

FILE

9

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 69465
Received: 9/12/2012 10:53:28 AM
Message:
WEB ID: 69465 AT:09-12-2012 at 10:53 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Mark Baugh

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 1470 Tallberry Dr
- Cincinnati , Ohio 45230
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: (513) 233-3449
- Alternative: (513) 305-8829
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: baughmark@gmail.com

INDUSTRY:Other

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- *(no utility company name provided?)*
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I just wanted to express my opinion on the request from Duke Electric to add a surcharge to recover costs for a proposed streetcar in the Cincinnati, OH. I am opposed to having to pay what amounts to a hidden tax for the city when I live in the county. Any other taxes the city council are considering would be levied only on city residents. Please bear in mind that this action by Duke would impose a tax that we, as residents, would have no vote or control over.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an
accurate and complete reproduction of a case file
document delivered in the regular course of business
Technician MM Date Processed SEP 13 2012

RECEIVED-SOCKETING DIV
2012 SEP 13 AM 9:50
PUCO

Thank you for your consideration, Mark

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 69455
Received: 9/12/2012 12:15:18 AM
Message:
WEB ID: 69455 AT:09-12-2012 at 12:15 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Jeff Capell

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 9354 Hunters Creek Dr
- Cincinnati , Ohio (*NO ZIP??*)
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 513-793-2772
- Alternative: (*no alternative phone provided?*)
- Fax: (*no fax number provided?*)

E-MAIL: jeffcapell@yahoo.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- (*no utility company name provided?*)
- (*no account name provided?*)
- (*no service address provided?*)
- (*no service phone number provided?*)
- (*no account number provided?*)

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

This is regarding case number 12-1692-EL-AIR. I am strongly opposed to PUCO granting authority to Duke Energy to charge their customers for Cincinnati's streetcar. If Cincinnati wants a streetcar, then Cincinnati needs to pay for it. Paying for the Cincinnati streetcar should be between the city and their taxpayers.

It is unfair to utility payers that they should have to subsidize the pet projects of a local government. I oppose allowing Duke to establish this surcharge and support requiring Cincinnati to pay for their own streetcar.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 69438
Received: 9/11/2012 10:45:15 AM
Message:
WEB ID: 69438 AT:09-11-2012 at 10:45 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. charles luken

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 519 elizabeth street
- cincinnati , Ohio 45203
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 5135037636
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: charlieluken@hotmail.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: Duke
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

DO not let Duke charge ratepayers for the cost of the streetcar utility move. It is beyond belief that such a request is even being entertained!

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 69460
Received: 9/12/2012 9:36:09 AM
Message:
WEB ID: 69460 AT:09-12-2012 at 09:36 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Michael Manzler

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 4034 Lytle Woods Place
- Cincinnati , Ohio 45227
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: *(no home phone provided?)*
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: michael.manzler@dinsmore.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: Duke Energy
- Name on account: Michael Manzler
- Service address: 4034 Lytle Woods Place
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- Account Number: PUCO Case no. 12-1682-EL-AIR

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I OPPOSE Duke Energy's proposed rate increase to pay for the relocation of power lines and manholes along the proposed Cincinnati Streetcar route. If Cincinnati City Council wants this ridiculous boondoggle, they need to figure out how to pay for it themselves, not by essentially taxing Duke Energy customers!

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 69464
Received: 9/12/2012 10:47:12 AM
Message:
WEB ID: 69464 AT:09-12-2012 at 10:47 AM

Related Case Number: 12-1682

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Brian Shrive

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- *(NO CITY?) , Ohio (NO ZIP??)*
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: *(no home phone provided?)*
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: brianshrive@zoomtown.com

INDUSTRY:Other

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: Duke Energy
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

Re: PUCO case number 12-1692-EL-AIR

I am writing to comment on Duke's proposed Rider FRT Facility Relocation – Mass Transportation.

Duke proposes two alternatives for recouping the costs associated with relocating facilities due

to municipal projects: (1) by payment directly from the municipality; or (2) at the discretion of the municipality by allowing Duke to add a surcharge to the bill of each ratepayer within that municipality.

It is axiomatic that Municipalities should bear the costs associated with their capital projects. Therefore, Option 1 makes perfect sense and PUCO should approve Option 1.

However, Option 2 is nothing more than a tax disguised as an electric rate increase. As stated above, the cost of capital projects should be borne by the Municipality. If such costs are to result in a tax increase, it should be done in the open through a vote (either by the citizens or by their elected officials) to increase taxes, not through some backdoor scheme. Therefore, PUCO should reject Option 2.

As a practical matter, the appropriate place for the discussion and resolution of issues relating to the costs associated with capital projects is within the body politic, either through legislative action by the involved municipal government, or the voters. There is no place in the discussion for PUCO to involve itself in these issues. Utility companies should not be required to subsidize capital projects of the municipalities in which they operate. Nor should the utility companies be put in the position of tax collector. If Option 2 is approved, politicians throughout Ohio will see it as carte blanche to pass on these costs through higher utility bills and then blame PUCO and the utility companies for the higher rates. Transparency demands that Option 2 be denied.

I further ask that PUCO determine whether in fact you have the authority to approve Option 2 at all. Does Option 2 cede PUCO's authority to municipalities? Does Option 2 create a power to tax which some affected municipalities do not have under Ohio's Constitution?

Finally, please consider that the proposed Option 2 would fall most harshly upon the poorest rate payers and would thus be a painfully regressive tax.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 69442
Received: 9/11/2012 12:53:21 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 69442 AT:09-11-2012 at 12:53 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mrs. Kathy Miller

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 1902 Mears Avenue
- Cincinnati , Ohio 45230
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: *(no home phone provided?)*
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: smiller10@cinci.rr.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: Duke Energy
- Name on account: Stephen D. Miller
- Service address: 1902 Mears Ave.
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

PUCO case number 12-1682-EL-AIR I understand Duke is requesting a proposal for a rate increase for the city of Cincinnati to cover the cost of relocating the utility lines and manholes for the streetcar route. I want it known that I am opposed to this increase due to the fact that City Council (Mayor Mallory) approved the streetcar when the majority of citizens of Cincinnati DO NOT WANT IT. Mallory will be gone in a year but we'll be paying and paying and paying. Stop the proposal please.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 69443
Received: 9/11/2012 1:25:38 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 69443 AT:09-11-2012 at 01:25 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mrs. Sandra Tugrul

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 776 Whitetail Way
- Lebanon , Ohio 45036
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: (513) 933-9399
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: tugrulsandra@aol.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: Duke Energy
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- Account Number: 12-1692-EL-AIR

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

It would be a travesty of justice if the City of Cincinnati is allowed to tax Duke Energy to move the service. This tax is to assessed in order for the city to put in the totally ridiculous and unnecessary trolley line. I do not support this project and I certainly do not want to be forced to pay one cent toward the costs. The city council does need to face reality and recognize the hard facts. They cannot afford this project and the people cannot afford to be taxed more for their constant follies.