 01/01/2012 01/01/2012 01/02/2012 01/02/2012 01/02/2012 01/02/2012 01/02/2012 01/02/2012	Certificate ID 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0028 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0052 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0053 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0054 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0055 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0055 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0056 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0056	Technology Solar PV	Capacity (MW) 0.0461 0.0346 0.0461 0.0346 0.0461 0.0461 0.0461 0.0461
SoCore Solar - Walgreens (09520S - Liberty Township, OH) SoCore Solar - Walgreens (09636S - Loveland, OH)	오 도	11-4/50	01/02/2012		Solar PV	
Forst, Greg Residence	오	11-5459	01/02/2012		Solar PV	
Guglielmi, Andrew Residence	유	11-5460	01/02/2012		Solar PV	
Nees, James Residence	2 오	11-5463	01/02/2012	2 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0012	Solar PV	
Sturm, Bonnie Residence - B Sturm	오 :	11-5595	01/02/2012		Solar PV	
Lake Ridge Academy	오	11-5446	01/03/2012	2 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0035	Solar PV	
SoCore Solar - Walgreens (06471S - Middletown, OH)	오	11-4725	01/07/2012	2 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0184	Solar PV	
SoCore Solar - Walgreens (06522S - Springfield, OH)	오	11-4726	01/07/2012	2 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0185	Solar PV	
SoCore Solar - Walgreens (06990S - Fairborn, OH)	암	11-4729	01/07/2012	2 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0186	Solar PV	
SoCore Solar - Walgreens (07182S - Cincinnati, OH)	오	11-4731	01/07/2012	2 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0187	Solar PV	
SoCore Solar - Walgreens (07331S - Troy, OH)	오	11-4732	01/07/2012		Solar PV	
SoCore Solar - Walgreens (07636S - Centerville, OH)	오	11-4738	01/07/2012		Solar PV	
SoCore Solar - Walgreens (07941S - Sidney, OH)	오	11-4743	01/07/2012		Solar PV	
SoCore Solar - Walgreens (10493S - Cincinnati, OH)	2 오	11-4760	01/07/2012		Solar PV	
Socore Solar - Waldreens (103003 - nuber neights, On)	오 달	11-4768	01/07/2012	2 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0193	Solar PV	
Star City Solar Shop	오	11-5570	01/07/2012		Solar PV	
Overly Residence - 5.98KW PV	오	11-5627	01/07/2012	2 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0037	Solar PV	
Sisters of St. Francis - Franciscan Earth Literacy Center	오	11-5674	01/07/2012	2 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0111	Solar PV	
Randall Beck	오	11-3169	01/09/2012	2 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0051	Solar PV	
SoCore Solar - Walgreens (07798S - Lancaster, OH)	H	11-4740	01/09/2012	2 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0137	Solar PV	
SoCore Solar - Walgreens (11158S - Hillsboro, OH)	오	11-4762	01/09/2012	2 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0138	Solar PV	
SoCore Solar - Walgreens (11294S - Chillicothe, OH)	오	11-4764	01/09/2012	01/09/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0139	Solar PV	

Adams, John Residence - J Adams	Nuovo 13.2kW Solar Array	Martha Torrez	Elster 5.4kW Solar Array	Americrest Improvement Group-OH-PV-11.96KW Facility	Rhodes-Rosetta-OH-PV-4.14KW Residence	Roger Umstott	SoCore Solar - Walgreens (10915S - Bellefontaine, OH)	SoCore Solar - Walgreens (09483S - Defiance, OH)	SoCore Solar - Walgreens (09337S - Toledo, OH)	SoCore Solar - Walgreens (09047S - Mansfield, OH)	SoCore Solar - Walgreens (07684S - Lima, OH)	SoCore Solar - Walgreens (07441S - Lima, OH)	SoCore Solar - Walgreens (05323S - Toledo, OH)	Perkins 1.84kW Solar Array	Hicks Solar Facility	Kuss Farms Limited Solar Project	SoCore Solar - Walgreens (12832S - Englewood, OH)	SoCore Solar - Walgreens (12703S - Xenia, OH)	SoCore Solar - Walgreens (12595S - Columbus, OH)	SoCore Solar - Walgreens (11510S - Beavercreek, OH)	SoCore Solar - Walgreens (10050S - Columbus, OH)	SoCore Solar - Walgreens (09904S - Hilliard, OH)	SoCore Solar - Walgreens (09577S - West Chester, OH)	SoCore Solar - Walgreens (09540S - Columbus, OH)	SoCore Solar - Walgreens (07973S - Grove City, OH)	SoCore Solar - Walgreens (07942S - Whitehall, OH)	SoCore Solar - Walgreens (07523S - Galloway, OH)	SoCore Solar - Walgreens (06981S - Columbus, OH)	Craig Smith	Lutz Solar Facility	SoCore Solar - Walgreens (07368S - Columbus, OH)	SoCore Solar - Walgreens (07113S - Columbus, OH)	Eshbaugh	Tannenhof	Kirby 6.24kW Solar Array	Kirby 2.05kW Solar Array	Cedar Bog Solar Facility	Joos Home Solar Array	SoCore Solar - Walgreens (12372S - Washington Court House, ОН)
오	오	오	오	오	오	오	오	오	오	오	오	오	오	오	오	오	오	유	임	유	오	오	오	유	오	오	오	유	임	오	유	오	오	오	유	오	오	우	오
11-5783	11-5785	11-5771	11-5781	11-5648	11-5647	11-5753	11-5386	11-4749	11-4748	11-4747	11-4739	11-4735	11-4723	11-5758	11-5756	11-5552	11-4772	11-4771	11-4770	11-4765	11-4757	11-4755	11-4752	11-4751	11-4745	11-4744	11-4736	11-4728	11-5691	11-5607	11-4733	11-4730	11-5512	11-5415	11-5690	11-5689	11-5637	11-5530	11-4769
01/23/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0229	2/2012	01/22/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0225	01/21/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0282	01/21/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0303	01/21/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0302	01/17/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0154	01/17/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0250	01/17/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0249	01/17/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0248	01/17/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0247	01/17/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0246	01/17/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0245	01/17/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0244	01/16/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0151	01/16/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0147	01/16/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0146	01/15/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0243	01/15/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0242	01/15/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0241	01/15/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0240	01/15/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0239	01/15/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0238	01/15/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0237	01/15/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0236	01/15/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0235	01/15/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0234	01/15/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0233	01/15/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0232	01/14/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0198	01/14/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0143	01/14/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0200	01/14/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0199	01/10/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0142	01/10/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0141	01/09/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0049	01/09/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0050	01/09/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0048		01/09/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0140
Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV
0.0046	0.0259	0.0069	0.0054	0.012	0.0041	0.0092	0.0346	0.0461	0.0456	0.0346	0.0346	0.0346	0.0346	0.0018	0.0086	0.0035	0.0346	0.0346	0.0341	0.023	0.023	0.0346	0.0461	0.0346	0.0461	0.0461	0.0346	0.0346	0.0064	0.0035	0.0461	0.023	0.0009	0.0025	0.0062	0.0021	0.0034	0.0033	0.0346

Quin, Jim Residence - J Quin Murphy, Richard Residence - R Murphy Kepler, Jeanette Residence - J Kepler Stephan, Robert Residence - R Stephan Gasper, David Residence - D Gasper Morgan, Brian Residence - B Morgan TMI HIX	Vicki Logue Friemoth, Jerry + Mary Residence - JM Friemoth Smith, Barry Residence - B Smith Howard, Greg Residence - G Howard Weisbrod, Christopher Residence - C Weisbrod Modon, Aris Residence - A Modon	AJ Solar Resources Jack Hedge Solar Facility Bluestone Partners LLC Westlake Ohio Solar Facility McKenzie, Donald Residence - D McKenzie Stout-Susie -OH-PV-2.16KW Residence Dobrzelecki-Anita-OH-PV-11.52KW Residence Schroeder-Charlie-OH-PV-54KW Residence Whitaker, William Residence - W Whitaker	Black, Anne Residence - A Black Hytek Energy Solutions LLC- P Wren West Camp Press Solar Facility Lawrence Uhrig SoCore Solar - Walgreens (07525S - Columbus, OH) SoCore Solar - Walgreens (10136S - Mansfield, OH) Dr. Sudhir Sehgal DDS: 25.9kW Hilliard Office Building Solar Array WED Solar Array 1	Wilmington Solar Maxfield Trefz Array SoCore Solar - Walgreens (02136S - Deer Park, OH) Beischel Residence Stutz, Edward Residence - E Stutz Huntington Easton Solar Array Toledo Museum of Art Phase II Hubert North America Service LLC Solar PV Christina Dalesandry Gregory and Rosemary Baker Residence
9999999	2999999	우 우 우 우 우 우 우 우	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	우 우 우 우 우 우 우 우 우 우 우 우
11-6056 11-6058 11-6059 11-6060 11-6080 11-6081 12-0063	11-5993 11-6032 11-6050 11-6051 11-6053 11-6055	11-5223 11-5996 11-5874 11-5896 11-5919 11-5926 11-5927	11-5904 11-5951 11-5895 11-5963 11-4737 11-4759 11-5744 11-5981	11-5811 11-5825 11-5828 11-4721 11-5832 11-5830 11-5830 11-5837 11-5837 11-5842 11-5878
02/26/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0459 02/27/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0461 02/27/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0443 02/27/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0444 02/28/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0449 02/28/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0450 03/04/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0452		02/20/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0400 02/20/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0399 02/21/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0401 02/22/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0373 02/22/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0482 02/22/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0431 02/22/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0432 02/26/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0436	3/2012 3/2012 4/2012 4/2012 4/2012 3/2012 3/2012 3/2012	01/23/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0278 01/29/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0330 01/29/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0329 01/31/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0345 01/31/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0334 02/01/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0343 02/04/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0347 02/04/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0344 02/04/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0344 02/04/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0354 02/07/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0354
Solar PV	Solar PV Solar PV Solar PV Solar PV Solar PV Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV
0.0035 0.0011 0.0038 0.0024 0.0024 0.0071 0.1015	0.0046 0.0019 0.0067 0.0042 0.0019 0.0035	0.0059 0.0035 0.0134 0.0019 0.0022 0.0115 0.054 0.0019	0.0026 0.0097 0.0033 0.0094 0.0346 0.0346 0.0259 0.0032	0.056 0.001 0.0094 0.0346 0.0091 0.0019 0.0495 0.1044 0.9792 0.0024 0.0072

Turner, Glen Residence - G Turner Sherlock Holmes Soprema-Wadsworth 179.5kW Sisco-8.46kW Photovoltaic System Sol Trans - GM Powertrain PV Solar (Phase 1) Michael Thomas Farm - M Thomas Georgetown Vineyards	Kinne, John Residence - J Kinne Beer, Phil Residence - P Beer Nimmer Apartments, Akron Metropolitan Grandison, Ronnie Residence - R Grandison Blacker 18kW Residential Solar Array	Jules Solar City of Springboro - Community Bldg A Cumberland 6801, LLC (Independence Tech Center) quinn-kathy-OH-PV-4.1KW Residence Chriswell-John-OH-PV-8.085KW Residence Siereveld, Jeffrey Residence - J Siereveld	Hellkamp, David Residence - D Hellkamp Westrick, James Residence - J Westrick Vance, Leisha Residence - L Vance Smith, Terry, OH - T Smith, OH Carli, Louis Residence - L. Carli Chavez III, Manuel Residence - M Chavez III	Zahirsky, Robert Residence - R Zahirsky Dr. Sudhir Sehgal DDS: 25.92kW Reynoldsburg Office Building Solar Array Scott, Alan Residence - A Scott Gerad Solar Solar Power & Light - Ansonia Local Schools Lonnie Falknor Kristine Milkie	Engle Residence 8.4KW Linder Building Systems Ltc. Pirchner, Colleen Residence Monreal, Jane & Bill Residence Steiner Compass Point Joliff, Joe Residence Valasik, Dave Residence Sidelinger, Travis Residence Chess 3.84kW Solar Array
9999999	2	우 우 우 우 우 우	우 우 우 우 우 우	우우우우우우	우 우 우 우 우 우 우 우
12-0510 12-0479 12-0526 12-0527 12-0546 12-0449 12-0518	12-0462 12-0490 12-0164 12-0492 12-0326	12-0287 12-0337 12-0132 12-0375 12-0400 12-0454	12-0274 12-0281 12-0283 12-0280 12-0334 12-0386	12-0173 12-0156 12-0258 12-0276 12-0277 12-0146 12-0167	12-0082 12-0131 12-0141 12-0151 12-0083 12-0152 12-0154 12-0165 12-0165
04/02/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0612 04/07/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0652 04/07/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0642 04/07/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0643 04/07/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0641 04/08/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0650 04/08/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0653		4/2012 4/2012 5/2012 5/2012 7/2012 7/2012			03/04/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0453 03/04/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0454 03/06/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0462 03/06/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0464 03/07/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0489 03/07/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0466 03/07/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0468 03/10/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0468
Solar PV	Solar PV Solar PV Solar PV Solar PV Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV
0.0043 0.0062 0.1795 0.0085 0.608 0.0064 0.0202	0.0056 0.0019 0.0562 0.0043 0.018	0.0113 0.0026 0.0691 0.0041 0.0081 0.0081	0.0053 0.0017 0.0031 0.0043 0.0024 0.0084	0.0024 0.0259 0.0029 0.0432 0.4883 0.0055 0.0049	0.0084 0.0187 0.0067 0.0052 0.0036 0.0078 0.0082 0.0055 0.0038

Tarazano, Donald Residence Joyce Cramer James, Fred Residence - F James Heale, Daniel Residence - D Heale Osborne Coinage Company Photovoltaic Power Plant (Phase II) Doran Manufacturing Photovoltaic Power Plant (Phase II) G&S Titanium	Sheffield Veterinary Hospital Roger Parsons Fortin Ironworks-OH-PV-69.09kW Facility Freeman-Ellis-OH-PV-4.14kW Residence Easton Facility	Aurora Kennels Tremco HQ R&D Rooftop Tremco HQ Canopy GM Parma - Solar Charging Station James Skalsky Facility	Bus Barn South maryshome118 Eric's PPA Solar Project Newsom, Gerald Residence - G Newsom Kleene, Nancy Residence - N Kleene	Silver Spring House-OH-PV-10.12KW Facility Hawley-Philip-OH-PV-6.02kW Residence Gary Froeschl solar array Stoudt, Kenneth Residence - K Stoudt Kara Crawford Warner117 Leckrone, Donald Residence - D Leckrone	Tusco Limited Partnership Solar PV Kintz Tree Farm Angstadt, Robert Residence - R Angstadt Kaneshiro, Edna Residence - E Kaneshiro Klemme, Jay Residence - J Klemme City of Delphos Flying J Farm Solar Facility Eagle, Thomas Residence - T Eagle Frank E. Bernard and Donna M. Lofgren Hannah's Solar Centerburg High School Solar Array
우 우 우 우 우 우 우	오 오 오 오 오	99999	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	오 오 오 오 오 오 오	오 오 오 오 오 오 오 오 오 오
12-0153 12-1042 12-1029 12-1030 12-0759 12-0760 12-1105	12-0899 12-0939 12-0974 12-1018 12-1044	12-0529 12-0803 12-0820 12-0869 12-0923	12-0834 12-0850 12-0885 12-0898 12-0900	12-0634 12-0642 12-0686 12-0765 12-0654 12-0139	12-0547 12-0608 12-0617 12-0619 12-0622 12-0623 12-0625 12-0615 12-0689 12-0761
	05/14/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0767 05/20/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0744 05/23/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0799 05/23/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0754 05/23/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0794	3/2012 3/2012 3/2012 3/2012 3/2012	12/2012 17/2012 18/2012 18/2012 19/2012		04/08/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0645 04/10/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0656 04/11/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0607 04/11/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0609 04/11/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0609 04/11/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0610 04/17/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0690 04/23/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0692 04/25/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0695 04/28/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0696
Solar PV	Solar PV Solar PV Solar PV Solar PV Solar PV	Solar PV Solar PV Solar PV Solar PV Solar PV	Solar PV Solar PV Solar PV Solar PV Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV
0.0098 0.0042 0.0048 0.0011 0.0296 0.0296 0.064	0.0129 0.0046 0.0691 0.0041 0.0033	0.0087 0.0825 0.0213 0.0213 0.0056	0.345 0.0018 0.011 0.0029 0.0019	0.0101 0.006 0.023 0.0017 0.0038 0.0115 0.0037	0.0152 0.002 0.0022 0.0019 0.0042 0.0772 0.011 0.0105 0.0072 0.0083

GV hsng 3 LP: 7228 Port GV hsng 3 LP: 7220 Port GV hsng 3 LP: 7216 Port GV hsng 3 LP: 7212 Port GV hsng 3 LP: 7208 Port GV hsng 3 LP: 7204 Port GV hsng 3 LP: 7205 Rouse Public GV hsng 3 LP: 7203 Bell Public		3 LP: 3048 E. 3 LP: 3044 E. 3 LP: 3038 E. 3 LP: 3034 E. 3 LP: 3030 E. 3 LP: 3026 E. 3 LP: 3026 E.	Hewett, Chuck Residence - C Hewett GM Lordstown - Solar Charging Station Dearth Residence Veterans Glass City Skyway Solar Array GV hsng 3 LP: 7227 Carson GV hsng 3 LP: 7229 Carson GV hsng 3 LP: 3058 E. 73rd GV hsng 3 LP: 3054 E. 73rd	Hillyard Farm - B Strafford O'Brien, David + Ruth Residence - D O'Brien Hammond Home Allan Niedermier Louis Niedermier Grabill Heating & Plumbing Thorn Ridge Studios 10.8kW Solar Array Hawn, Rodney Residence - R Hawn Fox Lynd Solar PV Roger Marquart Shupert's Residential Solar Borgman, Tom Residence - T Borgman
9999999	2	2	99999999	2999999999999
12-133 12-1333 12-1334 12-1335 12-1336 12-1337 12-1338 12-1339	12-1326 12-1327 12-1328 12-1328 12-1329 12-1330	12-1319 12-1320 12-1321 12-1322 12-1323 12-1324	12-1290 12-0870 12-1244 12-1279 12-1061 12-1316 12-1317 12-1318	12-1107 12-1108 12-0733 12-1087 12-1088 12-1137 12-1070 12-0901 12-1162 12-1152 12-0813 12-1179
07/02/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0900 07/02/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0901 07/02/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0902 07/02/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0903 07/02/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0904 07/02/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0905 07/02/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0906 07/02/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0907		07/02/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0889 07/02/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0887 07/02/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0888 07/02/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0891 07/02/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0892 07/02/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0893 07/02/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0893	06/19/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0849 06/20/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0846 06/23/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0885 06/27/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0913 07/02/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0910 07/02/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0910 07/02/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0909	
Solar PV	Solar PV Solar PV Solar PV Solar PV Solar PV Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV
0.0046 0.0023 0.0046 0.0023 0.0023 0.0023 0.0012	0.0046 0.0023 0.0046 0.0046 0.0046	0.0046 0.0023 0.0023 0.0023 0.0023 0.0046	0.0056 0.0213 0.0057 0.1175 0.0023 0.0023 0.0023 0.0046	0.0183 0.0017 0.006 0.0037 0.0018 0.0501 0.0101 0.0019 0.0074 0.0074 0.0094

Mayapple Stewart Giant Eagle #4088	Rockytop Farms - B. Evans	SolarCity - 450001	Hawk 9.2kW Solar Array	Janice Riddlebaugh	Paul Schimpf	Pleiman, Michael Residence - M. Pleiman	MM Solar Array	Behling- 5.04kW Solar Array	Von Stein, Regina & John Residence - RJ Von Stein	Springs Motel - Springs Motel	Singer, Eric Residence	Gray, Carl Residence	Thamann, Paul Residence - P. Thamann	Goodine, Mark Residence	Stormann, Ernest Residence - E. Stormann	Pipik-Leach, Mary & Robert Residence - M. Pipik	Killgore, Lynn Residence	Ruggeri, Rich Residence	Hoffman, Mark Residence	Engel, Robert Residence / Bob's Restoration	Dew, Mary Residence	Christiansen, John & Melanie Residence	Bohrer, Jeffrey & Kelly	Marie Cutlip126	Rutledge, Mary Residence - M. Rutledge	Brubaker, Greg & Rebecca Residence - G & R Brubaker	Lewis, Bernie Residence - B. Lewis	Alistair D. Bradley Facility	Kappel Solar Array	Gary Peart's Solar System	<u>a</u>	Glenn, Brian Residence - B. Glenn	GV hsng 3 LP: 7224 Port	tom lind	Vaughn Industries LLC-OH-PV-13.8kW Facility	Williams-David-OH-PV-3.04kW Residence	Christensen-Ken-OH-PV-7.05kW Residence	GV hsng 3 LP: 7211 Carson Public
오 오	Н	오	오	오	웃	오	오	오	오	오	오	임	오	오	오	오	오	유	임	유	오	Э	임	오	유	9 H	임	유	유	임	임	임	유	오	오	오	오	오
12-0515 12-1157	12-1721	12-1590	12-1524	12-1471	12-1470	12-1689	12-0949	12-1523	12-1639	12-1591	12-1581	12-1580	12-1543	12-1274	12-1554	12-1553	12-1277	12-1276	12-1275	12-1272	12-1271	12-1270	12-1269	12-1552	12-1542	12-1541	12-1530	12-1520	12-1183	12-1509	12-1289	12-1466	12-1332	12-1189	12-1405	12-1404	12-1400	12-1340
08/07/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-1050 08/07/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-1047	08/06/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0993	08/06/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-1030	07/30/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0966	07/30/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0973	07/30/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0972	07/29/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0989	07/25/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0977	07/24/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0976	07/23/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0955	07/17/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0982	07/17/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0916	07/17/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0915	07/17/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0983	07/17/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0914	07/16/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0946	07/16/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0945	07/16/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0928	07/16/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0927	07/16/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0926	07/16/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0953	07/16/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0952	07/16/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0917	07/16/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0918	07/15/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0951	07/15/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0981	07/15/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0980	07/15/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0979	07/11/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0949	07/11/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0950	07/10/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0948	07/07/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0920	07/04/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0922	07/04/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0919	07/03/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0912	07/02/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0875	07/02/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0874	2/2012	07/02/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0908
Solar PV Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV	Solar PV
0.0057	0.0166	0.5713	0.0092	0.0039	0.0038	0.0048	0.0092	0.005	0.0083	0.0048	0.012	0.0101	0.0026	0.0076	0.0083	0.0019	0.0091	0.0051	0.0101	0.012	0.0101	0.0096	0.0041	0.0048	0.0017	0.0051	0.0034	0.0021	0.0063	0.001	0.269	0.0026	0.0023	0.0055	0.0138	0.003	0.0071	0.0012

James Crabaugh	Marshall Residence (Treehouse)	ESS #1507 Middletown	ESS #1506 Lebanon	ESS #1505 Hamilton	ESS #1504 Cincinnati	ESS #1503 Cincinnati
. НО	유	유	유 .	유 .	유 .	. НО
12-2042	12-0081	12-2073	12-2072	12-2071	12-2069	12-2068
09/19/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-1218	09/19/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-0565	09/15/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-1215	09/15/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-1214	09/15/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-1213	09/15/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-1212	09/15/2012 12-SPV-OH-GATS-1211
Solar PV						

0.1148 0.0675 0.06 0.0609 0.0792 0.0059 0.0018 **17.9435** This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

10/3/2012 4:15:20 PM

in

Case No(s). 10-0501-EL-FOR, 10-0502-EL-FOR

Summary: Brief - Supplemental Brief of Industrial Energy Users-Ohio electronically filed by Mr. Joseph E. Oliker on behalf of Industrial Energy Users-Ohio