

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 69510
Received: 9/14/2012 3:03:26 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 69510 AT:09-14-2012 at 03:03 PM

Related Case Number: 12-1682

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Edward Hyde

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 2480 Fairview Avenue
- Apartment 102
- Cincinnati , Ohio 45219
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: *(no home phone provided?)*
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: hydeed@mail.uc.edu

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: Duke Energy
- Name on account: Edward Hyde
- Service address: 2480 Fairview Avenue, apt. 102, Cincinnati
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- Account Number: ending in 6407

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

RE: PUCO Case Number 12-1692-EL-AIR

I am writing to comment on Duke's proposed Rider FRT Facility Relocation – Mass Transportation.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete representation of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician SM Date Processed SEP 17 2012

RECEIVED-COCKETING DIV
2012 SEP 17 AM 9:23
PUCO

I oppose Duke Energy's proposed rate increase to pay for the relocation of power lines and manholes along the proposed Cincinnati Streetcar route. This is nothing more than a tax assessed to enable the city to install said faddish but impractical trolley line. I do not support that project, and I do not want to be forced to pay any part of its costs. City Council has to face reality. We cannot afford this project, and the people cannot afford to be taxed any more to pay for Council's whimsical flights of fancy.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 69507
Received: 9/14/2012 2:07:38 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 69507 AT:09-14-2012 at 02:07 PM

Related Case Number: 12-1682

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Dennis Jones

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- *(NO CITY?) , Ohio (NO ZIP??)*
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: *(no home phone provided?)*
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: djones8251@yahoo.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: Duke Energy
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

RE: PUCO Case Number 12-1692-EL-AIR

I am writing to comment on Duke's proposed Rider FRT Facility Relocation – Mass Transportation.

Duke proposes two alternatives for recouping the costs associated with relocating facilities due

to municipal projects: (1) by payment directly from the municipality; or (2) at the discretion of the municipality by allowing Duke to add a surcharge to the bill of each ratepayer within that municipality.

I object to the second option proposed by Duke.

Certainly no reasonable person would ask Duke to absorb the costs associated with municipal projects. Nor should ratepayers outside the involved municipality be expected to bear the costs of such projects. The costs contemplated in this proposed Rider are appropriately borne by the municipality. The municipality can then determine how to appropriately allocate the costs, either through a reduction of governmental services, or through higher taxes. Thus, the decisions will be made by people directly accountable to the public.

Option 2 is little more than a tax increase. If taxes are to be increased because of a municipal project, then such a tax should be transparent. Option 2 would disguise the tax as an electric rate increase and hide the true cost of governmental actions. Transparency and accountability demands that Option 2 be rejected.

Another issue that needs to be addressed in this is whether or not PUCO even has the authority to approve Option 2 at all. Does Option 2 cede PUCO's authority to municipalities? Does Option 2 create a power to tax which some affected municipalities do not have under Ohio's Constitution?

Finally, please consider that the proposed Option 2 would fall most harshly upon the poorest ratepayers and would thusly be painfully regressive.

For the above reasons, I urge PUCO to reject proposed Option 2 of the proposed rider.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 69505
Received: 9/14/2012 2:05:53 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 69505 AT:09-14-2012 at 02:05 PM

Related Case Number: 12-1682

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Amy Murray

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- *(NO CITY?) , Ohio (NO ZIP??)*
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: *(no home phone provided?)*
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: aymurray@mac.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: Duke
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

RE: PUCO Case Number 12-1692-EL-AIR

I am writing to comment on Duke's proposed Rider FRT Facility Relocation – Mass Transportation.

I oppose Duke Energy's proposed rate increase to pay for the relocation of power lines and

manholes along the proposed Cincinnati Streetcar route. This is nothing more than a tax assessed in order for the city to put in the totally ridiculous and unnecessary trolley line. I do not support this project and I certainly do not want to be forced to pay one cent toward the costs. The city council needs to face reality and recognize the hard facts. We cannot afford this project and the people cannot afford to be taxed more for their constant follies.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 69506
Received: 9/14/2012 2:06:38 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 69506 AT:09-14-2012 at 02:06 PM

Related Case Number: 12-1682

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mrs. Susan Waidner

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- *(NO CITY?) , Ohio (NO ZIP??)*
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: *(no home phone provided?)*
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: susan_waidner@hotmail.com

INDUSTRY:Other

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- *(no utility company name provided?)*
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

RE: PUCO Case Number 12-1692-EL-AIR

I am writing to comment on Duke's proposed Rider FRT Facility Relocation – Mass Transportation.

I oppose Duke Energy's proposed rate increase to pay for the relocation of power lines and

manholes along the proposed Cincinnati Streetcar route. This is nothing more than a tax assessed in order for the city to put in the totally ridiculous and unnecessary trolley line. I do not support this project and I certainly do not want to be forced to pay one cent toward the costs. The city council needs to face reality and recognize the hard facts. We cannot afford this project and the people cannot afford to be taxed more for their constant follies.