BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application for Establishment of a Reasonable Arrangement Between ASHTA Chemicals Inc. and The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company.)) Case No. 12-1494-EL-AEC))
	CT TESTIMONY OF ARD L. JACKSON

てこつ

PUBLIC VERSION

2012 AUG 20 PM 3: 42

Samuel C. Randazzo, Trial Attorney Scott E. Elisar McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-4228 Telephone: (614) 469-8000 Telecopier: (614) 469-4653 sam@mwncmh.com selisar@mwncmh.com

August 20, 2012

Attorneys for ASHTA Chemicals Inc.

{C38380:}

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application for Establishment of a Reasonable) Arrangement Between ASHTA) Case No. 12-1494-EL-AEC Chemicals Inc. and The Cleveland) Electric Illuminating Company.)		
DIRECT TESTIMONY OF RICHARD L. JACKSON		
Q1.	Please state your name, business address, title and the purpose of your	
	testimony.	
A1.	My name is Richard L. Jackson and my business address is 3509 Middle Road,	
	Ashtabula, Ohio. I am Executive Vice President of Operations for ASHTA	
	Chemicals Inc. ("ASHTA"). The purpose of my testimony is to provide	
	information regarding the application for a reasonable arrangement which	
	ASHTA requests that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission")	
	approve in this proceeding.	
Q2.	Please describe your responsibilities with ASHTA and your background	

As Executive Vice President of Operations, I am responsible for all aspects of plant operations for ASHTA including: assuring compliance with environmental, health and safety regulations and the protection of our employees and other local

{C38380: }

and experience.

stakeholders; overseeing and providing guidance to managers in many functional areas such as production, maintenance, engineering, quality assurance and project management. In addition, since 2002, I have been responsible for evaluating supply options and procuring electrical supply for our facility.

5 Q3. Is ASHTA a "mercantile customer"?

A3. ASHTA's manufacturing operations and corporate offices in Ashtabula use in excess of [begin confidential] [end confidential] kilowatt-hours ("kWh") of electricity annually and it is my understanding that ASHTA is a "mercantile customer" as defined by Ohio law.

10 Q4. Please describe ASHTA's current operations in Ashtabula.

A4. ASHTA's Ashtabula plant produces products that are sold directly to customers throughout the United States and Canada or sold through distributors to a wide variety of customers and end users. It currently employs directly approximately [begin confidential] [end confidential] people and its business activity supports another [begin confidential] [end confidential] indirect full-time equivalent people, with a combined annual payroll of approximately [begin confidential] million.¹ [end confidential] ASHTA pays about [begin confidential] [end confidential] annually in state and local taxes.

Q5. Why is ASHTA seeking a "reasonable arrangement"?

¹ Indirect employment is a reference to jobs that are associated with work performed by third-party contractors or vendors at or near ASHTA's manufacturing facilities.

ASHTA's current manufacturing facility in Ashtabula is located on 22 acres within the 95 acres owned by ASHTA. Manufacturing at the site began in the 1950s when it was owned and opened by Union Carbide. ASHTA is a producer and marketer of chlorine and potassium-based inorganic chemicals, primarily potassium hydroxide ("KOH"). More specifically, the facility produces liquid KOH that is used in fertilizers, deicers, water treatment, cleaners, batteries, herbicides and potassium chemicals. It produces liquid potassium carbonate that is used in fertilizers, agricultural chemicals, photography, food, pharmaceuticals and the oil and gas sectors. It also produces chloropicrin and chlorine.

ASHTA is evaluating a potential transformation of its manufacturing process and the opportunity to implement this transformation at its current Ohio location. A successful transformation at the Ohio location is probable provided that ASHTA can secure a reliable supply of electricity pursuant to terms and conditions that will provide ASHTA with a reasonable and predictable price over a term that will allow ASHTA to make the estimated [begin confidential] [end confidential] capital investment to transform the current manufacturing process, [begin confidential] . [end confidential] A successful transformation will position ASHTA's Ohio manufacturing facility to be viable for many years, allow for operational and environmental performance improvements, sustain current employment and likely expand ASHTA's direct employment by [begin confidential] [end confidential] Without the transformation that ASHTA hopes to accomplish in Ohio, the current manufacturing process and the employment

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A5.

1		(direct and indirect) are not sustainable. The cost of electricity makes up [begin
2		confidential] [end confidential] of ASHTA's total variable cost of
3		production. ASHTA has requested a reasonable arrangement to obtain a
4		reasonable and predictable price over a term that will allow ASHTA to make the
5		[begin confidential] [end confidential] capital
6		investment that is required to transform the current manufacturing process,
7		sustain and somewhat increase current employment and position the facility for
8		expansion in the future.
9	Q6.	Please describe ASHTA's current electricity load and usage characteristics
10		and the changes that will take place as a result of transforming the current
11		manufacturing process.
12	A6.	ASHTA is a distribution service customer of The Cleveland Electric Illuminating
13		Company ("CEI") and is served at [begin confidential] . [end
14		confidential] Electricity is delivered to a substation owned by ASHTA. At
15		ASHTA's substation, ASHTA transforms the electricity received from CEI through
16		transformation equipment that is also owned by ASHTA.
17		The new chlorine production technology [begin confidential]
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		[end confidential] ASHTA's current maximum demand is [begin confidential]

{C38380:}

1		[end confidential] and we estimate that the maximum demand will be
2		[begin confidential] [end confidential] if the transformation occurs at the
3		existing manufacturing facility. [begin confidential]
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		[end confidential]
9		If the transformation occurs at the Ashtabula facility, we estimate that ASHTA will
10		be able to [begin confidential]
11		
12		
13		[end confidential]
14	Q7.	What is the length of the reasonable arrangement that ASHTA is asking the
15		Commission to approve?
16	A7.	ASHTA is seeking a ten-year reasonable arrangement commencing with the
17		commercial operation date of the [begin confidential] . [end
18		confidential] A term of ten years will facilitate ASHTA's efforts to develop pro-
19		forma operating data used to secure financing for the capital investment. As
20		indicated above, the delivered cost of electricity is a large part of ASHTA's total
21		variable cost of production. Uncertainty regarding the delivered cost of electricity
22		or a delivered cost of electricity that puts the Ashtabula location at a competitive

disadvantage will work against ASHTA's ability to transform its manufacturing process at the current location.

Q8. What is ASHTA's delivered cost of electricity target over the proposed term of the reasonable arrangement?

Given the significance of the delivered cost of electricity relative to ASHTA's total variable cost of production, the estimated delivered cost of electricity to ASHTA's competitors, the delivered cost of electricity and other advantages available should ASHTA relocate its manufacturing facilities, and ASHTA's willingness and ability to operate substantially outside the peak hours that drive the capacity resource obligation determined by PJM and the peak used as the billing determinant for transmission service, ASHTA's delivered cost of electricity target is [begin confidential]

Q9. Please describe the overall structure of the reasonable arrangement.

14 A9. The reasonable arrangement I describe below reflects changes that have been made based on the comments provided by the Commission's Staff and CEI.

There are four basic parts to the reasonable arrangement: (1) distribution; (2) transmission; (3) generation; and, (4) energy efficiency, peak demand reduction and advanced energy resources.

For the distribution component, the reasonable arrangement would subject ASHTA to the rates, terms and conditions of the otherwise applicable distribution rate schedule.

A8.

For the transmission component, the reasonable arrangement would exempt ASHTA from Rider NMB so that ASHTA would pay for transmission service through its competitive retail electric service ("CRES") provider, who would be billed for transmission service by PJM on behalf of ASHTA. The zonal single coincident peak billing determinant that I understand is used by PJM as a transmission billing determinant would provide ASHTA with a better ability to manage its electricity load and usage so as to not contribute to the coincident peak.

For the generation component, the reasonable arrangement would cause ASHTA to continue to competitively source capacity and energy and pay the competitive supplier selected by ASHTA in accordance with the competitive supply contract. For the energy efficiency, peak demand reduction and advanced energy resources component, the reasonable arrangement would: (a) cause ASHTA to commit its peak demand response capabilities to CEI in exchange for an exemption from CEI's rider to collect its energy efficiency and peak demand portfolio compliance costs; (b) cause the payment CEI makes to ASHTA for committing ASHTA's demand response to be recoverable as a cost of compliance with Ohio's portfolio requirements; (c) cause ASHTA to commit the energy efficiency gain [begin confidential]

[end confidential] to CEI; (d) allow CEI to count this energy efficiency gain for purposes of hitting its energy efficiency compliance benchmark; (e) cause ASHTA to commit its waste energy recovery capability to CEI; (f) allow CEI to

count this advanced energy and renewable resource for purposes of hitting its

- compliance benchmark; and, (g) exempt ASHTA from the advanced energy, energy efficiency and peak demand response cost recovery mechanisms in exchange for the commitment of ASHTA's customer-sited capabilities.
- Q10. If the reasonable arrangement you have described is approved by the
 Commission, will it be necessary for CEI to secure approval to recover
 delta revenue" or the difference between the otherwise applicable rate and
 the rate charged under the reasonable arrangement?
 - A10. It is my understanding that it will not be necessary. More specifically, it is my understanding that ASHTA will pay the otherwise applicable rate for any distribution service provided by CEI. CEI's total transmission revenue requirement for the portion of transmission service billed by CEI will be recovered through the existing transmission cost recovery mechanism. ASHTA will pay for generation supply and the competitive portion of transmission service in accordance with its competitively sourced supply contract. ASHTA will be committing its mercantile customer demand response capabilities and receiving the same payment received by standard service offer ("SSO") customers committing their demand response capabilities to CEI. The payment from CEI will be recoverable through the portfolio compliance cost recovery mechanism. ASHTA's customer-sited capabilities will help CEI satisfy its portfolio compliance obligations and, in exchange for ASHTA's commitment, ASHTA will receive an exemption from the portfolio compliance cost recovery mechanisms. Mr. Murray will discuss this in more detail in his testimony.

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 Q11. Based on the reasonable arrangement proposed by ASHTA, how will 2 ASHTA achieve its targeted delivered cost of electricity?

- A11. The reasonable arrangement described in my testimony reflects comments submitted by the Commission's Staff and CEI and is different than the "all-in price" structure proposed in the application. As things presently stand, the reasonable arrangement would alter the SSO billing determinant for transmission service provided by CEI and give ASHTA a better ability to time its electricity load and usage so as to not contribute to the single coincident peak. The reasonable arrangement would provide ASHTA with a fixed and known benefit for its demand response capabilities in exchange for ASHTA committing such capabilities to CEI for the term of the reasonable arrangement. The reasonable arrangement would also exempt ASHTA from the portfolio compliance cost recovery mechanisms for the reasons already discussed. Beyond these aspects of the proposed reasonable arrangement, ASHTA's ability to achieve its targeted delivered cost of electricity objective will depend upon ASHTA's efforts to competitively source generation supply plus the market-based portion of transmission service and ASHTA's success in operating its manufacturing facilities so as to avoid the capacity resource and transmission peaks that have billing significance in the PJM market structure.
- Q12. You mentioned that the reasonable arrangement would permit ASHTA to commit its waste energy recovery capability to CEI. Please describe this capability.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

ı	A 12.	Energy from waste neat is available from different processes in the chlor-alkal
2		industry. [begin confidential]
3		
4		
5		
6		[end confidential] For a [begin confidential]
7		
8		
9		. [end confidential] The most common use for this waste heat is in steam boilers
10		where steam is produced and then, in turn, used to evaporate water from the
11		dilute caustic (e.g. 28% potassium hydroxide) to produce a higher concentrated
12		material which is more transportable.
13		[begin confidential]
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

{C38380:}

1 Based on this initial engineering study, we foresee an initial capital investment of 2 [begin confidential] 3 [end confidential] The start-up and commissioning period after completion of 4 construction should be very short as the existing process will be able to be 5 operated parallel to ongoing construction. Besides an extensive retraining effort 6 of the [begin confidential] [end confidential] to 7 prepare them to make this transition, we anticipate we will need to increase 8 manning by [begin confidential] [end 9 confidential] to support proposed downstream processes. 10 We anticipate further growth in the chlorine market centered around a 11 resurgence of the chemical industry in the U.S. with the discovery of plentiful 12 natural gas. Our plans will allow for [begin confidential] 13 14 [end confidential] 15 Q14. [begin confidential] 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

{C38380: }

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		[end confidential]
6	Q15.	Describe ASHTA's natural gas usage and how it may be affected by the
7		transformation project at the Ashtabula site.
8	A15.	Historically, ASHTA has been a very small natural gas user. Thanks to the
9		mercury cell process being exothermic at high operating rates and the fact that
10		the liquid potassium hydroxide product is being produced at a saleable
11		concentration, there has not been a big steam evaporation or process heating
12		requirement. [begin confidential]
13		
14		[end confidential] We at ASHTA have historically used this by-
15		product hydrogen as fuel for our steam boilers, offsetting any natural gas
16		demand.
17		Going forward with conversion of this thermal energy demand will change
18		significantly. [begin confidential]
19		
20		
21		
22		

[end confidential] Q16. Has ASHTA been working with other state and local agencies to explore the transformation at the current site in Ashtabula? A16. [begin confidential] [end confidential] Q17. Please describe the state, regional or local support that ASHTA has received for the proposed transformation of its manufacturing process in Ashtabula. A17. [begin confidential] {C38380: }

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

12 [end confidential]

Q18. If the Commission approves the reasonable arrangement that is described in your testimony, will ASHTA go forward with the transformation project at the Ashtabula site?

A18. The Commission's approval will complete an important part of the overall package that we are working to assemble so that we can go forward with the transformation at the Ashtabula site. As indicated above, the cost of electricity makes up [begin confidential] [end confidential] of our total variable production cost and the electricity part of the overall package is a critical part. [begin confidential]

1	[end confidential] We must be
2	successful on all of these package elements to renew the Ashtabula
3	manufacturing facility, make it eligible for potential expansion, retain the current
4	jobs, add jobs, and position it to be successful in the marketplace.
5 Q19 .	Will the transformation and capital investment at the current Ashtabula
6	location increase employment?
7 A19.	We estimate that the transformation will result in [begin confidential]
8	. [end confidential] The primary
9	permanent job benefit of the transformation at the Ashtabula site will come from
10	[begin confidential] . [end confidential]
11	However, we anticipate that the transformation will [begin confidential]
12	[end confidential] by the commercial operation phase.
13 Q20 .	If the Commission approves the reasonable arrangement you have
14	described in your testimony and ASHTA proceeds with the transformation
15	of the Ashtabula facility, will ASHTA provide the Commission's Staff with
16	annual reports on the status of the transformation project and its
17	performance during the term of the reasonable arrangement?
18 A20.	Yes, as ASHTA has done prior to the filing of the application and since, ASHTA
19	will provide such reports to the Commission's Staff. It is also my understanding
20	that the Commission will have continuing jurisdiction over the reasonable

21

arrangement. Future reporting and interaction with the Commission's Staff will

- 1 provide important opportunities to identify any modifications to the reasonable
- 2 arrangement that may be necessary to address future expansion opportunities.
- 3 Q21. Does that conclude your testimony?
- 4 A21. Yes, it does.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing *Direct Testimony of Richard L.*Jackson was served upon the following parties of record this 20th day of August 2012, via electronic transmission, hand-delivery, or ordinary U.S. mail, postage prepaid.

Scott E. Elisar

James Burk FirstEnergy Service Company 76 S. Main Street Akron, OH 44308

On Behalf of The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company

William Wright
Thomas W. McNamee
Attorney General's Section
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street, 9th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215

On Behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio